# COHOMOLOGY OF THE INFINITE-DIMENSIONAL LIE ALGEBRA $L_{1}$ WITH NONTRIVIAL COEFFICIENTS 

B. L. FEIGIN AND A. FIALOWSKI

1. Let $\mathcal{L}$ be the Lie algebra of vector fields on the circle of the form $f(\phi) d / d \phi$ where $f$ is a function having finite Fourier expansion ( $\phi$ is the angular parameter on the circle). In $\mathcal{L}$ we can choose the basis

$$
e_{n}=x^{n+1} \frac{d}{d x}, \quad n \in \mathbf{Z}
$$

with the bracket $\left[e_{i}, e_{j}\right]=(j-i) e_{i+j}$. The Lie algebra $\mathcal{L}$ is naturally graded, the degree of $e_{i}$ being $i$. The most natural modules over $\mathcal{L}$ are the so-called tensor field modules. A tensor field on the circle is of the form $g(\phi)(d / d \phi)^{\lambda}$. A vector field acts on this by infinitesimally changing the coordinate $\phi$, where $g(\phi)$ is a section of some line bundle on the circle $S^{1}$ with a flat connection. In the space of tensor fields we choose a basis $f_{i}, i \in \mathbf{Z}$, such that $e_{i}\left(f_{j}\right)=$ $(-\lambda(i+1)+\mu+j) \cdot f_{i+j}$. Here $\lambda, \mu \in \mathbf{C}$ are the invariants characterizing the module, i.e., the power of $d / d \phi$ and the logarithm of the monodromy of the flat connection. We denote such a module by $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ (see [3]).

Denote by $L_{1}$ the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ with basis $\left(e_{1}, e_{2}, e_{3}, \ldots\right)$. It is easy to see that $L_{1}$ is isomorphic to the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line, with polynomial coefficients, having a two-fold zero at the origin. The strategy of the cohomology computation for $L_{1}$ with coefficients in the adjoint module is the following: we first compute the cohomology of $L_{1}$ with coefficients in $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$, and then remark that the adjoint representation of $L_{1}$ is a submodule of such an $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$. After this the spaces $H^{i}\left(L_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ can easily be determined. The computations of $H^{1}\left(L_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ and $H^{2}\left(L_{1}, L_{1}\right)$ are contained in [3]. Deformations of $L_{1}$ are studied in [5]. In this paper we shall describe a more general method for the computation of $H^{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$.

It will be more convenient for us to deal with homology instead of cohomology. It is easy to see that $H^{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ is dual to $H_{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{-1-\lambda,-\mu}\right)$. Then, using the fact that $L_{1}^{*}$ is the factor of some $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$, we can compute $H_{i}\left(L_{1}, L_{1}^{*}\right)$. Notice that for almost every $(\lambda, \mu)$ the module $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ is an irreducible representation of $\mathcal{L}$, and $L_{1}$ is the maximal nilpotent subalgebra in $\mathcal{L}$. That means that the problem of determining $H_{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ is analogous to that of determining the cohomology of the maximal nilpotent subalgebra of a complex semisimple Lie algebra with coefficients in an irreducible representation. We call theorems of this type Bott-Kostant theorems[1, 8]. (Notice that the representations $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ are reminiscent of the Harish-Chandra modules rather than

