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#### Abstract

We propose necessary and sufficient conditions for a distribution (generalized function) $f$ of several variables to be positive definite. For this purpose, certain analytic extensions of $f$ to tubular domains in complex space $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ are studied. The main result is given in terms of completely monotonic functions on convex cones in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.


## 1. Introduction

Let $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ be the real $n$-dimensional Euclidean space imbedded in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$ so that $\mathbb{C}^{n}=\mathbb{R}^{n}+i \mathbb{R}^{n}$. For $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, we set $|u|=\left|u_{1}\right|+\cdots+\left|u_{n}\right|$. Let $|x|_{2}$ denote the standard Euclidean norm on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If, in addition, the entries of $u$ are nonnegative integers, then we call $u$, throughout the following, a multi-index.

The space of test functions $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the set of $\varphi: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ such that $D_{x}^{u} \varphi$ is continuous for all multi-indices $u$. Here $D_{x}^{u}=D_{x_{1}}^{u_{1}} \cdots \cdot D_{x_{n}}^{u_{n}}$ and $D_{x_{k}}=\partial / \partial x_{k}$. Let $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ denote the subspace of $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ consisting of functions with compact support. We assume that the topologies on $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and on $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are introduced as usual (see, e.g., [7] or [16]). The elements of the conjugate spaces $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are called distributions or generalized functions. Since $D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is continuously imbedded in $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, it follows that each $f \in D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ gives an element of $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by restriction. Moreover, $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ coincides with a subspace of compactly supported distributions of $D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

[^0]If $f \in D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the action of $f$ on $\omega \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is written as $(f, \omega)$. A distribution $f \in D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is said to be positive definite if for all $\varphi \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, \varphi * \widetilde{\varphi}) \geq 0 \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

were $\widetilde{\varphi}(x):=\overline{\varphi(-x)}$, and $*$ denotes the usual convolution operator. The BochnerSchwartz theorem gives a representation of a positive definite distribution in terms of the Fourier transform. Let us recall some notion.

The Schwartz class $S\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ can be defined as the set of $\omega \in \mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|\omega\|_{m}:=\sup _{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n},|u| \leq m}\left|\left(1+|x|_{2}\right)^{m} D_{x}^{u} \omega(x)\right|<\infty \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all nonnegative integers $m$. Semi-norms (1.2) turns $S\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ into a Fréchet space. The elements of $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are called tempered distributions. For $\varphi \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, we define the Fourier transform as

$$
\widehat{\varphi}(x)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{i(x, t)} \varphi(t) d t, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

where $(x, t)=x_{1} t_{1}+\cdots+x_{n} t_{n}$. If $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the Fourier transform $\mathcal{F}[f]$ can be defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\mathcal{F}[f], \varphi)=(f, \widehat{\varphi}), \quad \varphi \in S\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Bochner-Schwartz theorem states (see, e.g., [16, p. 125]) that $f \in D^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is positive definite if and only if there exists nonnegative tempered measure $\eta \in$ $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $f=\mathcal{F}[\eta]$. We recall that a nonnegative measure $\eta$ is said to be tempered if there exists $\alpha \geq 0$ such that

$$
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(1+|x|_{2}\right)^{-\alpha} d \eta<\infty
$$

Note that this theorem implies that any positive definite distribution belongs to $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

There are many other than the Bochner-Schwartz theorem characterizations of positive definite functions (see, e.g., [8, p.p. 70-83]). As far as we known, it is perhaps surprising that there are almost no such results for distributions. We mention only [13], where attention has been paid to positive definite distributions of order zero on $\mathbb{R}$, with applications to a Volterra equation. See also survey article [11]. Note also that in [4] the Bochner-Schwartz theorem was generalized for the spaces of Fourier hyperfunctions and hyperfunctions.

In this paper, we wish to explore the idea of how to describe positive definite $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ by means of its analytic representations in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. Let us start with the case of one variable.

