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#### Abstract

In this paper, some existence theorems are obtained for periodic solutions of a damped vibration problem with $(q, p)$-Laplacian by using variational methods. Our results extend some results in some known literatures.


## 1. Introduction and Main results

In this paper, we consider the following dynamical system

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)+g(t)\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)=\nabla_{u_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{1.1}\\
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}(t)\right)+g(t)\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}(t)=\nabla_{u_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T] \\
u_{1}(0)-u_{1}(T)=\dot{u}_{1}(0)-\dot{u}_{1}(T)=0, \\
u_{2}(0)-u_{2}(T)=\dot{u}_{2}(0)-\dot{u}_{2}(T)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
u(t)=\left(u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)=\left(u_{1}^{1}(t), u_{1}^{2}(t), \cdots, u_{1}^{N}(t), u_{2}^{1}(t), u_{2}^{2}(t), \cdots, u_{2}^{N}(t)\right)^{\tau}
$$

$1<p<\infty, 1<q<\infty, T>0, g \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; \mathbb{R}), G(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s, G(T)=0$ and $F:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following assumption:

[^0](A) $F(t, x)$ is measurable in $t$ for every $x=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and continuously differentiable in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, and there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $b \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that
$$
\left|F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|, \quad\left|\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|, \quad\left|\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left[a_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)+a_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right)\right] b(t)
$$
for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Moreover, we also consider the following $p$-Laplacian system
\[

\left\{$$
\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right)+g(t)|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)=\nabla F(t, u(t)), \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{1.2}\\
u(0)-u(T)=\dot{u}(0)-\dot{u}(T)=0,
\end{array}
$$\right.
\]

where $u \in \mathbb{R}^{N}, 1<p<\infty, T>0, g \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; \mathbb{R}), G(t)=\int_{0}^{t} g(s) d s, G(T)=0$ and $F:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfies the following assumption:
$(A)^{\prime} F(t, x)$ is measurable in $t$ for every $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and continuously differentiable in $x$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, and there exist $a \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $b \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
|F(t, x)|, \quad|\nabla F(t, x)| \leq a(|x|) b(t)
$$

for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
When $p=q=2$ and $F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F_{1}\left(t, x_{1}\right)$, it has been proved that problem (1.1) has at least one solution by the least action principle and the minimax methods (see [1-8]). Many solvability conditions are given, such as the coercive condition (see [1]), the periodicity condition (see [8]); the convexity condition (see [2]); the subadditive condition (see [7]). For system (1.2), there are also some results (for example, [9-12]). For system (1.1), recently, in [13], by using the least action principle and the saddle point theorem, Pasca and Tang considered system (1.1) with $g(t) \equiv 0$ under the following assumptions: there exist $f_{j}, g_{j} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), j=1,2$ and $\alpha_{1} \in[0, q-1), \alpha_{2} \in[0, p-1)$ such that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left|\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{1}(t)\left|x_{1}\right|^{\alpha_{1}}+g_{1}(t)  \tag{1.3}\\
& \left|\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{2}(t)\left|x_{2}\right|^{\alpha_{2}}+g_{2}(t) \tag{1.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. By using saddle point theorem and the least action principle, they obtained system (1.1) with $g(t) \equiv 0$ has at least one solution.

In [14], Wu and Chen considered the following damped vibration problem

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\ddot{u}(t)+g(t) \dot{u}(t)=\nabla_{u} F(t, u(t)), \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]  \tag{1.5}\\
u(0)-u(T)=\dot{u}(0)-\dot{u}(T)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

By using the least action principle, Theorem 2 in [15] and the saddle point theorem, the authors obtained some existence results of solutions for system (1.5). Moreover, recently, in [18]-[23], the authors also considered the existence and multiplicity of solutions for damped vibration problem and $p$-Laplacian system. In this paper, we will establish some similar results for system (1.1) and system (1.2). Now we state our main results.

