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#### Abstract

Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}$ have been classified in [14]. In this paper, we continue to classify Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in pseudo-Riemannian space forms $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ and $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$. Consequently, we achieve the complete classification of Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in 4-dimensional neutral indefinite space form with index 2 .


## 1 Introduction

Let $\mathbb{E}_{t}^{m}$ denote the pseudo-Euclidean $m$-space equipped with pseudo-Euclidean metric of index $t$ given by

$$
g_{0}=-\sum_{i=1}^{t} d x_{i}^{2}+\sum_{j=t+1}^{n} d x_{j}^{2},
$$

[^0]where $\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{n}\right)$ is the rectangular coordinate system of $\mathbb{E}_{t}^{m}$. Put
\[

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{s}^{k}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{E}_{s}^{k+1} \mid\left\langle x-c_{0}, x-c_{0}\right\rangle=1 / r^{2}>0\right\}  \tag{1.1}\\
& H_{s}^{k}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{E}_{s+1}^{k+1} \mid\left\langle x-c_{0}, x-c_{0}\right\rangle=-1 / r^{2}<0\right\}, \tag{1.2}
\end{align*}
$$
\]

where $\langle$,$\rangle is the associated inner product and c_{0}$ is a fixed point. Then $S_{s}^{k}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right)$ and $H_{s}^{k}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right)$ are complete semi-Riemannian manifolds with index $s$ of constant curvature $r^{2}$ and $-r^{2}$, respectively. We denote $S_{s}^{k}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right)$ and $H_{s}^{k}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right)$ by $S_{s}^{k}\left(r^{2}\right)$ and $H_{s}^{k}\left(-r^{2}\right)$ when $c_{0}$ is the origin. In general relativity, the Lorentz manifolds $\mathbb{E}_{1}^{k}, S_{1}^{k}\left(r^{2}\right)$ and $H_{1}^{k}\left(-r^{2}\right)$ are known as the Minkowski, de Sitter and anti-de Sitter space, respectively.

It is well known that submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector play important roles in differential geometry, theory of harmonic maps as well as in physics. Surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in Euclidean space were classified in the early 1970s by Chen and Yau[10]. Further, spacelike surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in arbitrary indefinite space forms were completely classified (see [8, 11, 12, 13]). However, the study of the classification of Lorentz surfaces is less relative to the spacelike surfaces. In [14], we firstly classified Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}$. Soon after, Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in pseudo-Euclidean spaces with arbitrary codimension and index were classified in [15] and [16], independently. (For an up-to-date survey on submanifolds with parallel mean curvature vector, see [17]). Hence it is an interesting problem to classify all Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in non-flat pseudo-Riemannian space forms.

In this paper, we achieve the classification of Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in 4-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian space forms $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ and $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$. Our results state that there exist 19 families of Lorentz surfaces in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ and $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$, respectively.

## 2 Preliminaries

### 2.1 Basic formulas

Let $R_{2}^{4}(c)$ denote an 4-dimensional pseudo-Riemannian space form with index 2 of constant curvature $c$. Then the curvature tensor $\widetilde{R}$ of $R_{2}^{4}(c)$ is given by

$$
\widetilde{R}(X, Y) Z=c\{\langle Y, Z\rangle X-\langle X, Z\rangle Y\} .
$$

Let $M$ be a Lorentz surface in $R_{2}^{4}(c)$. Denote by $\nabla$ and $\tilde{\nabla}$ the Levi Civita connections of $M$ and $R_{2}^{4}(c)$, respectively. For vector fields $X$ and $Y$ tangent to $M$ and vector field $\xi$ normal to $M$, the formulas of Gauss and Weingarten are given by (cf. [10, 18, 19])

$$
\begin{align*}
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} Y & =\nabla_{X} Y+h(X, Y)  \tag{2.1}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \xi & =-A_{\xi} X+D_{X} Y \tag{2.2}
\end{align*}
$$

where $h, A$ and $D$ are the second fundamental form, the shape operator and the normal connection, respectively. It is well known that $h$ and $A$ are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\langle h(X, Y), \xi\rangle=\left\langle A_{\xi} X, Y\right\rangle . \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

We define the mean curvature $H=\frac{1}{2}$ trace $h$. The equation of Gauss and Codazzi are given respectively by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\langle R(X, Y) Z, W\rangle & =c\{\langle Y, Z\rangle\langle X, W\rangle-\langle X, Z\rangle\langle Y, W\rangle\} \\
& +\langle h(Y, Z), h(X, W)\rangle-\langle h(X, Z), h(Y, W)\rangle, \\
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} h\right)(Y, Z) & =\left(\bar{\nabla}_{Y} h\right)(X, Z),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $R$ is the curvature tensor of $M$ and $\bar{\nabla} h$ is defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\bar{\nabla}_{X} h\right)(Y, Z)=D_{X} h(Y, Z)-h\left(\nabla_{X} Y, Z\right)-h\left(Y, \nabla_{X} Z\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $R^{D}$ the curvature tensor associated with the normal connection $D$, i.e.,

$$
R^{D}(X, Y)=D_{X} D_{Y}-D_{Y} D_{X}-D_{[X, Y]},
$$

then for vector fields $X$ and $Y$ tangent to $M$ and vector field $\xi, \eta$ normal to $M$, the Ricci equation is given by

$$
\left\langle R^{D}(X, Y) \xi, \eta\right\rangle=\left\langle\left[A_{\xi}, A_{\eta}\right] X, Y\right\rangle
$$

### 2.2 Basic definitions

A surface in a pseudo-Riemannian 3-manifold (or a light cone) is called CMC if its mean curvature vector $H$ satisfies $\langle H, H\rangle=$ constant $\neq 0$.

A vector $v$ is called spacelike (timelike) if $\langle v, v\rangle>0(\langle v, v\rangle<0)$. A nonzero vector $v$ is called lightlike if $\langle v, v\rangle=0$. A curve $z: I \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{t}^{m}$ defined on an open interval $I \subset \mathbf{R}$ is called null if its velocity vector $z^{\prime}(x)$ is lightlike for each $x \in I$.

A surface in a semi-Riemannian manifold is called marginally trapped if its mean curvature vector is lightlike. Recently, marginally trapped surfaces have been studied from a mathematical viewpoint, such as in $[1,2,3,4,5,6,7,8,9]$.

