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#### Abstract

Some new existence theorems are obtained for periodic solutions of nonautonomous second order Hamiltonian systems with ( $q, p$ )-Laplacian by using the least action principle and the minimax methods.


## 1 Introduction

In the last two decades many authors starting with Mawhin and Willem (see [2]) proved the existence of solutions for the Hamiltonian systems:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\frac{d}{d t}\left(|\dot{u}(t)|^{p-2} \dot{u}(t)\right)=\nabla F(t, u(t)) \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T],  \tag{1.1}\\
u(0)-u(T)=\dot{u}(0)-\dot{u}(T)=0,
\end{array}\right.
$$

with $p=2$ or more general with $p>1$, under suitable conditions on the potential $F$ (see [8]-[21] and references therein).

[^0]Inspired by some of these papers in [1], [3], [4], [5], [6], the authors have considered the extensions to second-order Hamiltonian systems with $(q, p)$-Laplacian:

$$
\begin{cases}\frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}(t)\right)=\nabla_{u_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T],  \tag{1.2}\\ \frac{d}{d t}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}(t)\right)=\nabla_{u_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right), & \text { a.e. } t \in[0, T], \\ u_{1}(0)-u_{1}(T)=\dot{u}_{1}(0)-\dot{u}_{1}(T)=0, & \\ u_{2}(0)-u_{2}(T)=\dot{u}_{2}(0)-\dot{u}_{2}(T)=0, & \end{cases}
$$

where $1<p, q<+\infty, T>0$, and $F:[0, T] \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ satisfy the following assumption $(A)$ :

- $F$ is measurable in $t$ for each $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$;
- $F$ is continuously differentiable in $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$;
- there exist $a_{1}, a_{2} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$and $b \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$such that

$$
\left|F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|,\left|\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right|,\left|\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq\left[a_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)+a_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right)\right] b(t)
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
The aim of this paper is to obtain new existence results for system 1.2 by imposing a more general growth conditions on the potential $F$. More precisely we assume that there exist constants $C_{i}^{*}>0$ and two positive control functions $h_{i} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), i=1,2$, which satisfied the following restrictions:
(i) $h_{i}(s) \leq h_{i}(t)$ for all $s \leq t, s, t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,
(ii) $h_{i}(s+t) \leq C_{i}^{*}(h(s)+h(t)) \quad$ for all $s, t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,
(iii) $t h_{1}(t)-q H_{1}(t) \rightarrow-\infty \quad$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $H_{1}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} h_{1}(s) d s$,
(iv) $t h_{2}(t)-p H_{2}(t) \rightarrow-\infty \quad$ as $t \rightarrow \infty$, where $H_{2}(t)=\int_{0}^{t} h_{2}(s) d s$,
(v) $\frac{H_{1}(t)}{t} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$,
(vi) $\frac{H_{2}(t)}{t p} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

The main results are the following theorems.
Theorem 1.1. Suppose that $F$ satisfies assumption ( $A$ ) and the following conditions:
$\left(H_{1}\right)$ There exist two positive control functions $h_{i} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$with the properties (i)-(vi). Moreover, there exist $f_{i}, g_{i} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), i=1,2$, such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{1}(t) h_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)+g_{1}(t), \\
\left|\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{2}(t) h_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right)+g_{2}(t)
\end{array}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$;
$\left(H_{2}\right)$ There exist two positive control functions $h_{i} \in C\left(\mathbb{R}^{+}, \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), i=1,2$, which satisfy the conditions (i)-(vi), and assume that

$$
\frac{1}{H_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right)} \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d t>0 \quad \text { as }|x|:=\sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty
$$

for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Then problem (1.2) has at least one solution which minimizes the function $\varphi$ given by

$$
\varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right):=\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t
$$

On the Banach space $W:=W_{T}^{1, q} \times W_{T}^{1, p}$ (details see Section 2).
Remark 1.1. Theorem 1 in [4] are obtained under the following conditions:
$\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ There exist $f_{i}, g_{i} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), i=1,2$ and $\alpha_{1} \in[0, q-1), \alpha_{2} \in[0, p-1)$ such that