Theorem 1.1. Assume the following condition holds:
( $F_{1}$ )

$$
\underset{\sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty}{\liminf } \frac{F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{\left|x_{1}\right|^{q}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{p}}>0 \quad \text { uniformly for a.e. } t \in[0, T] \text {. }
$$

Then system (1.1) has at least one solution in $W_{T}^{1, q} \times W_{T}^{1, p}$. Let $q^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}$ be such that $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Furthermore, if the following condition also holds:
$\left(F_{2}\right)$ there exist $\delta>0, a \in\left[0, \frac{G_{0}}{q G_{1}}\left(\frac{q^{\prime}+1}{T}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}\right]$ and $b \in\left[0, \frac{G_{0}}{p G_{1}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+1}{T}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\right]$ such that

$$
-a\left|x_{1}\right|^{q}-b\left|x_{2}\right|^{p} \leq F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \leq 0, \forall\left|x_{1}\right| \leq \delta, \quad\left|x_{2}\right| \leq \delta,
$$

where $G_{0}=\min _{t \in[0, T]} e^{G(t)}$ and $G_{1}=\max _{t \in[0, T]} e^{G(t)}$, then system (1.1) has at least two nonzero solutions in $W_{T}^{1, q} \times W_{T}^{1, p}$, where
$W_{T}^{1, p}=\left\{u: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{N} \mid u\right.$ is absolutely continuous, $u(0)=u(T)$ and $\left.\dot{u} \in L^{p}([0, T])\right\}$. with the norm defined by

$$
\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}=\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|u(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}
$$

Remark 1.1. Note that $G(t)$ is continuous on $[0, T]$ and so is $e^{G(t)}$. Hence, $e^{G(t)}$ has the maximal and minimal value on $[0, T]$.
Theorem 1.2. Assume the following condition holds:
( $F_{3}$ )

$$
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow+\infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p}}>0 \text { uniformly for a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Then system (1.2) has at least one solution in $W_{T}^{1, p}$. Furthermore, if the following condition also holds:
$\left(F_{4}\right)$ there exist $\delta>0$ and $a \in\left[0, \frac{G_{0}}{p G_{1}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+1}{T}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\right]$ such that

$$
-a|x|^{p} \leq F(t, x) \leq 0, \quad \forall|x| \leq \delta
$$

then system (1.2) has at least two nonzero solutions in $W_{T}^{1, p}$.
Theorem 1.3. If the following conditions hold:
( $F_{5}$ )

$$
\liminf _{|x| \rightarrow \infty} \frac{F(t, x)}{|x|^{p-1}}>-\infty \text { uniformly for a.e. } t \in[0, T]
$$

( $F_{6}$ ) whenever $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{T}^{1, p}$ is such that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]} \rightarrow \infty$ and $\frac{\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[w_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{1 / p}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} d t\right)^{1 / p} \rightarrow 1$, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right), \frac{\bar{u}_{n}}{\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|}\right) d t<0
$$

then system (1.2) has at least one solution in $W_{T}^{1, p}$.

Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.1 generalizes Theorem 3.1 in [14]. In fact, it follows from Theorem 1.1 by letting $p=q=2$ and $F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F_{1}\left(t, x_{1}\right)$. Theorem 1.2 and Theorem 1.3 generalize Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 3.3 in [14] by letting $p=2$. Moreover, we also obtain multiplicity results by adding some conditions like $\left(F_{2}\right)$ and $\left(F_{4}\right)$. Moreover, in [22], the authors investigated system (1.2) with $g(t) \equiv 0$ and they obtained some existence and multiplicity results of solutions. Our Theorem 1.3 generalizes Theorem 1 in [22].

## 2. Variational structure and some Preliminaries

The norm in $W_{T}^{1, p}$ is defined by

$$
\|u\|_{W_{T}^{1, p}}=\left[\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right]^{1 / p}
$$

Set

$$
\|u\|_{p}=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p} \text { and }\|u\|_{\infty}=\max _{t \in[0, T]}|u(t)|
$$

Lemma 2.1. (see [11] or [12]) Each $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$ and each $v \in W_{T}^{1, q}$ can be written as $u(t)=\bar{u}+\tilde{u}(t)$ and $v(t)=\bar{v}+\tilde{v}(t)$ with

$$
\bar{u}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u(t) d t, \int_{0}^{T} \tilde{u}(t) d t=0, \bar{v}=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} v(t) d t, \int_{0}^{T} \tilde{v}(t) d t=0 .
$$

