A property of group laws

Qianlu Li*

Abstract

For a word in n letters, in [1] the author introduced a notion: its standard exponent and proved that the variety of residually finite groups defined by a word is almost nilpotent if and only if the standard exponent of this word is 1. In this paper we obtain the following result: let $\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ denote a word in x_1, \dots, x_n . Then both $\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ and $\omega(x_1^{m_1}, \dots, x_n^{m_n})$, where m_i are natural numbers, have the same standard exponents.

1 Introduction

Recall that an element of the free group $\mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ of rank n is called a word in n letters. Any word in x_1, \dots, x_n can be written as: $\prod_{i=1}^s x_i^{a_i}$, where $a_i \neq 0$ are integers and * denotes a mapping from $\{1, \dots, s\}$ to $\{1, \dots, n\}$ for which $i^* \neq (i+1)^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, s-1$ (see [1, Notation 1]). A word in x_1, \dots, x_n is called to be homogeneous if for each i, the exponent sum of x_i is zero. We say a group G satisfies a word $\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, if for any $g_1, \dots, g_n \in G$ we have $\omega(g_1, \dots, g_n) = 1$, i.e., G satisfies the group law $\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n) \equiv 1$. Let τ be a homomorphism from $\mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to $\mathcal{F}(c,d)$ defined on the generators x_i . We can write it as: $\tau: x_i \mapsto f_i d^{k_i}, i = 1, \dots, n$, where f_i are in $C^D(C = \langle c \rangle, D = \langle d \rangle)$ and k_i are integers. We call this the C^D form of τ . Similarly we can also write it as: $\tau: x_i \mapsto f_i' c^{k_i'}, i = 1, \dots, n$, where f_i' are integers. Call this the D^C form of τ .

Suppose that $\omega(x_1,\dots,x_n)=\prod_{i=1}^s x_{i^*}^{a_i}$, where $i^*\in\{1,\dots,n\}$ and $i^*\neq(i+1)^*$ for $i=1,\dots,s-1$, is a homogeneous word. Applying the C^D form of $\tau:x_i\mapsto f_id^{k_i}$

Received by the editors October 2004.

Communicated by H. Van Maldeghem.

2000 Mathematics Subject Classification: 20F10, 20E10.

Key words and phrases: word, standard exponent, almost nilpotent.

^{*}This work was supported by Shanxi Scholarship Council of China.

514 Q. Li

to ω and using commutator collection, then w.l.o.g. we can write

$$\omega^{\tau} = (f_1^{\delta_{11}})^{d^{\rho_{11}}} \cdots (f_1^{\delta_{1r_1}})^{d^{\rho_{1r_1}}} \cdots \cdots (f_1^{\delta_{lr_l}})^{d^{\rho_{lr_l}}} \cdots (f_l^{\delta_{lr_l}})^{d^{\rho_{lr_l}}} \cdot modulo(C^D)', \tag{1}$$

where $l \leq n$, $\delta_{tj_t} \neq 0$ and $\rho_{tj_t} := v_{tj_t}^1 k_1 + \dots + v_{tj_t}^n k_n$ for $j_t = 1, \dots, r_t, t = 1, \dots, l$ such that for each t the ordered n-tuples $(v_{t1}^1, \dots, v_{t1}^n), \dots, (v_{tr_t}^1, \dots, v_{tr_t}^n)$ are distinct.

We call Form (1) a C^D standard form of ω^{τ} . If $r_1 + \cdots + r_l \neq 0$, then we call the number $\delta_{\omega} := \gcd(\delta_{11}, \cdots, \delta_{1r_1}, \cdots, \delta_{l1}, \cdots, \delta_{lr_l})$ the standard exponent of ω and if $r_1 + \cdots + r_l = 0$, then we say $\delta_{\omega} = 0$. Finally, define the standard exponent of a non-homogeneous word as 1 (in [1, Section II] it has been pointed out that this \gcd does not depend on the C^D standard form ω^{τ}).

By [1, Theorem 26], we note that there is a large class of groups, which includes all residually finite or soluble groups and all locally finite or soluble groups, such that every group in this class satisfying ω is nilpotent-by-finite-exponent if and only if $\delta_{\omega} = 1$. So it is of interest to investigate the properties of the standard exponent of a word. One notes that any group satisfying a non-homogeneous word is of finite exponent. Thus in the following we only consider homogeneous words.

2 A property of words

For convenience we write $\omega \sim \omega'$ to denote that the words ω and ω' have the same standard exponent.