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\left|\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{1}(t)\left|x_{1}\right|^{\alpha_{1}}+g_{1}(t) \\
\left|\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{2}(t)\left|x_{2}\right|^{\alpha_{2}}+g_{2}(t)
\end{array}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T] ;$
$\left(H_{2}\right)^{\prime} \frac{1}{\left|x_{1}\right|^{q^{\prime} \alpha_{1}}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{\left.\right|^{\prime} \alpha_{2}}} \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d t \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ as $|x|=\sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty$, where $q^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}$ be such that $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$.
Theorem 1.1 generalizes Theorem 1 in [4] partly. Indeed, if we replace $\left(H_{1}\right)^{\prime}$ with the following more stronger assumption
$\left(H_{1}\right)^{*}$ There exist $f_{i}, g_{i} \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right), i=1,2$ and $\alpha_{1} \in\left[1 / q^{\prime}, q-1\right), \alpha_{2} \in\left[1 / p^{\prime}\right.$, $p-1)$ such that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{1}(t)\left|x_{1}\right|^{q^{\prime} \alpha_{1}-1}+g_{1}(t), \\
& \left|\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq f_{2}(t)\left|x_{2}\right|^{p^{\prime} \alpha_{2}-1}+g_{2}(t)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$,
and take the control functions $h_{1}(t)=t^{q^{\prime} \alpha_{1}-1}, h_{2}(t)=t^{p^{\prime} \alpha_{2}-1}$, then we see that condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$ is much weaker than $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$. Theorem 1 in [4] it follows from Theorem 1.1 under assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)^{*}$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$. Moreover, if $q=p=2$, $F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=F_{1}\left(t, x_{1}\right), h_{1}(t)=t^{q^{\prime} \alpha_{1}-1}$ with $\alpha_{1} \in[1 / 2,1)$ and
$\left(H_{1}\right)^{* *}\left|\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, x_{1}\right)\right| \leq f_{1}(t)\left|x_{1}\right|^{2 \alpha_{1}-1}+g_{1}(t) \quad$ for all $x_{1} \in \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and a.e. $t \in[0, T]$,
Theorem 1 in [10] it follows also from Theorem 1.1 under assumptions $\left(H_{1}\right)^{* *}$ and $\left(\mathrm{H}_{2}\right)$. There are functions $F$ satisfying our Theorem 1.1 and not satisfying the results in [4, 10]. For example let

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=|f(t)| \frac{\left|x_{1}\right|^{q}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{p}}{\ln \left(e+\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $f \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$. Then, for all $\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N}$ and $t \in[0, T]$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq(2+q)|f(t)| \frac{\left|x_{1}\right|^{q-1}}{\ln \left(e+\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}\right)^{\prime}} \\
& \left|\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right| \leq(2+p)|f(t)| \frac{\left|x_{2}\right|^{p-1}}{\ln \left(e+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{\prime}}
\end{aligned}
$$

which implies that we cannot apply Theorem 1 in [4]. On the other hand, if we take

$$
h_{1}(t)=\frac{t^{q-1}}{\ln \left(e+t^{2}\right)} \quad \text { and } \quad h_{2}(t)=\frac{t^{p-1}}{\ln \left(e+t^{2}\right)}
$$

we can see that conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and $\left(H_{2}\right)$ are satisfied. Therefore Theorem 1.1 is a new result.

Theorem 1.2. Suppose that $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and assumption $(A)$ hold. Assume that
$\left(H_{3}\right) \frac{1}{H_{1}\left(\left|x_{1}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|x_{2}\right|\right)} \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right) d t<0 \quad$ as $|x|=\sqrt{\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty$ for a.e. $t \in[0, T]$.
Then problem (1.2) has at least one solution in $W$.
Remark 1.2. Theorem 1.2 is also a new result. What's more, there are functions $F$ satisfying our Theorem 1.2 and not satisfying the results in [4, 10]. For example let

$$
F\left(t, x_{1}, x_{2}\right)=-|f(t)| \frac{\left|x_{1}\right|^{q}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{p}}{\ln \left(e+\left|x_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|x_{2}\right|^{2}\right)^{2}}
$$

where $f \in L^{1}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{+}\right)$.

