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#### Abstract

Let $\phi, \theta$ be odd increasing homeomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\phi(0)=\theta(0)=0, f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Carathéodory conditions and $e:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function in $L^{1}[0,1]$. Let $\xi_{i}, \tau_{j} \in(0,1), a_{i}$, $b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \cdots, m-2, j=1,2, \cdots, n-2,0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1$, $0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\cdots<\tau_{n-2}<1$ be given. We study the problem of existence of solutions for the generalized multi-point boundary value problem


$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)+e, 0<t<1 \\
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right), \theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right), \tag{1}
\end{gather*}
$$

in the non-resonance case. We say that this problem is non-resonant if the associated problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=0,0<t<1 \\
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right), \theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right) \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

has the trivial solution as its only solution. This is the case if

$$
\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}\right)\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) \neq 0
$$

Our methods consist in using topological degree and some a priori estimates.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $\phi, \theta$ be odd increasing homeomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\phi(0)=\theta(0)=$ $0, f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Carathéodory conditions and $e:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function in $L^{1}[0,1]$. Let $\xi_{i}, \tau_{j} \in(0,1), a_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \cdots, m-2$, $j=1,2, \cdots, n-2,0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1,0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\cdots<\tau_{n-2}<1$ be given. We study the problem of existence of solutions for the generalized multi-point boundary value problem

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)+e, 0<t<1, \\
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right), \theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right), \tag{3}
\end{gather*}
$$

in the non-resonance case. We say that this problem is non-resonant if the associated problem:

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=0,0<t<1, \\
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right), \theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right), \tag{4}
\end{gather*}
$$

has the trivial solution as its only solution. This is the case if

$$
\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}\right)\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) \neq 0 .
$$

This problem was studied by Gupta, Ntouyas, and Tsamatos in [20] and by the author in [16] when the homeomorphisms $\phi, \theta$ from $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ are the identity homeomorphisms, i.e for second order ordinary differential equations. The study of multi-point boundary value problems for second order ordinary differential equations was initiated by Il'in and Moiseev in [22], [23] motivated by the works of Bitsadze and Samarskĩi on nonlocal linear elliptic boundary value problems, (see [2], [3], [4]) and has been the subject of many papers, see for example, [5], [6], [11], [12], [13], [14], [15], [17], [18], [19], [21], [24], [29] and [30]. More recently multipoint boundary value problems involving a $p$-Laplacian type operator or the more general operator $-\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}$ has been studied in [1], [7], [8], [9], [10], [25] to mention a few.

We present in Section 2 some a priori estimates for functions $x(t)$ that satisfy the boundary conditions in (3). Our a priori estimates are sharper versions of the corresponding estimates in [16] and explicitly utilize the non-resonance condition for the boundary value problem (3). In section 3, we present an existence theorem for the boundary value problem (3) using degree theory.

## 2 A Priori Estimates

We shall assume throughout that $\phi, \theta$ are odd increasing homeomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\phi(0)=\theta(0)=0$. We shall also assume that the homeomorphisms $\phi, \theta$ satisfy the following conditions:
(a) For any constant $M>0$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim \sup _{z \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{\phi(M z)}{\phi(z)} \equiv \alpha(M)<\infty . \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

(b) For any $\sigma, 0 \leq \sigma<1$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\alpha}(\sigma) \equiv \lim \sup _{z \longrightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)(\sigma z)}{\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)(z)}<1 . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The boundary value problem (3) is a non-resonant problem if the boundary value problem (4) has only the trivial solution. This holds if and only if

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}\right)\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) \neq 0 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