### 2.3 Light cones

The light cone $\mathcal{L C}{ }_{s}^{n-1}\left(c_{0}\right)$ with vertex $c_{0}$ in $\mathbb{E}_{s}^{n}$ is defined by

$$
\mathcal{L C}_{s}^{n-1}\left(c_{0}\right)=\left\{x \in \mathbb{E}_{s}^{n}:\left\langle x-c_{0}, x-c_{0}\right\rangle=0\right\}
$$

We simply denote the light cone $\mathcal{L C}_{s}^{n-1}(0)$ by $\mathcal{L C}$ if there is no confusion possible.
The light cone $\mathcal{L C}_{s}^{n-1}$ can be naturally embedded in $S_{s}^{n}(1)$ via

$$
\iota: \mathcal{L C}_{s}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{E}_{s}^{n} \rightarrow S_{s}^{n}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_{s}^{n+1}: y \mapsto(y, 1) \in \mathbb{E}_{s}^{n+1}
$$

The light cone $\mathcal{L C}_{s}^{n-1}$ can be naturally embedded in $H_{s}^{n}(-1)$ via

$$
\iota: \mathcal{L C}_{s}^{n-1} \subset \mathbb{E}_{s}^{n} \rightarrow H_{s}^{n}(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_{s+1}^{n+1}: y \mapsto(1, y) \in \mathbb{E}_{s+1}^{n+1}
$$

### 2.4 Moving frames.

We assume that $M$ is a Lorentz surface in $R_{2}^{4}(c),\left\{e_{1}, e_{2}\right\}$ is a local tangent frame and $\left\{e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ is a local normal frame, which satisfy

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\langle e_{1}, e_{1}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{2}, e_{2}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle e_{1}, e_{2}\right\rangle=-1  \tag{2.5}\\
& \left\langle e_{3}, e_{3}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{4}, e_{4}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle e_{3}, e_{4}\right\rangle=-1 \tag{2.6}
\end{align*}
$$

If we let

$$
\nabla_{X} e_{1}=\omega_{1}^{1}(X) e_{1}+\omega_{1}^{2}(X) e_{2}, \quad \nabla_{X} e_{2}=\omega_{2}^{1}(X) e_{1}+\omega_{2}^{2}(X) e_{2}
$$

then from (2.5) we obtain that $\omega_{1}^{2}=\omega_{2}^{1}=0$ and $\omega_{1}^{1}=-\omega_{2}^{2}$. If we put $\omega=\omega_{1}^{1}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{X} e_{1}=\omega(X) e_{1}, \quad \nabla_{X} e_{2}=-\omega(X) e_{2} . \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We put $\omega\left(e_{1}\right)=\omega_{1}$ and $\omega\left(e_{2}\right)=\omega_{2}$. Similarly, for some one-form $\phi$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{X} e_{3}=\phi(X) e_{3}, \quad D_{X} e_{4}=-\phi(X) e_{4} \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

### 2.5 Some lemmas

We introduce some results for later use.
Lemma 2.1. [20]. There exist local coordinates $(x, y)$ on $M_{1}^{2}$ such that the metric of the surface is given by $g=-m^{2}(x, y)(d x \otimes d y+d y \otimes d x)$ for some positive function $m(x, y)$. The Levi-Civita connection of the surface is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{x}=\frac{2 m_{x}}{m} \partial_{x}, \quad \nabla_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{y}=0, \quad \nabla_{\partial_{y}} \partial_{y}=\frac{2 m_{y}}{m} \partial_{y} \tag{2.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its Gaussian curvature is $K=2\left(m m_{x y}-m_{x} m_{y}\right) / m^{4}$.
Similar to the Lemma 2.2 of [14], we establish the following lemma.
Lemma 2.2. Let $M$ be a Lorentz surface in $R_{2}^{4}(c)$ with parallel mean curvature vector, then (1) $\phi=0$, which implies $R^{D}=0 ;(2)\langle H, H\rangle$ is constant.

## 3 Lorentz surfaces in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$

Let $\mathcal{K}_{a}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}: x_{5}=x_{1}+a\right\}$. For any two vectors $a=$ $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{5}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{5}\right)$ in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$, we put $a * b=\left(a_{1} b_{1}, \ldots, a_{5} b_{5}\right)$. The following theorem classifies Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$.

Theorem 3.1. Let $M$ be a Lorentz surface with parallel mean curvature vector in de Sitter space-time $S_{2}^{4}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$, then $L$ is congruent to a surface of the following 19 families.

1. A minimal Lorentz surface of $S_{2}^{4}(1)$;
2. A Lorentz surface of curvature one with constant lightlike mean curvature vector, lying in $\mathcal{K}_{0} \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$, which is defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\left(f(x, y), \quad \frac{x y-1}{x+y}, \quad \frac{x y+1}{x+y}, \quad \frac{y-x}{x+y}, \quad f(x, y)\right)
$$

for some function $f(x, y)$.
3. A Lorentz surface of curvature one defined by $L=-\frac{p(x)}{x+y}+q(x)$, where $p(x)$ is a curve lying in the light cone $\mathcal{L C}$ and $q(x)$ is a null curve satisfying $\left\langle p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right\rangle=$ $0,\left\langle p, q^{\prime}\right\rangle=-2,\left\langle p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right\rangle=4 ;$
4. A Lorentz marginally trapped surface of curvature one in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ and lies in $\mathcal{L C}_{2}^{3}=\left\{(y, 1) \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset S_{2}^{4}(1)$, which is defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x+y}(u(x) * z(y)+v(x) * w(y))+c_{0}
$$

where $c_{0}=(0,0,0,0,1), u^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{1}(x) u(x)=v^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{1}(x) v(x)=z^{\prime \prime}(y)+$ $c_{2}(y) z(y)=w^{\prime \prime}(y)+c_{2}(y) w(y)=0$ and $\left\langle u^{\prime}(x) * z(y)+v^{\prime}(x) * w(y)\right.$, $\left.u(x) * z^{\prime}(y)+v(x) * w^{\prime}(y)\right\rangle=-2$ for some functions $c_{1}(x)$ and $c_{2}(y)$.
5. a non-flat Lorentz surface which lies in $S_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ such that the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $S_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ satisfies $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=1-r^{2}$.
6. a non-flat Lorentz surface which lies in $H_{1}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ such that the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $H_{1}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ satisfies $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=1+r^{2}$.
7. A flat marginally trapped surface defined by

$$
L=\left(u, u^{2}+\frac{1}{2}, u^{2}+1, \frac{1}{2} \sin 2 v, \cos 2 v\right) .
$$

8. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $S_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ such that the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $S_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ satisfies $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=1-r^{2}-2$ a for a nonzero real number $a$.
9. a non-flat CMC surface lying in $H_{1}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ such that the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $H_{1}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ satisfies $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=1+r^{2}-2$ a for a nonzero real number a.
10. A flat surface defined by

$$
L=\left(\frac{\cos \sqrt{m} u}{\sqrt{2 m}}, \frac{\sin \sqrt{m} u}{\sqrt{2 m}}, \frac{\cos \sqrt{n} v}{\sqrt{2 n}}, \frac{\sin \sqrt{n} v}{\sqrt{2 n}}, \pm \sqrt{1+\frac{1}{2 m}-\frac{1}{2 n}}\right)
$$

where $m=a(2 a+3)$ and $n=2 a^{2}-5 a+4$ for $a \in\left(-\infty,-\frac{3}{2}\right) \cup\left(0, \frac{1}{2}\right) \cup$ $\left(\frac{1}{2},+\infty\right)$.
11. A flat surface defined by

$$
L=\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}, u^{2}+\frac{13}{8}, u^{2}+\frac{15}{8}, \frac{\cos 2 v}{2 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sin 2 v}{2 \sqrt{2}}\right)
$$