For $f \in D^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$, Tillman [15] has proved that there exists a pair of functions $f^{(+)}$and $f^{(-)}$, analytic in the open upper $\mathbb{C}^{(+)}$and in the open lower half-plane $\mathbb{C}^{(-)}$, respectively, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{\varepsilon \rightarrow+0} \int_{-\infty}^{\infty}\left(f^{(+)}(t+i \varepsilon)-f^{(-)}(t-i \varepsilon)\right) \varphi(t) d t=(f, \varphi) \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\varphi \in D(\mathbb{R})$. This pair $\left(f^{(+)}, f^{(-)}\right)$(or sectionally analytic function on $\left.\mathbb{C}^{(+)} \cup \mathbb{C}^{(-)}=\mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}\right)$ is called an analytic representation of $f$.

If a distribution $f$ has a compact support, then an analytic representation of $f$ can be obtained using an explicit construction. Indeed, if $f \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K(f)(z)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(f(\cdot),(\cdot-z)^{-1}\right)=\frac{1}{2 \pi i}\left(f_{t},(t-z)^{-1}\right) \tag{1.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

is well defined for all $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$. The function $K(f)$ is called the Cauchy transform of $f$. If we take $\left(f^{(+)}, f^{(-)}\right)=K(f)$, then we obtain an analytic representation of $f$ (see, e.g., [1, p. 155]). Note that analytic representations of the same distribution differ by at most an entire function [1].

For any fixed $z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}$, the Cauchy kernel $k(t)=(t-z)^{-1}$ belongs to $\mathcal{E}(\mathbb{R})$ but not to $S(\mathbb{R})$. Hence, for all $f \in S^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$, the analytic representation (1.5), in general, does not exists (see details in [1, p. 156]). On the other hand, if $f \in S^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$, then there exists a nonnegative integer $m_{0}$ such that $f$ is continuous in the semi-norm $\|\cdot\|_{m_{0}}$ defined in (1.2), i.e., there exist $A>0$ such that $|(f, \varphi)| \leq A\|\varphi\|_{m_{0}}$ for all $\varphi \in S(\mathbb{R})$ (see [16, p. 74]). We call the smallest $m_{0}$ that satisfies the above inequality the $S$-order of $f \in S^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$. Let us write $\varrho_{S}(f)$ for this order. Note that $\varrho_{S}(f)$ is different from the usual order $\varrho_{D}(f)$ of $f$ as distribution in $D^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$. Let us define the generalized Cauchy kernel $\widetilde{k}_{m}(t)$ to be $(t-z)^{-(m+1)}$. Now, if $f \in S^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ and $m$ is a nonnegative integer such that $m \geq \varrho_{S}(f)$, then $\left(f_{t}, \widetilde{k}_{m}(t)\right)$ is well defined. We derived in [9] necessary and sufficient conditions for $f \in S^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ to be a positive definite distribution in terms of this transform. Let us recall that a function $\theta:(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbb{R},-\infty \leq a<b \leq \infty$, is said to be completely monotonic if it is infinitely differentiable and $(-1)^{n} \theta^{(n)}(y) \geq 0$ for each $y \in(a, b)$ and all $n=0,1,2, \ldots$ Further, $\theta(y)$ is said to be absolutely monotonic on $(a, b)$ if a $\theta(-y)$ is completely monotonic on $(-b,-a)$.
Theorem 1.1. ([9], Theorem 1.3) Let $f \in S^{\prime}(\mathbb{R})$ and let $n$ be an integer such that $2 n \geq \varrho_{f}$. Suppose that $a_{1}, a_{2} \in \mathbb{R}, a_{1} \neq a_{2}$. Let

$$
\widetilde{K}(f, j)(z)=(-1)^{n} \frac{i}{\pi}\left(e^{i a_{j} t} f_{t},(z-t)^{-(2 n+1)}\right),
$$

$z \in \mathbb{C} \backslash \mathbb{R}, j=1,2$. Then $f$ is positive definite if and only if:
(i) $y \rightarrow \widetilde{K}(f, j)(i y), j=1,2$ are completely monotonic functions for $y \in$ $(0, \infty)$;
(ii) $y \rightarrow-\widetilde{K}(f, j(i y), j=1,2$ are absolutely monotonic functions for $y \in$ $(-\infty, 0)$.