Let $q^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}$ be such that $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \leq\left(\frac{T}{p^{\prime}+1}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(s)|^{p} d s\right)^{1 / p}, \\
\|\tilde{v}\|_{\infty} \leq\left(\frac{T}{q^{\prime}+1}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{v}(s)|^{q} d s\right)^{1 / q}, \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}|\tilde{u}(s)|^{p} d s \leq \frac{T^{p} \Theta\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)}{\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)^{p / p^{\prime}}} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(s)|^{p} d s \\
& \int_{0}^{T}|\tilde{v}(s)|^{q} d s \leq \frac{T^{q} \Theta\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)}{\left(q^{\prime}+1\right)^{q / q^{\prime}}} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{v}(s)|^{q} d s \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Theta\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[s^{p^{\prime}+1}+(1-s)^{p^{\prime}+1}\right]^{p / p^{\prime}} d s & \\
& \Theta\left(q, q^{\prime}\right)=\int_{0}^{1}\left[s^{q^{\prime}+1}+(1-s)^{q^{\prime}+1}\right]^{q / q^{\prime}} d s
\end{aligned}
$$

Obviously, $W_{T}^{1, p}$ is a reflexive Banach space and the norm $\|\cdot\|_{W_{T}^{1, p}}$ is equivalent to the norm defined by

$$
\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}=\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|u(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p}
$$

because of $g \in L^{\infty}(0, T ; \mathbb{R})$.
Moreover, in order to consider system (1.1), we need to use the space $W$ defined by

$$
W=W_{T}^{1, q} \times W_{T}^{1, p}
$$

with the norm $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{[W]}=\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, q}\right]}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}$. It is clear that $W$ is a reflexive Banach space. Let $\varphi_{(q, p)}: W \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi_{(q, p)}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t+ \\
& \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t \tag{2.3}
\end{align*}
$$

Lemma 2.2. The functional $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ is continuously differentiable and weakly lower semicontinuous on $W$.
Proof. Let

$$
L\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}, y_{1}, y_{2}\right)=e^{G(t)}\left[\frac{1}{q}\left|y_{1}\right|^{q}+\frac{1}{p}\left|y_{2}\right|^{p}+F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right] .
$$

Then it follows from Lemma 4 in [13] that $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ is continuously differentiable on $W$ and

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\langle\varphi_{(q, p)}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(D_{x_{1}} L\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \dot{u}_{1}(t), \dot{u}_{2}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right)+\right. \\
\begin{array}{r}
\left(D_{y_{1}} L\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \dot{u}_{1}(t), \dot{u}_{2}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right)+\left(D_{x_{2}} L\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \dot{u}_{1}(t), \dot{u}_{2}(t)\right), v_{2}(t)\right)+ \\
\left.\quad\left(D_{y_{2}} L\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t), \dot{u}_{1}(t), \dot{u}_{2}(t)\right), \dot{v}_{2}(t)\right)\right] d t . \\
=\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}(t), \dot{v}_{2}(t)\right) d t
\end{array} \\
+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{2}(t)\right) d t
\end{gathered}
$$

Moreover, by Remark 3 in [13], we know that $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ is weakly lower semi-continuous on $W$.

Lemma 2.3. If $u \in W$ is a solution of Euler equation $\varphi_{(q, p)}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0$, then $u=$ $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is a solution of system (1.1).
Proof. Since $\varphi_{(q, p)}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0=\left\langle\varphi_{(q, p)}^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t+ \\
& \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}(t), \dot{v}_{2}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right) d t+ \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{2}(t)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in W$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t+ \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}(t), \dot{v}_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
&=-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right) d t- \\
& \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{2}(t)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $v=\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right) \in W$. Let $v_{2}=0$. Then

$$
\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t), \dot{v}_{1}(t)\right) d t=-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), v_{1}(t)\right) d t
$$

for all $v_{1} \in W_{T}^{1, q}$. Then by Fundamental Lemma and Remark 1 in [3, p. 6-7], we know that $e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)$ has a weak derivative and

$$
\begin{gather*}
{\left[e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)\right]^{\prime}=e^{G(t)} \nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T]}  \tag{2.4}\\
e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)=\int_{0}^{t} e^{G(s)} \nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(s, u_{1}(s), u_{2}(s)\right) d s+c \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T],  \tag{2.5}\\
\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} \nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t=0 \tag{2.6}
\end{gather*}
$$

where $c$ is a constant. We identify the equivalence class $e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)$ and its continuous represent $\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(s)} \nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(s, u_{1}(s), u_{2}(s)\right) d s+c$. Then by (2.5), (2.6), $G(T)=0$ and the existence of $\dot{u}_{1}$, one has

$$
\dot{u}_{1}(0)-\dot{u}_{1}(T)=u_{1}(0)-u_{1}(T)=0 .
$$

Moreover, by (2.4), we know

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)+g(t)\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)=\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Similarly, if we let $v_{1}=0$, we can obtain that

$$
\dot{u}_{2}(0)-\dot{u}_{2}(T)=u_{2}(0)-u_{2}(T)=0
$$

and

$$
\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}(t)\right)+g(t)\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}(t)=\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T] .
$$