Proposition 1. Let $\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ be a homogeneous word in x_1, \dots, x_n . Let m_i be natural numbers. Then $\omega(x_1, \dots, x_n) \sim \omega(x_1^{m_1}, \dots, x_n^{m_n})$.

Proof. Let $\omega_1 = \omega(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \prod_{i=1}^s x_{i^*}^{a_i}$, where $i^* \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $i^* \neq (i+1)^*$ for $i = 1, \dots, s-1$, be a homogeneous word and let $\omega_2 = \omega(x_1, \dots, x_{\lambda}^m, \dots, x_n)$, where $m \geq 1$ is a natural number. Thus $\omega_2 = \prod_{i=1}^s x_{i^*}^{b_i}$, where

$$b_i = \begin{cases} ma_i & \text{if } i^* = \lambda \\ a_i & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

In fact, it suffices to show that $\omega_1 \sim \omega_2$. For m = 1, it is trivial, so in the following we assume that m > 1.

Let τ be a homomorphism from $\mathcal{F}(x_1, \dots, x_n)$ to $\mathcal{F}(c, d)$. Apply the C^D -form of $\tau : x_i \mapsto f_i d^{k_i}$ to ω_1 and ω_2 respectively. Then we have

$$\omega_1^{\tau} = \prod_{i=1}^s (f_{i^*} d^{k_{i^*}})^{a_i} = \prod_{i=1}^s F_i d^{a_i k_{i^*}} = F_1 \prod_{i=2}^s F_i^{d^{-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} a_j k_{j^*}}}
= F_1 \prod_{i=2}^s F_i^{d^{\sum_{t=1}^n u_i^t k_t}}$$
(2)

and

$$\omega_{2}^{\tau} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} (f_{i*}d^{k_{i*}})^{b_{i}} = \prod_{i=1}^{s} G_{i}d^{b_{i}k_{i*}} = G_{1} \prod_{i=2}^{s} G_{i}^{d^{-\sum_{j=1}^{i-1} b_{j}k_{j*}}}$$

$$= G_{1} \prod_{i=2}^{s} G_{i}^{d^{u_{i}^{1}}k_{1} + \dots + mu_{i}^{\lambda}k_{\lambda} + \dots + u_{i}^{n}k_{n}},$$
(3)

where

$$u_i^t = 0$$

$$u_i^t = -\sum_{\{j|1 \le j < i, \ j^* = t\}} a_j \quad \text{for } i > 1$$

$$F_i = \begin{cases} f_{i^*} f_{i^*}^{d^{-k_{i^*}}} & \cdots f_{i^*}^{d^{-(|a_i|-1)k_{i^*}}} & \text{if } a_i > 0 \\ (f_{i^*}^{-1})^{d^{k_{i^*}}} (f_{i^*}^{-1})^{d^{2k_{i^*}}} & \cdots (f_{i^*}^{-1})^{d^{|a_i|k_{i^*}}} & \text{if } a_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

$$G_i = F_i \quad \text{if } i^* \ne \lambda$$

and otherwise, if $i^* = \lambda$ then

$$G_{i} = \begin{cases} f_{\lambda} f_{\lambda}^{d^{-k_{\lambda}}} & \cdots & f_{\lambda}^{d^{-(m|a_{i}|-1)k_{\lambda}}} & \text{if } a_{i} > 0\\ (f_{\lambda}^{-1})^{d^{k_{\lambda}}} & (f_{\lambda}^{-1})^{d^{2k_{\lambda}}} & \cdots & (f_{\lambda}^{-1})^{d^{m|a_{i}|k_{\lambda}}} & \text{if } a_{i} < 0. \end{cases}$$

Then we can see that the general terms are respectively in Form (2):

$$\begin{cases} (f_{\lambda}^{sign(a_i)})^{d^{p_{il_i}k_{\lambda}+u_i^1k_1+\dots+u_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\dots+u_i^nk_n} & \text{if } i^* = \lambda \\ (f_{i^*}^{sign(a_i)})^{d^{p_{il_i}k_{i^*}+u_i^1k_1+\dots+u_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\dots+u_i^nk_n} & \text{if } i^* \neq \lambda \end{cases}$$

$$(4)$$

and in Form (3):

$$\begin{cases}
(f_{\lambda}^{sign(a_i)})^{d^{q_{il'_i}k_r + u_i^1k_1 + \dots + mu_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda} + \dots + u_i^nk_n} & \text{if } i^* = \lambda \\
(f_{i^*}^{sign(a_i)})^{d^{p_{il_i}k_{i^*} + u_i^1k_1 + \dots + mu_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda} + \dots + u_i^nk_n} & \text{if } i^* \neq \lambda,
\end{cases}$$
(5)

where

$$p_{il_i} = \begin{cases} l_i - a_i & \text{if } a_i > 0 \\ l_i & \text{if } a_i < 0 \end{cases} \quad l_i = 1, \dots, |a_i|$$

$$q_{il'_i} = \begin{cases} l'_i - ma_i & \text{if } a_i > 0 \\ l'_i & \text{if } a_i < 0 \end{cases} \quad l'_i = 1, \dots, m|a_i|$$

for $i = 1, 2, \dots, s$.