We shall assume in the following that $\xi_{i}, \tau_{j} \in(0,1), a_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \cdots, m-2$, $j=1,2, \cdots, n-2,0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1,0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\cdots<\tau_{n-2}<1$ satisfy the condition (7). We observe that when condition (7) holds then $1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \neq 0$ and $1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \neq 0$. Now, for $a \in \mathbb{R}$, we set $a^{+}=\max (a, 0), a^{-}=\max (-a, 0)$ so that $a=a^{+}-a^{-}$and $|a|=a^{+}+a^{-}$. Accordingly, we notice that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{1} \equiv \min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{+}}{1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{-}}, \frac{1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{-}}{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{+}}\right\} \in[0,1), \text { if } \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{+} \neq 0 \\
0, \text { if } \sum_{i=1}^{m-1} a_{i}^{+}=0 .
\end{array}\right.  \tag{8}\\
& \sigma_{2} \equiv \min \left\{\begin{array}{l}
\left.\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{+}}{1+\sum_{j=2}^{n-2} b_{j}^{-}}, \frac{1+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{-}}{\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{+}}\right\} \in[0,1), \text { if } \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{+} \neq 0 \\
0, \text { if } \sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{+}=0 .
\end{array}\right. \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

are well-defined. The a priori estimate obtained in the following proposition is similar to the a priori estimate of Lemma 4 of [16]. We repeat the details given in Lemma 4 of [16] for the sake of completeness.
Proposition 1. Let $\xi_{i} \in(0,1), a_{i} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \cdots, m-2,0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<$ $\xi_{m-2}<1$, with $\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) \neq 0$, be given. Also let the function $x(t)$ be such that $x(t), x^{\prime}(t)$ be absolutely continuous on $[0,1]$ and $x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq M\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
M= & \min \left\{\frac{1}{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right|}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left|a_{i}\right| \lambda_{i}+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left|a_{i} \xi_{i}\right|}{\left|1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right|}\right),\right. \\
& \left.1+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left|a_{i} \xi_{i}\right|}{\left|1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right|}, \frac{1}{1-\sigma_{1}}\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

with $\lambda_{i}=\max \left(\xi_{i}, 1-\xi_{i}\right)$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, m-2$, and $\sigma_{1}$ as defined in (8).

Proof. Since $\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right)$ is non-zero we see that $M<\infty$. Next, we see from $x\left(\xi_{i}\right)-x(0)=\int_{0}^{\xi_{i}} x^{\prime}(s) d s$ for $i=1,2, \cdots, m-2$ and the assumption that $x(0)=$ $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ that $\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \int_{0}^{\xi_{i}} x^{\prime}(s) d s$. It then follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
|x(0)| \leq \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left|a_{i} \xi_{i}\right|}{\left|1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right|}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, since $x(t)=x\left(\xi_{i}\right)+\int_{\xi_{i}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s$, we see that

$$
\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) x(t)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s=x(0)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \int_{\xi_{i}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s
$$

Accordingly,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left|\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right||x(t)| \leq|x(0)|+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left|a_{i} \| \int_{\xi_{i}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s\right| \\
& \quad \leq\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left|a_{i} \xi_{i}\right|}{\left|1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right|}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \lambda_{i}\left|a_{i}\right|\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}
\end{aligned}
$$

in view of (11). It is now immediate that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{\left|\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right|}\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left|a_{i} \xi_{i}\right|}{\left|1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right|}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} \lambda_{i}\left|a_{i}\right|\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

If we next use the equation $x(t)=x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s$ and the estimate (11) we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq\left(\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{m-2}\left|a_{i} \xi_{i}\right|}{\left|1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right|}+1\right)\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Next, since $x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)$ we see that

$$
x(0)+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{-} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{+} x\left(\xi_{i}\right) .
$$

It follows that there must exist $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$ in $[0,1]$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{-}\right) x\left(\chi_{1}\right)=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{+}\right) x\left(\chi_{2}\right) . \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

If, now, one of $x\left(\chi_{1}\right), x\left(\chi_{2}\right)$ is zero or $\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{+}=0$ (which would imply $x\left(\chi_{1}\right)=0$, in view of the assumption $\left.0 \neq 1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{+}+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{-}=1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{-}\right)$ we see using one of the two equations

$$
\begin{equation*}
x(t)=x\left(\chi_{k}\right)+\int_{\tau_{k}}^{t} x^{\prime}(s) d s, k=1,2 ; t \in[0,1] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