Hence, $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)$ is a solution of system (1.1). We complete the proof.
Let $\varphi_{p}: W_{T}^{1, p} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F(t, u(t)) d t \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2.4. The functional $\varphi_{p}$ is continuously differentiable and weakly lower semicontinuous on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.
Proof. It follows from Theorem 1.4 in [3] that $\varphi_{p}$ is continuously differentiable on $W_{T}^{1, p}$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left\langle\varphi_{p}^{\prime}(u), v\right\rangle=\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{v}(t)\right) d t \\
&+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}(\nabla F(t, u(t)), v(t)) d t, \quad u, v \in W_{T}^{1, p}
\end{aligned}
$$

Moreover, by Remark 3 in [13], we know that $\varphi_{p}$ is weakly lower semi-continuous on $W_{T}^{1, p}$.
Lemma 2.5. If $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$ is a solution of Euler equation $\varphi_{p}^{\prime}(u)=0$, then $u$ is a solution of system (1.2).
Proof. Similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3, the proof is easy to be completed.
We will use the following lemmas to seek the critical points of $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ and $\varphi_{p}$.
Lemma 2.6. (see [3], Theorem 1.1) If $\varphi$ is weakly lower semi-continuous on a reflexive Banach space $X$ and has a bounded minimizing sequence, then $\varphi$ has a minimum on $X$.
Lemma 2.7. (see [16]) Let $\varphi$ be a $C^{1}$ function on $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$ with $\varphi(0)=0$, satisfying (PS) condition and assume that, for some $\rho>0$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi(u) \geq 0, \text { for } u \in X_{1},\|u\| \leq \rho, \\
& \varphi(u) \leq 0, \text { for } u \in X_{2},\|u\| \leq \rho .
\end{aligned}
$$

Assume also that $\varphi$ is bounded below and $\inf _{X} \varphi<0$, then $\varphi$ has at least two nonzero critical points.
Lemma 2.8. (see [17], Theorem 4.6) Let $X=X_{1} \oplus X_{2}$, where $X$ is a real Banach space and $X_{1} \neq\{0\}$ and is finite dimensional. Suppose $\varphi \in C^{1}(X, \mathbb{R})$, satisfies (PS) condition, and
(I1) there is a constant $\alpha$ and a bounded neighborhood $D$ of 0 in $X_{1}$ such that $\left.\varphi\right|_{\partial D} \leq \alpha$ and
(I2) there is a constant $\beta>\alpha$ such that $\left.\varphi\right|_{X_{2}} \geq \beta$.

Then $\varphi$ possesses a critical value $c \geq \beta$. Moreover $c$ can be characterized as

$$
c=\inf _{h \in \Gamma} \max _{u \in \bar{D}} \varphi(h(u)),
$$

where

$$
\Gamma=\{h \in C(\bar{D}, X) \mid h=\text { id on } \partial D\}
$$

## 3. Proofs of Theorems

Proof of Theorem 1.1.
By $\left(F_{1}\right)$, there is $0<\varepsilon<\min \left\{1, \liminf \sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty \quad \frac{F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)}{\left|x_{1}\right|^{q}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{p}}\right\}$ and $M>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)>\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p}\left|x_{1}\right|^{q}+\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p}\left|x_{2}\right|^{p} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ with $\sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}}>M$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Set $a_{M}=\max _{\left|x_{1}\right| \leq M,\left|x_{2}\right| \leq M}\left[a\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)+a_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right)\right]$. Then by (3.1) and assumption (A), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)>\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p}\left|x_{1}\right|^{q}+\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p}\left|x_{2}\right|^{p}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p} M^{q}-\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p} M^{p}-a_{M} b(t) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{(q, p)}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \\
& \quad=\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
& \quad \geq \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t \\
& +\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t-\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p}\left(M^{q}+M^{p}\right) \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} d t-a_{M} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} b(t) d t \\
& \quad \geq \frac{\varepsilon}{q+p}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, q]}\right.}^{q}+\frac{\varepsilon}{q+p}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p}- \\
& \frac{\varepsilon}{q+p}\left(M^{q}+M^{p}\right) \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} d t-a_{M} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} b(t) d t . \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in W$. Obviously, $\varphi_{(q, p)} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{[W]} \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ has a bounded minimizing sequence. Thus, by Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.6, we know that $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ has a minimum on $W$. So system (1.1) has at least one solution in W.