Now by commutator collection we rewrite Form (2) as a C^D standard form of ω_1^{τ} . Without loss of generality, we can write

$$\omega_{1}^{\tau} = (f_{1}^{\delta_{11}})^{d^{\rho_{11}}} \cdots (f_{1}^{\delta_{1r_{1}}})^{d^{\rho_{1}r_{1}}} \\
\cdots \\
(f_{\lambda}^{\delta_{\lambda_{1}}})^{d^{\rho_{\lambda_{1}}}} \cdots (f_{\lambda}^{\delta_{\lambda_{r_{\lambda}}}})^{d^{\rho_{\lambda_{r_{\lambda}}}}} \\
\cdots \\
(f_{l}^{\delta_{l1}})^{d^{\rho_{l1}}} \cdots (f_{l}^{\delta_{lr_{l}}})^{d^{\rho_{lr_{l}}}} \cdot modulo(C^{D})', \tag{6}$$

516 Q. Li

where $l \leq n, \delta_{tj_t} \neq 0$ and $\rho_{tj_t} := v_{tj_t}^1 k_1 + \dots + v_{tj_t}^n k_n$ for $j_t = 1, \dots, r_t, t = 1, \dots, l$ such that for each t the ordered n-tuples $(v_{t1}^1, \dots, v_{t1}^n), \dots, (v_{tr_t}^1, \dots, v_{tr_t}^n)$ are distinct.

We first consider such terms of ω_1^{τ} for which the indexes of f's satisfy $i^* \neq \lambda$.

We note that between such terms in Form (4) and those in Form (5), there exists a one-to-one correspondence:

$$(f_{i^*}^{sign(a_i)})^{d^{p_{il_i}k_{i^*}+u_i^1k_1+\dots+u_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\dots+u_i^nk_n} \mapsto (f_{i^*}^{sign(a_i)})^{d^{p_{il_i}k_{i^*}+u_i^1k_1+\dots+mu_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\dots+u_i^nk_n}$$

where $l_i = 1, \dots, |a_i|$ and all $i^* \neq \lambda$.

On the other hand, for any i, j satisfying $i^* = j^*$ we note that

$$(u_i^1, \dots, p_{il_i} + u_i^{i^*}, \dots, u_i^{\lambda}, \dots, u_i^n) = (u_j^1, \dots, p_{jl_j} + u_j^{j^*}, \dots, u_j^{\lambda}, \dots, u_j^n)$$

$$(u_i^1, \dots, p_{il_i} + u_i^{i^*}, \dots, mu_i^{\lambda}, \dots, u_i^n) = (u_j^1, \dots, p_{jl_j} + u_j^{j^*}, \dots, mu_j^{\lambda}, \dots, u_j^n),$$

where $1 \leq l_i \leq |a_i|$ and $1 \leq l_j \leq |a_j|$. Therefore, $(f_{i^*}^{\delta})^{d^{p_{il_i}k_{i^*}} + u_i^1k_1 + \dots + u_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda} + \dots + u_i^nk_n}$ appears in Form (6) if and only if $(f_{i^*}^{\delta})^{d^{p_{il_i}k_{i^*}} + u_i^1k_1 + \dots + mu_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda} + \dots + u_i^nk_n}$ appears in the C^D standard forms of ω_2^{τ} . So we can write a C^D standard form of ω_2^{τ} as follows:

$$\omega_{2}^{\tau} = (f_{1}^{\delta_{11}})^{d^{\rho_{11}}} \cdots (f_{1}^{\delta_{1r_{1}}})^{d^{\rho_{1r_{1}}}} \\
\cdots \\
(f_{\lambda}^{\bar{\delta}_{\lambda_{1}}})^{d^{\bar{\rho}_{\lambda_{1}}}} \cdots (f_{\lambda}^{\bar{\delta}_{\lambda_{\bar{r}_{\lambda}}}})^{d^{\bar{\rho}_{\lambda_{\bar{r}_{\lambda}}}}} \\
\cdots \\
(f_{l}^{\delta_{l1}})^{d^{\rho_{l1}}} \cdots (f_{l}^{\delta_{lr_{l}}})^{d^{\rho_{lr_{l}}}} \cdot modulo(C^{D})', \tag{7}$$

