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#### Abstract

The class of PLS-spaces covers most of the natural spaces of analysis, e. g. the space of real analytic functions, spaces of distributions. We investigate the property of PLS-spaces called dual interpolation estimate and show that in many important and classical cases this property is inherited by tensor products of two PLS-spaces. We establish the inheritance if at least one of the spaces is a nuclear Fréchet space or a PLN-space. The latter includes the important, classical case when one of the spaces is the dual of a nuclear Fréchet space.


## 1 Introduction

The aim of this paper is to investigate when the dual interpolation estimate is inherited by tensor products of PLS-spaces. Basic definitions (e.g. PLS-space, dual interpolation estimate) and properties are collected in Section 2 while Section 3 contains all the main results. We prove the inheritance if e.g. at least one of the spaces is nuclear Fréchet - Th. 6 or at least one of them is a PLN-space - Th. 9. According to $[14,21.8 .4]$ not every nuclear Fréchet space can be given a PLN-space structure therefore one has to distinguish these two cases.

[^0]The property we are going to consider has its origin in the so called $(D N)-$ $(\Omega)$ type conditions for Fréchet spaces. They were extensively explored by Vogt starting with the paper [30]. These conditions have proved to be very useful in several contexts. They appear in the characterization of subspaces and quotients of power series spaces (see [29], [32] and [25]). If $X$ is a stable power series space of finite type then the conditions $(D N)-(\Omega)$ characterize all those Fréchet spaces $Y$ for which $L(X, Y)=L B(X, Y)$ and $L(Y, X)=L B(Y, X)$, i.e. every continuous and linear operator is bounded in the sense that it maps some zero neighbourhood into a bounded set (see [28, Ths. 2.1, 4.2]). If $X$ belongs to the same class of Fréchet spaces then the properties of type $(D N)$ and $(\Omega)$ characterize those Fréchet spaces $Y$ for which the pairs $(X, Y)$ and $(Y, X)$ are tame (see [20]). Tameness is (see [12, Th. 2.3]) related to the problem of Pełczyński (see [19]) whether every complemented subspace of a nuclear Fréchet space with basis has a basis itself. $(D N)-(\Omega)$ type conditions have also much to do with the splitting of short exact sequences of Fréchet spaces (see e.g. [21], [17, Th. 30.1]). The condition we are going to investigate found an interesting application in the proof of the non-existence of basis in the space of real analytic functions $\mathcal{A}(\Omega)$ (see [10]). The dual interpolation estimate also plays an important role in the theory of splitting of short exact sequences of PLS-spaces (see [24], [3]). This property appears also in the context of surjectivity of operators on spaces of vector valued distributions and real analytic parameter dependence of solutions of differential equations (see [4], [2] and [6] for a comprehensive survey of this topic).

## 2 Preliminaries

Let us recall that PLS-spaces are (see [10], [11]) the projective limits of a sequence of duals of Fréchet-Schwartz spaces. This means that every PLS-space $X$ can be viewed as

$$
X=\operatorname{proj}_{N \in \mathbb{N}} \operatorname{ind}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{N, n},
$$

where all the $X_{N, n}$ are Banach spaces and all the linking maps
${ }_{N}^{N, n+1}: X_{N, n} \rightarrow X_{N, n+1}$ are compact. If, in addition, all these linking maps are nuclear then $X$ is called a PLN-space. We also define $X_{N}:=\operatorname{ind}_{n \in \mathbb{N}} X_{N, n}$ and by $\iota_{N}: X \rightarrow X_{N}$ we denote the canonical projection. Moreover $B_{N, n}$ will be the closed unit ball of $X_{N, n}$. Let us also recall (see [3]) that a PLS-space $X$ is said to have the dual interpolation estimate for small $\theta$ if

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\forall N \exists M \forall K \exists n \forall m \exists \theta_{0} \in(0,1) \forall \theta \leq \theta_{0} \exists k, C>0 \forall x^{\prime} \in X_{N}^{\prime}: \\
\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right\|_{M, m}^{*} \leq C\left(\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right\|_{K, k}^{*}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{N, n}^{*}\right)^{\theta} . \tag{1}
\end{array}
$$

If we take $\theta \geq \theta_{0}$ then $X$ has the dual interpolation estimate for $\operatorname{big} \theta$ and if we take $\theta \in(0,1)$ then $X$ has the dual interpolation estimate for all $\theta$. The examples of PLS-spaces with this property are collected in the following result.

Proposition 1 ([2], [3], [4]).
(i) A Fréchet-Schwartz space has the dual interpolation estimate for big $\theta$ iff it has $(\Omega)$. It has the dual interpolation estimate for small (equivalently, all) $\theta$ iff it has $(\overline{\bar{\Omega}})$.
(ii) An LS-space has the dual interpolation estimate for small $\theta$ iff its strong dual has $(\underline{D N})$. It has the dual interpolation estimate for big (equivalently, all) $\theta$ iff its strong dual has (DN).
(iii) The space of distributions $\mathcal{D}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ or the space of Beurling ultradistributions $\mathcal{D}_{\omega}^{\prime}(\Omega)$ has the the dual interpolation estimate for all $\theta$.
(iv) The space of real analytic functions $\mathcal{A}(\Omega), \Omega \subset \mathbb{R}^{n}$ has the the dual interpolation estimate for small $\theta$.
(v) The PLS-type power series space $\Lambda_{r, s}(\alpha, \beta)$ has the dual interpolation estimate for big $\theta$ iff $s=\infty$ or it is a Fréchet space. It has the dual interpolation estimate for small $\theta$ iff it is an LS-space.