It is quite possible that similar results are also valid for $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Of course, for $n>1$, it is natural to use the Cauchy kernel $K_{\Gamma}$ with respect to a cone $\Gamma$ in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ (see its definition (1.6)). Then the Cauchy transform $K_{\Gamma}(f)$ of $f$ is defined as the convolution of $K_{\Gamma}$ with $f$. Note that the case of several variables is much more difficult than the one-dimensional case. At first, we do not fully understand how to define the generalized Cauchy kernel $\widetilde{K_{\Gamma}}$ to get well defined transform $f * \widetilde{K_{\Gamma}}$ on $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Second, the process of taking boundary values as in (1.4) are investigated only for some proper subclasses of $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see, e.g., [3]). Finally, we note that
the main purpose of this paper is to provide a criterion for a distribution to be positive definite. Therefore, to simplify the technical details, we obtain here a criterion only for compactly supported distributions.

A set $\Gamma \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be a cone if $x \in \Gamma$ implies $\alpha x \in \Gamma$ for all $\alpha>0$. The dual cone of $\Gamma$ is defined by

$$
\Gamma^{*}=\left\{t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}:(x, t) \geq 0 \text { for all } x \in \Gamma\right\} .
$$

The cone $\Gamma^{*}$ is always closed convex, and $\left(\Gamma^{*}\right)^{*}=\overline{\operatorname{ch} \Gamma}$, where ch $\Gamma$ denotes the convex hull of $\Gamma$. Next, $\Gamma$ is called salient (acute) if $\overline{\operatorname{ch} \Gamma}$ does not contain any straight line in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This is equivalent to $\operatorname{int}\left(\Gamma^{*}\right) \neq \emptyset$. A cone $\Gamma$ is said to be regular if $\Gamma$ is an open convex salient cone.

Let $\left\{\Lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ be a family of regular cones. We say that $\left\{\Lambda_{j}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ covers exactly $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ if

$$
\overline{\cup_{k=1}^{m} \Lambda_{j}}=\mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

and the Lebesgue measure of $\overline{\Lambda_{i}} \cap \overline{\Lambda_{j}}$ is equal to zero whenever $i \neq j$. Any $\omega=\left(\omega_{1}, \ldots, \omega_{n}\right)$ whose entries $\omega_{k}$ are -1 or 1 defines the cone $Q_{\omega}=\left\{x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}\right.$ : $x_{k} \omega_{k}>0$ for $\left.k=1, \ldots, n\right\}$. The cone $Q_{\omega}$ is called a quadrant. The collection of all $2^{n}$ regular cones $\left\{Q_{\omega}\right\}_{\omega}$ covers exactly $\mathbb{R}^{n}$.

Let $\Gamma$ be an open cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $T_{\Gamma}=\mathbb{R}^{n}+i \Gamma=\left\{z=x+i y: x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}, y \in \Gamma\right\}$ is called a tube domain in $\mathbb{C}^{n}$. If $\Gamma$ is regular, then the Cauchy kernel of $\Gamma$ (or with respect to $\Gamma$ ) is defined as

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Gamma}(z)=\int_{\Gamma^{*}} e^{i(z, t)} d t, \quad z \in T_{\Gamma} . \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The kernel $K_{\Gamma}$ is an analytic function on $T_{\Gamma}[16$, p. 143]. If $f$ is a distribution on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Gamma}(f)(z)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}}\left(f(\cdot), K_{\Gamma}(z-\cdot)\right)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}}\left(f_{t}, K_{\Gamma}(z-t)\right) \tag{1.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

is called the Cauchy transform of $f$. For example, in $\mathbb{R}$ there are only two regular cones $(-\infty, 0)$ and $(0, \infty)$. If $\Gamma=(0, \infty)$, then we see that (1.7) coincides with (1.5).

Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a regular cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The directional derivation of a function $\theta: \Gamma \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ along $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right) \in \Gamma$ is defined as usual: $D_{a} \theta(y)=\left(a_{1} D_{y 1}+\right.$ $\left.\cdots+a_{n} D_{y_{n}}\right) \theta(y)$. Then $\theta$ is called completely monotonic if

$$
(-1)^{k} D_{\gamma_{1}} D_{\gamma_{2}} \ldots D_{\gamma_{k}} \theta(y) \geq 0, \quad k=0,1, \ldots
$$

for all $y \in \Gamma$ and all $\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k} \in \Gamma$ (see [6, p. 172]).
Now we are able to describe positive definite distributions in $\mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Theorem 1.2. Assume that $\left\{\Gamma_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ is a set of regular cones such that $\left\{\Gamma_{k}^{*}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ covers exactly $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. A distribution $f \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is positive definite if and only if $y \rightarrow$ $K_{\Gamma_{k}}(f)(i y), y \in \Gamma_{k}$, is a completely monotonic function for each $k=1,2, \ldots, m$.

## 2. Preliminaries and proofs

We define the inverse Fourier transform of a bounded measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ as

$$
\check{\mu}(\xi)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} e^{-i(\xi, t)} d \mu(t)
$$

In the case if $\mu$ has a density $\varphi \in L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the inverse transform of $\varphi$ is defined similarly. In addition, the following inversion formula $\widehat{(\widehat{\varphi})}=\varphi$ holds for suitable functions $\varphi$.

Suppose that $\Lambda$ is a convex salient cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and let $S^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ be the set of all $F \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ supported on $\Lambda$. For any fixed $y \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the Laplace transform of $F \in S^{\prime}(\Lambda)$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{y}(F)(x)=\mathcal{F}\left[F(\cdot) e^{-(y, \cdot)}\right](x)=\mathcal{F}_{\xi}\left[F(\xi) e^{-(y, \xi)}\right](x), \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\mathcal{F}: S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right) \rightarrow S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is the Fourier transform defined in (1.3). If $y \in \operatorname{int} \Lambda^{*}$, then $F(\cdot) e^{-(y, \cdot)}$ belongs to $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (see, e.g., [16, p. 127]). Hence, $L_{y}(F)(x)$ is well defined for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Further, $L_{y}(F)(x)$ is analytic on $T_{\text {int } \Lambda^{*}}$ as a function of $z=x+i y$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{|u|}}{\partial z_{1}^{u_{1}} \ldots \partial z_{n}^{u_{n}}} L_{y}(F)(x)=i^{|u|} \mathcal{F}_{\xi}\left[\left(\xi_{1}^{u_{1}} \cdots \xi_{n}^{u_{n}}\right) F(\xi) e^{-(y, \xi)}\right](x) \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each multi-index $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}\right)$ [16, p. 128].
Let $\chi_{A}$ denote the indicator function of $A \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$. If we compare (1.6) and (2.1), then we have that

$$
\begin{gathered}
K_{\Gamma}(z)=\int_{\Gamma^{*}} e^{i(z, \xi)} d \xi=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \chi_{\Gamma^{*}}(\xi) e^{i(x, \xi)} e^{-(y, \xi)} d \xi=\mathcal{F}_{\xi}\left[\chi_{\Gamma^{*}}(\xi) e^{-(y, \xi)}\right](x) \\
=L_{y}\left(\chi_{\Gamma^{*}}\right)(x)
\end{gathered}
$$

for all $z=x+i y \in T_{\Gamma}$. This together with (1.7) and (2.2) implies the following lemma, where we collect certain facts about the Cauchy transform, which we need in this section. For a proof of this lemma we refer to [16, p.p. 144-145].