12. A flat surface defined by

$$
L=\left(\frac{u}{\sqrt{2}}, u^{2}+\frac{29}{16}, u^{2}+\frac{33}{16}, \frac{\cos 4 v}{4 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sin 4 v}{4 \sqrt{2}}\right) .
$$

13. A flat surface defined by

$$
L=\left( \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 n}-\frac{1}{2 m}-1}, \frac{\sinh \sqrt{-m} u}{\sqrt{-2 m}}, \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-m} u}{\sqrt{-2 m}}, \frac{\cos \sqrt{n} v}{\sqrt{2 n}}, \frac{\sin \sqrt{n} v}{\sqrt{2 n}}\right)
$$

where $m=a(2 a+3)$ and $n=2 a^{2}-5 a+4$ for $a \in\left(-\frac{3}{2}, 0\right)$.
14. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $S_{2}^{4} \cap \pi$, where $\pi$ is hyperplane of index 2 in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$.
15. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $S_{2}^{4} \cap \pi$, where $\pi$ is hyperplane of index 1 in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$.
16. A non-flat surface defined by

$$
L(x, y)=-\frac{1}{x+y} u(y)+v(y)
$$

where $u(y)$ is a curve lying in the light cone $\mathcal{L C}$ and $v(y)$ is a null curve satisfying $\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{4}{1-2 a}$ and $\left\langle u, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{2}{2 a-1}$ for a real number $a<1 / 2$.
17. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $\mathcal{L C}_{2}^{3}=\left\{(y, 1) \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset$ $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x+y}(u(x) * z(y)+v(x) * w(y))+c_{0}
$$

where $u, v, z, w$ are curves in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ satisfying $u^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{1}(x) u(x)=v^{\prime \prime}(x)+$ $c_{1}(x) v(x)=z^{\prime \prime}(y)+c_{2}(y) z(y)=w^{\prime \prime}(y)+c_{2}(y) w(y)=0$, and $\left\langle u^{\prime}(x) * z(y)+\right.$ $\left.v^{\prime}(x) * w(y), u(x) * z^{\prime}(y)+v(x) * w^{\prime}(y)\right\rangle=\frac{2}{2 a-1}$ for functions $c_{1}(x), c_{2}(y)$ and for a real number $a<1 / 2$.
18. A non-flat surface defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x-y} u(y)+v(y)
$$

where $u(y)$ is a curve lying in the light cone $\mathcal{L C}$ and $v(y)$ is a null curve satisfying $\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{4}{1-2 a}$ and $\left\langle u, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{2}{2 a-1}$ for a real number $a>1 / 2$.
19. A non-flat $C M C$ surface lying in $\mathcal{L C}_{2}^{3}=\left\{(y, 1) \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset$ $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x-y}(u(x) * z(y)+v(x) * w(y))+c_{0}
$$

where $c_{0}=(0,0,0,0,1), u, v, z, w$ are curves in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}$ satisfying $u^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{1}(x) u(x)$ $=v^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{1}(x) v(x)=z^{\prime \prime}(y)+c_{2}(y) z(y)=w^{\prime \prime}(y)+c_{2}(y) w(y)=0$, and $\left\langle u^{\prime}(x) * z(y)+v^{\prime}(x) * w(y), u(x) * z^{\prime}(y)+v(x) * w^{\prime}(y)\right\rangle=-\frac{2}{(2 a-1)}$ for functions $c_{1}(x), c_{2}(y)$ and for a real number $a>1 / 2$.

Remark 3.2. Case (2) - (7) are marginally trapped Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $S_{2}^{4}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$.

Proof. Since $M$ is a Lorentz surface in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ with parallel mean curvature vector, then $\langle H, H\rangle$ is constant and $H=0$, or $H$ is lightlike, or $\langle H, H\rangle$ is a nonzero constant.

If $H=0$, we get case (1).
If $H$ is lightlike, then $M$ is a marginally trapped Lorentz surface in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ with parallel mean curvature vector. There exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ satisfying (2.6) such that $-H=h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=e_{3}$. Let us regard $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ as a hypersurface of $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ via (1.1). Denote by $\nabla^{S}$ and $\widetilde{\nabla}$ be the Levi-Civita connections of $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ and $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$, respectively. Let $\widetilde{D}$ and $\widetilde{A}$ be the normal connection and the shape operator of $M$ in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ respectively; Let $D$ and $A$ the corresponding quantities for $M$ in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$. Then we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D} \xi=D \xi, \quad A_{\xi}=\widetilde{A}_{\xi}, \quad \widetilde{\nabla}_{X} \tilde{\xi}=\nabla_{X}^{S} \xi \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for any normal vector field $\xi$ of $M$ in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ and any $X \in T M$. Since $M$ has parallel mean curvature vector $H$, from Lemma 2.2 we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{D} e_{3}=\widetilde{D} e_{4}=D e_{3}=D e_{4}=0 \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\alpha e_{3}+\beta e_{4}, \quad h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=e_{3}, \quad h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\gamma e_{3}+\delta e_{4}, \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$. By (2.3), (2.5) and (2.6), we have

$$
A_{e_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \delta  \tag{3.4}\\
\beta & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \gamma \\
\alpha & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

From Lemma 2.2 and the Ricci equation we have $\left[A_{e_{3}}, A_{e_{4}}\right]=0$, which implies that $\alpha \delta=\beta \gamma$. It follows from (3.3) and the Gauss equation that the Gauss curvature $K$ of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=1+2 \alpha \delta=1+2 \beta \gamma \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (A): $K \neq 1$. It follows from (3.5) that $\alpha, \beta, \delta, \gamma \neq 0$. In this case, (2.7), (3.2) and (3.3) show that Codazzi equation (2.4) reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2}(\alpha)=2 w_{2} \alpha, \quad e_{2}(\beta)=2 w_{2} \beta, \quad e_{1}(\gamma)=-2 w_{1} \gamma, \quad e_{1}(\delta)=-2 w_{1} \delta, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with $\alpha \delta=\beta \gamma$ forces that $e_{i}(\ln |\alpha / \beta|)=e_{i}(\ln |\gamma / \delta|)=0$ for $i=1,2$. Then there exists a nonzero real number $c$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha=c \beta, \quad \gamma=c \delta . \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (2.7) and (3.6), we have $\left[\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{1}, \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{2}\right]=0$. Then there exists a coordinate system $\{x, y\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y}=\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{2}, \quad g=-(\beta \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d x d y \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

We denote $\rho=(\beta \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, a direct computation shows that the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}=(\ln \rho)_{x} \frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}=0, \quad \nabla_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} \frac{\partial}{\partial y}=(\ln \rho)_{y} \frac{\partial}{\partial y} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, from (3.3), (3.4), (3.7) and (3.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
& h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)=c e_{3}+e_{4}, \quad h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=\rho e_{3}, \quad h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=c e_{3}+e_{4},  \tag{3.10}\\
& A_{e_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & \rho^{-1} \\
\rho^{-1} & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & c \rho^{-1} \\
c \rho^{-1} & 1
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3.11}
\end{align*}
$$

