

## EQUIVARIANT SMOOTHING THEORY

BY R. LASHOF

Given a finite group  $G$  acting on a topological manifold  $M$ , when can we put a smooth structure on  $M$  such that  $G$  acts smoothly? Our approach to this problem is via equivariant immersion theory. This generalizes the immersion theory approach of [12], and we begin by reviewing these ideas. Details will appear in [13].

**1. The immersion approach to smoothing theory.** A map  $\alpha: M_1^n \rightarrow M_2^n$  between  $n$ -dimensional topological manifolds is called a (topological) *immersion* if  $\alpha$  is a local homeomorphism. Of course, a smooth immersion is a topological immersion of the underlying topological manifolds. The basis of the immersion approach to smoothing is the following trivial lemma:

**LEMMA 1.** *A topological immersion  $\alpha$  of a topological manifold  $M^n$  into a smooth manifold  $V^n$  defines a unique smooth structure on  $M$  such that  $\alpha$  becomes a smooth immersion.*

In fact, define smooth local coordinates on  $M$  by pulling back the local coordinates on  $V$  via the local homeomorphisms. We will denote this smooth structure by  $M_\alpha$ .

Recall that the differential of a smooth immersion  $f: V_1^n \rightarrow V_2^n$  induces a bundle homomorphism  $df: TV_1 \rightarrow TV_2$  of the tangent vector bundles which is an isomorphism on fibres. Call such a bundle homomorphism a representation and let  $R(TV_1, TV_2)$  be the space of representations with the  $C^0$ -topology and  $I^\infty(V_1, V_2)$  the space of smooth immersions with the  $C^\infty$ -topology. The Smale-Hirsch theorem for manifolds of the same dimension states:

**THEOREM A (HIRSCH).** *If no component of  $V_1$  is closed,  $d: I^\infty(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow R(TV_1, TV_2)$  is a weak homotopy equivalence. The relative version for immersions modulo a given immersion on a neighborhood of a closed subset  $A$  holds, provided  $\bar{M} - A$  has no compact components.*

For a topological manifold  $M$  we have Milnor's tangent microbundle [15], [12]. Since the fibre of  $\tau M$  over  $p \in M$  is essentially a neighborhood germ, a local homeomorphism  $f: M_1 \rightarrow M_2$  defines a microbundle representation

---

An invited address delivered to the American Mathematical Society in St. Louis, Missouri, January 27, 1977; received by the editors May 10, 1977.

AMS (MOS) subject classifications (1970). Primary 57E10, 57E15, 57D10; Secondary 57A30, 57A35, 57A55, 55F35.

Key words and phrases. Compact transformation groups, smoothing, topological manifolds, engulfing, immersions, classifying spaces.

$df: \tau M_1 \rightarrow \tau M_2$ . (Explicitly, the total space of  $\tau M$  is any neighborhood  $U$  of the diagonal in  $M \times M$  and  $df = f \times f|U$ ,  $U$  sufficiently small.) Lees' topological immersion theorem [14] for manifolds of the same dimension states:

**THEOREM B.** *If no component of  $M_1$  is closed,  $d: I'(M_1, M_2) \rightarrow R'(\tau M_1, \tau M_2)$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Here the "space"  $I'(M_1, M_2)$  of topological immersions must be treated as a simplicial set and similarly for  $R'(\tau M_1, \tau M_2)$  [12]. Since each  $n$ -dimensional microbundle contains an essentially unique  $R^n$  bundle, and these two categories of bundles are equivalent by Kister's theorem [10], we can also consider  $R(\tau M_1, \tau M_2)$  to be the singular complex of the space of  $R^n$  bundle representations. Lees' theorem is proved following the scheme of Haefliger and Poenaru [5] for piecewise linear immersions after proving a topological isotopy extension theorem based on the work of Kirby [8].