Lemma 2.1. Let $\Gamma$ be a regular cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. The Cauchy kernel $K_{\Gamma}(z)$ is an analytic function for $z \in T_{\Gamma}=\mathbb{R}^{n}+i \Gamma$. If $f \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then the Cauchy transform (1.7) is well defined on $T_{\Gamma}$. Moreover, $K_{\Gamma}(f)$ is analytic on $T_{\Gamma}$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial^{|u|}}{\partial z_{1}^{u_{1}} \ldots \partial z_{n}^{u_{n}}} K_{\Gamma}(f)(z)=\frac{1}{(2 \pi)^{n}}\left(f_{t}, \frac{\partial^{|u|}}{\partial z_{1}^{u_{1}} \ldots \partial z_{n}^{u_{n}}} K_{\Gamma}(z-t)\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all multi-index $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$.
Recall that a complex-valued function $u$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ is said to be positive definite if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{j, k=1}^{n} u\left(x_{j}-x_{k}\right) c_{j} \bar{c}_{k} \geq 0 \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any finite sets $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n} \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$ and for any $c_{1}, \ldots, c_{n} \in \mathbb{C}$. The Bochner theorem (see, e.g., [2, p. 58], [5, p. 293] and [12, p.p. 41-47]) states that a continuous function $u: \mathbb{R}^{n} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ is positive definite if and only if it is the Fourier
transform of a positive finite measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note that if $u$ is continuous on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then the definition (2.4) is equivalent to

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u(x)(\varphi * \widetilde{\varphi})(x) d x \geq 0 \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varphi$ ranges over $L^{1}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ (or over all continuous functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with compact support). As usual, we identify a locally integrable function $u$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ with a regular distribution by the formula

$$
\begin{equation*}
(u, \varphi)=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} u(x) \varphi(x) d x \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

for suitable test functions. Of course, for regular distributions, both definitions (1.1) and (2.5) coincide. Note that any locally bounded measure $\mu$ on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ also defines in a similar way as in (2.6) an integrable distribution.

We need a few simple facts about positive definite functions. The next lemmas are not new, but their proofs are added here for completeness.

Lemma 2.2. A distribution $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is positive definite if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
(f, \omega) \geq 0 \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all positive definite $\omega \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Proof. Assume that both $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\omega \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ are positive definite. Using the Bochner theorem in $S\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $\omega$, and the Bochner-Schwartz theorem in $S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ for $f$, we have that $\check{\omega}$ is a nonnegative function in $S\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\mathcal{F}[f]$ is a nonnegative tempered measure on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Then $(\mathcal{F}[f], \check{\omega})$ may be derived as the usual integral

$$
(\mathcal{F}[f], \check{\omega})=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} \check{\omega}(x) d(\mathcal{F}[f](x)) .
$$

Moreover, $(\mathcal{F}[f], \check{\omega}) \geq 0$. Therefore, (1.3) implies that $(f, \omega)=(\mathcal{F}[f], \check{\omega}) \geq 0$.
Let $f \in S^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and let $\varphi \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. The Fourier transform of $\varphi * \widetilde{\varphi}$ is equal to $\hat{\varphi} \overline{\hat{\varphi}}=|\widehat{\varphi}|^{2} \geq 0$. Hence, $\varphi * \widetilde{\varphi}$ is positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. If $f$ satisfies (2.7) for all positive definite $\omega \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then we can take $\omega=\varphi * \widetilde{\varphi}$. Thus, (1.1) holds.

Lemma 2.3. Suppose that $\varphi \in \mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ is positive definite. Then there exists a sequence $\left(\psi_{k}\right)$ of positive definite $\psi_{k} \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), k=1,2, \ldots$, such that $\lim _{k \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{k}=\varphi$ in the topology of $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.

Proof. Take any $\sigma_{1} \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ such that $\left\|\sigma_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}=1$. Set $\sigma=\sigma_{1} * \widetilde{\sigma_{1}}$. Then $\sigma$ is positive definite. Hence,

$$
\begin{equation*}
|\sigma(x)| \leq \sigma(0)=\left\|\sigma_{1}\right\|_{L^{2}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)}=1, \quad x \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define the function $\psi_{k}(x)$ to be $\sigma(x / k) \varphi$ for $k=1,2, \ldots$. Of course, $\psi_{k}(x) \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and $\psi_{k}(x)$ is positive definite as a product of two positive definite functions. We recall that a sequence $\left\{\theta_{j}\right\}_{j} \in \mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ converges in $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ to $\theta \in$ $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ if and only if for every multi-index $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the sequence $\left\{D_{x}^{u} \theta_{j}\right\}_{j}$ converges uniformly to $D_{x}^{u} \theta$ on every compact subset of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. By (2.8), it is easy to see that for any fixed multi-index $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, the sequence $D_{x}^{u}[\sigma(x / k)-1], k=1,2, \ldots$,
converges to the zero function as $k \rightarrow \infty$ uniformly on compact subsets of $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Finally, since