By (3.9), (3.10), and (2.1), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x x}=(\ln \rho)_{x} L_{x}+c e_{3}+e_{4}, \quad L_{x y}=\rho\left(e_{3}+L\right), \quad L_{y y}=(\ln \rho)_{y} L_{y}+c e_{3}+e_{4} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition of this system is given by Poisson equation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\ln \rho)_{x y}=2 c \rho^{-1}+\rho . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, if we let $\xi=-c e_{3}+e_{4}, \eta=c e_{3}+e_{4}$, then

$$
D \xi=D \eta=0, \quad\langle\xi, \xi\rangle=2 c, \quad\langle\eta, \eta\rangle=-2 c, \quad\langle\xi, \eta\rangle=0, \quad A_{\xi}=I .
$$

Consider the map $\psi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ defined by $\psi(p)=L(p)+\xi(p)$. Then we have $\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \psi=0$ for $X \in T M$. So $\psi=L+\xi$ is a constant vector, say $c_{0} \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. Thus, $L-c_{0}=-\xi$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle L-c_{0}, L-c_{0}\right\rangle=2 c=\text { constant } . \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (A.a): $c>0$. In this case, (3.14) implies that $M$ lies in $S_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right)$ with $r^{2}=1 / 2 c$. The mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $S_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right)$ and the mean curvature vector $H$ in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ are related by $H=H^{\prime}-r^{2}\left(L-c_{0}\right)$. Since $M$ is marginally trapped in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$, we have $1=\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle+r^{2}$. This gives $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=1-r^{2}$. We can conclude that $M$ is non-flat. In fact, if $M$ is flat,We choose $\rho=1$ and hence from (3.13) we have that $c=-1 / 2$. This is a contradiction. Hence we get case (5).

Case (A.b): $c<0$. In this case (3.14) implies that $M$ lies in $S_{2}^{4}(1) \cap H_{1}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right)$ with $-r^{2}=1 / 2 c$. Since the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $H_{1}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right)$ and $H$ in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ are related by $H=H^{\prime}+r^{2}\left(L-c_{0}\right)$, and since $M$ is marginally trapped in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$, we have $1=\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle-r^{2}$. This gives $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=1+r^{2}$. If $M$ is non-flat, we get case (6).

If $M$ is flat, we choose $\rho=1$ and hence $c=-1 / 2$ from (3.13). In this case, the PDE system (3.12) becomes

$$
L_{x x}=-\frac{1}{2} e_{3}+e_{4}, \quad L_{x y}=e_{3}+L, \quad L_{y y}=-\frac{1}{2} e_{3}+e_{4} .
$$

We put $x=(u+v) / \sqrt{2}, y=(u-v) / \sqrt{2}$, then

$$
L_{u v}=0, \quad L_{u u u}=0, \quad L_{v v v}=-4 L_{v} .
$$

Solving these system of differential equation, we obtain

$$
L=c_{1} u+c_{2} u^{2}+c_{3} \sin 2 v+c_{4} \cos 2 v+c_{5}
$$

for some vectors $c_{i} \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}, i=1, \cdots, 5$. After choosing suitable initial conditions, we obtain case (7).

Case (B): $K=1$. It follows from (3.5) that $\alpha \delta=\beta \gamma=0$ and $M$ is a Lorentz surface of curvature one. From Lemma 2.1, we may choose coordinates $\{x, y\}$ on $M$ so that the metric tensor of $M$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
g=-\frac{2}{(x+y)^{2}} d x d y \tag{3.15}
\end{equation*}
$$

The Levi-Civita connection of the surface $M$ is then given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\nabla_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{x}=-\frac{2}{x+y} \partial_{x}, \quad \nabla_{\partial_{x}} \partial_{y}=0, \quad \nabla_{\partial_{y}} \partial_{y}=-\frac{2}{x+y} \partial_{y} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

And (3.3) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{x}\right)=2 \frac{\alpha e_{3}+\beta e_{4}}{(x+y)^{2}}, \quad h\left(\partial_{x}, \partial_{y}\right)=2 \frac{e_{3}}{(x+y)^{2}}, \quad h\left(\partial_{y}, \partial_{y}\right)=2 \frac{\gamma e_{3}+\delta e_{4}}{(x+y)^{2}} . \tag{3.17}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.16) and (3.17) that $L: M \rightarrow S_{2}^{4}(1) \subset \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{align*}
L_{x x} & =-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{x}+\frac{2}{(x+y)^{2}}\left(\alpha e_{3}+\beta e_{4}\right),  \tag{3.18}\\
L_{x y} & =\frac{2}{(x+y)^{2}}\left(e_{3}+L\right)  \tag{3.19}\\
L_{y y} & =-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{y}+\frac{2}{(x+y)^{2}}\left(\gamma e_{3}+\delta e_{4}\right) . \tag{3.20}
\end{align*}
$$

Case (B.a): $\delta=\beta=0$. In this case, $A_{e_{3}}=0$, which together with (3.1) and (3.2) shows that $e_{3}$ is a constant lightlike vector in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. So, without loss of generality,
we may put $e_{3}=(1,0,0,0,1) \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. It follows that $M$ lies in $\mathcal{K}_{0} \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ and the mean curvature vector of $M$ in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ is a constant lightlike vector in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$.

On the other hand, the compatibility conditions of the system (3.18)-(3.20) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{y}=\frac{2}{x+y} \alpha, \quad \gamma_{x}=\frac{2}{x+y} \gamma . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence there exist functions $p(x)$ and $q(y)$ such that $\alpha=p(x)(x+y)^{2}$ and $\gamma=q(y)(x+y)^{2}$. Then (3.18) and (3.20) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x x}=-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{x}+2 p(x) e_{3}, \quad L_{y y}=-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{y}+2 q(y) e_{3} . \tag{3.22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving equation (3.22) gives

$$
L=f(x, y) e_{3}+\frac{c_{1} x y+c_{2} x+c_{3} y+c_{4}}{x+y}
$$

where

$$
f(x, y)=2\left(\iint p(x) d x^{2}+\iint q(y) d y^{2}\right)-\frac{4}{x+y}\left(\iiint p(x) d x^{3}+\iiint q(y) d y^{3}\right) .
$$

From (3.19), we have $c_{2}+c_{3}+2 e_{3}=0$. After choosing suitable initial conditions, we obtain case (2).