where $\bar{\delta}_{\lambda\bar{j}_{\lambda}} \neq 0$ and $\bar{\rho}_{\lambda\bar{j}_{\lambda}} := \bar{v}_{\lambda\bar{j}_{\lambda}}^{1} k_{1} + \cdots + \bar{v}_{\lambda\bar{j}_{\lambda}}^{n} k_{n}$ for $\bar{j}_{\lambda} = 1, \cdots, \bar{r}_{\lambda}$ such that the ordered *n*-tuples $(\bar{v}_{\lambda 1}^1, \dots, \bar{v}_{\lambda 1}^n), \dots, (\bar{v}_{\lambda \bar{r}_{\lambda}}^1, \dots, \bar{v}_{\lambda \bar{r}_{\lambda}}^n)$ are distinct.

Now we remain to consider the terms containing f_{λ} in ω_1^{τ} and ω_2^{τ} . We start to consider Form (6) and assume that $r_{\lambda} \neq 0$. Suppose that $(f_{\lambda}^{\delta})^{d^{v_1k_1+\cdots+v_nk_n}}$ is in Form (6) and suppose that $\delta = \sum_{i=1}^t \operatorname{sign}(a_{h_i})$. Just only for convenience to write we shall replace the indexes h_i of a by i below (note that it does not matter to do so though all $k_i^* = \lambda$). We further suppose that $(f_{\lambda}^{\text{sign}(a_i)})^{d^{p_{ij_i}k_{\lambda}+u_i^1k_1+\cdots+u_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+u_i^nk_n}$ where $1 \leq j_i \leq |a_i|$, are all the terms containing f_{λ} in Form (4) for which

$$(u_i^1, \dots, p_{ij_i} + u_i^{\lambda}, \dots, u_i^n) = (v_1, \dots, v_{\lambda}, \dots, v_n).$$

It follows that

$$(u_i^1, \cdots, mp_{ij_i} + mu_i^{\lambda}, \cdots, u_i^n) = (v_1, \cdots, mv_{\lambda}, \cdots, v_n),$$

that is,

$$(u_i^1, \cdots, q_{i(mj_i)} + mu_i^{\lambda}, \cdots, u_i^n) = (v_1, \cdots, mv_{\lambda}, \cdots, v_n).$$

Now we claim that $(f_{\lambda}^{\operatorname{sign}(a_i)})^{d^{q_{i(m_{j_i})}k_{\lambda}+u_i^1k_1+\cdots+mu_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+u_i^nk_n}$, $i=1,\cdots,t$ exhaust the terms containing f_{λ} in Form (5) which are conjugated by powers $d^{v_1k_1+\cdots+mv_{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+v_nk_n}$ of d.

Indeed, suppose that there is one more such term in Form (5). Similarly for convenience to write, let us suppose that

$$(f_{\lambda}^{\text{sign}(a_{t+1})})^{d^{q_{(t+1)j}k_{\lambda} + u_{t+1}^{1}k_{1} + \dots + mu_{t+1}^{\lambda}k_{\lambda} + \dots + u_{t+1}^{n}k_{n}},$$

where $1 \leq j \leq m|a_{t+1}|$, satisfies

$$(u_{t+1}^1, \cdots, q_{(t+1)j} + mu_{t+1}^{\lambda}, \cdots, u_{t+1}^n) = (v_1, \cdots, mv_{\lambda}, \cdots, v_n).$$

Notice that $m|q_{(t+1)j}$, so we have m|j and thus $q_{(t+1)j} \geq q_{(t+1)m} = mp_{(t+1)1}$. Setting j'=j/m, then $1\leq j'\leq |a_{t+1}|$ and $p_{(t+1)j'}=q_{(t+1)j}/m$. It follows that there is a term $(f_{\lambda}^{\mathrm{sign}(a_{t+1})})^{d^{p_{(t+1)j'}k_{\lambda}+u^{1}_{t+1}k_{1}+\cdots+u^{\lambda}_{t+1}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+u^{n}_{t+1}k_{n}}$ in Form (4) such that $(u^{1}_{t+1},\cdots,p_{(t+1)j'}+u^{\lambda}_{t+1},\cdots,u^{n}_{t+1})=(v_{1},\cdots,v_{\lambda},\cdots,v_{n})$, a contradiction. We get the claim. So $(f^{\delta}_{\lambda})^{d^{v_{1}k_{1}+\cdots+mv_{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+v_{n}k_{n}}}$ occurs in Form (7) and thus $\bar{r}_{\lambda}\neq 0$. It follows that