$$
\varphi(x)-\psi_{k}(x)=\varphi(x)[\sigma(x / k)-1]
$$

we finish the proof.
We are now in a position to prove the necessity of Theorem 1.2.
Proof of Theorem 1.2 (Necessity).
Suppose that $\Gamma$ is a regular cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$ and let $y \in \Gamma$. If $t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$, then using (2.1) and (2.2), we see that
$D_{y}^{u} K_{\Gamma}(i y-t)=\frac{\partial^{|u|}}{\partial y_{1}^{u_{1}} \ldots \partial y_{n}^{u_{n}}} K_{\Gamma}(i y-t)=(-1)^{|u|} \int_{\Gamma^{*}}\left(\xi_{1}^{u_{1}} \cdots \xi_{n}^{u_{n}}\right) e^{-(y, \xi)} e^{-i(t, \xi)} d \xi$
for each multi-index $u \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. In particular, if $\gamma=\left(\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{n}\right) \in \Gamma$, then for the directional derivative $D_{\gamma}$ of $K_{\Gamma}$, we have

$$
\begin{array}{r}
D_{\gamma} K_{\Gamma}(i y-t)=\left(\gamma, D_{y}\right) K_{\Gamma}(i y-t)=\sum_{s=1}^{n} \gamma_{s} \frac{\partial}{\partial y_{s}} K_{\Gamma}(i y-t) \\
=-\int_{\Gamma^{*}}\left(\sum_{s=1}^{n} \gamma_{s} \xi_{s}\right) e^{-(y, \xi)} e^{-i(t, \xi)} d \xi=-\int_{\Gamma^{*}}(\gamma, \xi) e^{-(y, \xi)} e^{-i(t, \xi)} d \xi \tag{2.9}
\end{array}
$$

Iterating (2.9), we obtain, for an arbitrary set $\gamma^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(k)} \in \Gamma$, that

$$
\begin{align*}
& D_{\gamma^{(1)}} D_{\gamma^{(2)}} \ldots D_{\gamma^{(k)}} K_{\Gamma}(i y-t)=(-1)^{k} \int_{\Gamma^{*}} \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\gamma^{(j)}, \xi\right) e^{-(y, \xi)} e^{-i(t, \xi)} d \xi \\
&=(-1)^{k} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}}\left(\prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\gamma^{(j)}, \xi\right) e^{-(y, \xi)} \chi_{\Gamma^{*}}(\xi)\right) e^{-i(t, \xi)} d \xi \tag{2.10}
\end{align*}
$$

For fixed $y \in \Gamma$ and for $\theta \in \Gamma$, we define the function $E_{\theta}$ by

$$
E_{\theta}(\xi)=(\theta, \xi) e^{-(y, \xi)} \chi_{\Gamma^{*}}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n}
$$

Since $\Gamma$ is an open cone, it is easy to see that there exists $\delta=\delta(y)>0$ such that

$$
(y, \xi) \geq \delta|\xi|_{2} \quad \text { for } \quad \text { all } \quad \xi \in \Gamma^{*}
$$

(see, e.g., [14, p. 104]). Hence, $E_{\theta}$ is a nonnegative bounded and integrable function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Note that the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
\xi \rightarrow \prod_{j=1}^{k}\left(\gamma^{(j)}, \xi\right) e^{-(y, \xi)} \chi_{\Gamma^{*}}(\xi), \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^{n} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

which we used in (2.10), is equal to

$$
\prod_{j=1}^{k} E_{\gamma^{(j)}}(\xi)
$$

Hence, the function (2.11) is also nonnegative bounded and integrable on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Thus, applying the Bochner theorem to the right side of (2.10), we see that, for
any fixed $y \in \Gamma$ and for any choice of $\gamma^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(k)} \in \Gamma$, the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
(-1)^{k} D_{\gamma^{(1)}} D_{\gamma^{(2)}} \ldots D_{\gamma^{(k)}} K_{\Gamma}(i y-t) \tag{2.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

is continuous positive definite as a function of $t \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. Moreover, by Lemma 2.1, the function $K_{\Gamma}(z)$ and its derivative (2.12) are analytic on $T_{\Gamma}$. Hence, (2.12) belongs to $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ as a function of $t$.