Furthermore, if $\left(F_{2}\right)$ also holds, we will use Lemma 2.7 to obtain more critical points of $\varphi_{(q, p)}$. Let $X=W, X_{2}=\mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $X_{1}=\tilde{W}=\tilde{W}_{T}^{1, q} \times \tilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$ which is the subspace of $W$ given by

$$
\tilde{W}=\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in W \mid\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)=(0,0)\right\} .
$$

By (3.3), we know that $\varphi_{(q, p)} \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{[W]} \rightarrow \infty$. So $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ satisfies (PS) condition and is bounded below. Take $\rho=\frac{\delta}{c_{1}}$, where $c_{1}$ is a positive constant such that $\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{1}\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{T}^{1, q}} \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{[W]}$ and $\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \leq c_{1}\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{T}^{1, p}} \leq c_{1}\|u\|_{[W]}$ for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in W$. It follows from $\left(F_{2}\right)$ and Lemma 2.1 that for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X_{1}$ with $\|u\|_{[W]} \leq \rho$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \varphi_{(q, p)}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \\
& \begin{array}{c}
=\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t \\
\geq \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t- \\
\quad a \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|u_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t-b \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|u_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
\geq \frac{1}{q} G_{0} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} G_{0} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t-a G_{1}\left(\frac{T}{q^{\prime}+1}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t \\
\\
\quad-b G_{1}\left(\frac{T}{p^{\prime}+1}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t .
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $a \leq \frac{G_{0}}{q G_{1}}\left(\frac{q^{\prime}+1}{T}\right)^{1 / q^{\prime}}$ and $b \leq \frac{G_{0}}{p G_{1}}\left(\frac{p^{\prime}+1}{T}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}},(3.4)$ implies that $\varphi_{(q, p)}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \geq$ 0 for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X_{1}$ with $\|u\|_{[W]} \leq \rho$. By $\left(F_{2}\right)$, it is easy to see that $\varphi_{(q, p)}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \leq$ 0 for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X_{2}$ for all $\|u\|_{[W]} \leq \rho$.

If $\inf \left\{\varphi_{(q, p)}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in W\right\}=0$, then from above, we have $\varphi_{(q, p)}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=0$ all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X_{2}$ with $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{W} \leq \rho$. Hence, all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in X_{2}$ with $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{W} \leq \rho$ are minimal points of $\varphi_{(q, p)}$, which implies that $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ has infinitely many critical points. If $\inf \left\{\varphi_{(q, p)}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in W\right\}<0$, then by Lemma 2.7, $\varphi_{(q, p)}$ has at least two nonzero critical points. Hence, system (1.1) has at least two nontrivial solutions in $W$. We complete our proof.
Proof of Theorem 1.2. The proof is as essentially same as Theorem 1.1. So we omit it.
Proof of Theorem 1.3. We will use Lemma 2.8 to seek the critical point of $\varphi_{p}$. It is clear that $W_{T}^{1, p}=\tilde{W}_{T}^{1, p} \oplus \mathbb{R}^{N}$. Let $X_{1}=\mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $X_{2}=\tilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$. First, we prove that $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition. Suppose that $\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset W_{T}^{1, p}$ is a sequence such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0 \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and there exists a constant $c_{2}>0$ such that $\varphi_{p}\left(u_{n}\right) \leq c_{2}, n \in \mathbb{N}$. Then we can claim that $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{T}^{1, p}$. Otherwise, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we assume that $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]} \rightarrow \infty$. Let $v_{n}=\frac{u_{n}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}}$. Since $W_{T}^{1, p}$ is a reflexive Banach space, there is a point $v_{0} \in H_{T}^{1}$ and a subsequence of $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$, still noted by $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$, such that

$$
v_{n} \rightharpoonup v_{0}, \quad \text { in } W_{T}^{1, p}
$$

By Proposition 1.2 in [3], we know that $\left\{v_{n}\right\}$ converges uniformly to $v_{0}$ on $[0, T]$. Hence, there is a $M_{2}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}\left|v_{n}(t)\right| \leq M_{2}, \quad n=1,2, \cdots \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