This property unifies four other conditions previously defined: $(P \Omega)$, $(P \overline{\bar{\Omega}})$ - see [4] and $(P A),(P \underline{A})$ - see [2]. The relation between these conditions is expressed in the result below.
Proposition 2 ([3]). A PLS-space $X$ has the dual interpolation: for small $\theta$ iff it has both $(P \underline{A})$ and $(P \overline{\bar{\Omega}})$; for big $\theta$ iff it has both $(P A)$ and $(P \Omega)$; for all $\theta$ iff it has both (PA) and $(P \overline{\bar{\Omega}})$.

Let us now give a very convenient reformulation of the dual interpolation estimate which will be extensively used further.

Lemma 3. A PLS-space X has the dual interpolation estimate if and only if the following condition holds:

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\forall N \exists M \forall K \exists n \forall m, \gamma>0 \exists k, C>0 \forall r>0: \\
\iota_{N}^{M} B_{M, m} \subset C\left(r^{\gamma} \iota_{N}^{K} B_{K, k}+\frac{1}{r} B_{N, n}\right) . \tag{2}
\end{array}
$$

If we take $\exists \gamma_{0}>0 \forall \gamma \leq \gamma_{0}$ then we get the dual interpolation estimate for small $\theta$ and if we take $\exists \gamma_{0}>0 \forall \gamma \geq \gamma_{0}$ then we get the dual interpolation estimate for big $\theta$.

Proof. Necessity. The right hand side of the inequality in (1) is equal (up to some constant, universal for all $x^{\prime} \in X_{N}^{\prime}$ ) to the minimum of the function

$$
f_{x^{\prime}}(r):=r^{\gamma}\|x\|_{K, k}^{*}+\frac{1}{r}\|x\|_{N, n}^{*}
$$

where $\theta=\frac{\gamma}{\gamma+1}$. By [2, Lemma 3.5(b)] we get (2).
Sufficiency. For every $x \in B_{M, m}$ we get $a \in B_{K, k}, b \in B_{N, n}$ such that

$$
\iota_{N}^{M} x=C r^{\gamma} l_{N}^{K} a+\frac{C}{r} b
$$

For arbitrary $x^{\prime} \in X_{N}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\left|x^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M} x\right| \leq C r^{\gamma}\left|x^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{K} a\right|+\frac{1}{r}\left|x^{\prime} b\right| \leq C f_{x^{\prime}}(r)
$$

By the choice of $x$ we obtain (1).

If $X$ and $Y$ are PLS-spaces then it becomes essential (in view of the definition of the dual interpolation estimate) to check whether the tensor products $X \varepsilon Y, X \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} Y, X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ are PLS-spaces or not. If $\left(X_{N}\right)_{N},\left(Y_{N}\right)_{N}$ are projective spectra of LS-spaces of $X$ and $Y$, respectively then by [1, Remark 4.2] $\left(X_{N} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} Y_{N}\right)_{b}^{\prime}$ is a Fréchet-Schwartz space for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Therefore $\left(X_{N} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} Y_{N}\right)^{\prime \prime}$ is an LS-space for all $N$. By $\left[14,15.6 .5,15.6 .8\right.$ (c)] $\left(X_{N} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} Y_{N}\right)$ is reflexive therefore

$$
X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} Y=\operatorname{proj}_{N}\left(X_{N} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} Y_{N}\right)
$$

is a PLS-space. If one of the spaces $X, Y$ is ultrabornological then by [9, Prop. 4.3, Remark 4.4] X\&Y is a PLS-space and by [11, Prop. 1.2] so is its closed subspace $X \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} Y$. In that case

$$
X \varepsilon Y=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} L\left(X_{N, n}^{\prime}, Y_{N, n}\right)
$$

By [3, Cor. 1.2(c)] the dual interpolation estimate implies ultrabornologicity therefore all the three tensor products are PLS-spaces and if one of the spaces $X, Y$ is nuclear then by [14, 18.1.8(2), 21.2.1,21.2.2] all the three tensor products coincide.

For unexplained facts and notation from functional analysis we refer the reader to [17]. For more informations on (PLS)-spaces see [7] and references therein.

## 3 Main results

Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Fréchet-Schwartz spaces. In [27, Th. 3.5] it is shown that the dual interpolation estimate for $\operatorname{big} \theta$ is inherited by their projective tensor product. If $X^{\prime}$ and $Y^{\prime}$ have the dual interpolation estimate for all $\theta$ and either $X$ or $Y$ has the approximation property then by [27, Th. 2.5] also $X^{\prime} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} Y^{\prime}$ has the dual interpolation estimate for all $\theta$. In the following theorem we generalize the latter statement to arbitrary LS-spaces.

Theorem 4. The dual interpolation estimate for all $\theta$ is inherited by the projective tensor product of two Fréchet-Schwartz as well as of two LS-spaces.

Proof. We will show the result for LS-spaces. The case of Fréchet-Schwartz spaces follows analogously if, instead of a fundamental sequence of bounded sets, we take a fundamental sequence of zero neighbourhoods. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two LS-spaces, i.e. duals of Fréchet-Schwartz spaces with the fundamental sequences of bounded sets $\left(A_{n}\right)_{n}$,
$\left(B_{n}\right)_{n}$, respectively. By the discussion at the end of Section $2 X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} Y$ is an LS-space and by $[15,41.4(7)] \overline{\Gamma\left(A_{n} \otimes B_{n}\right)}$ is a fundamental sequence of bounded sets (here $\Gamma$ stands for the absolutely convex hull). According to Prop. 1(ii) we assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \exists n \forall m, \gamma>0 \exists k, C>0 \forall r>0: \\
& \qquad A_{m} \subset C\left(r^{\gamma} A_{k}+\frac{1}{r} A_{n}\right),  \tag{3}\\
& B_{m} \subset C\left(r^{\gamma} B_{k}+\frac{1}{r} B_{n}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