By taking essentially the smooth singular complex  $I^s(V_1, V_2)$  of  $I^\infty(V_1, V_2)$  and the singular complex  $R^s(TV_1, TV_2)$  of  $R(TV_1, TV_2)$  we get a homotopy commutative diagram:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} I^s(V_1, V_2) & \xrightarrow{d} & R^s(TV_1, TV_2) \\ F \downarrow & & \downarrow \phi \\ I^t(V_1, V_2) & \xrightarrow{d} & R^t(\tau V_1, \tau V_2) \end{array}$$

where  $F$  is obtained by forgetting the smooth structure and  $\phi$  by embedding  $TV$  as a neighborhood of the diagonal in  $V \times V$  via the exponential map and observing that the topological differential and smooth differential then agree up to a natural homotopy.

As an example, if  $\tau M^n$  is trivial, i.e., equivalent to  $M \times R^n$ , we can obviously construct a microbundle representation of  $\tau M$  into  $\tau R^n$ . By Theorem B, if  $M$  is open, there is a topological immersion  $\alpha: M \rightarrow R^n$ , which defines a smooth structure  $M_\alpha$  on  $M$  by Lemma 1.

More generally (and avoiding technicalities), if  $\tau M$  contains a vector bundle  $\xi$  and  $U$  is a contractible open set of  $M$ ,  $\xi|U$  is trivial and we have a vector bundle representation  $\xi|U \rightarrow TR^n$  and hence a microbundle representation  $\tau U = \tau M|U \rightarrow \tau R^n$ , which induces a smoothing of  $U$ . Further, because the smoothing of  $U$  corresponds to the trivialization of  $\xi|U$ , if  $U'$  is another such neighborhood, the smoothing of  $U \cap U'$  can be extended to a smoothing of  $U'$  corresponding to  $\xi|U'$ . That is, by Theorem A (relative version), there is a smooth immersion  $f$  of  $U \cap U'$  in  $R^n$  whose differential extends to a vector bundle representation of  $\xi|U' \rightarrow TR^n$ . By Theorem B (relative version),  $f$  extends to a topological immersion  $f': U' \rightarrow R^n$  which induces a smooth structure on  $U'$  extending that on  $U \cap U'$ . Thus by induction over a countable open cover we get a smoothing of  $M$  corresponding to the reduction  $\xi$  of  $\tau M$ , provided  $M$  is open.

Define two smooth structures  $M_\alpha, M_\beta$  on a topological manifold  $M$  to be

isotopic if  $\text{id}_M$  is ambient isotopic as a homeomorphism of  $M_\alpha$  onto  $M_\beta$  to a diffeomorphism. Then in [12] (see also [9]), we prove for general (in particular, closed)  $M$ :

**THEOREM C.** *If  $n \neq 4$ , the isotopy classes of smoothings of  $M^n$  are in bijective correspondence with the homotopy classes of reductions of  $\tau M$  to a vector bundle.*

The condition  $n \neq 4$  comes from the fact that the immersion theorem does not apply to closed manifolds so that we have to apply it to  $M - p$ . In order to extend the smoothing over  $p$ , and to prove uniqueness up to isotopy, the smoothing near  $p$  has to be "straightened out" and this requires engulfing techniques which hold for  $n \geq 5$ . The case  $n \leq 3$  is classical.

Now homotopy classes of reductions of  $\tau M$  correspond to homotopy classes of lifts of the classifying map  $\tau: M \rightarrow B \text{Top}_n$  of the tangent  $R^n$  bundle to  $BO_n$ . Here  $\text{Top}_n$  is the group of homeomorphisms of  $R^n$  with the  $C^0$ -topology and  $O_n$  is the orthogonal group. The map of classifying spaces  $BO_n \rightarrow B \text{Top}_n$  may be considered as a fibre space with fibre  $\text{Top}_n/O_n$ . Thus the obstructions to smoothing and uniqueness lie in  $\pi_i(\text{Top}_n/O_n)$ ,  $i \leq n$ .