Case (B. b): $\delta=\gamma=0$. In this case, equation (3.20) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{y y}=-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{y} . \tag{3.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (3.23), we have

$$
L=-\frac{1}{x+y} p(x)+q(x)
$$

for some $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$-valued functions $p(x)$ and $q(x)$. Thus we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x}=\frac{1}{(x+y)^{2}} p(x)-\frac{1}{x+y} p^{\prime}(x)+q^{\prime}(x), \quad L_{y}=-\frac{1}{(x+y)^{2}} p(x) . \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

By using (3.24) and $g=-\frac{2}{(x+y)^{2}} d x d y$, we obtain

$$
\langle p, p\rangle=\left\langle p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right\rangle=\left\langle q^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right\rangle=0, \quad\left\langle p, q^{\prime}\right\rangle=-2, \quad\left\langle p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right\rangle=4 .
$$

This gives case (3).
Case (B. c): $\alpha=\beta=0$. After interchanging $x$ and $y$, we get case (3) as well.
Case (B. d): $\alpha=\gamma=0$. In this case, $A_{e_{4}}=I$. So $\widetilde{\nabla}_{X} e_{4}=-X$ for $X \in T M$ and hence $L+e_{4}$ is a constant vector in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$, say $c_{0}$. Since $e_{4}$ is tangent to $S_{2}^{4}(1)$, we find $\left\langle L, e_{4}\right\rangle=0$. Combining this with $\langle L, L\rangle=1$ gives $\left\langle c_{0}, c_{0}\right\rangle=1$. Hence $c_{0}$ is a unit spacelike vector. Without loss of generality, we may put $c_{0}=(0,0,0,0,1)$. On
the other hand, from $\left\langle L-c_{0}, L-c_{0}\right\rangle=\left\langle e_{4}, e_{4}\right\rangle=0$ we get $\left\langle L, c_{0}\right\rangle=1$. It follows from $\langle L, L\rangle=\left\langle L, c_{0}\right\rangle=1$ that $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}, x_{5}=1$, where $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{5}$ are coordinates of $L$ in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. So, $M$ lies in $\mathcal{L C} C_{2}^{3}=\left\{(y, 1) \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset$ $S_{2}^{4}(1)$.

On the other hand, the compatibility condition of the system (3.18)-(3.20) are given by

$$
\beta_{y}=\frac{2}{x+y} \beta, \quad \delta_{x}=\frac{2}{x+y} \delta .
$$

Hence there exist function $c_{1}(x)$ and $c_{2}(y)$ such that $\beta=c_{1}(x)(x+y)^{2} / 2$, $\delta=c_{2}(y)(x+y)^{2} / 2$. Then (3.18) and (3.20) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x x}=-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{x}+c_{1}(x)\left(c_{0}-L\right), \quad L_{y y}=-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{y}+c_{2}(y)\left(c_{0}-L\right) \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving (3.25) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x+y} f(x, y)+c_{0} \tag{3.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f(x, y)$ is a vector-valued function lying in the light cone $\mathcal{L C}\left(c_{0}\right)$ and satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{x x}=-c_{1}(x) f, \quad f_{y y}=-c_{2}(y) f \tag{3.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

Equation (3.27) implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x, y)=u(x) * z(y)+v(x) * w(y) \tag{3.28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u, v, z, w$ are curves in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ satisfying

$$
\begin{aligned}
u^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{1}(x) u(x)=v^{\prime \prime}(x)+c_{1}(x) v(x)=z^{\prime \prime}(y)+c_{2}(y) z(y) & = \\
w^{\prime \prime}(y) & +c_{2}(y) w(y)=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence (3.26) and (3.28) imply that

$$
\begin{equation*}
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x+y}(u(x) * z(y)+v(x) * w(y))+c_{0} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

By applying (3.29) and $g=-\frac{2}{(x+y)^{2}} d x d y$, we have

$$
\left\langle u^{\prime}(x) * z(y)+v^{\prime}(x) * w(y), u(x) * z^{\prime}(y)+v(x) * w^{\prime}(y)\right\rangle=-2 .
$$

This gives case (4).
If $\langle H, H\rangle$ is a nonzero constant. Then there exists a pseudo-orthonormal frame $\left\{e_{3}, e_{4}\right\}$ satisfying (2.6) such that $-H=h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=e_{3}+a e_{4}(a \neq 0)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)=\alpha e_{3}+\beta e_{4}, \quad h\left(e_{1}, e_{2}\right)=e_{3}+a e_{4}, \quad h\left(e_{2}, e_{2}\right)=\gamma e_{3}+\delta e_{4} \tag{3.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some functions $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta$. Then

$$
A_{e_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \delta  \tag{3.31}\\
\beta & a
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & \gamma \\
\alpha & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

It follows from Lemma 2.2 and the Ricci equation that $\left[A_{e_{3}}, A_{e_{4}}\right]=0$, which implies that $\alpha \delta=\beta \gamma$. By (3.30) and Gauss equation, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=1-2 a+2 \alpha \delta=1-2 a+2 \beta \gamma . \tag{3.32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (A): $K \neq 1-2 a$. Then from (3.32) we have $\alpha, \beta, \gamma, \delta \neq 0$. It follows from (2.7), (3.2) and (3.30) that Codazzi equation (2.4) also reduces to

$$
\begin{equation*}
e_{2}(\alpha)=2 w_{2} \alpha, \quad e_{2}(\beta)=2 w_{2} \beta, \quad e_{1}(\gamma)=-2 w_{1} \gamma, \quad e_{1}(\delta)=-2 w_{1} \delta \tag{3.33}
\end{equation*}
$$

which together with $\alpha \delta=\beta \gamma$ shows that there exists a nonzero real number $c$ such that $\alpha=c \beta, \gamma=c \delta$, and $\left[\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{1}, \delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{2}\right]=0$. Then there exists a coordinate system $\{x, y\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial}{\partial x}=\beta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{1}, \quad \frac{\partial}{\partial y}=\delta^{-\frac{1}{2}} e_{2}, \quad g=-(\beta \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}} d x d y \tag{3.34}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\rho=(\beta \delta)^{-\frac{1}{2}}$, then Gauss curvature in (3.32) becomes

$$
\begin{equation*}
K=1-2 a+2 c / \rho^{2} \tag{3.35}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the Levi-Civita connection of $g$ still satisfies (3.9). Moreover, from (3.30), (3.31) and (3.34) we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)=c e_{3}+e_{4}, \quad h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=\rho\left(e_{3}+a e_{4}\right), \quad h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=c e_{3}+e_{4}  \tag{3.36}\\
A_{e_{3}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & \rho^{-1} \\
\rho^{-1} & a
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & c \rho^{-1} \\
c \rho^{-1} & 1
\end{array}\right) . \tag{3.37}
\end{gather*}
$$

By applying (3.9) and (3.36), we have that $L: M \rightarrow S_{2}^{4} \subset \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x x}=(\ln \rho)_{x} L_{x}+c e_{3}+e_{4}, L_{x y}=\rho\left(e_{3}+a e_{4}+L\right), L_{y y}=(\ln \rho)_{y} L_{y}+c e_{3}+e_{4} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition is

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\ln \rho)_{x y}=2 c \rho^{-1}+(1-2 a) \rho . \tag{3.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (A.a): $c a \neq 1$. Let $\xi=-c e_{3}+e_{4}, \eta=c e_{3}+e_{4}$, then

$$
D \xi=D \eta=0, \quad\langle\xi, \xi\rangle=2 c, \quad\langle\eta, \eta\rangle=-2 c, \quad\langle\xi, \eta\rangle=0, \quad A_{\xi}=(1-c a) I .
$$

Consider the map $\psi: M \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ defined by $\psi(p)=L(p)+\frac{1}{1-c a} \xi(p)$. Then $\tilde{\nabla}_{X} \psi=0$ for $X \in T M$ and $\psi=L+\frac{1}{1-c a} \xi$ is a constant vector, say $c_{0} \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. So $L-c_{0}=\frac{1}{c a-1} \xi$ and hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\langle L-c_{0}, L-c_{0}\right\rangle=\frac{2 c}{(c a-1)^{2}}=\text { constant } \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (A.a.1): $c>0$. Equation (3.40) implies that $M$ lies in $S_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right)$ with $r^{2}=(c a-1)^{2} /(2 c)$. Since the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $S_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right)$ and the mean curvature vector $H$ in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ are related by $H=H^{\prime}-r^{2}\left(L-c_{0}\right)$, hence we have $\langle H, H\rangle=\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle+r^{2}$. This gives $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=1-r^{2}-2 a$. If $M$ is non-flat, we obtain case (8) of Theorem 3.1.