For arbitrary $\delta>0, s \in(0,1]$ we take $\gamma:=2 \delta+1, r:=\sqrt{s}$. Tensorizing the above inclusions and using the fact that $n \leq k, r^{2 \gamma} \leq r^{\gamma-1}$ one gets

$$
\begin{gathered}
A_{m} \otimes B_{m} \subset C^{2}\left(r^{2 \gamma} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}+2 r^{\gamma-1} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} A_{n} \otimes B_{n}\right) \subset \\
\subset \\
2 C^{2}\left(r^{\gamma-1} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}+\frac{1}{r^{2}} A_{n} \otimes B_{n}\right)=2 C^{2}\left(s^{\delta} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}+\frac{1}{s} A_{n} \otimes B_{n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

For $s>1$ we easily get

$$
A_{m} \otimes B_{m} \subset A_{k} \otimes B_{k} \subset s^{\delta} A_{k} \otimes B_{k}+\frac{1}{s} A_{n} \otimes B_{n}
$$

We have shown that

$$
\forall s>0: \quad \overline{\Gamma\left(A_{m} \otimes B_{m}\right)} \subset 2 C^{2} s^{\delta} \Gamma\left(A_{k} \otimes B_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{s} \Gamma\left(A_{n} \otimes B_{n}\right) .
$$

But these are bounded sets in a Schwartz space therefore compact, which gives

$$
\overline{s^{\delta} \Gamma\left(A_{k} \otimes B_{k}\right)+\frac{1}{s} \Gamma\left(A_{n} \otimes B_{n}\right)}=s^{\delta} \overline{\Gamma\left(A_{k} \otimes B_{k}\right)}+\frac{1}{s} \overline{\Gamma\left(A_{n} \otimes B_{n}\right)}
$$

and by Prop. 1(ii) we are done.
In [16, Prop. 2.1] it is proved that if two Köthe coechelon spaces have the dual interpolation estimate for all $\theta$ then their injective tensor product has this property too. We generalize this result.
Theorem 5. The dual interpolation estimate for all $\theta$ is inherited by the injective tensor product of two Fréchet-Schwartz as well as of two LS-spaces.

Proof. We will show it in the case of two Fréchet-Schwartz spaces. For their duals the proof is the same if, instead of a fundamental sequence of zero neighbourhoods, we take a fundamental sequence of bounded sets. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two Fréchet-Schwartz spaces with the bases of zero neighbourhoods $\left(U_{n}\right)_{n},\left(V_{n}\right)_{n}$, respectively. By $[15,44.2(5)],[14,16.4 .3]$ and $\left[17\right.$, prop. 24.18] X $\tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} Y$ is a FréchetSchwartz space and by $[15,44.2(3)]\left(\left(U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}\right)_{n}$ is a basis of zero neighbourhoods (the polar of $U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}$ taken in $X \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} Y$ ). According to Prop. 1(i) and [24, Remark after Th. 1.1] we assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall n \exists m \forall k, \gamma>0 \exists C>0 \forall r>0: \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{ll} 
& U_{m} \subset C\left(r U_{k}+\frac{1}{r^{\gamma}} U_{n}\right), \\
& V_{m} \subset C\left(r V_{k}+\frac{1}{r^{\gamma}} V_{n}\right) .
\end{array} \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

Taking polars in the above inclusions, tensorizing them and again taking polars one gets

$$
\begin{aligned}
&\left(U_{m}^{\circ} \otimes V_{m}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \subset C^{2}\left(\left(r U_{k}+\frac{1}{r^{\gamma}} U_{n}\right)^{\circ} \otimes\left(r V_{k}+\frac{1}{r^{\gamma}} V_{n}\right)^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \subset \\
& 4 C^{2}\left(\left(r U_{k}\right)^{\circ} \otimes\left(r V_{k}\right)^{\circ} \cap\left(r U_{k}\right)^{\circ}\right. \otimes\left(r^{-\gamma} V_{n}\right)^{\circ} \cap\left(r^{-\gamma} U_{n}\right)^{\circ} \\
&\left.\otimes\left(r V_{k}\right)^{\circ} \cap\left(r^{-\gamma} U_{n}\right)^{\circ} \otimes\left(r^{-\gamma} V_{n}\right)^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}
\end{aligned}
$$

Now for arbitrary $\delta>0, s>1$ we take $\gamma:=2 \delta+1, r:=\sqrt{s}$. Then $r^{2 \gamma}>r^{\gamma-1}$ and together with $n \leq k$ we obtain

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(U_{m}^{\circ} \otimes V_{m}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \subset 4 C^{2}\left(r^{-2}\left(U_{k}^{\circ} \otimes V_{k}^{\circ}\right) \cap r^{\gamma-1}\left(U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}\right)\right)^{\circ} \subset \\
\subset 4 C^{2}\left(s^{-1} \overline{\Gamma\left(U_{k}^{\circ} \otimes V_{k}^{\circ}\right)} \cap s^{\delta} \overline{\Gamma\left(U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}\right)}\right)^{\circ}
\end{gathered}
$$

By [23, Ch. IV, 1.5, Cor. 2] this gives

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(U_{m}^{\circ} \otimes V_{m}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \subset 4 C^{2} \overline{\Gamma\left(s\left(U_{k}^{\circ} \otimes V_{k}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \cup s^{-\delta}\left(U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}\right)} \subset \\
\subset 4 C^{2} \overline{s\left(U_{k}^{\circ} \otimes V_{k}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}+s^{-\delta}\left(U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

But the sets being considered are zero neighbourhoods therefore we get

$$
\left(U_{m}^{\circ} \otimes V_{m}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \subset\left(4 C^{2}+1\right)\left(s\left(U_{k}^{\circ} \otimes V_{k}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}+\frac{1}{s^{\delta}}\left(U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}\right)
$$

Obviously for $s \in(0,1)$ one has

$$
\left(U_{m}^{\circ} \otimes V_{m}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \subset\left(U_{m}^{\circ} \otimes V_{m}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \subset\left(4 C^{2}+1\right) \frac{1}{s^{\delta}}\left(U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}
$$

which proves

$$
\forall s>0: \quad\left(U_{m}^{\circ} \otimes V_{m}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ} \subset\left(4 C^{2}+1\right)\left(s\left(U_{k}^{\circ} \otimes V_{k}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}+\frac{1}{s^{\delta}}\left(U_{n}^{\circ} \otimes V_{n}^{\circ}\right)^{\circ}\right)
$$

and by Prop. 1(i) and [24, Remark after Th. 1.1] finishes the proof.
Now we proceed to the case when one of the spaces is a nuclear Fréchet space or its dual and the other one is an arbitrary PLS-space. The proofs are based on the idea of Vogt used in [26].

