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A polynomial

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=a_{0} x^{n}+a_{1} x^{n-1}+\cdots+a_{n} \quad\left(a_{i} \in \mathbf{Z}\right) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

is said to be "with affect" if the Galois group $G_{f}$ of its splitting field, considered as a permutation group on the roots of $f(x)$, is a proper subgroup of the symmetric group on $n$ letters. The purpose of this note is to give an improved upper bound for the number of monic polynomials of degree three with affect. More generally, we also give an upper bound for the number of trinomials $f$ of degree $n$ for which $G_{f}$ is a subgroup of the alternating group $A_{n}$ on $n$ letters. Details will appear elsewhere [6].

Previous upper bounds for the number $E_{n}(N)$ of monic polynomials of degree $n$ with integer coefficients bounded in absolute value by $N$, which are with affect, have been given by van der Waerden [10], Knobloch [5], and Gallagher [2]. The best estimate obtained so far for the general case is $E_{n}(N)$ $\ll N^{n-1 / 2} \log N$ given by Gallagher [2]. Van der Waerden [10] has conjectured that $E_{n}(N) \ll N^{n-1}$, a bound which does hold for the reducible polynomials if $n \geqslant 3$ [10]. Our results are as follows.

Theorem 1. For each $\epsilon>0, E_{3}(N) \ll_{\epsilon} N^{2+\epsilon}$.

## Theorem 2. Let $J_{k, n}(N)$ denote the number of trinomials

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(x)=a x^{n}+b x^{k}+c \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $a, b, c \in Z$ and $|a|,|b|,|c| \leqslant N$, for $N \geqslant 1$, for which $G_{f}$ is a subgroup of $A_{n}$. Then for each $\epsilon>0, J_{k, n}(N) \ll_{\epsilon, n} N^{2+\epsilon}$.

The proofs of these two theorems are similar. It is well known that the discriminant $D_{f}$ of (1) has the property that $D_{f} \in \mathrm{Z}\left[a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{n}\right]$. Moreover, if $G_{f} \subseteq A_{n}$, then $D_{f}$ is the square of a rational integer, i.e.

$$
\begin{equation*}
D_{f}=z^{2} \quad(z \in \mathbf{Z}) \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$
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In both theorems then, we think of $z$ and the coefficients of $f$ as variables and count the number of possible integer solutions of (3). We do this by means of the following

Lemma. For a fixed integer $d \neq 0$, there are $<_{\epsilon, d}(M m)^{\epsilon}$ integer solutions $(x, y)$ of

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{2}-d y^{2}=m \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

with $|x|,|y| \ll M$.
This lemma is useful because after some computational manipulation, equations (3), for both the cubic and the trinomial, can be put into form (4).

We prove this lemma from an estimate for the number of ideal solutions to norm equations in number fields. We show that if $K$ is a number field of degree $n$, and $m \in Z$, then the number $R_{K}(m)$ of ideals of $K$ with norm $m$ is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
R_{K}(m) \ll_{\epsilon} m^{\epsilon} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $\epsilon>0$. If $d \neq 1$, then (4) is a norm form in the quadratic field $Q(\sqrt{ } d)$. By applying (5) and taking into account the units in real quadratic fields, we obtain the estimate in the lemma.

In the course of the proof of Theorem 2, we also give a new proof of the following formula.

Trinomial discriminant formula. Let $f(x)=a x^{n}+b x^{k}+c, a \neq 0$, $n>k>0$. Then the discriminant $D_{f}$ of $f(x)$ is given by

$$
D_{f}=(-1)^{1 / 2 n(n-1)} a^{n-k-1} c^{k-1}\left(n^{N} a^{K} c^{N-K}+(-1)^{N-1}(n-k)^{N-K} k^{K} b^{N}\right)^{d}
$$

where $d=(n, k), n=N d$, and $k=K d$.
This formula was given previously by Heading [4] and Goodstein [3] in the monic case, and by Artin [1], Samuel [8], and Masser [7] for the case $a=$ 1 and $k=1$. Details of our proof will appear in [6].
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