The analogue of the fact that  $O_{n+1}/O_n = S^n$  is the result [11] that  $\text{Top}_{n+1}/\text{Top}_n = S^n \times BC(S^n)$ . The group  $C(S^n)$  is the pseudoisotopy or concordance group of  $S^n$ ; i.e., homeomorphisms of  $I \times S^n$ ,  $I = [0, 1]$ , which are the identity on  $0 \times S^n$ . Thus we have a homotopy theoretic fibration  $\text{Top}_n/O_n \rightarrow \text{Top}_{n+1}/O_{n+1}$  with fibre  $C(S^n)$ . For  $n \leq 3$  every manifold has a unique smoothing up to isotopy. For  $n \geq 5$ , it can be shown that  $\pi_i C(S^n) = 0$  for  $i \leq n + 1$ . In fact, by surgery arguments of [7] and [16],  $\pi_i C(S^n) = \pi_i C^{pl}(S^n)$ , the piecewise linear group. The result then follows from Haefliger and Wall's analysis of  $\pi_i PL_{n+1}/PL_n$ , see [6]. Hence

$$\pi_i(\text{Top}_n/O_n) = \pi_i(\text{Top}/O), \quad i \leq n + 1,$$

where

$$\text{Top} = \text{ind} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \text{Top}_n \text{ and } 0 = \text{Lim } O_n$$

under inclusion. Finally, the computation of  $\pi_i \text{Top}/O$  can be reduced to computing homotopy groups of spheres by surgery methods. In principle, therefore, one can compute the obstruction groups.

**2. Equivariant smoothing.** Let  $G$  be a finite group. A topological or smooth  $G$ -immersion of  $G$ -manifolds is just an immersion which is a  $G$ -map. The equivariant version of Lemma 1 is:

**LEMMA 1 EQ.** *A topological  $G$ -immersion  $\alpha$  of a topological  $G$ -manifold  $M^n$  into a smooth  $G$ -manifold  $V^n$  defines a unique equivariant smooth structure  $M_\alpha$  on  $M$  such that  $\alpha$  becomes an equivariant smooth immersion.*

If  $V$  is a smooth  $G$ -manifold, the differential of the action of  $G$  on  $V$  induces an action of  $G$  on  $TV$  making it into a  $G$ -vector bundle [3] and [17]:

**DEFINITION.** A  $G$ -vector bundle is a vector bundle  $p: E \rightarrow B$  where  $E$  and  $B$  are  $G$ -spaces,  $p$  is a  $G$ -map, and the action of  $G$  on  $E$  is through vector bundle maps.

The differential of a smooth  $G$ -immersion  $f: V_1^n \rightarrow V_2^n$  induces a  $G$ -bundle

homomorphism  $df: TV_1 \rightarrow TV_2$  which is an isomorphism of fibres. Let  $R_G(TV_1, TV_2)$  be the space of  $G$ -vector bundle representations and  $I_G^\infty(V_1, V_2)$  the space of  $G$ -immersions. Bierstone [3] has given an equivariant Gromov theory proving in particular a  $G$ -version of Theorem A. To state it we first need the definitions:

**DEFINITION (BREDON [4]).** A topological  $G$ -manifold  $M$  is called *locally smooth* if  $M$  has an atlas of  $G$ -invariant open sets  $U$ , such that each  $U$  admits an equivariant smoothing.

**DEFINITION.** Let  $M_{(H)}$  be the union of orbits of type  $(H)$ .  $M_{(H)}$  is  $G$ -invariant and a bundle over  $M_{(H)}/G$  with fibre  $G/H$  [4]. If  $M$  is a (locally) smooth  $G$ -manifold,  $M_{(H)}$  is a (locally) smooth submanifold. We say  $M$  satisfies the *Bierstone Condition* if no  $G$ -component of  $M_{(H)}$  is a closed manifold. (A  $G$ -component of  $M_{(H)}$  is the preimage of a component of  $M_{(H)}/G$ .)

**THEOREM A EQ. (BIERSTONE [3]).** *If  $V_1, V_2$  are smooth  $G$ -manifolds of the same dimension and  $V_1$  satisfies the Bierstone Condition,  $d: I_G^\infty(V_1, V_2) \rightarrow R_G(TV_1, TV_2)$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Again this theorem has a semisimplicial version. By methods analogous to the  $G$ -trivial case we get a  $G$ -version of Theorem B.