If both $x\left(\chi_{1}\right), x\left(\chi_{2}\right)$ are non-zero we see that $x\left(\chi_{1}\right) \neq x\left(\chi_{2}\right)$ since $1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \neq 0$, or equivalently $1+\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{-} \neq \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}^{+}$. It then follows easily from (14) and (15) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|x\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-\sigma_{1}}\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\sigma_{1}$ is as defined in (8).
The proposition is now immediate from (12), (13), (16), (17) and the definitions of $\sigma_{1}$ as given in (8).

With $\sigma_{2}$ as given in (9), we see that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)=\limsup _{z \rightarrow \infty} \frac{\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{2} z\right)}{\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)(z)}<1 \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

in view of our assumption (6). Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $\tilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon<1$ and the constant $C_{\varepsilon}$ be such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{2} z\right) \leq\left(\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\right)\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)(z)+C_{\varepsilon}, \text { for every } z \in \mathbb{R} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proposition 2. Let $\tau_{j} \in(0,1), b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, j=1,2, \cdots, n-2,0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<$ $\cdots<\tau_{n-2}<1$, with $1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \neq 0$ be given. Also let the function $x(t)$ be such that $x(t), x^{\prime}(t)$ be absolutely continuous on $[0,1]$ with $\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime} \in L^{1}(0,1)$ and $\theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq \frac{1}{1-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)-\varepsilon}\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\frac{C_{\varepsilon}}{1-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)-\varepsilon} \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\varepsilon$ and $C_{\varepsilon}$ are as in (19). Moreover, if $\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{+}=0$, then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right\|_{\infty} \leq\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. If $\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{+}=0$, then $b_{j} \leq 0$ for every $j=1,2, \cdots, n-2$. It then follows easily for our assumption $\theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)$ that there exists an $\eta_{0} \in[0,1]$ such that $\theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right)=0$ which implies $x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{0}\right)=0, \phi\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{0}\right)\right)=0$. Estimate (21) is now immediate from

$$
\phi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right)=\int_{\eta_{0}}^{t}\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}(s)\right)\right)^{\prime} d s
$$

Next, suppose that $x^{\prime}(t)=c$, for all $t \in[0,1]$, where $c$ is a constant. We then see from our assumptions $1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \neq 0, \theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)$ that $x^{\prime}(t)=0$ for all $t \in[0,1]$ and accordingly both the estimates (20), (21) are satisfied.

Suppose next that $\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{+} \neq 0$ which implies $\sigma_{2} \neq 0$. Then from $\theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=$ $\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)$ we see that

$$
\theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)+\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{-} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}^{+} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)
$$

and thus from the definition of $\sigma_{2}$ and the intermediate value property for continuous functions we find that there exist $\eta_{1}, \eta_{2}$ in $[0,1]$ such that

$$
\theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)=\sigma_{2} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)
$$

so that

$$
x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)=\theta^{-1}\left(\sigma_{2} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)\right)
$$

and

$$
\phi\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)=\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{2} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)\right)
$$

We, next, use the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
\phi\left(x^{\prime}(t)\right) & =\phi\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{1}\right)\right)+\int_{\eta_{1}}^{t}\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(s) d s \\
& =\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{2} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\eta_{2}\right)\right)\right)+\int_{\eta_{1}}^{t}\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}(s) d s
\end{aligned}
$$

to get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \leq\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{2} \theta\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\right)+\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} . \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

Now, for $\sigma_{2}$ as given in (9), let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $\tilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon<1$. It follows from the definition of $\tilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ that there exists a constant $\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon}$ such that for $z \in \mathbf{R}$ we have

$$
\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)\left(\sigma_{2}|z|\right) \leq\left(\tilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\right)\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)(|z|)+\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon}
$$

(see (19)). We thus get from (22) that

$$
\phi\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \leq\left(\tilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\right)\left(\phi \circ \theta^{-1}\right)\left(\theta\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\right)+\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon} .
$$

Hence, we obtain the estimate

$$
\phi\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \leq \frac{1}{\left(1-\left(\tilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\right)\right)}\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+C_{\varepsilon}
$$

where we have set $\frac{\tilde{C}_{\varepsilon}}{\left(1-\left(\tilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon\right)\right)}=C_{\varepsilon}$.
This completes the proof of the proposition.