[^0]of representations in the category $\mathcal{O}$; see [1].) A method analogous to that used in this paper was applied to the current algebras in [2].
2. We first recall some pertinent facts. Introduce another Lie algebra $L(0,1)$ which consists of polynomial vector fields on the line with zeros at the points 0,1 . In $L(0,1)$ we can choose the basis
$$
\bar{e}_{i}=x^{i}(x-1) \frac{d}{d x}, \quad i \in \mathbf{Z}
$$
such that $\left[\bar{e}_{i}, \bar{e}_{j}\right]=(j-i)\left(\bar{e}_{i+j}-\bar{e}_{i+j-1}\right)$. There exists a family of onedimensional $L(0,1)$-modules $M(\alpha, \beta) ; \bar{e}_{i}$ acts on $M(\alpha, \beta)$ as multiplication by $\beta-\alpha$ if $i=1$ and by $\beta$ if $i>1$. The significance of $M(\alpha, \beta)$ is the following. The commutator of $L(0,1)$ consists of vector fields on the line, which, together with their first derivative, vanish at 0,1 . Therefore the character of $M(\alpha, \beta)$ takes the value $\alpha f^{\prime}(0)+\beta f^{\prime}(1)$ on the vector field $f(x) d / d x \in L(0,1)$. Observe that $M(\alpha, \beta)=M(\alpha, 0) \otimes M(0, \beta)$, where $M(\alpha, 0)$ is the module on which the vector field $f(x) d / d x$ acts by multiplication on $f^{\prime}(0)$, and $M(0, \beta)$ is the one on which it acts by multiplication on $f^{\prime}(1)$. Recall that $H_{i}\left(L_{1}\right)$ is twodimensional for $i>0$, and that the weight of the two homogeneous basis elements of $H_{i}\left(L_{1}\right)$ are
$$
\frac{3 i^{2}+i}{2} \text { and } \frac{3 i^{2}-i}{2}
$$

This result is proved in [7]. Further, the cohomology of $L(0,1)$ is also twodimensional in every positive dimension. In [5] it is proved that $L(0,1)$ is a deformation of $L_{1}$. Namely, there exists a Lie algebra family $L(0, t)$ with the basis $\bar{e}_{i}$ and the bracket $\left[\bar{e}_{i}, \bar{e}_{j}\right]=(j-i)\left(\bar{e}_{i+j}+t \bar{e}_{i+j-1}\right)$. It is clear that $L(0,0) \cong L_{1}$. In [4] it is proved that the cohomology spaces for $t=0$ and $t \neq 0$ are isomorphic as graded vector spaces (although the multiplication and the Massey operations in them are different).

We now describe the algebra structure of $H^{*}(L(0,1))$. It turns out that $H^{*}(L(0,1))$ is free and is generated by three generators, two of degree 1 and one of degree 2 . The one-dimensional generators correspond to the cochains $f(x) d / d x \rightarrow f^{\prime}(0)$ and $f(x) d / d x \rightarrow f^{\prime}(1)$. The two-dimensional generator corresponds to the cochain

$$
f(x) \frac{d}{d x} \wedge g(x) \frac{d}{d x} \rightarrow \int_{0}^{1}\left(f^{\prime}(x) g^{\prime \prime}(x)-f^{\prime \prime}(x) g^{\prime}(x)\right) d x
$$

The cohomology space $H^{*}(L(0,1))$ can be computed in the following way. The algebra $L(0,1)$ is the intersection of two algebras of vector fields, $L(0)$ and $L(1)$. Here $L(0)$ and $L(1)$ consist of vector fields on the line with polynomial coefficients vanishing at 0 and 1 respectively. Their sum $L(0)+L(1)=W_{1}$ is the algebra of all polynomial vector fields. We get a diagram of inclusions