## 2 Preliminaries

For the sake of convenience, in the following we will denote various positive constants as $c_{i}, i=1,2,3, \ldots$. Firstly, we introduce some functional spaces. Let $T>0,1<q, p<+\infty$ and use $|\cdot|$ to denote the Euclidean norm in $\mathbb{R}^{N}$. We denote by $W_{T}^{1, p}$ the Sobolev space of functions $u \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$ having a weak derivative $\dot{u} \in L^{p}\left(0, T ; \mathbb{R}^{N}\right)$. The norm in $W_{T}^{1, p}$ is defined by

$$
\|u\|_{W_{T}^{1, p}}:=\left(\int_{0}^{T}\left(|u(t)|^{p}+|\dot{u}(t)|^{p}\right) d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} .
$$

Furthermore, we use the space $W$ defined by

$$
W:=W_{T}^{1, q} \times W_{T}^{1, p}
$$

with the norm $\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{W}:=\left\|u_{1}\right\|_{W_{T}^{1, q}}+\left\|u_{2}\right\|_{W_{T}^{1, p}}$. It is clear that $W$ is a reflexive Banach space.

For $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}$, let $\bar{u}:=\frac{1}{T} \int_{0}^{T} u(t) d t$ and $\tilde{u}(t):=u(t)-\bar{u}$, then one has

$$
\begin{array}{cc}
\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty} \leq c_{1}\|\dot{u}\|_{p}, \quad\|\tilde{v}\|_{\infty} \leq c_{1}\|\dot{v}\|_{q}, \quad \text { (Sobolev's inequality) } \\
\|\tilde{u}\|_{p} \leq c_{2}\|\dot{u}\|_{p} d t, \quad\|\tilde{v}\|_{q} \leq c_{2}\|\dot{v}\|_{q} \quad \text { (Wirtinger's inequality) }
\end{array}
$$

for each $u \in W_{T}^{1, p}, v \in W_{T}^{1, q}$, where $\|u\|_{p}:=\left(\int_{0}^{T}|u(t)|^{p} d t\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and $\|\tilde{u}\|_{\infty}:=$ $\max _{0 \leq t \leq T}|\tilde{u}(t)|$.

It follows from assumption (A) that functional $\varphi$ on $W$ given by

$$
\varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)=\frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right) d t
$$

is continuously differentiable and weakly lower semicontinuous on $W$ (see [4]). Moreover, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right)=\int_{0}^{T} & \left(\left|\dot{u}_{1}\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1}, \dot{v}_{1}\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\left|\dot{u}_{2}\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2}, \dot{v}_{2}\right) d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)} F\left(t, u_{1}, u_{2}\right),\left(v_{1}, v_{2}\right)\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $u_{i} \in W_{T}^{1, q}, v_{i} \in W_{T}^{1, p}, i=1,2$. It is well known that the solutions of problem (1.2) correspond to the critical points of the functional $\varphi$.

To proof of our main theorems, we need the following auxiliary result.
Proposition 2.1. Let $q^{\prime}$ and $p^{\prime}$ be such that $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$ and $\frac{1}{p}+\frac{1}{p^{\prime}}=1$. Suppose that there exist two positive functions $h_{i}(t), i=1,2$, which satisfy the conditions (i), (iii)-(vi) of $\left(H_{1}\right)$, then we have the following estimates:
(a) $0<h_{1}(t) \leq \epsilon_{1} t^{q-1}+c_{3} \quad$ for any $\epsilon_{1}>0, t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,
(b) $0<h_{2}(t) \leq \epsilon_{2} t^{p-1}+c_{4} \quad$ for any $\epsilon_{2}>0, t \in \mathbb{R}^{+}$,

(d) $\frac{h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}(t)}{H_{2}(t)} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$,
(e) $H_{1}(t) \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$,
(f) $\mathrm{H}_{2}(t) \rightarrow+\infty \quad$ as $t \rightarrow+\infty$.

Proof. We only need to proof the estimates (a), (c), (e), the others are similar. It follows from (v) of $\left(H_{1}\right)$ that, for any $\epsilon_{1}>0$, there exists $M_{1}>0$ such that

$$
H_{1}(t) \leq \varepsilon_{1} t^{q} \quad \forall t \geq M_{1}
$$

Observe that (iii) of $\left(H_{1}\right)$, there exists $M_{2}>0$ such that

$$
t h_{1}(t)-q H_{1}(t) \leq 0 \quad \forall t \geq M_{2}
$$

which implies that

$$
h_{1}(t) \leq \frac{q H_{1}(t)}{t} \leq q \epsilon_{1} q^{q-1} \quad \forall t \geq M
$$

where $M:=\max \left\{M_{1}, M_{2}\right\}$. Hence we obtain

$$
h_{1}(t) \leq q \epsilon_{1} t^{q-1}+h_{1}(M)
$$

for all $t>0$ by (i) of $\left(H_{1}\right)$. Obviously, $h_{1}(t)$ satisfies (a) due to the definition of $h_{1}(t)$ and the above inequality.