By $\left(F_{5}\right)$ and assumption $(A)^{\prime}$, we know that there exist $\lambda<0$ and $M_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(t, x) \geq \lambda|x|^{p-1}-a_{M_{3}} b(t) \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a_{M_{3}}=\max _{|x| \leq M_{3}} a(|x|)$. It follows from (3.5), (3.6) and (3.7) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{c_{2}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}} & \geq \frac{\varphi_{p}\left(u_{n}\right)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p}} \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{v}_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p}} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{v}_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\frac{1}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p}} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left[\lambda\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p-1}\right. \\
& =\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{v}_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\frac{\lambda}{\left.\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]} b(t)\right] d t} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|v_{n}(t)\right|^{p-1} d t \\
& \geq \frac{a_{M_{3}} \int_{0}^{T} b(t) d t}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p}-\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|v_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t-\frac{c_{3}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}}-\frac{c_{4}}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p}}}
\end{aligned}
$$

for some constants $c_{3}>0$ and $c_{4}>0$. It implies that $\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|v_{0}(t)\right|^{p} d t \geq 1$. On the other hand, by weak lower semi-continuity of the norm, we have

$$
\left\|v_{0}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]} \leq \liminf \left\|v_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}=1 .
$$

Hence, $\left|\dot{v}_{0}(t)\right|=0$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$, which implies that $\left|v_{0}(t)\right|$ is a constant for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$. Then $\left|v_{0}\right|^{p}=\frac{1}{\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} d t}$. Therefore,

$$
\begin{aligned}
\frac{\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} d t\right)^{1 / p} & =\left|\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} \frac{u_{n}(t)}{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}} d t\right|\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& =\left|\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} v_{n}(t) d t\right|\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} d t\right)^{1 / p} \\
& \rightarrow\left|\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} v_{0} d t\right|\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} d t\right)^{1 / p}=1
\end{aligned}
$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Hence, by $\left(F_{6}\right)$, we have

$$
\liminf _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right), \frac{\bar{u}_{n}}{\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|}\right) d t<0 .
$$

However,

$$
\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right), \frac{\bar{u}_{n}}{\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|}\right) d t=\left\langle\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), \frac{\bar{u}_{n}}{\left|\bar{u}_{n}\right|}\right\rangle \rightarrow 0, \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty,
$$

which is a contradiction. Hence $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ is bounded in $W_{T}^{1, p}$. The following arguments are motivated by [9], [11] and [12]. Since $W_{T}^{1, p}$ is a reflexive Banach space, passing to a subsequence if necessary, we suppose that

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightharpoonup u \text { in } W_{T}^{1, p} \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$ and then

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{n} \rightarrow u \text { strongly in } C\left([0, T] ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right) . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Note that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\langle\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle= & \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{n}(t), \dot{u}_{n}(t)-\dot{u}(t)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right), u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right) d t \tag{3.10}
\end{align*}
$$

Since $\left\{\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}\right\}$ is bounded and $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right) \rightarrow 0$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\left\langle\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle\right| \leq\left\|\varphi_{p}^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)\right\|\left\|u_{n}-u\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

By assumption (A) and (3.9), one has

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\nabla F\left(t, u_{n}(t)\right), u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right) d t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, it follows from (3.10), (3.11) and (3.12) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{n}(t), \dot{u}_{n}(t)-\dot{u}(t)\right) d t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it is easy to derive from (3.9) and the boundedness of $\left\{u_{n}\right\}$ that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} u_{n}(t), u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right) d t \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Set

$$
\psi(u)=\frac{1}{p}\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|u(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right)
$$

Then we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle= & \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} u_{n}(t), u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{n}(t), \dot{u}_{n}(t)-\dot{u}(t)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\langle\psi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle= & \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{u}_{n}(t)-\dot{u}(t)\right) d t .
\end{aligned}
$$