Theorem 6. Let $X$ be a nuclear Fréchet space and $Y$ an arbitrary PLS-space. If they both have the dual interpolation estimate for all (big, small) $\theta$ then their completed tensor product has the same sort of the dual interpolation estimate.

Proof. We will show the above statement in the case of all $\theta$. The other two are proved in the same way. Let $X$ and $Y$ have the following representations:

$$
X:=\operatorname{proj}_{N} X_{N}, \quad Y:=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} Y_{N, n}
$$

Let moreover $B\left(Y_{N, n}^{\prime}, X_{N}\right)$ denote the closed unit ball in $L\left(Y_{N, n}^{\prime}, X_{N}\right)$ and $U_{N, n}$ the closed unit ball in $Y_{N, n}$. By $\rho_{N}^{M}: X_{M} \rightarrow X_{N}, l_{N}^{M}: Y_{M} \rightarrow Y_{N}(M \geq N)$ we mean the linking maps in $X$ and $Y$, respectively. We may assume that all the spaces $X_{N}$ are Hilbert and all the maps $\rho_{N}^{M}$ are nuclear. Moreover we may assume that for all $N, n \in \mathbb{N}$ the operator $\iota_{N}^{N+1}$ acts from $Y_{N+1, n}$ into $Y_{N, n}$. By [13, Th. 1.3] we may assume that all the spaces $Y_{N, n}$ are reflexive. We know that $X \tilde{\otimes} Y=$ $\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} L\left(Y_{N, n}^{\prime}, X_{N}\right)$ and by Lemma 3 we have to prove that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall N \exists M \forall K \exists n \forall m, \gamma>0 \exists k, C>0 \forall r>0: \\
& \rho_{N}^{M} B\left(Y_{M, m}^{\prime}, X_{M}\right)\left(\iota_{N}^{M}\right)^{\prime} \subset C\left(r^{\gamma} \rho_{N}^{K} B\left(Y_{K, k}^{\prime}, X_{K}\right)\left(\iota_{N}^{K}\right)^{\prime}+\frac{1}{r} B\left(Y_{N, n^{\prime}}^{\prime} X_{N}\right)\right) . \tag{5}
\end{align*}
$$

This inclusion is understood in the following sense: for every operator $T \in B\left(Y_{M, m}^{\prime}, X_{M}\right)$ there exist operators $S \in \mathrm{Cr}^{\gamma} B\left(Y_{K, k^{\prime}}^{\prime} X_{K}\right)$ and $R \in \frac{C}{r} B\left(Y_{N, n}^{\prime}, X_{N}\right)$ such that for all $y^{\prime} \in Y_{N}^{\prime}$ we have

$$
\rho_{N}^{M} \circ T\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right)=\rho_{N}^{K} \circ S\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right)+R\left(y^{\prime}\right) .
$$

Since $X$ and $Y$ have the dual interpolation estimate we obtain (with the quantifiers in mind)

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \rho_{N+2}^{M}\right\|_{M}^{*} \leq C\left(\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \rho_{N+2}^{K+2}\right\|_{K+2}^{*}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{N+2}^{*}\right)^{\theta} \quad \forall x^{\prime} \in X_{N+2}^{\prime} \\
\left\|y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right\|_{M, m}^{*} \leq C\left(\left\|y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right\|_{K, k}^{*}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{N, n}^{*}\right)^{\theta} \quad \forall y^{\prime} \in Y_{N}^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying these inequalities and proceeding as in Lemma 3 we get for $\gamma=\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$ and all $r>0$

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \rho_{N+2}^{M}\right\|_{M}^{*}\left\|y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right\|_{M, m}^{*} \leq \\
\leq C^{2}\left(r^{\gamma}\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \rho_{N+2}^{K+2}\right\|_{K+2}^{*}\left\|y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right\|_{K, k}^{*}+\frac{1}{r}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{N+2}^{*}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{N, n}^{*}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By polarization as in [31, Lemma 2.1] this gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \rho_{N+2}^{M}\right\|_{M}^{*} U_{M, m} \subset C^{2}\left(r^{\gamma}\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \rho_{N+2}^{K+2}\right\|_{K+2}^{*} U_{K, k}+\frac{1}{r}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{N+2}^{*} U_{N, n}\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since the operator $\rho_{N+1}^{K+1}$ is nuclear by the Spectral Theorem [5, Th. 4.1] we find its representation

$$
\rho_{N+1}^{K+1} x=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} a_{j}\left\langle x, e_{j}\right\rangle_{K+1} f_{j}
$$

where $\left(a_{j}\right)_{j}$ is a sequence of positive numbers, $\left(e_{j}\right)_{j}$ is an orthonormal sequence in $X_{K+1}$ and $\left(f_{j}\right)_{j}$ is an orthonormal basis in $X_{N+1}$. Let $x_{j}^{*}$ be the $j$-th evaluation functional with respect to $\left(f_{j}\right)_{j}$, i.e.

$$
x_{j}^{*}(x):=\left\langle x, f_{j}\right\rangle_{N+1} \quad \forall x \in X_{N+1} .
$$