Next, we turn to (b). Recalling the property (v) of $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and the fact $\frac{1}{q}+\frac{1}{q^{\prime}}=1$, we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& 0<\frac{h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}(t)}{H_{1}(t)}=\frac{h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}(t)}{H_{1} q^{\prime}(t)} \cdot H_{1} q^{\prime}-1 \\
&(t) \leq\left(\frac{q}{t}\right)^{q^{\prime}} \cdot H_{1}^{q^{\prime}-1}(t) \\
&=q^{q^{\prime}} \cdot \frac{H_{1}^{q^{\prime}-1}(t)}{t q^{\prime}}=q^{q^{\prime}}\left(\frac{H_{1}(t)}{t q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q-1}} \rightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty .
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, estimate (c) holds.
Finally, we show that (e) is also true. By (iii) of $\left(H_{1}\right)$, one arrives at, for every $L>0$, there exists $M_{3}>0$ such that

$$
t h_{1}(t)-q H_{1}(t) \leq-L \quad \forall t \geq M_{3} .
$$

So, one has

$$
\theta t h_{1}(\theta t)-q H_{1}(\theta t) \leq-L
$$

for all $|\theta t| \geq M_{3}$. Then we have

$$
\frac{d}{d \theta}\left[\frac{H_{1}(\theta t)}{\theta^{q}}\right]=\frac{\theta t \cdot h_{1}(\theta t)-q H_{1}(\theta t)}{\theta^{q+1}} \leq-\frac{L}{\theta^{q+1}}=\frac{d}{d \theta}\left(\frac{L}{q \theta^{q}}\right) .
$$

Let $\theta>1$, integrating both sides of the above inequality from 1 to $\theta$, we obtain

$$
\frac{H_{1}(\theta t)}{\theta^{q}}-H_{1}(t) \leq \frac{L}{q \theta^{q}}-\frac{L}{q}=\frac{L}{q}\left(\frac{1}{\theta^{q}-1}\right) .
$$

Let $\theta \rightarrow+\infty$ in the above inequality, and by (v) of $\left(H_{1}\right)$, one has

$$
H_{1}(t) \geq \frac{L}{q}
$$

for all $t \geq M_{3}$. By the arbitrariness of $L$, we have

$$
H_{1}(t) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as } t \rightarrow+\infty,
$$

which completes the proof.