## 3 Existence Theorem

Let $\phi, \theta$ be odd increasing homeomorphisms from $\mathbb{R}$ onto $\mathbb{R}$ satisfying $\phi(0)=\theta(0)=$ $0, f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Carathéodory conditions and $e:[0,1] \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function in $L^{1}[0,1]$. Let $\xi_{i}, \tau_{j} \in(0,1), a_{i}, b_{j} \in \mathbb{R}, i=1,2, \cdots$, $m-2, j=1,2, \cdots, n-2,0<\xi_{1}<\xi_{2}<\cdots<\xi_{m-2}<1,0<\tau_{1}<\tau_{2}<\cdots<\tau_{n-2}<1$ with $\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}\right)\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) \neq 0$ be given.
Theorem 3. Let $f:[0,1] \times \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \longrightarrow \mathbb{R}$ be a function satisfying Carathéodory's conditions such that there exist non-negative functions $d_{1}(t), d_{2}(t)$, and $r(t)$ in $L^{1}(0,1)$ such that

$$
|f(t, u, v)| \leq d_{1}(t) \phi(|u|)+d_{2}(t) \phi(|v|)+r(t)
$$

for a. e. $t \in[0,1]$ and all $u, v \in \mathbb{R}$. Suppose, further,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(M)\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\left\|d_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}<1-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $M$ is as defined in Proposition 1, $\alpha(M)$ is as defined in (5), $\sigma_{2}$ and $\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)$ are as defined in (9), (18). Then, for every given function $e(t) \in L^{1}[0,1]$, the boundary value problem (3) has at least one solution $x(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$.

Proof. We consider the family of boundary value problems

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda e, 0<t<1, \lambda \in[0,1] \\
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right), \theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right) . \tag{24}
\end{gather*}
$$

Also, we define an operator $\Psi: C^{1}[0,1] \times[0,1] \longrightarrow C^{1}[0,1]$ by setting for $(x, \lambda) \in$ $C^{1}[0,1] \times[0,1]$

$$
\begin{align*}
\Psi(x, \lambda)(t)= & x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{s}\left(f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)+e(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s\right. \\
& +\left(x(0)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)+t\left(\theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)\right) \tag{25}
\end{align*}
$$

Let us, suppose that $x(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is a solution to the operator equation, for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$,

$$
\begin{align*}
x= & \Psi(x, \lambda) \\
= & x(0)+\int_{0}^{t} \phi^{-1}\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{s}\left(f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)+e(\tau)\right) d \tau\right) d s\right. \\
& +\left(x(0)-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right)\right)+t\left(\theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)\right) \tag{26}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluating the equation (26) at $t=0$ we see that $x(t)$ satisfies the boundary condition

$$
x(0)=\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} x\left(\xi_{i}\right) .
$$

Next, we differentiate the equation (26) with respect to $t$ to get

$$
\begin{align*}
x^{\prime}(t)= & \phi^{-1}\left(\phi \left(x^{\prime}(0)+\lambda \int_{0}^{t}\left(f\left(\tau, x(\tau), x^{\prime}(\tau)\right)+e(\tau)\right) d \tau\right.\right. \\
& +\theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right) . \tag{27}
\end{align*}
$$

Evaluating, now, the equation (27) at $t=0$ we see that $x(t)$ satisfies the boundary condition

$$
\theta\left(x^{\prime}(1)\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j} \theta\left(x^{\prime}\left(\tau_{j}\right)\right)
$$

and on differentiating the equation (27) with respect to $t$ we get

$$
\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}=\lambda f\left(t, x, x^{\prime}\right)+\lambda e, 0<t<1, \lambda \in[0,1] .
$$