**THEOREM B EQ.** *If  $M_1, M_2$  are locally smooth  $G$ -manifolds of the same dimension and  $M_1$  satisfies the Bierstone Condition,  $d: I'_G(M_1, M_2) \rightarrow R'_G(\tau M_1, \tau M_2)$  is a weak homotopy equivalence.*

Again  $I'_G(M_1, M_2)$  and  $R'_G(\tau M_1, \tau M_2)$  are simplicial sets. Also  $\tau M$  is a  $G$ -microbundle; i.e.,  $G$  acts on the total space through microbundle maps.

The notion of local triviality for  $G$ -vector bundles is somewhat more involved than for ordinary vector bundles: If  $\xi$  is a  $G$ -vector bundle over a completely regular  $G$ -space  $X$ , for each  $x \in X$  there is a slice  $S_x$  (i.e., the orbit  $Gx$  through  $x$  has a  $G$ -neighborhood  $GS_x$ ,  $G$ -equivalent to  $G \times_{G_x} S_x$ ), such that  $\xi|_{GS_x}$  is equivalent to the  $G$ -vector bundle  $1_\rho(S_x): G \times_{G_x} (S_x \times R_\rho^n) \rightarrow G \times_{G_x} S_x$  (obvious projection), where  $R_\rho^n$  is an orthogonal  $G_x$  space,  $\rho: G_x \rightarrow O_n$  a representation.

Note that since  $M$  is locally smooth  $\tau M$  is locally  $G$ -equivalent to a  $G$ -vector bundle and hence locally  $G$ -trivial in the above sense. One may prove a  $G$ -Kister theorem for locally  $G$ -trivial microbundles and show the category of locally  $G$ -trivial microbundles coincides with the category of locally  $G$ -trivial  $G$ - $R^n$  bundles.

Now  $T(G \times_{G_x} R_\rho^n) = G \times_{G_x} (R_\rho^n \times R_\rho^n)$  and we have an obvious  $G$ -vector bundle map of  $1_\rho(S_x) \rightarrow T(G \times_{G_x} R_\rho^n)$  sending  $S_x$  to  $0 \in R_\rho^n$ .

Thus again we have that if  $\tau M$  contains a  $G$ -vector bundle  $\xi$  we can cover  $M$  by  $G$ -invariant neighborhoods  $U = GS_x$  such that  $\xi|_U$  is  $G$ -trivial and hence we get a  $G$ -immersion  $U \rightarrow G \times_{G_x} R_\rho^n$  and a  $G$ -smoothing of  $U$  by Lemma 1 eq. Then using Theorems A eq. and B eq., we get by an argument completely analogous to the  $G$ -trivial case that if  $M$  satisfies the Bierstone Condition and  $\tau M$  reduces to a  $G$ -vector bundle  $\xi$ , then  $M$  has a  $G$ -smoothing corresponding to the reduction of  $\tau M$  to  $\xi$  (cf. [2]).

To obtain a result for arbitrary  $G$ -manifolds we must use a  $G$ -engulfing

theorem. This is proved from the ordinary engulfing theorem by inducing up the orbit types and leads to:

**THEOREM C EQ.** *If  $\dim H \neq 4$  for any  $H \subset G$ , the isotopy classes of  $G$ -smoothings of  $M$  are in bijective correspondence with the homotopy classes of  $G$ -vector bundle reductions of  $\tau M$ .*

We remark that it isn't necessary to assume  $M$  is locally smooth, because it is easy to see that if  $\tau M$  reduces to a  $G$ -vector bundle then  $M$  must be locally smooth.

The obstructions to reducing  $\tau M$  to a  $G$ -vector bundle lies in  $\pi_i(\text{Top}_n^\rho/O_n^\rho)$ , where  $\rho: H \rightarrow O_n$  and  $\text{Top}_n^\rho(O_n^\rho)$  is the subgroup of  $\text{Top}_n(O_n)$  commuting with the orthogonal action of  $H$ .