If $M$ is flat, it follows from (3.35) that $\rho^{2}=\frac{2 c}{2 a-1}$. We choose $\rho=1$ and hence $c=a-1 / 2>0$, then $a>1 / 2$. In this case, (3.36) and (3.37) become

$$
\begin{gather*}
h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)=h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=(a-1 / 2) e_{3}+e_{4}, \quad h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=e_{3}+a e_{4}  \tag{3.41}\\
A_{e_{3}}
\end{gather*}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
a & 1  \tag{3.42}\\
1 & a
\end{array}\right), \quad A_{e_{4}}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & a-1 / 2 \\
a-1 / 2 & 1
\end{array}\right) .
$$

By applying (3.41) we have that $L: M \rightarrow S_{2}^{4} \subset \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ satisfies

$$
L_{x x}=L_{y y}=\left(a-\frac{1}{2}\right) e_{3}+e_{4}, \quad L_{x y}=e_{3}+a e_{4}+L
$$

Put $x=(u+v) / \sqrt{2}$ and $y=(u-v) / \sqrt{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{u v}=0, \quad L_{u u u}=-m L_{u}, \quad L_{v v v}=-n L_{v} . \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=a(2 a+3), n=2 a^{2}-5 a+4$. Solving equation (3.43) we obtain

$$
L=c_{1} \cos \sqrt{m} u+c_{2} \sin \sqrt{m} u+c_{3} \cos \sqrt{n} v+c_{4} \sin \sqrt{n} v+c_{5} .
$$

After choosing suitable initial conditions, we obtain case (10) for $a>1 / 2$.
Case (A.a.2): $c<0$. Equation (3.40) implies that $M$ lies in $H_{1}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap S_{2}^{4}(1)$ with $r^{2}=-(c a-1)^{2} /(2 c)$. Since the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $H_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right)$ and the mean curvature vector $H$ in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ are related by $H=H^{\prime}+r^{2}\left(L-c_{0}\right)$, hence we have $\langle H, H\rangle=\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle-r^{2}$. This gives $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=1+r^{2}-2 a$. If $M$ is nonflat, we obtain case (9) of Theorem 3.1.

If $M$ is flat, similar to case (A.a.1) we also choose $\rho=1$ and $c=a-\frac{1}{2}<0$, then $a<\frac{1}{2}$. Just like case (A.a.1), we put $x=(u+v) / \sqrt{2}$ and $y=(u-v) / \sqrt{2}$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{u v}=0, \quad L_{u u u}=-m L_{u}, \quad L_{v v v}=-n L_{v}, \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $m=a(2 a+3), n=2 a^{2}-5 a+4$.
(a) If $a=0$ or $a=-\frac{3}{2}$, then $m=0$, and $n=4$ or $n=16$. Solving (3.44), we have

$$
L=c_{1} u^{2}+c_{2} u+c_{3} \cos \sqrt{n} v+c_{4} \sin \sqrt{n} v+c_{5} .
$$

After choosing suitable initial conditions, we obtain case (11) or case (12).
(b) If $-\frac{3}{2}<a<0$, solving (3.44) we have

$$
L=c_{1} \cosh \sqrt{-m} u+c_{2} \sinh \sqrt{-m} u+c_{3} \cos \sqrt{n} v+c_{4} \sin \sqrt{n} v+c_{5}
$$

After choosing suitable initial conditions, we obtain case (13).
(c) If $a<-\frac{3}{2}$ or $0<a<\frac{1}{2}$, solving (3.44) we have

$$
L=c_{1} \cos \sqrt{m} u+c_{2} \sin \sqrt{m} u+c_{3} \cos \sqrt{n} v+c_{4} \sin \sqrt{n} v+c_{5} .
$$

After choosing suitable initial conditions, we also obtain case (10).
Case (A.b): $c a=1$. It follows from (3.37) that $A_{-c e_{3}+e_{4}}=0$, which implies that $-c e_{3}+e_{4}$ is a constant vector, say $c_{0} \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$ and it is easy to check that $\left\langle c_{0}, c_{0}\right\rangle=2 c$.

Case (A.b.1): $c>0$. In this case, $M$ lies in $S_{2}^{4} \cap \pi$, where $\pi$ is hyperplane of index 2 in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. If $M$ is non-flat, we get case (14). If $M$ is flat, from (3.35) we have $\rho^{2}=\frac{2 c}{2 a-1}$ and hence $\rho$ is constant. We choose $\rho=1$, then $c=\frac{\sqrt{17}-1}{4}$ and $a=\frac{\sqrt{17}+1}{4}$. Then we obtain case (10) for $a=\frac{\sqrt{17}+1}{4}$.

Case (A.b.2): $c<0$. In this case, $M$ lies in $S_{2}^{4} \cap \pi$, where $\pi$ is hyperplane of index 1 in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. If $M$ is non-flat, we get case (15). If $M$ is flat, similar to case (A.b.1) we get $c=\frac{-\sqrt{17}-1}{4}$ and $a=\frac{1-\sqrt{17}}{4}$. Then we obtain case (13) for $a=\frac{1-\sqrt{17}}{4}$.