Thus we see that if $x(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is a solution to the operator equation $x=\Psi(x, \lambda)$ for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$ then $x(t)$ is a solution to the boundary value problems (24) for the corresponding $\lambda \in[0,1]$. Conversely, it is easy to see that if $x(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is a solution to the boundary value problems (24) for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$ then $x(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is a solution to the operator equation $x=\Psi(x, \lambda)$ for the corresponding $\lambda \in[0,1]$.

Next, it is easy to show, following standard arguments, that $\Psi: C^{1}[0,1] \times$ $[0,1] \longrightarrow C^{1}[0,1]$ is a completely continuous operator.

We shall next show that there is a constant $R>0$, independent of $\lambda \in[0,1]$, such that if $x(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is a solution to (26), equivalently to the boundary value problems (24), for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$ then $\|x\|_{C^{1}[0,1]}<R$.

We note first that if $x(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ satisfies

$$
\begin{equation*}
x=\Psi(x, 0), \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

then $x(t)=0$ for all $t \in[0,1]$. Indeed, from the definition of $\Psi$ or from the boundary value problem (24), it follows that $x(t)=x(0)+x^{\prime}(0) t$. It then follows from the two boundary conditions in (24) and the non-resonance assumption (7) that $x(0)=x^{\prime}(0)=0$, implying that $x(t)=0$ for all $t \in[0,1]$.

We shall assume, in the following, that $\lambda \in(0,1]$. We shall also assume that $\sigma_{2}$, as defined in (9) is positive, since the proof for the case $\sigma_{2}=0$ is simpler. Let us choose $\varepsilon>0$ such that $\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)+\varepsilon<1$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(\alpha(M)+\varepsilon)\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\left\|d_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}<1-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)-\varepsilon, \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

which is possible to do, in view of our assumption (23). Here $M$ is as defined in Proposition 1 and $\alpha(M)$ is as defined in (5) so that for the $\varepsilon>0$, chosen above, there exists a constant $C_{\varepsilon}^{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi(M z) \leq(\alpha(M)+\varepsilon) \phi(z)+C_{\varepsilon}^{1}, \text { for every } z \in \mathbb{R} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also, from Proposition 2 we see that there is a constant $C_{\varepsilon}^{2}>0$, for the chosen $\varepsilon>0$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\phi\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \leq \frac{1}{1-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)-\varepsilon}\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+C_{\varepsilon}^{2} \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

We, now, see from the equation in (24), using our assumptions on the function $f$, Proposition 1, and estimates (30), (31) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq & \phi\left(\|x\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\phi\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|d_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \\
& +\|r\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\|e\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \\
\leq & \phi\left(M\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\phi\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right)\left\|d_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \\
& +\|r\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\|e\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \\
\leq & \left((\alpha(M)+\varepsilon)\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\left\|d_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}\right) \phi\left(\left\|x^{\prime}\right\|_{\infty}\right) \\
& +\|r\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\|e\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+C_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \\
\leq & \frac{(\alpha(M)+\varepsilon)\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\left\|d_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+C_{\varepsilon}}{1-\widetilde{\alpha}\left(\sigma_{2}\right)-\varepsilon},
\end{aligned}
$$

where $C_{\varepsilon}=\|r\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\|e\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+C_{\varepsilon}^{1}\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+C_{\varepsilon}^{2}\left[(\alpha(M)+\varepsilon)\left\|d_{1}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}+\right.$ $\left.\left\|d_{2}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)}\right]$. It, now, follows from (29) that there exists a constant $R_{0}$, independent of $\lambda \in[0,1]$, such that if $x(t) \in C^{1}[0,1]$ is a solution to the boundary value problems (24) for some $\lambda \in[0,1]$ then

$$
\left\|\left(\phi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right)^{\prime}\right\|_{L^{1}(0,1)} \leq R_{0} .
$$

This combined with (31) and (10) give that there exists a constant $R>0$ such that

$$
\|x\|_{C^{1}[0,1]}<R
$$

This then implies that $\operatorname{deg}_{L S}(I-\Psi(\cdot, \lambda), B(0, R), 0)$ is well-defined for all $\lambda \in[0,1]$, where $B(0, R)$ is the ball with center 0 and radius $R$ in $C^{1}[0,1]$.