From (3.13) and (3.14), we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, it follows from (3.8) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle\psi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } \quad n \rightarrow \infty . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.15), (3.16) and by using the Hölder's inequality, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\langle\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\psi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \\
= & \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} u_{n}(t), u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right) d t \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{n}(t), \dot{u}_{n}(t)-\dot{u}(t)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u_{n}(t)-u(t)\right) d t \\
& \quad-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{u}_{n}(t)-\dot{u}(t)\right) d t \\
= & \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}+\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} u_{n}(t), u(t)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{n}(t), \dot{u}(t)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t), u_{n}(t)\right) d t-\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t), \dot{u}_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
= & \left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}+\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} u_{n}(t),\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} u(t)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{n}(t),\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \dot{u}(t)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|u(t)|^{p-2} u(t),\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} u_{n}(t)\right) d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t),\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \dot{u}_{n}(t)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \geq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p}+\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p}-\int_{0}^{T}\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p-1}\left|\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} u(t)\right| d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p-1}\left|\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \dot{u}(t)\right| d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|u(t)|^{p-1}\left|\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} u_{n}(t)\right| d t \\
& -\int_{0}^{T}\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-1}\left|\left(e^{G(t)}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \dot{u}_{n}(t)\right| d t \\
& \geq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}+\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}-\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|u(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \\
& -\left[\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|u(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{p-1}{p}}\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\right] \\
& \geq\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}+\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p} \\
& -\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|u(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& -\left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|u_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left|\dot{u}_{n}(t)\right|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& \left(\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|u(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}} \\
& =\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}+\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p}-\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p-1}-\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p-1} \\
& =\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p-1}-\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}^{p-1}\right)\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}-\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}\right) \text {. } \tag{3.17}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p-1}-\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p]}\right.}^{p-1}\right)\left(\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}-\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}\right) \leq\left\langle\psi^{\prime}\left(u_{n}\right)-\psi^{\prime}(u), u_{n}-u\right\rangle \tag{3.18}
\end{equation*}
$$

which, together with (3.15)-(3.18) yields $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]} \rightarrow\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}$. By the uniform convexity of $W_{T}^{1, p}$ and (3.8), it follows from the Kadec-Klee property that $u_{n} \rightarrow u$ strongly in $W_{T}^{1, p}$. Thus we have verified that $\varphi_{p}$ satisfies (PS) condition.

Next, we prove that $\varphi_{p}$ satisfies $\left(I_{1}\right)$ and $\left(I_{2}\right)$. First, we claim that $\varphi_{p}(x) \rightarrow$ $-\infty$, as $|x| \rightarrow \infty$ for all $x \in \mathbb{R}^{N}=X_{1}$. By using $\left(F_{6}\right)$, the proof is the same as Lemma 3.3 in [14]. So we omit it. For $u \in X_{2}=\tilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$, it follows from (3.7), Hölder's inequality and (2.2) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{p}(u)=\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} F(t, u(t)) d t \\
& \geq \frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)}\left[\lambda|u(t)|^{p-1}-a_{M_{3}} b(t)\right] d t \\
& \geq \frac{e^{G_{0}}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t-|\lambda| e^{G_{1}} \int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p-1} d t-a_{M_{3}} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} b(t) d t \\
& \geq \frac{e^{G_{0}}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t-|\lambda| e^{G_{1}} T^{1 / p}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}-a_{M_{3}} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} b(t) d t \\
& \geq \frac{e^{G_{0}}}{p} \int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t-c_{5}\left(\int_{0}^{T}|\dot{u}(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}-a_{M_{3}} \int_{0}^{T} e^{G(t)} b(t) d t,
\end{aligned}
$$

where $c_{5}=|\lambda| e^{G_{1}} T^{1 / p}\left(\frac{T^{p} \Theta\left(p, p^{\prime}\right)}{\left(p^{\prime}+1\right)^{p / p^{\prime}}}\right)^{1 / p^{\prime}}$. Note that the norm $\|\dot{u}\|_{L^{p}}$ is equivalent to the norm $\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]}$ in $\tilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$. Hence, $\varphi_{p}(u) \rightarrow+\infty$ as $\|u\|_{\left[W_{T}^{1, p}\right]} \rightarrow \infty$ for all $u \in X_{2}$. Thus we complete the proof.
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