

MAPPING ONTO 2-DIMENSIONAL SPACES

BY DIX H. PETTEY

Communicated by Mary Ellen Rudin, July 1, 1971

In [3] the author showed that if X is a connected, locally connected, locally compact topological space and f is a 1-1 mapping of X onto E^2 , then f is a homeomorphism. In this note, we present some significant extensions of the above result. An additional related result concerning 1-1 mappings is also given.

A topological space (not necessarily Hausdorff) will be said to be *locally compact* if each point has a compact neighborhood. By a *mapping* we will mean a continuous function. A mapping is said to be *open* if images of open sets are open. A mapping is *compact* if inverse images of compact sets are compact. If K is a 2-cell, then $\text{Bd } K$ and $\text{Int } K$ will denote, respectively, the boundary and interior of K , where K is regarded as a 2-manifold with boundary.

THEOREM 1. *Let Y be a locally connected, locally compact metric space such that for each simple closed curve J in Y there is a 2-cell K in Y with $J = \text{Bd } K$ and $\text{Int } K$ an open set in Y . If X is a connected, locally connected, locally compact topological space and f is a 1-1 mapping of X onto Y , then f is a homeomorphism.*

The proof of this theorem depends upon a theorem of G. T. Whyburn [4, Theorem 7, p. 1430] and the above cited result of the author in [3]. Details will appear in another paper.

In [1] Edwin Duda defines a mapping f to be *reflexive compact* if for each compact set H in the domain space, $f^{-1}(f(H))$ is compact. In [2] Duda and Jack W. Smith define a mapping f to be *reflexive open* if for each open set V in the domain, $f^{-1}(f(V))$ is open. The following theorem generalizes results of Duda and Smith in [1] and [2].

THEOREM 2. *Let X and Y be as in Theorem 1. If f is a reflexive compact (reflexive open) mapping of X onto Y then f is compact (open).*

The proof is obtained by using Theorem 1 and techniques from [1] and [2].

The following theorem establishes the existence of nontopological 1-1 mappings between certain kinds of spaces. The proof will appear elsewhere.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 54C10; Secondary 54F60, 57A05.

Key words and phrases. One-to-one mapping, open mapping, compact mapping, reflexive compact mapping, reflexive open mapping.

Copyright © American Mathematical Society 1972

THEOREM 3. *Suppose that a metric space Y is the union of n 2-cells K_1, K_2, \dots, K_n such that for $1 \leq i < j \leq n$, $K_i \cap K_j = \text{Bd } K_i = \text{Bd } K_j$. If $n \geq 3$, then there is a connected, locally connected, locally compact metric space which can be mapped onto Y by a nontopological 1-1 mapping.*

It follows from Theorem 1 that if a metric space Y is the union of two 2-spheres whose intersection is a point, then Y is not the image, under a nontopological 1-1 mapping, of a connected, locally connected, locally compact topological space. In light of this, the following corollary to Theorem 3 is of interest.

COROLLARY. *Suppose that a metric space Y is the union of two 2-spheres having as their intersection a 2-cell. Then there is a connected, locally connected, locally compact metric space which can be mapped onto Y by a nontopological 1-1 mapping.*

REFERENCES

1. Edwin Duda, *Reflexive compact mappings*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. **17** (1966), 688–693. MR **33** #6589.
2. Edwin Duda and Jack W. Smith, *Reflexive open mappings*, Pacific J. Math. (to appear).
3. Dix H. Pethey, *Mappings onto the plane*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. **157** (1971), 297–309.
4. G. T. Whyburn, *On compactness of mappings*, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. U.S.A. **52** (1964), 1426–1431. MR **31** #722.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF MISSOURI, COLUMBIA, MISSOURI 65201