For an arbitrary operator $A \in B\left(Y_{M, m}^{\prime}, X_{M}\right)$ we define elements

$$
\phi_{j}:=x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{M} \circ A \in Y_{M, m}^{\prime \prime}=Y_{M, m} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} .
$$

As can be easily calculated, $\left\|\phi_{j}\right\|_{M, m} \leq\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{M}\right\|_{M}^{*}$. Therefore
$\phi_{j} \in\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{M}\right\|_{M}^{*} U_{M, m}$ for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$. Applying (6) to the elements $x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{N+2} \in$ $X_{N+2}^{\prime}$ we obtain

$$
\xi_{j} \in C^{2} r^{\gamma}\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{K+2}\right\|_{K+2}^{*} U_{K, k}
$$

$$
\eta_{j} \in \frac{C^{2}}{r}\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{N+2}\right\|_{N+2}^{*} U_{N, n}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{N}^{M} \phi_{j}=\iota_{N}^{K} \xi_{j}+\eta_{j} \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define linear operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& B: Y_{K, k}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{K}, \quad B y^{\prime}:=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} \frac{1}{a_{j}} y^{\prime}\left(\xi_{j}\right) \rho_{K}^{K+1} e_{j}, \\
& D: Y_{N, n}^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{N}, \quad D y^{\prime}:=\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty} y^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \rho_{N}^{N+1} f_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

In fact they are continuous. To see this we need some calculations. We start with the operator B. First of all

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{K}^{K+1} e_{j} \neq 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} . \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

If not then

$$
a_{j} f_{j}=\rho_{N+1}^{K+1} e_{j}=\rho_{N+1}^{K} \rho_{K}^{K+1} e_{j}=0-\text { a contradiction. }
$$

If for some $j \in \mathbb{N}:\left(\rho_{K+1}^{K+2}\right)^{\prime} e_{j}=0$ then for all $x \in X_{K+2}$ we have

$$
x_{j}^{*}\left(\rho_{N+1}^{K+2} x\right)=\left\langle\rho_{N+1}^{K+2} x, f_{j}\right\rangle_{N+1}=a_{j}\left\langle\rho_{K+1}^{K+2} x, e_{j}\right\rangle_{K+1}=0
$$

This gives $\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{K+2}\right\|_{K+2}^{*}=0$ and implies $\xi_{j}=0$ therefore we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho_{K+1}^{K+2}\right)^{\prime} e_{j} \neq 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (8) and (9) we define, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, positive numbers

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{j}^{K}:=\left\|\left(\rho_{K+1}^{K+2}\right)^{\prime} e_{j}\right\|_{K+2}\left\|\rho_{K}^{K+1} e_{j}\right\|_{K} \\
\gamma_{j}^{K}:=\left(\left\|\left(\rho_{K+1}^{K+2}\right)^{\prime} e_{j}\right\|_{K+2}\right)^{-1} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now for any $j \in \mathbb{N}, x \in X_{K+2}$ we obtain

$$
\gamma_{j}^{K}\left|\left\langle\rho_{K+1}^{K+2} x, e_{j}\right\rangle_{K+1}\right| \leq\|x\|_{K+2}
$$

Using the definition of $x_{j}^{*}$ and $\rho_{N+1}^{K+1}$ we get

$$
\frac{1}{a_{j}} \gamma_{j}^{K}\left|x_{j}^{*}\left(\rho_{N+1}^{K+2} x\right)\right|=\gamma_{j}^{K}\left|\left\langle\rho_{K+1}^{K+2} x, e_{j}\right\rangle_{K+1}\right| \leq\|x\|_{K+2}
$$

This gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{j} \frac{1}{a_{j}} \gamma_{j}^{K}\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{K+2}\right\|_{K+2}^{*} \leq 1 \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us now focus on the operator $D$. If for some $j \in \mathbb{N}$ we have $\rho_{N}^{N+1} f_{j}=0$ then this particular summand doesn't influence the estimation of the norm of $D$. Therefore we may assume that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\rho_{N}^{N+1} f_{j} \neq 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\rho_{N+1}^{N+2}\right)^{\prime} f_{j} \neq 0 \quad \forall j \in \mathbb{N} \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If not then

$$
a_{j}=\left\langle\rho_{N+1}^{K+1} e_{j}, f_{j}\right\rangle_{N+1}=\left\langle\rho_{N+1}^{N+2} \rho_{N+2}^{K+1} e_{j}, f_{j}\right\rangle_{N+1}=0-\text { a contradiction. }
$$

By (11) and (12) we define, for all $j \in \mathbb{N}$, positive numbers

$$
\begin{gathered}
\lambda_{j}^{N}:=\left\|\left(\rho_{N+1}^{N+2}\right)^{\prime} f_{j}\right\|_{N+2}\left\|\rho_{N}^{N+1} f_{j}\right\|_{N} \\
\gamma_{j}^{K}:=\left(\left\|\left(\rho_{N+1}^{N+2}\right)^{\prime} f_{j}\right\|_{N+2}\right)^{-1}
\end{gathered}
$$

Similarly as above we obtain

$$
\gamma_{j}^{N}\left|x_{j}^{*}\left(\rho_{N+1}^{N+2} x\right)\right| \leq\|x\|_{N+2}
$$

which gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sup _{j} \gamma_{j}^{N}\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{N+2}\right\|_{N+2}^{*} \leq 1 \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since every nuclear operator between Hilbert spaces is Hilbert-Schmidt we obtain for $v:=N, g_{j}:=f_{j}$ and $v:=K, g_{j}:=e_{j}$ the following inequalities:

$$
\sum_{j} \lambda_{j}^{v}=\sum_{j}\left\|\left(\rho_{v+1}^{v+2}\right)^{\prime} g_{j}\right\|_{v+2}\left\|\rho_{v}^{v+1} g_{j}\right\|_{v} \leq \sigma\left(\rho_{v+1}^{v+2}\right) \sigma\left(\rho_{v}^{v+1}\right)=: C(v)<+\infty,
$$