$$\gcd(\bar{\delta}_{\lambda 1}, \cdots, \bar{\delta}_{\lambda \bar{r}_{\lambda}}) \mid \gcd(\delta_{\lambda 1}, \cdots, \delta_{\lambda r_{\lambda}}). \tag{8}$$

Conversely, suppose that $\bar{r}_{\lambda} \neq 0$ and that of the exponents of f_{λ} in Form (7), all the distinct ones are $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_s$. Thus

$$\gcd(\theta_1, \theta_2, \cdots, \theta_s) = \gcd(\bar{\delta}_{\lambda 1}, \cdots, \bar{\delta}_{\lambda \bar{r}_{\lambda}}).$$

Let us suppose that $(f_{\lambda}^{\theta_j})^{d^{v_1k_1+\cdots+mv_{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+v_nk_n}}$ is a term in Form (7). Similar to that above we can suppose that $\theta_j = \sum_{i=1}^e \operatorname{sign}(a_i)$ and that the terms

$$(f_{\lambda}^{\operatorname{sign}(a_i)})^{d^{q_{i\gamma_i}k_{\lambda}+u_i^1k_1+\cdots+mu_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+u_i^nk_n},$$

where $1 \leq \gamma_i \leq m|a_i|$, run through the terms containing f_{λ} in Form (7) which have the property:

$$(u_i^1, \cdots, q_{i\gamma_i} + mu_i^{\lambda}, \cdots, u_i^n) = (v_1, \cdots, mv_{\lambda}, \cdots, v_n).$$

Thus we have

$$q_{1\gamma_1} + mu_1^{\lambda} = \dots = q_{e\gamma_e} + mu_e^{\lambda} = mv_{\lambda}.$$

Note that $q_{i\gamma_i} + mu_i^{\lambda} = \gamma_i + \mu_i$, where

$$\mu_i = \begin{cases} mu_{i+1}^{\lambda} & \text{if } a_i > 0\\ mu_i^{\lambda} & \text{if } a_i < 0 \end{cases}$$

for $i = 1, \dots, e$. Let us write $\gamma_i + \mu_i = \zeta m - \eta$, where ζ is an integer and $0 \le \eta < m$. Then we consider the following equations:

$$\eta + q_{1\gamma_1} + mu_1^{\lambda} = \dots = \eta + q_{e\gamma_e} + mu_e^{\lambda} = \eta + mv_{\lambda}.$$

Note that $m|(\eta + \gamma_i)$ and $\gamma_i \leq m|a_i|$, so $\eta + \gamma_i \leq m|a_i|$ and thus $\eta + q_{i\gamma_i} = q_{i(\gamma_i + \eta)}$. Setting $\gamma_i' = (\gamma_i + \eta)/m$, then $1 \leq \gamma_i' \leq |a_i|$. Note that $q_{i(m\gamma_i')} = mp_{i\gamma_i'}$, so we have

$$p_{1\gamma'_1} + u_1^{\lambda} = \dots = p_{e\gamma'_e} + u_e^{\lambda} = (\eta + mv_{\lambda})/m := v'_{\lambda}.$$

518 Q. Li

Thus $(u_i^1, \dots, p_{i\gamma_i'} + u_i^{\lambda}, \dots, u_i^n) = (v_1, \dots, v_{\lambda}', \dots, v_n)$ for $i = 1, \dots, e$. It follows that all $(f_{\lambda}^{\operatorname{sign}(a_i)})^{d_{i\gamma_i'}k_{\lambda} + u_i^1k_1 + \dots + u_i^{\lambda}k_{\lambda} + \dots + u_i^nk_n}$ for $i = 1, \dots, e$ are in Form (6).