Case (B): $K=1-2 a$. In this case, $M$ is a Lorentz surface of constant Gauss curvature and $\alpha \delta=\beta \gamma=0$ from (3.32). We choose the metric of the surface given by Lemma 2.1 and define $e_{1}=\frac{1}{m} \partial_{x}, e_{2}=\frac{1}{m} \partial_{y}$. Then from (3.30), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial x}\right)=m^{2}\left(\alpha e_{3}+\beta e_{4}\right), h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial x}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=m^{2}\left(e_{3}+a e_{4}\right), h\left(\frac{\partial}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial}{\partial y}\right)=m^{2}\left(\gamma e_{3}+\delta e_{4}\right) . \tag{3.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.31), we have

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} e_{3}=-a \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\beta \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, & \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} e_{3}=-\delta \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-a \frac{\partial}{\partial y},  \tag{3.46}\\
\tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial x}} e_{4}=-\frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\alpha \frac{\partial}{\partial y}, & \tilde{\nabla}_{\frac{\partial}{\partial y}} e_{4}=-\gamma \frac{\partial}{\partial x}-\frac{\partial}{\partial y}
\end{array}
$$

It follows from (2.9) and (3.45) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& L_{x x}=\frac{2 m_{x}}{m} L_{x}+m^{2}\left(\alpha e_{3}+\beta e_{4}\right)  \tag{3.47}\\
& L_{x y}=m^{2}\left(e_{3}+a e_{4}+L\right)  \tag{3.48}\\
& L_{y y}=\frac{2 m_{y}}{m} L_{y}+m^{2}\left(\gamma e_{3}+\delta e_{4}\right) \tag{3.49}
\end{align*}
$$

The compatibility conditions of system (3.46) are given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha_{y}+\alpha \frac{2 m_{y}}{m}=0, \quad \beta_{y}+\beta \frac{2 m_{y}}{m}=0, \quad \delta_{x}+\delta \frac{2 m_{x}}{m}=0, \quad \gamma_{x}+\gamma \frac{2 m_{x}}{m}=0 \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

The compatibility condition of the system (3.47)-(3.49) is given by

$$
(\ln m)_{x y}=\left(\frac{1}{2}-a\right) m^{2}
$$

Case (B.a): $K>0$, i.e. $a<\frac{1}{2}$. One can choose local coordinates $(x, y)$ such that

$$
m(x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{\frac{1}{2}-a}(x+y)}
$$

Case (B.a.1): $\alpha=\beta=0$. Equation (3.47) becomes

$$
L_{x x}=-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{x}
$$

Solving this equation and from $g=-\frac{1}{\left(\frac{1}{2}-a\right)(x+y)^{2}} d x d y$, we can get case (16).
Case (B.a.2): $\gamma=\delta=0$. After interchanging $x$ and $y$, we get case (16) as well.
Case (B.a.3): $\alpha=\gamma=0$. In this case, $A_{e_{4}}=I$ and hence $L+e_{4}$ is a constant vector in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$, say $c_{0}$. It is easy to check that $c_{0}$ is a spacelike vector and $\langle L, L\rangle=$ $\left\langle L, c_{0}\right\rangle=1$. Without loss of generality, we put $c_{0}=(0,0,0,0,1)$. Hence we have $x_{1}^{2}+x_{2}^{2}=x_{3}^{2}+x_{4}^{2}, x_{5}=1$, where $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{5}$ are coordinates of $L$ in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}$. So $M$ lies in $\mathcal{L C}_{2}^{3}=\left\{(y, 1) \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset S_{2}^{4}(1)$.

On the other hand, from (3.50) we have $\beta=c_{1}(x) / m^{2}, \delta=c_{2}(y) / m^{2}$ for some functions $c_{1}(x), c_{2}(y)$. Hence (3.47) and (3.49) become

$$
\begin{equation*}
L_{x x}=-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{x}+c_{1}(x)\left(c_{0}-L\right), \quad L_{y y}=-\frac{2}{x+y} L_{y}+c_{2}(y)\left(c_{0}-L\right) . \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

Solving this equation and from $g=\frac{1}{(a-1 / 2)(x+y)^{2}} d x d y$, we obtain case (17).
Case (B.a.4): $\beta=\delta=0$. Similar to case (B.a.3), we get case (17) as well.
Case (B.b): $K<0$, i.e. $a>\frac{1}{2}$. In this case, one can choose local coordinates $(x, y)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
m(x, y)=\frac{1}{\sqrt{a-\frac{1}{2}}(x-y)} \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

Case (B.b.1): $\alpha=\beta=0$. Equation (3.47) becomes

$$
L_{x x}=-\frac{2}{x-y} L_{x} .
$$

Solving this equation and from $g=-\frac{1}{(a-1 / 2)(x-y)^{2}} d x d y$, we have case (18).
Case (B.b.2): $\gamma=\delta=0$. After interchanging $x$ and $y$, we get case (18) as well.
Case (B.b.3): $\alpha=\gamma=0$. similar to case (B.a.3), we conclude that $M$ lies in $\mathcal{L C}_{2}^{3}=\left\{(y, 1) \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset S_{2}^{4}(1)$. In this case, (3.47) and (3.49) become

$$
L_{x x}=-\frac{2}{x-y} L_{x}+c_{3}(x)\left(c_{0}-L\right), \quad L_{y y}=\frac{2}{x-y} L_{y}+c_{4}(y)\left(c_{0}-L\right) .
$$

Solving this equation and from $g=-\frac{1}{(a-1 / 2)(x-y)^{2}} d x d y$, we obtain case (19).
Case (B.b.4): $\beta=\delta=0$. In this case, similar to case (B.2.3), we get case (19) as well.

Conversely, it is easy to verify that each of the 19 types of Lorentz surfaces in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ has parallel mean curvature vector.

## 4 Lorentz surfaces in $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$

In this section, we will give the classification of Lorentz surfaces in $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ with parallel mean curvature vector. The classification and the proof are similar to the ones in $S_{2}^{4}(1)$. In fact, the map $\phi: \mathbb{E}_{2}^{5} \rightarrow \mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}:\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}\right) \mapsto\left(x_{3}, x_{4}, x_{5}, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ takes $S_{2}^{4}(1)$ into $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ and is a conformal map with factor -1 . So we omit the proof here.

Let $\mathcal{G}_{b}=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \cdots, x_{5}\right) \in \mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}: x_{5}=x_{1}+b\right\}$. For any two vectors $a=\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{5}\right), b=\left(b_{1}, \ldots, b_{5}\right)$ in $\mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}$, we put $a * b=\left(a_{1} b_{1}, \ldots, a_{5} b_{5}\right)$. The following theorem classifies Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$.

Theorem 4.1. Let $M$ be a Lorentz surface with parallel mean curvature vector in de Sitter space-time $H_{2}^{4}(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}$, then $L$ is congruent to a surface of the following 19 families.

1. A minimal Lorentz surface of $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$;
2. A Lorentz surface of curvature -1 with constant lightlike mean curvature vector, lying in $\mathcal{G}_{0} \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$, which is defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\left(f(x, y), \quad \frac{x+y}{x-y}, \quad \frac{x y-1}{x-y}, \quad \frac{x y+1}{x-y}, \quad f(x, y)\right)
$$

for some function $f(x, y)$.
3. A Lorentz surface of curvature -1 defined by $L=-\frac{p(y)}{x-y}+q(y)$, where $p(y)$ is a curve lying in the light cone $\mathcal{L C}$ and $q(y)$ is a null curve satisfying $\left\langle p^{\prime}, q^{\prime}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle p, q^{\prime}\right\rangle=-2,\left\langle p^{\prime}, p^{\prime}\right\rangle=-4 ;$
4. A Lorentz marginally trapped surface of curvature -1 in $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ and lies in $\mathcal{L C}_{2}^{3}=\left\{(1, y) \in \mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset H_{2}^{4}(-1)$, which is defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x-y}(u(x) * z(y)+v(x) * w(y))+c_{0}
$$