Suppose now that $f \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and that $f$ is positive definite. Using Lemma 2.3, we see that, for any fixed choice of $y \in \Gamma, \gamma^{(1)}, \ldots, \gamma^{(k)} \in \Gamma$, there exists a sequence $\left(\psi_{m}\right)$ of positive definite functions $\psi_{m} \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right), m=1,2, \ldots$, such that

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} \psi_{m}(t)=(-1)^{k} D_{\gamma^{(1)}} D_{\gamma^{(2)}} \ldots D_{\gamma^{(k)}} K_{\Gamma}(i y-t)
$$

in the topology of $\mathcal{E}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Then by Lemma 2.2 , we get

$$
\left.(-1)^{k}\left(f_{t}, D_{\gamma_{1}} D_{\gamma_{2}} \ldots D_{\gamma_{k}} K_{\Gamma}(i y-t)\right)\right)=\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty}\left(f_{t}, \psi_{m}(t)\right) \geq 0
$$

Combining this with (1.7) and (2.3), we see that

$$
(-1)^{k} D_{\gamma^{(1)}} D_{\gamma^{(2)}} \ldots D_{\gamma^{(k)}} K_{\Gamma}(f)(i y) \geq 0
$$

This shows that $y \rightarrow K_{\Gamma}(f)(i y)$ is a completely monotonic function on $\Gamma$. Necessity of Theorem 1.2 is proved.

We will use the following lemma (see, e.g., [3, p. 211]), which gives an analytic Cauchy representation for any distribution with compact support.
Lemma 2.4. Suppose that $\left\{\Gamma_{k}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ is a family of regular cones such that $\left\{\Gamma_{k}^{*}\right\}_{1}^{m}$ covers exactly $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $y^{(k)} \in \Gamma_{k}, k=1, \ldots, m$. If $f \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then

$$
\lim _{\max \left\|y^{(k)}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0} \sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K_{\Gamma_{k}}(f)\left(x+i y^{(k)}\right) \omega(x) d x=(f, \omega)
$$

for all $\omega \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. (Sufficiency). Let $\Lambda$ be a regular cone in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Let $g \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$ and suppose that the function $y \rightarrow K_{\Lambda}(g)(i y)$ is completely monotonic on $\Lambda$. We claim that for any fixed $y \in \Gamma$, the function

$$
x \rightarrow K_{\Lambda}(g)(x+i y)
$$

is continuous and positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Since $\Lambda$ is convex, it follows that $\Lambda$ is an additive semigroup. Fix a point $\delta \in \Lambda$. Because $\Lambda$ is open, it is easy to see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\delta+\bar{\Lambda} \subset \Lambda \tag{2.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Define the function

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(y)=K_{\Lambda}(g)(i(\delta+y)) \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.13), this function is well defined for all $y \in \bar{\Lambda}$. Of course, it is completely monotonic on $\Lambda$. Moreover, using (2.13), we see that $G$ is continuous on $\bar{\Lambda}$. Then (see $[6, \mathrm{p} .172]$ ) there exists a nonnegative measure $\mu_{\delta, \Lambda}$ on $\Lambda^{*}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
G(y)=\int_{\Lambda^{*}} e^{-(y, \zeta)} d \mu_{\delta, \Lambda}(\zeta) \tag{2.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $y \in \bar{\Lambda}$. Since $0 \in \bar{\Lambda}$ and $G$ is continuous on $\bar{\Lambda}$, then

$$
G(0)=\int_{\Lambda^{*}} d \mu_{\delta, \Lambda}(\zeta)
$$

Hence, $\mu_{\gamma, \Lambda}$ is a finite measure. Therefore, the function $G$ can be extended analytically on $T_{\Lambda}$ as the Laplace transform of $\mu_{\gamma, \Lambda}$, i.e., for $z=x+i y \in T_{\Gamma}$, we can set