If $(f_{\lambda}^{\operatorname{sign}(a_r)})^{d_{r_h}k_{\lambda} + u_r^1k_1 + \dots + u_r^{\lambda}k_{\lambda} + \dots + u_r^nk_n}$, where $1 \leq h \leq |a_r|$ and r > e, is one more

term in Form (6) for which $(u_r^1, \dots, p_{ih} + u_r^{\lambda}, \dots, u_r^n) = (v_1, \dots, v_{\lambda}', \dots, v_n)$, then we have

$$(u_r^1, \cdots, mp_{ih} + mu_r^{\lambda}, \cdots, u_r^n) = (v_1, \cdots, \eta + mv_{\lambda}, \cdots, v_n).$$

This is equivalent to

$$(u_r^1, \cdots, mp_{ih} + mu_r^{\lambda} - \eta, \cdots, u_r^n) = (v_1, \cdots, mv_{\lambda}, \cdots, v_n).$$

Note that $0 < mh - \eta \le m|a_r|$, so $mp_{rh} - \eta = q_{r(mh-\eta)}$. Thus we get one more term $(f_{\lambda}^{sign(a_r)})^{d^{q_{r(mh-\eta)}k_{\lambda}+u_r^1k_1+\cdots+mu_r^{\lambda}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+u_r^nk_n}$ in Form (7) for which $(u_r^1, \dots, q_{r(mh-\eta)} + mu_r^{\lambda}, \dots, u_r^n) = (v_1, \dots, mv_{\lambda}, \dots, v_n)$, a contradiction. So $(f_{\lambda}^{\theta_j})^{d^{v_1k_1+\cdots+v_{\lambda}^{\prime}k_{\lambda}+\cdots+v_nk_n}}$ occurs in Form (6) and thus $r_{\lambda} \neq 0$. It follows that

$$\gcd(\delta_{\lambda 1}, \cdots, \delta_{\lambda r_{\lambda}}) \mid \gcd(\theta_{1}, \cdots, \theta_{s}).$$

So by Form (8) we have $\gcd(\delta_{\lambda 1}, \dots, \delta_{\lambda r_{\lambda}}) = \gcd(\bar{\delta}_{\lambda 1}, \dots, \bar{\delta}_{\lambda \bar{r}_{\lambda}})$ and thus $\delta_{\omega_1} = \delta_{\omega_2}$. This completes the proof.

Now we apply these techniques to determine the standard exponents of some words which appear in [2].

Examples

1. Let n_0 be a natural number and

$$\omega_{3,n_0} = [x^{n_0}, y^{n_0}] \quad (or \quad [x^{n_0}, y])$$

 $\omega_{n_0} = [x^{n_0}, y^{n_0}, x^{n_0}].$

By Proposition 1

$$\omega_{3,n_0} \sim [x, y] = x^{-1}x^y$$

$$\omega_{n_0} \sim [x, y, x] = y^{-1}(y^2)^x (y^{-1})^{x^2} \cdot modulo(Y^X)'.$$

Thus $\delta_{\omega_{3,n_0}} = \delta_{[x, y]} = 1$ and $\delta_{\omega_{n_0}} = \delta_{[x, y, x]} = 1$.

2. (see [2, Introduction]) Let $\omega = x^{-2}y^{-2}x^{2}y^{2}x^{-10}y^{-2}x^{10}y^{2}x^{-2}y^{-4}x^{-6}y^{6}x^{-2}y^{-2}x^{10}y^{-4}x^{-8}y^{6}x^{8}y^{-2}$

By Proposition 1

$$\omega \sim x^{-1}y^{-1}xyx^{-5}y^{-1}x^{5}yx^{-1}y^{-2}x^{-3}y^{3}x^{-1}y^{-1}x^{5}y^{-2}x^{-4}y^{3}x^{4}y^{-1}$$

$$= y^{-1}(y^{-3})^{x}(y^{6})^{x^{4}}(y^{-2})^{x^{5}} \cdot modulo(Y^{X})'.$$
So $\delta_{\omega} = 1$.

Note that compared with direct calculation of the standard exponent of ω (made in [3, Example (3) of Section 4]), now the result follows very easily using Proposition 1.

Acknowledgments

I thank Professor Christian Michaux and Professor Francoise Point for their valuable suggestions. I also thank the referee for correcting the English.

References

- [1] Li Qianlu, Words and almost nilpotent varieties of groups Commun. Algebra 33 (2005), 3569–3582.
- [2] Sarah Black, Which words spell "almost nilpotent?" J. Algebra 221 (1999), 475–496.
- [3] Li Qianlu, Which words have an efficient result? Commun. Algebra **32** (2004), 2527–2545.

Institute of Mathematics, University of Mons-Hainaut "Le Pentagone", 6 avenue du champ de Mars B-7000 Mons (Belgium) and Department of Mathematics YanBei Teacher's College DaTong Shanxi 037000, China E-mail: li@umh.ac.be