Now  $R_p^n = R_\alpha^k \oplus R^l$ ,  $k + l = n$ , where we have split off the trivial representations. Write  $\text{Top}_n^\rho = \text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha$  and  $O_n^\rho = O_{k+l}^\alpha$ . Then if we let  $C^\alpha(S^{k+l})$  be the subgroup of  $C(S^{k+l})$  commuting with the action of  $H$  on  $I \times S^{k+l}$  (trivial action on  $I$ , orthogonal action on  $S^{k+l}$ ), we again have a fibration:

$$C^\alpha(S^{k+l}) \rightarrow \text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha \rightarrow \text{Top}_{k+l+1}^\alpha/O_{k+l+1}^\alpha.$$

Here however, the groups  $\pi_i C^\alpha(S^{k+l})$  are *not* zero in general. In principle, they can be computed by methods of Anderson and Hsiang [1]. In particular, if  $H$  acts freely on  $S^{h-1}$  via  $\alpha$  then  $\pi_i C^\alpha(S^{h+l}) \simeq \pi_i C^\alpha(S^{h+l} \bmod S^l) \oplus \pi_i C(S^l)$ ; and if  $k + l \geq 6$ , Anderson and Hsiang have shown:

$$\begin{aligned} \pi_i C(S^{h+l} \bmod S^l) &\simeq K_{-l+1+i}(Z(H)), \quad i < l-1 \\ &\tilde{K}_0(Z(H)), \quad i = l-1 \\ &\text{Wh}_1(H), \quad i = l \\ &\pi_{i-l-1} C(L \times D^{l+1}), \quad i > l \end{aligned}$$

where  $L = S^{h-1}/H$  and the  $K_{-j}$  are Bass' algebraic  $K$  groups.

Let  $M^n$  be a locally smooth  $H$ -manifold for which the action is semifree. Suppose  $\dim M^H = l$ ,  $n = k + l$  and  $\alpha: H \rightarrow O_k$  is the representation of  $H$  on the normal disc to  $M^H$ . Then the obstructions to  $H$ -smoothing lie in  $\text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha$  and in  $\text{Top}_n/O_n$  if  $\dim M^H \neq 4$  and  $\dim M \neq 4$ . For this we need know  $\pi_i(\text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha)$  only for  $i < l$  and  $\pi_i \text{Top}_n/O_n$  for  $i < n$ .

Now  $\text{Top}_l/O_l$  is a retract of  $\text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha$ . We also have the inclusion of  $A^\alpha(S^{k-1})/O_k^\alpha \rightarrow \text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha$ , where  $A^\alpha(S^{k-1}) =$  group of homeomorphisms of  $S^{k-1}$  commuting with  $\alpha$ . It can be shown that this map induces a split injection

$$\pi_i \tilde{A}^\alpha(S^{k-1})/O_k^\alpha \rightarrow \pi_i(\text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha, \text{Top}_l/O_l), \quad i < l;$$

where  $\tilde{A}^\alpha(S^{k-1}) =$  group of block homeomorphisms of  $S^{k-1}$  commuting with  $\alpha$  (see [12]). Hence we get a split injection:

$$\pi_i(\tilde{A}^\alpha(S^{k-1})/O_k^\alpha) \oplus \pi_i(\text{Top}_l/O_l) \rightarrow \pi_i \text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha, \quad i < l.$$