where $\sigma(\cdot)$ denotes the Hilbert-Schmidt norm. Finally, recalling the choice of $\xi_{j}, \eta_{j}$ and using (10), (13) and the above estimation we calculate the norms of the operators $B$ and $D$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left\|B y^{\prime}\right\|_{K} \leq \sum_{j} \frac{1}{a_{j}}\left|y^{\prime}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right|\left\|\rho_{K}^{K+1} e_{j}\right\|_{K}=\sum_{j} \frac{1}{a_{j}}\left|y^{\prime}\left(\xi_{j}\right)\right| \gamma_{j}^{K} \lambda_{j}^{K} \leq \\
& \leq \sum_{j} \lambda_{j}^{K} \sup _{i} \frac{1}{a_{j}} \gamma_{j}^{K}\left\|\xi_{j}\right\|_{K, k}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{K, k}^{*} \leq \\
& \leq C(K) \sup _{j} \frac{1}{a_{j}} \gamma_{j}^{K} C^{2} r^{\gamma}\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{K+2}\right\|_{K+2}^{*}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\| \|_{K, k} \leq \\
& \quad \leq C^{2} C(K) r^{\gamma}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{K, k} \\
& \left\|D y^{\prime}\right\|_{N} \leq \sum_{j}\left|y^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right)\right|\left\|\rho_{N}^{N+1} f_{j}\right\|_{N}=\sum_{j} \mid y^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \gamma_{j}^{N} \lambda_{j}^{N} \leq
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\leq C(N) \sup _{j} \gamma_{j}^{N}\left\|\eta_{j}\right\|_{N, n}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{N, n}^{*} \leq C(N) C^{2} \frac{1}{r}\left\|y^{\prime}\right\|_{N, n}^{*}
$$

Of course, the above estimations are valid for all $r>0$. It remains to show that

$$
\rho_{N}^{M} \circ A\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right)=\rho_{N}^{K} \circ B\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right)+D\left(y^{\prime}\right) \forall y^{\prime} \in Y_{N}^{\prime} .
$$

Using (7) one has

$$
\begin{gathered}
\rho_{N}^{K} \circ B\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right)+D\left(y^{\prime}\right)=\sum_{j} y^{\prime}\left(\iota_{N}^{K} \xi_{j}\right) \rho_{N}^{N+1}\left(\frac{1}{a_{j}} \rho_{N+1}^{K+1} e_{j}\right)+\sum_{j} y^{\prime}\left(\eta_{j}\right) \rho_{N}^{N+1} f_{j}= \\
=\sum_{j} y^{\prime}\left(\iota_{N}^{K} \xi_{j}+\eta_{j}\right) \rho_{N}^{N+1} f_{j}=\sum_{j} y^{\prime}\left(\iota_{N}^{M} \phi_{j}\right) \rho_{N}^{N+1} f_{j}= \\
\rho_{N}^{N+1}\left(\sum_{j} x_{j}^{*} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{M} \circ A\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right) f_{j}\right)=\rho_{N}^{N+1}\left(\sum_{j}\left\langle\rho_{N+1}^{M} \circ A\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right), f_{j}\right\rangle_{N+1} f_{j}\right)= \\
=\rho_{N}^{N+1} \circ \rho_{N+1}^{M} \circ A\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right)=\rho_{N}^{M} \circ A\left(y^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

This gives (5) with the constant $C_{1}:=C^{2}(C(N)+C(K))$ and finishes the proof.
In the previous results one of the spaces was an arbitrary PLS-space while the other one had a simpler structure of an LS-space or FS-space and was nuclear. Now we will show the case when still one of the PLS-spaces is arbitrary but the other one is the so called Köthe type PLS-space (see [7] for the definition).

Theorem 7. Let $X$ be an arbitrary PLS-space.
(1) If $X$ and $\Lambda^{1}(A)$ have the dual interpolation estimate for all (big, small) $\theta$ then their projective tensor product has the same sort of the dual interpolation estimate.
(2) If $X$ and $\Lambda^{\infty}(A)$ have the dual interpolation estimate for all (big, small) $\theta$ then their injective tensor product has the same sort of the dual interpolation estimate.

Proof. We will show the case for all $\theta$. The other two are analogous. By

$$
\begin{gathered}
X=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} X_{N, n} \\
\Lambda^{1}(A)=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} l^{1}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right), \\
\Lambda^{\infty}(A)=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} l^{\infty}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

we denote the PLS representations of the considered spaces and by $\iota_{N}^{M}: X_{M} \rightarrow X_{N}$ the linking maps.
(1): By [14, 15.4.2] and [15, 41.4(7)] we have

$$
X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \Lambda^{1}(A)=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n}\left(X_{N, n} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l^{1}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right)\right) .
$$

By [22, Ex. 2.6] this gives

$$
X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \Lambda^{1}(A)=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} l^{1}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right)\left(X_{N, n}\right),
$$

where the latter is the space of sequences $\left(x_{j}\right)_{j} \subset X_{N, n}$ such that

$$
\sum_{j=1}^{+\infty}\left\|x_{j}\right\|_{N, n} a_{j, N, n}<+\infty .
$$

The linking maps arise naturally from the linking maps of $X$ therefore we omit them. If $X$ and $\Lambda^{1}(A)$ have the dual interpolation estimate for all $\theta$ then

$$
\begin{align*}
& \forall N \exists M \forall K \exists n \forall m, \theta \in(0,1) \exists k, C>0 \forall x^{\prime} \in X_{N}^{\prime}, j \in \mathbb{N}: \\
& \left\|x^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right\|_{M, m}^{*} \leq C\left(\left\|x^{\prime} \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right\|_{K, k}^{*}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{N, n}^{*}\right)^{\theta},  \tag{14}\\
& \frac{1}{a_{j, M, m}} \leq C\left(\frac{1}{a_{j, K, k}}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\frac{1}{a_{j, N, n}}\right)^{\theta} .
\end{align*}
$$

