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#### Abstract

Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 , complete with respect to an ultrametric absolute value. We show that if the Wronskian of two entire functions in $K$ is a polynomial, then both functions are polynomials. As a consequence, if a meromorphic function $f$ on all $K$ is transcendental and has finitely many multiple poles, then $f^{\prime}$ takes all values in $K$ infinitely many times. We then study applications to a meromorphic function $f$ such that $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$ has finitely many zeros, a problem linked to the Hayman conjecture on a p -adic field.


## 1 Introduction and Main Results

Notation and Definitions. Let $K$ be an algebraically closed field of characteristic 0 , complete with respect to an ultrametric absolute value $|$.$| . Given \alpha \in K$ and $R \in \mathbb{R}_{+}^{*}$, we denote by $d(\alpha, R)$ the disk $\left\{x \in K||x-\alpha| \leq R\}\right.$ and by $d\left(\alpha, R^{-}\right)$the disk $\{x \in K||x-\alpha|<R\}$, by $\mathcal{A}(K)$ the $K$-algebra of analytic functions in $K$ (i.e. the set of power series with an infinite radius of convergence), by $\mathcal{M}(K)$ the field of meromorphic functions in $K$ and by $K(x)$ the field of rational functions. Given $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(K)$, we denote by $W(f, g)$ the Wronskian $f^{\prime} g-f g^{\prime}$.

We know that any non-constant entire function $f \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ takes all values in K. More precisely, a function $f \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ other than a polynomial takes all values in $K$ infinitely many times (see [5], [8], [9]). Next, a non-constant meromorphic

[^0]function $f \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ takes every value in $K$, except at most one value. And more precisely, a meromorphic function $f \in \mathcal{M}(K) \backslash K(x)$ takes every value in $K$ infinitely many times except at most one value (see [5], [9]).

Many previous studies were made on Picard's exceptional values for complex and p-adic functions and their derivatives (see [1], [3], [6], [7], [8]). Here we mean to examine precisely whether the derivative of a transcendental meromorphic function in $K$ having finitely many multiple poles, may admit a value that is taken finitely many times and then we will look for applications to Hayman's problem when $m=2$.

From Theorem 4 [6], we can state the following Theorem A:
Theorem A: Let $h, l \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ satisfy $W(h, l)=c \in K$, with h non-affine. Then $c=0$ and $\frac{h}{l}$ is a constant.

Now we can improve Theorem A:
Theorem 1: Let $f, g \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ be such that $W(f, g)$ is a non-identically zero polynomial. Then both $f, g$ are polynomials.

Remark: Theorem 1 does not hold in characteristic $p \neq 0$. Indeed, suppose the characteristic of $K$ is $p \neq 0$. Let $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(K)$. Let $f=x(\psi)^{p}$ and let $g=(x+1) \psi^{-p}$. Since $p \neq 0$, we have $f^{\prime}=(\psi)^{p}, g^{\prime}=\psi^{-p}$ hence $W(f, g)=1$ and this is true for any function $\psi \in \mathcal{A}(K)$.

Theorem 2: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K) \backslash K(x)$ have finitely many multiple poles. Then $f^{\prime}$ takes every value $b \in K$ infinitely many times.

We can easily show Corollary 2.1 from Theorem 2, though it is possible to get it through an expansion in simple elements.

Corollary 2.1: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K) \backslash K(x)$. Then $f^{\prime}$ belongs to $\mathcal{M}(K) \backslash K(x)$.
Open question: Do exists transcendental meromorphic functions $f$ such that $f^{\prime}$ has finitely many zeros? By Theorem 2 , such functions should have infinitely many multiple poles.

Now, we can look for some applications to Hayman's problem in a p-adic field. Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K)$. Recall that in [9], [10] it was shown that if $m$ is an integer $\geq 5$ or $m=1$, then $f^{\prime}+f^{m}$ has infinitely many zeros that are not zeros of $f$. If $m=3$ or $m=4$, for many functions $f \in \mathcal{M}(K), f^{\prime}+f^{m}$ has infinitely many zeros that are not zeros of $f$ (see [2], [10]) but there remain some cases where it is impossible to conclude, except when the field has residue characteristic equal to zero (see [10]). When $m=2$, few results are known. Recall also that as far as complex meromorphic functions $f$ are concerned, $f^{\prime}+f^{m}$ has infinitely many zeros that are not zeros of $f$ for every $m \geq 3$, but obvious counter-examples show this is wrong for $m=1$ (with $f(x)=e^{x}$ ) and for $m=2$ (with $f(x)=\tan (-x)$ ). Here we will particularly examine functions $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$, with $b \in K^{*}$.