## 3 Proof of main results

Now, we are ready to proof our main results.
Proof of Theorem 1.1. It follows from $\left(H_{1}\right)$ and Sobolev's inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mid \int_{0}^{T}\left[F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)-F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)\right] d t \mid\right. \\
& \leq\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left[F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)-F\left(t, u_{1}(t), \bar{u}_{2}\right)\right] d t|+| \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), \bar{u}_{2}\right)-F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)\right] d t\right| \\
& =\left|\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1}(t), \bar{u}_{2}+s_{2} \tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right), \tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right) d s d t\right| \\
& +\left|\int_{0}^{T} \int_{0}^{1}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}+s_{1} \tilde{u}_{1}(t), \bar{u}_{2}\right), \tilde{u}_{1}(t)\right) d s d t\right| \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} f_{2}(t) h_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|+\left|\tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right|\right)\left|\tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T} g_{2}(t)\left|\tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right| d t+\int_{0}^{T} g_{1}(t)\left|\tilde{u}_{1}(t)\right| d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} f_{1}(t) h_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|+\left|\tilde{u}_{1}(t)\right|\right)\left|\tilde{u}_{1}(t)\right| d t \\
& \leq \int_{0}^{T} f_{2}(t)\left[C_{2}^{*}\left(h_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+h_{2}\left(\left|\tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right|\right)\right]\left|\tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right| d t+\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} g_{2}(t) d t\right. \\
& +\int_{0}^{T} f_{1}(t)\left[C_{1}^{*}\left(h_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+h_{2}\left(\left|\tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right|\right)\right]\left|\tilde{u}_{1}(t)\right| d t+\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} g_{1}(t) d t\right. \\
& \leq C_{2}^{*}\left[h_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)+h_{2}\left(\left|\tilde{u}_{2}(t)\right|\right)\right]\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} f_{2}(t) d t+\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} g_{2}(t) d t \\
& +C_{1}^{*}\left[h_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+h_{1}\left(\left|\tilde{u}_{1}(t)\right|\right)\right]\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} f_{1}(t) d t+\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} g_{1}(t) d t \\
& \leq C_{2}^{*}\left[\frac{1}{2 p C_{2}^{*} c_{1}^{p}}\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty}^{p}+2 p C_{2}^{*} c_{1}^{p} h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T} f_{2}(t) d t\right)^{p^{\prime}}\right]+\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} g_{2}(t) d t \\
& C_{2}^{*} h_{2}\left(\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} f_{2}(t) d t+\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} g_{1}(t) d t+C_{1}^{*} h_{1}\left(\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} f_{1}(t) d t \\
& +C_{1}^{*}\left[\frac{1}{2 q C_{1}^{*} c_{1}^{q}}\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{q}+2 q C_{1}^{*} c_{1}^{q} h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)\left(\int_{0}^{T} f_{1}(t) d t\right)^{q^{\prime}}\right] \\
& \leq \frac{1}{2 p}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p}+c_{5} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)+c_{6}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}+C_{2}^{*}\left[\epsilon_{2}\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty}^{p-1}+c_{4}\right]\left\|\tilde{u}_{2}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} f_{2}(t) d t \\
& +\frac{1}{2 q}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}^{q}+c_{7} h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+c_{8}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}+C_{1}^{*}\left[\epsilon_{1}\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty}^{q-1}+c_{3}\right]\left\|\tilde{u}_{1}\right\|_{\infty} \int_{0}^{T} f_{1}(t) d t \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 q}+\epsilon_{1} c_{10}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}^{q}+c_{7} h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}+\epsilon_{2} c_{12}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& +c_{5} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)+c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p} . \tag{3.1}
\end{align*}
$$

Hence, we see that

$$
\begin{align*}
\varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left[F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \left.-F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)\right] d t+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right) d t \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{2 q}-\epsilon_{1} c_{10}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}^{q}-c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-c_{12} \epsilon_{2} c_{12}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p}-c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p} \\
& +\left(H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)\right)\left[\frac{1}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)} \int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right) d t\right. \\
& \left.-c_{7} \frac{h_{1} q^{\prime}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)}-c_{5} \frac{h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)}\right] . \tag{3.2}
\end{align*}
$$

By Proposition 2.1, we observe that
$\frac{h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)} \rightarrow 0, \quad \frac{h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)} \rightarrow 0 \quad$ as $\sqrt{\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|^{2}+\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty$.
These together with (3.2), by $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and Proposition 2.1, for $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ small enough, one has

$$
\varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \text { as }\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{W} \rightarrow+\infty .
$$

Then, by the least action principle,, problem (1.2) has at least one solution which minimizes the function $\varphi$.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. First we prove that $\varphi$ satisfies the (PS) condition. Suppose that $\left\{\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right\} \subset W$ is a (PS) sequence for $\varphi$, that is, $\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow$ $+\infty$ and $\left\{\varphi\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. In a way similar to the proof of Theorem 1.1, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1 n}(t), u_{2 n}(t)\right), \tilde{u}_{1 n}(t)\right) d t+\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1 n}(t), u_{2 n}(t)\right), \tilde{u}_{2 n}(t)\right) d t\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1 n}(t), u_{2 n}(t)\right), \tilde{u}_{1 n}(t)\right) d t\right|+\left|\int_{0}^{T}\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1 n}(t), u_{2 n}(t)\right), \tilde{u}_{2 n}(t)\right) d t\right| \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 q}+\epsilon_{1} c_{10}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}^{q}+c_{7} h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}+\epsilon_{2} c_{12}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad+c_{5} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)+c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $n$. Hence, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(\tilde{u}_{1 n}, \tilde{u}_{2 n}\right)\right\|_{W} \geq & \left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right),\left(\tilde{u}_{1 n}, \tilde{u}_{2 n}\right)\right) \\
= & \int_{0}^{T}\left[\left(\nabla_{x_{1}} F\left(t, u_{1 n}(t), u_{2 n}(t)\right), \tilde{u}_{1 n}(t)\right)+\left(\left|\dot{u}_{1 n}(t)\right|^{q-2} \dot{u}_{1 n}(t), \dot{u}_{1 n}(t)\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\left(\nabla_{x_{2}} F\left(t, u_{1 n}(t), u_{2 n}(t)\right), \tilde{u}_{2 n}(t)\right)+\left(\left|\dot{u}_{2 n}(t)\right|^{p-2} \dot{u}_{2 n}(t), \dot{u}_{2 n}(t)\right)\right] d t \\
\geq & \left(1-\frac{1}{2 q}-\epsilon_{1} c_{10}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{1 n}\right\|_{q}^{q}-c_{7} h_{1} q^{\prime}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)-c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q} \\
& +\left(1-\frac{1}{2 p}-\epsilon_{2} c_{12}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{2 n}\right\|_{p}^{p}-c_{5} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)-c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p} \tag{3.3}
\end{align*}
$$