From this it follows that, as a differential algebra, the standard cohomology complex $C^{*}(L(0,1))$ is the tensor product of the differential algebras $C(L(0))$ and $C(L(1))$ over $C^{*}\left(W_{1}\right)$. (Observe that $C^{*}(L(0))$ and $C^{*}(L(1))$ are modules over $C^{*}\left(W_{1}\right)$ as there exist inclusions $L(0) \rightarrow W_{1}$ and $L(1) \rightarrow$ $W_{1}$.) This means that there exists an Eilenberg-Moore spectral sequence (see [9]) whose second term is $\operatorname{Tor}_{H^{*}\left(W_{1}\right)}\left(H^{*}(L(0)), H^{*}(L(1))\right)$, and which converges to $H^{*}(L(0,1))$. Further, $H^{*}(L(0))=0$ for $i \neq 0,1$ and $H^{0}(L(0)) \cong$ $H^{1}(L(0)) \cong \mathbf{C}, L(1) \cong L(0)$. For $W_{1}$ we have $H^{i}\left(W_{1}\right)=0$ for $i \neq 0,3$ and $H^{0}\left(W_{1}\right) \cong H^{3}\left(W_{1}\right) \cong \mathbf{C}$. The action of $H^{*}\left(W_{1}\right)$ on $H^{*}(L(0))$ and on $H^{*}(L(1))$ is obviously trivial. This means that
$\operatorname{Tor}_{H^{*}\left(W_{1}\right)}\left(H^{*}(L(0)), H^{*}(L(1))\right) \cong H^{*}(L(0)) \otimes H^{*}(L(1)) \otimes \operatorname{Tor}_{H^{*}\left(W_{1}\right)}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C})$.
According to [9], $\operatorname{Tor}_{H^{*}\left(W_{1}\right)}(\mathbf{C}, \mathbf{C})$ is a free algebra with one two-dimensional generator. The differentials in the spectral sequence are zero and we get the desired result.

In the following proposition we state the result about the homology of $L(0,1)$ with coefficients in $M(\alpha, \beta)$. It will be more convenient for us to describe the structure of the dual cohomology space. It is clear that $H_{*}(L(0,1), M(\alpha, \beta))$ is dual to $H^{*}(L(0,1), M(-\alpha,-\beta))$. The Lie algebra $L(0,1)$ can be embedded in the topological Lie algebra of all vector fields on the line. That means we can define the continuous cohomology

$$
H_{c}^{*}(L(0,1), M(-\alpha,-\beta))
$$

Proposition 1. The space $H^{*}(L(0,1), M(-\alpha,-\beta))$ is different from zero only in the case where there exist two nonnegative integers $k, l$ such that

$$
\alpha=\frac{3 k^{2} \pm k}{2}, \quad \beta=\frac{3 l^{2} \pm l}{2}
$$

The space

$$
H^{*}\left(L(0,1), M\left(-\frac{3 k^{2} \pm k}{2},-\frac{3 l^{2} \pm l}{2}\right)\right)
$$

is a free module over $H^{*}(L(0,1))$, with one generator of degree $k+l$.
The proof is a standard exercise in continuous cohomology theory. The generator can be obtained as follows. Let $L(p)$ be the Lie algebra of vector fields on the line vanishing at $p \in \mathbf{R}$, and let $\bar{M}(\alpha)$ be the module on which $f(z) d / d z$ acts as multiplication by $f^{\prime}(p)$. The cohomology space $H^{*}(L(p), \bar{M}(\alpha))$ is known, see e.g. [6]. It is nontrivial only if $\alpha=\left(3 k^{2} \pm k\right) / 2$ where $k$ is a nonnegative integer, and in that case $H^{*}(L(p), \bar{M}(\alpha))$ is a free module over $H_{c}^{*}(L(p))$ with one generator of degree $k$. Further, $L(0,1)$ can be embedded in $L(0)$ and in $L(1)$. The restrictions of $\bar{M}(\alpha)$ and $\bar{M}(\beta)$ give the modules $M(\alpha, 0)$ and $M(0, \beta)$. The product of the restricted classes of $H_{c}^{k}$ and $H_{c}^{l}$ $\left(\alpha=\left(3 k^{2} \pm k\right) / 2, \beta=\left(3 l^{2} \pm l\right) / 2\right)$ gives the generator of $H_{c}^{k+l}$. Finally, one can show that $H^{*}(L(0,1), M(-\alpha,-\beta))$ is isomorphic to $H_{c}^{*}(L(0,1), M(-\alpha,-\beta))$.