Theorem 3: Let $b \in K^{*}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ have finitely many zeros and finitely many residues at its simple poles equal to $\frac{1}{b}$ and be such that $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$ has finitely many zeros. Then $f$ belongs to $K(x)$.

Remark: If $f(x)=\frac{1}{x}$, the function $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$ has no zero whenever $b \neq 1$.
Theorem 4: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K) \backslash K(x)$ have finitely many multiple zeros and let $b \in K$. Then $\frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{2}}+b$ has infinitely many zeros. Moreover, if $b \neq 0$, every zero a of $\frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{2}}+b$ that is not a zero of $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$ is a simple pole of $f$ such that the residue of $f$ at $\alpha$ is equal to $\frac{1}{b}$.
Corollary 4.1 : Let $b \in K^{*}$ and let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K) \backslash K(x)$ have finitely many multiple zeros and finitely many simple poles. Then $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$ has infinitely many zeros that are not zeros of $f$.

Remark: In Archimedean analysis, the typical example of a meromorphic function $f$ such that $f^{\prime}+f^{2}$ has no zero is $\tan (-x)$ and its residue is 1 at each pole of $f$. Here we find the same implication but we can't find an example satisfying such properties.

## 2 The Proofs

Notation: Given $f \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ and $r>0$, we denote by $|f|(r)$ the norm of uniform convergence on the disk $d(0, r)$. This norm is known to be multiplicative (see [4], [5]).

Lemma 1 is well known (see Theorem 13.5 [4]) :
Lemma 1: Let $f \in \mathcal{M}(K)$. Then $\left|f^{(k-1)}\right|(r) \leq \frac{|f|(r)}{r^{k-1}} \forall r>0, \forall k \in \mathbb{N}^{*}$.
Proof of Theorem 1: First, by Theorem A, we check that the claim is satisfied when $W(f, g)$ is a polynomial of degree 0 . Now, suppose the claim holds when $W(f, g)$ is a polynomial of certain degree $d$. We will show it for $d+1$. Let $f, g \in$ $\mathcal{A}(K)$ be such that $W(f, g)$ is a non-identically zero polynomial $P$ of degree $d+1$.

By hypothesis, we have $f^{\prime} g-f g^{\prime}=P$, hence $f^{\prime \prime} g-f g^{\prime \prime}=P^{\prime}$. We can extract $g^{\prime}$ and get $g^{\prime}=\frac{f^{\prime} g-P}{f}$. Now, consider the function $Q=f^{\prime \prime} g^{\prime}-f^{\prime} g^{\prime \prime}$ and replace $g^{\prime}$ by what we just found: we can get $Q=f^{\prime}\left(\frac{f^{\prime \prime} g-f g^{\prime \prime}}{f}\right)-\frac{P f^{\prime \prime}}{f}$.

Now, we can replace $f^{\prime \prime} g-f g^{\prime \prime}$ by $P^{\prime}$ and obtain $Q=\frac{f^{\prime} P^{\prime}-P f^{\prime \prime}}{f}$. Thus, in that expression of $Q$, we can write $|Q|(R) \leq \frac{|f|(R)|P|(R)}{R^{2}|f|(R)}$, hence $|Q|(R) \leq \frac{|P|(R)}{R^{2}}$ $\forall R>0$. But by definition, $Q$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(K)$ and further, $\operatorname{deg}(Q) \leq \operatorname{deg}(P)-2$. Consequently, $Q$ is a polynomial of degree at most $d-2$.

Now, suppose $Q$ is not identically zero. Since $Q=W\left(f^{\prime}, g^{\prime}\right)$ and since $\operatorname{deg}(Q)<d$, by induction $f^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime}$ are polynomials and so are $f$ and $g$. Finally, suppose $Q=0$. Then $P^{\prime} f^{\prime}-P f^{\prime \prime}=0$ and therefore $f^{\prime}$ and $P$ are two solutions of
the differential equation of order 1 for meromorphic functions in $K:(\mathcal{E}) y^{\prime}=\psi y$ with $\psi=\frac{P^{\prime}}{P}$, whereas $y$ belongs to $\mathcal{A}(K)$. The space of solutions of $(\mathcal{E})$ is known to be of dimension 0 or 1 (see for instance Lemma 60.1 in [4]). Consequently, there exists $\lambda \in K$ such that $f^{\prime}=\lambda P$, hence $f$ is a polynomial. The same holds for $g$.