If $\phi$ is a functional on the $N$-th projective limit step of $X \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \Lambda^{1}(A)$ then it is uniquely determined by a sequence of functionals $\left(x_{j}^{*}\right)_{j} \in X_{N}^{\prime}$ such that

$$
\forall n \in \mathbb{N}: \sup _{j} \frac{1}{a_{j, N, n}}\left\|x_{j}^{*}\right\|_{N, n}<+\infty
$$

Multiplying the inequalities in (14) we easily get

$$
\begin{gathered}
\sup _{j} \frac{1}{a_{j, M, m}}\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right\|_{M, m} \leq \\
\leq C^{2}\left(\sup _{j} \frac{1}{a_{j, K, k}}\left\|x_{j}^{*} \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right\|_{K, k}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\sup _{j} \frac{1}{a_{j, N, n}}\left\|x_{j}^{*}\right\|_{N, n}\right)^{\theta}
\end{gathered}
$$

In other words,

$$
\|\phi\|_{M, m}^{*} \leq C^{2}\left(\|\phi\|_{K, k}^{*}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\|\phi\|_{N, n}^{*}\right)^{\theta} .
$$

(2): By compactness of the maps $l^{\infty}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right) \hookrightarrow l^{\infty}\left(a_{j, N, n+1}\right)$ we may assume that

$$
\Lambda^{\infty}(A)=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} c_{0}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right)
$$

The following equalities are consequences of [14, 15.4.2] and [1, Remark 4.2]:

$$
\begin{gathered}
X \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} \Lambda^{\infty}(A)=\operatorname{proj}_{N}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{n} X_{N, n} \tilde{\otimes}_{\varepsilon} \operatorname{ind}_{n} c_{0}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right)\right)= \\
=\operatorname{proj}_{N}\left(\left(\operatorname{ind}_{n} X_{N, n}\right)^{\prime} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi}\left(\operatorname{ind}_{n} c_{0}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right)^{\prime}= \\
=\operatorname{proj}_{N}\left(\operatorname{proj}_{n} X_{N, n}^{\prime} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} \operatorname{proj}_{n} l_{1}\left(a_{j, N, n}^{-1}\right)\right)^{\prime}= \\
=\operatorname{proj}_{N}\left(\operatorname{proj}_{n}\left(X_{N, n}^{\prime} \tilde{\otimes}_{\pi} l_{1}\left(a_{j, N, n}^{-1}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\right. \\
=\operatorname{proj}_{N}\left(\operatorname{proj}_{n} l_{1}\left(a_{j, N, n}^{-1}\right)\left(X_{N, n}^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}= \\
=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} l_{\infty}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right)\left(X_{N, n}^{\prime \prime}\right)= \\
=\operatorname{proj}_{N} \operatorname{ind}_{n} c_{0}\left(a_{j, N, n}\right)\left(X_{N, n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

Arguing as in (1) we see that the conclusion follows form the fact that the elementary tensors satisfy the dual interpolation estimate.

The last problem we focus on is the case of one space being PLN. We recall (see [6]) that a PLS-space $X$ is deeply reduced if any (each) of its strongly reduced representing spectra of LS-spaces $\left(X_{N}, l_{N}^{M}\right)$ satisfies the following condition:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall N \exists M \forall K \exists n \forall m \exists k: \\
\iota_{N}^{M} X_{M, m} \subset{\overline{\iota_{N}^{K} X_{K, k}}}^{X_{N, k}} \cap{\overline{X_{N, n}}} X_{N, k} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Proposition 8. Dual interpolation estimate in any of its forms implies deep reducedness.
Proof. Recall that by Lemma 3 X satisfies the dual interpolation estimate if

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall N \exists M \forall K \exists n \forall m, \gamma>0 \exists k, C>0 \forall r>0: \\
\iota_{N}^{M} B_{M, m} \subset C\left(r^{\gamma} l_{N}^{K} B_{K, k}+\frac{1}{r} B_{N, n}\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By the Grothendieck's Factorization Theorem [17, Th. 24.33] we find $k_{0} \in \mathbb{N}$ so that $\iota_{N}^{K}: X_{K, k} \rightarrow X_{N, k_{0}}$. Therefore $\iota_{N}^{K} B_{K, k}$ is bounded in $X_{N, k_{0}}$ which gives a positive constant $D$ such that

$$
\iota_{N}^{K} B_{K, k} \subset D B_{N, k_{0}}
$$

For arbitrary $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we find $r_{l}>0$ so that $C D r_{l}^{\gamma}<\frac{1}{l}$. We obtain

$$
\iota_{N}^{M} B_{M, m} \subset C D r_{0}^{\gamma} B_{N, k_{0}}+\frac{C}{r_{0}} B_{N, n} \subset X_{N, n}+\frac{1}{l} B_{N, k_{0}} .
$$

This inclusion holds for all $l \in \mathbb{N}$ therefore

$$
\iota_{N}^{M} B_{M, m} \subset \bigcap_{l \in \mathbb{N}}\left(X_{N, n}+\frac{1}{l} B_{N, k_{0}}\right)={\overline{X_{N, n}}}^{X_{N, k_{0}}} .
$$

Obviously $X_{N, n}$ embeds into $X_{N, k}$ therefore

$$
B_{N, n} \subset D B_{N, k}
$$

for some positive constant $D$. Now for arbitrary $l \in \mathbb{N}$ we take $r_{l}>0$ so that $\frac{C D}{r_{l}}<\frac{1}{l}$ and proceeding analogously we obtain

$$
\iota_{N}^{M} B_{M, m} \subset{\overline{\iota_{N}^{K} X_{K, k}}}^{X_{N, k}}
$$

The following result strengthens [6, Cor. 5.9, Cor. 5.10].
Theorem 9. Let $X$ and $Y$ be two PLS-spaces with the dual interpolation estimate for all (big, small) $\theta$. If $X$ is a PLN-space then their completed tensor product has the same sort of the dual interpolation estimate. In particular this is so if one of the spaces is $L N$.