for large $n$. On the other hand, it follows from Wirtinger's inequality that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(\tilde{u}_{1 n}, \tilde{u}_{2 n}\right)\right\|_{W} & =\left\|\tilde{u}_{1 n}\right\|_{W_{T}^{1, q}}+\left\|\tilde{u}_{2 n}\right\|_{W_{T}^{1, p}} \leq\left(1+c_{2}^{q}\right)^{\frac{1}{q}}\left\|\dot{u}_{1 n}\right\|_{q}+\left(1+c_{2}^{p}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}\left\|\dot{u}_{2 n}\right\|_{p} \\
& :=c_{13}\left\|\left(\dot{u}_{1 n}, \dot{u}_{2 n}\right)\right\|_{L^{q} \times L^{p}} \tag{3.4}
\end{align*}
$$

for all $n$. Combing (3.3) with (3.4), we obtain

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{13}\left\|\left(\dot{u}_{1 n}, \dot{u}_{2 n}\right)\right\|_{L^{q} \times L^{p}} & \geq\left(1-\frac{1}{2 q}-\epsilon_{1} c_{10}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{1 n}\right\|_{q}^{q}-c_{7} h_{1}{ }^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)-c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q} \\
& +\left(1-\frac{1}{2 p}-\epsilon_{2} c_{12}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{2 n}\right\|_{p}^{p}-c_{5} h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)-c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}
\end{aligned}
$$

for $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ small enough, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
c_{14}\left[h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)+1\right] \geq\left\|\dot{u}_{1 n}\right\|_{q}^{q}+\left\|\dot{u}_{2 n}\right\|_{p}^{p} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all large $n$. By the proof of (3.1), we also have

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{T}\left[F\left(t, u_{1 n}(t), u_{2 n}(t)\right)-F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1 n}, \bar{u}_{2 n}\right)\right] d t \\
& \leq\left(\frac{1}{2 q}+\epsilon_{1} c_{10}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}^{q}+c_{7} h_{1} q^{\prime}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1}\right|\right)+c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}+\epsilon_{2} c_{12}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& \quad+c_{5} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2}\right|\right)+c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, by (3.5), (3.6), Proposition 2.1 and $\left(H_{3}\right)$, one has