The standard complex

$$
C_{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)=\Lambda^{*} L_{1} \otimes \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu},
$$

which yields $H_{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$, is naturally graded, as $L_{1}$ is a graded algebra and $\mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}$ is a graded module over $L_{1}$ (e.g. the chain $e_{1} \otimes f_{-1}$ has degree zero). Let $C_{*}^{0}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ be the subcomplex of the elements of degree zero. It is clear that $C_{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{k} C_{*}^{0}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu+k}\right)$, where the sum is taken over all natural numbers $k$. That means that it is enough to compute the cohomology of $C_{*}^{0}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$.

Proposition 2. The complex $C_{*}^{0}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$ is isomorphic to the complex $M(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \Lambda^{*} L(0,1)$, which yields the homology of $L(0,1)$ with coefficients in $M(\alpha, \beta)$, where $\alpha=-\mu-\lambda, \beta=\lambda-1$.

Proof. Observe that $L(0,1)$ can be realized as the subalgebra of $\mathcal{L}$ with basis $\bar{e}_{i}=e_{i}-e_{i-1}, i=1,2, \ldots$ Put $e_{i}^{\prime}=\bar{e}_{i}-e_{0}$; on $M(\alpha, \beta), e_{i}^{\prime}$ induces multiplication by $i \beta-\alpha$. Let $z$ be a generator in $M(\alpha, \beta)$. The differential $M(\alpha, \beta) \otimes \Lambda^{*} L(0,1)$ acts in the following way:

$$
\begin{align*}
d(z \otimes & \left.e_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}^{\prime}\right) \\
= & \sum_{r, s}(-1)^{r+s}\left(i_{r}-i_{s}\right) z \otimes e_{i_{r}+i_{s}}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{i_{s}}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{i_{r}}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}^{\prime} \\
& +\sum_{s}(-1)^{s}\left(i_{1}+\cdots+i_{k}-i_{s}\right) \cdot z \otimes e_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{i_{s}}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}^{\prime}  \tag{1}\\
& +\sum_{s}(-1)^{s+1}\left(i_{s} \beta-\alpha\right) \cdot z \otimes e_{i_{1}}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{i_{s}}^{\prime} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}^{\prime}
\end{align*}
$$

The first two sums correspond to the bracket with $e_{i_{r}}^{\prime}$ and $e_{i_{s}}^{\prime}$ (we remark that $\left.\left[e_{i}^{\prime}, e_{j}^{\prime}\right]=(j-i) e_{i+j}^{\prime}+i e_{i}^{\prime}-j e_{j}^{\prime}\right)$, while the last one corresponds to the action of $e_{j}^{\prime}$ on $z$. Now we determine the differential in $C_{*}^{0}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$. The elements $f_{-j} \otimes e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}, j=i_{1}+i_{2}+\cdots+i_{k}$, form a basis of $C_{*}^{0}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$. Then (2) $d\left(f_{-j} \otimes e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}\right)$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& =\sum(-1)^{r+s} f_{-j} \otimes\left(i_{r}-i_{s}\right) e_{i_{r}+i_{s}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{i_{s}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{i_{r}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}} \\
& \quad+\sum(-1)^{s+1}\left(\lambda\left(i_{s}+1\right)+\mu-j\right) f_{-j+i_{s}} \otimes e_{i_{1}} \wedge \cdots \wedge \hat{e}_{i_{s}} \wedge \cdots \wedge e_{i_{k}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Observe that (1) becomes (2) if $\alpha=-\lambda-\mu, \beta=\lambda-1$.
Combining Propositions 1 and 2, we get a new method for computing $H^{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right)$. Finally, we have the following result.

Theorem. $H_{*}\left(L_{1}, \mathcal{F}_{\lambda, \mu}\right) \cong \bigoplus_{k} H_{*}(L(0,1), M(-\lambda-\mu+k, \lambda-1)), k \in \mathbf{Z}$.
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