Proof. We start with the dual interpolation estimate for $X$ and $Y$ separately. This means that

$$
\begin{gathered}
\forall N \exists M \forall K \exists n \forall m, \theta \in(0,1) \exists k, C>0: \\
\left\|x \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right\|_{M, m}^{*} \leq C\left(\left\|x \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right\|_{K, k}^{*}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\|x\|_{N, n}^{*}\right)^{\theta} \quad \forall x \in X_{N}^{\prime} \\
\left\|y \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right\|_{M, m}^{*} \leq C\left(\left\|y \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right\|_{K, k}^{*}\right)^{1-\theta}\left(\|y\|_{N, n}^{*}\right)^{\theta} \quad \forall y \in Y_{N}^{\prime} .
\end{gathered}
$$

Multiplying these inequalities and using polarization techniques we obtain for all $r>0$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|x \circ \iota_{N}^{M}\right\|_{M, m}^{*} l_{N}^{M} B_{M, m} \subset C\left(r^{\gamma}\left\|x \circ \iota_{N}^{K}\right\|_{K, k}^{*} L_{N}^{K} B_{K, k}+\frac{1}{r}\|x\|_{N, n}^{*} B_{N, n}\right), \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\gamma:=\frac{\theta}{1-\theta}$. Originally this inclusion holds for all $x \in X_{N}^{\prime}$ but $X$ is deeply reduced therefore by [6, Prop.5.3] it is true for all
$x \in \overline{X_{N, k}^{\prime}} X_{N, n}^{\prime} \cap X_{K, k}^{\prime}$. The next step is to obtain, for some positive constant $D$, the inclusion

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{N}^{M} B\left(X_{M, m}^{\prime}, Y_{M, m}\right) \subset D\left(r^{\gamma} \iota_{N}^{K} B\left(X_{K, k+1}^{\prime}, Y_{K, k}\right)+\frac{1}{r} B\left(X_{N, n+1}^{\prime}, Y_{N, n}\right)\right) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is done exactly like in the proof of [6, Th. 5.2(b)] (compare also with the proof of [8, Th. 3.1]) but for the convenience of the reader we give a short sketch of it. We define spaces

$$
\begin{gathered}
H:=\overline{X_{N, k+1}^{\prime}} X_{N, n+1}^{\prime} \cap X_{K, k+1}^{\prime} \subset{\overline{X_{N, k}^{\prime}} X_{N, n}^{\prime} \cap X_{K, k}^{\prime}}_{H_{1}:=\left(\left.\operatorname{ker} \iota_{N}^{K}\right|_{X_{K, k+1}}\right)^{\perp}}^{H_{0}:=X_{N, n+1}^{\prime}, \quad U:=X_{K, n}^{\prime}}
\end{gathered}
$$

and by

$$
\pi_{0}: H_{0} \rightarrow X_{N, n}^{\prime} \quad \pi_{1}: H_{1} \rightarrow X_{K, k}^{\prime}
$$

we denote the natural Hilbert-Schmidt injections. Moreover,
$r: H \hookrightarrow X_{M, m}^{\prime}$ is the standard continuous injection. By [8, Lemma 2.2] (compare also [18]) we obtain, for any $\varepsilon>0$, a set $I$, positive weights $v, w: I \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and ( $1+\varepsilon$ )-isomorphisms

$$
T: H \rightarrow l_{2}(I), V: H_{0} \rightarrow l_{2}(I, v), W: H_{1} \rightarrow l_{2}(I, w) .
$$

For an arbitrary operator $R \in B\left(X_{M, m}^{\prime}, Y_{M, m}\right)$ we define

$$
\phi_{i}:=R\left(r T^{-1}\left(e_{i}\right)\right) \in\left\|r T^{-1} e_{i}\right\|_{M, m}^{*} B_{M, m} .
$$

Using (15) we find elements

$$
\xi_{j} \in C r^{\gamma}\left\|\pi_{1} j_{1} T^{-1} e_{j}\right\|_{K, k}^{*} B_{K, k}, \quad \eta_{j} \in \frac{C}{r}\left\|\pi_{0} j_{0} T^{-1} e_{j}\right\|_{N, n}^{*} B_{N, n}
$$

such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\iota_{N}^{M} \phi_{j}=\iota_{N}^{K} \xi_{j}+\eta_{j} \forall j \in I \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now we define operators

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \tilde{W}: H_{1} \rightarrow Y_{K, k}, \tilde{W} x:=\sum_{j} \frac{1}{w_{j}^{2}}\left\langle W x, e_{j}\right\rangle_{l_{2}(I, w)} \xi_{j}, \\
& \tilde{V}: H_{0} \rightarrow Y_{N, n}, \tilde{V} x:=\sum_{j} \frac{1}{v_{j}^{2}}\left\langle V x, e_{j}\right\rangle_{l_{2}(I, v)} \eta_{j}
\end{aligned}
$$

and show their continuity by estimating their norms:

$$
\|\tilde{W}\| \leqslant C r^{\gamma}(1+\varepsilon)^{2} v_{2}\left(\pi_{1}\right), \quad\|\tilde{V}\| \leqslant \frac{C}{r}(1+\varepsilon)^{2} v_{2}\left(\pi_{0}\right) .
$$

By (17) we show that

$$
\iota_{N}^{M} \circ R=\iota_{N}^{K} \circ \tilde{W} \circ j_{1}+\tilde{V} \circ j_{0}
$$

for all $x \in X_{N}^{\prime}$. This gives (16) and by Lemma 3 proves the assertion.
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