Let, now, $X$ denote the two-dimensional subspace of $C^{1}[0,1]$ given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X=\{A+B t \mid \text { for } A, B \in \mathbb{R}\} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us define the isomorphism $i: \mathbb{R}^{2} \longrightarrow X$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
i\binom{A}{B}=i\binom{A}{B} \in X, \text { for }\binom{A}{B} \in \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{33}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\binom{A}{B}^{(t)=A+B t, \text { for } t \in[0,1] .} \tag{34}
\end{equation*}
$$

We note that for $v(t)=A+B t \in X$ we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
(I-\Psi(\cdot, 0))(v)=-\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) A+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i}\right) B-t\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}\right) \theta(B) \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consider the following mappings from $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ onto $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ :

$$
\left.\begin{array}{c}
F_{1}:\binom{A}{B} \\
F_{2}:\left(\begin{array}{c}
-\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) \\
0 \\
B
\end{array}\right) \longrightarrow\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \theta
\end{array}\right)\binom{A}{B} \\
B=1 \tag{38}
\end{array}\right)\binom{A}{B}
$$

Now we see that

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(F_{3} \circ F_{2} \circ F_{1}\right)\binom{A}{B} \\
= & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & -\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}\right)
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
1 & 0 \\
0 & \theta
\end{array}\right)\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) & \sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i} \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)\binom{A}{B} \\
= & \binom{-\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right) A+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i} \xi_{i}\right) B}{-\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}\right) \theta(B)} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We thus see that

$$
(I-\Psi(\cdot, 0))\left(i\binom{A}{B}\right)=i_{\left(F_{3} \circ F_{2} \circ F_{1}\right)}\binom{A}{B}
$$

and it follows that

$$
F_{3} \circ F_{2} \circ F_{1}=i^{-1} \circ\left(\left.(I-\Psi(\cdot, 0))\right|_{X} \circ i .\right.
$$

Now, we see from the homotopy invariance property of the Leray-Schauder degree that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{deg}_{L S}(I-\Psi(\cdot, 1), B(0, R), 0) & =\operatorname{deg}_{L S}(I-\Psi(\cdot, 0), B(0, R), 0) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}_{B}\left(I-\left.\Psi(\cdot, 0)\right|_{X}, X \cap B(0, R), 0\right) \\
& =\operatorname{deg}_{B}\left(F_{3} \circ F_{2} \circ F_{1}, \mathbb{B}(0, R), 0\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathbb{B}(0, R)$ denotes the ball of radius $R$ in $\mathbb{R}^{2}$ with center at the origin. Finally, we have, using standard results for Brouwer degree, (see [26], [27], [28]) that

$$
\operatorname{deg}_{B}\left(F_{3} \circ F_{2} \circ F_{1}, \mathbb{B}(0, R), 0\right) \neq 0
$$

in view of the non-resonance assumption (7) i.e. $\left(1-\sum_{i=1}^{m-2} a_{i}\right)\left(1-\sum_{j=1}^{n-2} b_{j}\right) \neq 0$. Accordingly, we have $\operatorname{deg}_{L S}(I-\Psi(\cdot, 1), B(0, R), 0) \neq 0$ and there exists at least one $x(t) \in B(0, R) \subset C^{1}[0,1]$ that satisfies

$$
x=\Psi(x, 1),
$$

or equivalently $x(t)$ is a solution to the boundary value (3). This completes the proof of the theorem.
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