Further, from the fibration above, using the fact that  $\pi_i C(S^l) = 0$ ,  $i < l + 1$ , we get the exact sequence:

$$\begin{aligned}
0 &\rightarrow \pi_{l+1}(\tilde{A}^\alpha(S^{k-1})/O_k^\alpha) \oplus \pi_{l+1}(\text{Top}_{l+1}/O_{l+1}) \\
&\rightarrow \pi_{l+1}(\text{Top}_{k+l+1}^\alpha/O_{k+l+1}^\alpha) \rightarrow \text{Wh}_1(H) \rightarrow \pi_l(\text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha) \\
&\rightarrow \pi(\text{Top}_{k+l+1}^\alpha/O_{k+l+1}^\alpha) \rightarrow \tilde{K}_0(Z(H)) \rightarrow \pi_{l-1}(\text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha) \\
&\rightarrow \pi_{l-1}(\text{Top}_{k+l+1}^\alpha/O_{k+l+1}^\alpha) \rightarrow K_{-l+1}(Z(H)) \rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Top}_{k+l}^\alpha/O_{k+l}^\alpha) \\
&\rightarrow \pi_0(\text{Top}_{k+l+1}^\alpha/O_{k+l+1}^\alpha) \rightarrow K_{-l}(Z(H)).
\end{aligned}$$

Of course,  $\pi_{l+1}(\text{Top}_{l+1}/O_{l+1}) \simeq \pi_{l+1}(\text{Top}/O)$ . Also  $\pi_l(\tilde{A}^\alpha(S^{k-1})/O_k^\alpha)$  can be computed up to extension from the surgery exact sequence for  $L$ .

Finally, we note the following results of Bass and others for the algebraic  $K$ -groups.

For  $\pi$  abelian,  $K_{-j}(Z(\pi)) = 0$  for  $j > 1$ .

For  $\pi$  abelian and prime power order,  $K_{-1}(Z(\pi)) = 0$ .

For  $\pi$  cyclic of order  $p$ ,  $\tilde{K}_0(Z(\pi)) = \text{class group of } Q(e^{2\pi i/p})$ .

For  $\pi$  finite  $\tilde{K}_0(Z(H))$  is finite.

#### REFERENCES

1. D. Anderson and W. C. Hsiang, *The functors  $K_{-i}$  and pseudo-isotopies of polyhedra*, Ann. of Math. (2) **105** (1977), 201–224.
2. G. Anderson, *Classification of structures on abstract manifolds*, Mass. Inst. of Tech., Cambridge, 1976 (preprint).
3. E. Bierstone, *Equivariant Gravov theory*, Topology **13** (1974), 327–346.
4. G. Bredon, *Introduction to compact transformation groups*, Academic Press, New York, 1972.
5. A. Haefliger and V. Poenaru, *La classification des immersions combinatoires*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. **23** (1964), 75–91.
6. A. Haefliger and C. T. C. Wall, *Piecewise linear bundles in the stable range*, Topology **4** (1965), 209–214.
7. W. C. Hsiang and J. Shaneson, *Fake tori, the annulus conjecture, and the conjecture of Kirby*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. USA **62** (1969), 687–691.
8. R. Kirby, *Stable homeomorphisms and the annulus conjecture*, Ann. of Math. (2) **89** (1969), 575–582.
9. R. Kirby and L. Siebenmann, *Essays on topological manifolds, smoothings and triangulations* (to appear).
10. J. Kister, *Microbundles are fibre bundles*, Ann. of Math. (2) **80** (1964), 190–199.
11. N. Kuiper and R. Lashof, *Microbundles and bundles. I*, Invent. Math. **1** (1968), 1–17.
12. R. Lashof, *The immersion approach to triangulation and smoothing*, (AMS Summer Inst., Madison, Wisc., 1970) Proc. Sympos. in Pure Math., vol. 22, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1971, pp. 131–164.
13. R. Lashof and M. Rothenberg, *G-smoothing theory*, (Proc. AMS Summer Inst., Stanford, 1976) (to appear).
14. J. Lees, *Immersions and surgeries on topological manifolds*, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. **75** (1969), 529–534.
15. J. Milnor, *Microbundles*, Proc. Internat. Congress Math. (Stockholm, 1962), Inst. Mittag-Leffler, Djorsholm, 1963.
16. C. T. C. Wall, *On homotopy tori and the annulus theorem*, Bull. London Math. Soc. **1** (1969), 95–97.
17. A. Wasserman, *Equivariant differential topology*, Topology **8** (1969), 127–150.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF CHICAGO, CHICAGO, ILLINOIS 60637