$$
G(z)=\int_{\Lambda^{*}} e^{i(z, \zeta)} d \mu_{\gamma, \Lambda}(\zeta)
$$

Note that this integral converges absolutely.
By (2.14), the function $G(z)$ coincides with $K_{\Lambda}(g)(i \delta+z)$ for $z=i y, y \in \bar{\Lambda}$. We will show that this is true also for all $z \in T_{\Lambda}$. To this end, we use the following identity theorem (see e.g., [10, p.16-17]): if $H$ is an analytic function on an open connected domain $D$ in $\mathbb{C}^{n}, a \in D$, and $H(a+x)=0$ for all $x$ in a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then $H \equiv 0$ on $D$. Of course, a similar statement is valid also in the case if we replace a real neighborhood of $a$ by any imaginary neighborhood, i.e., if we have $H(a+i y)=0$ for all $y$ in a neighborhood of 0 in $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, then also $H \equiv 0$ on $D$. Now fix any $z_{0}=i y_{0} \in i \Lambda \subset T_{\Lambda}$. Then using (2.13)and (2.14), we see that $G(z)$ and $K_{\Lambda}(g)(i \gamma+z)$ coincide for all $z$ in an imaginary neighborhood $I_{z_{0}}=\left\{z=x+i y \in \mathbb{C}^{n}:\left|y-y_{0}\right|<r, x=x_{0}\right\}$ of $z_{0}$ such that $I_{z_{0}} \subset T_{\Lambda}$. Hence, $G(z)=K_{\Lambda}(g)(i \delta+z)$ for all $z \in T_{\Lambda}$. Moreover, by (2.14) and (2.15), we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
K_{\Lambda}(g)(i \delta+z)=G(z)=\int_{\Lambda^{*}} e^{i(z, \zeta)} d \mu_{\gamma, \Lambda}(\zeta)=\int_{\Lambda^{*}} e^{i(x, \zeta)} e^{-(y, \zeta)} d \mu_{\gamma, \Lambda}(\zeta) \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $z=x+i y \in T_{\Lambda}$. Using (2.16) and having the Bochner theorem for continuous positive definite functions on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, we obtain that for any fixed $y \in \Gamma$, the functions

$$
x \rightarrow G(x+i y) \quad \text { and } \quad x \rightarrow K_{\Lambda}(g)(x+i(\delta+y))
$$

are continuous and positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Thus, since $\Lambda$ is open and $\delta$ is an arbitrary point of $\Lambda$, we obtain that the function $x \rightarrow K_{\Lambda}(g)(x+i y)$ also is continuous and positive definite on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. This proves our claim.

Let $\left\{\Gamma_{k}\right\}_{k=1}^{m}$ be as in the Theorem 1.2 and suppose that for $f \in \mathcal{E}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, the functions $y \rightarrow K_{\Gamma_{k}}(f)(i y), y \in \Gamma_{k}, k=1,2, \ldots, m$ are completely monotonic. Fix $y^{(1)} \in \Gamma_{1}, \ldots, y^{(m)} \in \Gamma_{m}$, and define

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=\sum_{k=1}^{m} K_{\Gamma_{k}}(f)\left(x+i y^{(k)}\right) \tag{2.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $x \in \mathbb{R}^{n}$. We just proved that each $x \rightarrow K_{\Gamma_{k}}(f)\left(x+i y^{(k)}\right), k=1, \ldots, m$, is a continuous and positive definite function on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$. Hence, the same is still true for (2.17). If $\omega \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$, then $F \cdot \omega$ is integrable on $\mathbb{R}^{n}$, and by Lemma 2.2, we have that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{m} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} K_{\Gamma_{k}}(f)\left(x+i y^{(k)}\right) \omega(x) d x=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{n}} F(x) \omega(x) d x \geq 0 \tag{2.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting now max $\left\|y^{(k)}\right\|_{2} \rightarrow 0$. Then (2.18) this, together with Lemma 2.4, proves that $(f, \omega) \geq 0$ for all $\omega \in D\left(\mathbb{R}^{n}\right)$. Thus, $f$ is a positive definite distribution.
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