Proof of Theorem 2: Suppose $f^{\prime}$ has finitely many zeros. By classical results (see [4], [5]) we can write $f$ in the form $\frac{h}{l}$ with $h, l \in \mathcal{A}(K)$, having no common zero. Consequently, each zero of $W(h, l)$ is a zero of $f^{\prime}$ except if it is a multiple zero of $l$. But since $l$ only has finitely many multiple zeros, it appears that $W(h, l)$ has finitely many zeros and therefore is a polynomial. Consequently, by Theorem 1, both $h$ and $l$ are polynomials, a contradiction because $f$ does not belong to $K(x)$.

Now, consider $f^{\prime}-b$ with $b \in K$. It is the derivative of $f-b x$ whose poles are exactly those of $f$, taking multiplicity into account. Consequently, $f^{\prime}-b$ also has infinitely many zeros.

Notation: Given $f \in \mathcal{M}(K)$, we will denote by $\operatorname{res}_{a}(f)$ the residue of $f$ at $a$.
Lemma 2: Let $f=\frac{h}{l} \in \mathcal{M}(K)$ with $h, l \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ having no common zero, let $b \in K^{*}$ and let $a \in K$ be a zero of $h^{\prime} l-h l^{\prime}+b h^{2}$ that is not a zero of $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$. Then $a$ is a simple pole of $f$ and $\operatorname{res}_{a}(f)=\frac{1}{b}$.
Proof: Clearly, if $l(a) \neq 0, a$ is a zero of $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$. Hence, a zero $a$ of $h^{\prime} l-h l^{\prime}+b h^{2}$ that is not a zero of $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$ is a pole of $f$. Now, when $l(a)=0$, we have $h(a) \neq 0$ hence $l^{\prime}(a)=b h(a) \neq 0$ and therefore $a$ is a simple pole of $f$ such that $\frac{h(a)}{l^{\prime}(a)}=\frac{1}{b}$. But since $a$ is a simple pole of $f$, we have $\operatorname{res}_{a}(f)=\frac{h(a)}{l^{\prime}(a)}$ which ends the proof.

Proof of Theorem 3: Let $f=\frac{P}{l}$ with $P$ a polynomial, $l \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ having no common zero with $P$. Then $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}=\frac{P^{\prime} l-l^{\prime} P+b P^{2}}{l^{2}}$. By hypothesis, this function has finitely many zeros. Moreover, if $a$ is a zero of $P^{\prime} l-l^{\prime} P+b P^{2}$ but is not a zero of $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$ then, by Lemma $2, a$ is a simple pole of $f$ such that $\operatorname{res}_{a}(f)=\frac{1}{b}$. Consequently, $P^{\prime} l-l^{\prime} P+b P^{2}$ has finitely many zeros and so we may write $\frac{P^{\prime} l-l^{\prime} P+b P^{2}}{l^{2}}=\frac{Q}{l^{2}}$ with $Q \in K[x]$, hence $P^{\prime} l-l^{\prime} P=-b P^{2}+Q$. But then, by Theorem $1, l$ is a polynomial, which ends the proof.

Proof of Theorem 4: Let $g=\frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{2}}+b$. Suppose $b=0$. Since all zeros of $f$ are simple zeros except maybe finitely many, $g$ has finitely many poles of order $\geq 3$, hence a primitive $G$ of $g$ has finitely many multiple poles. Consequently, by Theorem 2, $g$ has infinitely many zeros.

Now, suppose $b \neq 0$. Let $\alpha$ be a zero of $g$ and let $f=\frac{h}{l}$ with $h, l \in \mathcal{A}(K)$ having no common zero. Then $\frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{2}}+b=\frac{h^{\prime} l-h l^{\prime}+b h^{2}}{h^{2}}$. Since $\alpha$ is a zero of $\frac{f^{\prime}}{f^{2}}+b$, it is not a zero of $h$ and hence it is a zero of $h^{\prime} l-h l^{\prime}+b h^{2}$. Then by Lemma 2, if it is not a zero of $f^{\prime}+b f^{2}$, it is a simple pole of $f$ such that $\operatorname{res}_{\alpha}(f)=\frac{1}{b}$, which ends the proof of Theorem 4.
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