where $c_{0}=(1,0,0,0,0), u^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 c_{1}(x) u(x)=v^{\prime \prime}(x)+2 c_{1}(x) v(x)=z^{\prime \prime}(y)+$ $2 c_{2}(y) z(y)=w^{\prime \prime}(y)+2 c_{2}(y) w(y)=0$ and $\left\langle u^{\prime}(x) * z(y)+v^{\prime}(x) * w(y)\right.$, $\left.u(x) * z^{\prime}(y)+v(x) * w^{\prime}(y)\right\rangle=-2$ for some functions $c_{1}(x)$ and $c_{2}(y)$.
5. a non-flat Lorentz surface which lies in $H_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ such that the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $H_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ satisfies $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=-1+r^{2}$.
6. a non-flat Lorentz surface which lies in $S_{3}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right) \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ such that the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $S_{3}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right) \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ satisfies $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=-1-r^{2}$.
7. A flat marginally trapped surface defined by

$$
L=\left(\frac{\sin 2 u}{2 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{\cos 2 u}{2 \sqrt{2}}, v^{2}+\frac{15}{8}, v^{2}+\frac{13}{8}, \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}\right) .
$$

8. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $H_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ such that the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $H_{2}^{4}\left(c_{0},-r^{2}\right) \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ satisfies $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=-1-2 a+$ $r^{2}$ for a nonzero real number $a$.
9. a non-flat CMC surface lying in $S_{3}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right) \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ such that the mean curvature vector $H^{\prime}$ of $M$ in $S_{3}^{4}\left(c_{0}, r^{2}\right) \cap H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ satisfies $\left\langle H^{\prime}, H^{\prime}\right\rangle=-1-2 a-r^{2}$ for a nonzero real number a.
10. A flat surface defined by

$$
L=\left( \pm \sqrt{1-\frac{1}{2 m}+\frac{1}{2 n}}, \frac{\cos \sqrt{m} u}{\sqrt{2 m}}, \frac{\sin \sqrt{m} u}{\sqrt{2 m}}, \frac{\cos \sqrt{n} v}{\sqrt{2 n}}, \frac{\sin \sqrt{n} v}{\sqrt{2 n}}\right),
$$

where $m=2 a^{2}+5 a+4, n=2 a-3$ for $a \in\left(-\infty,-\frac{1}{2}\right) \cup\left(-\frac{1}{2}, 0\right) \cup\left(\frac{3}{2}, 0\right)$.
11. A flat surface defined by

$$
L=\left(v^{2}+\frac{15}{8}, \frac{\cos 2 u}{2 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sin 2 u}{2 \sqrt{2}} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, v^{2}+\frac{13}{8}\right) .
$$

12. A flat surface defined by

$$
L=\left(v^{2}+\frac{33}{16}, \frac{\cos 4 u}{4 \sqrt{2}}, \frac{\sin 4 u}{4 \sqrt{2}} \frac{v}{\sqrt{2}}, v^{2}+\frac{29}{16}\right) .
$$

13. A flat surface defined by

$$
L=\left(\frac{\cos \sqrt{m} u}{\sqrt{2 m}}, \frac{\sin \sqrt{m} u}{\sqrt{2 m}}, \frac{\sinh \sqrt{-n} v}{\sqrt{-2 n}}, \frac{\cosh \sqrt{-n} v}{\sqrt{-2 n}}, \pm \sqrt{\frac{1}{2 m}-\frac{1}{2 n}-1}\right),
$$

where $m=2 a^{2}+5 a+4, n=2 a-3$ for $a \in\left(0, \frac{3}{2}\right)$.
14. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $H_{2}^{4}(-1) \cap \pi$, where $\pi$ is hyperplane of index 3 in $\mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}$.
15. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $H_{2}^{4}(-1) \cap \pi$, where $\pi$ is hyperplane of index 2 in $\mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}$.
16. A non-flat surface defined by

$$
L(x, y)=-\frac{1}{x+y} u(y)+v(y)
$$

where $u(y)$ is a curve lying in the light cone $\mathcal{L C}$ and $v(y)$ is a null curve satisfying $\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\frac{4}{1+2 a}$ and $\left\langle u, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=\frac{2}{2 a+1}$ for a real number $a<-1 / 2$.
17. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $\mathcal{L C}_{2}^{3}=\left\{(1, y) \in \mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset$ $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x+y}(u(x) * z(y)+v(x) * w(y))+c_{0}
$$

where $c_{0}=(1,0,0,0,0), u, v, z, w$ are curves in $\mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}$ satisfying $u^{\prime \prime}(x)-c_{1}(x) u(x)$ $=v^{\prime \prime}(x)-c_{1}(x) v(x)=z^{\prime \prime}(y)-c_{2}(y) z(y)=w^{\prime \prime}(y)-c_{2}(y) w(y)=0$, and $\left\langle u^{\prime}(x) * z(y)+v^{\prime}(x) * w(y), u(x) * z^{\prime}(y)+v(x) * w^{\prime}(y)\right\rangle=\frac{1}{a+1 / 2}$ for functions $c_{1}(x), c_{2}(y)$ and for a real number $a<-1 / 2$.
18. A non-flat surface defined by

$$
L(x, y)=-\frac{1}{x-y} u(y)+v(y)
$$

where $u(y)$ is a curve lying in the light cone $\mathcal{L C}$ and $v(y)$ is a null curve satisfying $\left\langle u^{\prime}, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=0,\left\langle u^{\prime}, u^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\frac{4}{2 a+1}$ and $\left\langle u, v^{\prime}\right\rangle=-\frac{2}{2 a+1}$ for a real number $\left.a\right\rangle-1 / 2$.
19. A non-flat CMC surface lying in $\mathcal{L C}_{2}^{3}=\left\{(1, y) \in \mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}:\langle y, y\rangle=0, y \in \mathbb{E}_{2}^{4}\right\} \subset$ $H_{2}^{4}(-1)$ defined by

$$
L(x, y)=\frac{1}{x-y}(u(x) * z(y)+v(x) * w(y))+c_{0}
$$

where $c_{0}=(1,0,0,0,0), u, v, z, w$ are curves in $\mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}$ satisfying $u^{\prime \prime}(x)-c_{1}(x) u(x)$ $=v^{\prime \prime}(x)-c_{1}(x) v(x)=z^{\prime \prime}(y)-c_{2}(y) z(y)=w^{\prime \prime}(y)-c_{2}(y) w(y)=0$, and $\left\langle u^{\prime}(x) * z(y)+v^{\prime}(x) * w(y), u(x) * z^{\prime}(y)+v(x) * w^{\prime}(y)\right\rangle=-\frac{2}{(2 a+1)}$ for functions $c_{1}(x), c_{2}(y)$ and for a real number $a>-1 / 2$.

Remark 4.2. Case (2)-(7) are marginally trapped Lorentz surfaces with parallel mean curvature vector in $H_{2}^{4}(-1) \subset \mathbb{E}_{3}^{5}$.
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