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1 n}\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2 n}\right|^{p} d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left[F\left(t, u_{1 n}(t), u_{2 n}(t)\right)-F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1 n}, \bar{u}_{2 n}\right)\right] d t+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1 n}, \bar{u}_{2 n}\right) d t \\
\leq & \left(\frac{3}{2 q}+\epsilon_{1} c_{10}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{1 n}\right\|_{q}^{q}+\left(\frac{3}{2 p}+\epsilon_{2} c_{12}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{2 n}\right\|_{p}^{p}+c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1 n}\right\|_{q} \\
& +c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2 n}\right\|_{p}+c_{7} h_{1}{ }^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+c_{5} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1 n}, \bar{u}_{2 n}\right) d t \\
\leq & c_{15}\left[h_{1} q^{\prime}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)+1\right]+c_{16}\left[h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)\right. \\
& \left.+h_{2} p^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)+1\right]^{\frac{1}{q}}+C_{17}\left[h_{1} q^{\prime}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)+1\right]^{\frac{1}{p}} \\
& +c_{7} h_{1} q^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+c_{5} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1 n}, \bar{u}_{2 n}\right) d t \\
\leq & c_{18} h_{1} q^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+c_{19} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)+c_{20} h_{1}{ }^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{q}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+c_{21} h_{2}{ }^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right) \\
& +c_{22} h_{1}^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+c_{23} h_{2}^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{p}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)+c_{24}+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1 n}, \bar{u}_{2 n}\right) d t
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
= & \left(H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)\right)\left[\frac{c_{18} h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)}+\frac{c_{19} h_{2}{ }^{p^{\prime}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)}\right. \\
& +\frac{c_{20} h_{1} \frac{q^{\prime}}{q}}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)} \\
& +\frac{c_{22} h_{1} h^{\frac{q^{\prime}}{p}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)}+\frac{c_{21} h_{2}{ }^{\frac{p^{\prime}}{q}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)} \\
& +\frac{c_{23} h_{2}^{\frac{p}{}^{p}}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)} \\
H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+H_{24}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right) & \left.\frac{\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, \bar{u}_{1 n}, \bar{u}_{2 n}\right) d t}{H_{1}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|\right)+H_{2}\left(\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|\right)}\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

note $p, q>1$, which implies that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right) \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as } \sqrt{\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|^{2}+\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|^{2}} \rightarrow+\infty \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

This contradicts the boundedness of $\left\{\varphi\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right\}$. So, $\left\{\left|\bar{u}_{1 n}\right|^{2}+\left|\bar{u}_{2 n}\right|^{2}\right\}$ is bounded, by (3.5), we know $\left\{\left(u_{1 n}, u_{2 n}\right)\right\}$ is bounded. Using the same arguments of [4], we conclude that the (PS) condition is satisfied.

Let $\tilde{W}:=\tilde{W}_{T}^{1, q} \times \tilde{W}_{T}^{1, p}$ be the subspace of $W$ given by

$$
\tilde{W}:\left\{\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in W \mid\left(\bar{u}_{1}, \bar{u}_{2}\right)=(0,0)\right\} .
$$

Then, for $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \tilde{W}$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \rightarrow+\infty \quad \text { as }\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|_{W} \rightarrow+\infty . \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Indeed, for $D_{1}, D_{2}>0$ and $\epsilon_{1}, \epsilon_{2}$ small enough, by the proof of (3.6), we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
\varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)= & \frac{1}{q} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{1}(t)\right|^{q} d t+\frac{1}{p} \int_{0}^{T}\left|\dot{u}_{2}(t)\right|^{p} d t \\
& +\int_{0}^{T}\left[F\left(t, u_{1}(t), u_{2}(t)\right)-F\left(t, D_{1}, D_{2}\right)\right] d t+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, D_{1}, D_{2}\right) d t \\
\geq & \left(\frac{1}{2 q}-\epsilon_{1} c_{10}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}^{q}-c_{7} h_{1}^{q^{\prime}}\left(D_{1}\right)-c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}+\left(\frac{1}{2 p}-\epsilon_{2} c_{12}\right)\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p} \\
& -c_{5} h_{2}^{p^{\prime}}\left(D_{2}\right)-c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}+\int_{0}^{T} F\left(t, D_{1}, D_{2}\right) d t \\
\geq & c_{25}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}^{q}-c_{9}\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}-c_{26}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}^{p}-c_{11}\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}-c_{27}
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \in \tilde{W}$. By the Wirtinger's inequality, the norm

$$
\left\|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right\|=\left\|\left(\dot{u}_{1}, \dot{u}_{2}\right)\right\|_{L^{q} \times L^{p}}=\left\|\dot{u}_{1}\right\|_{q}+\left\|\dot{u}_{2}\right\|_{p}
$$

is an equivalent norm on $\tilde{W}$. Hence, (3.8) follows from the equivalence and the above inequality.

On the other hand, by $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$ and Proposition 2.1, we have

$$
\varphi\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right) \rightarrow-\infty \quad \text { as }\left|\left(u_{1}, u_{2}\right)\right| \rightarrow+\infty \text { in } \mathbb{R}^{N} \times \mathbb{R}^{N} .
$$

Then, by Saddle Point Theorem [7], problem (1.2) has at least one solution in $W$, and the proof hereby is complete.
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