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We define a 2 -sphere $S$ in $E^{3}$ to have vertical order $n$ if each vertical line intersects $S$ in no more than $n$ points. The main result in this paper is the following

Theorem 1. If $S$ is a 2-sphere in $E^{3}$ having vertical order 3 , then $S$ is tame.

This is the best theorem possible in the sense that examples are known of wild 2-spheres in $E^{3}$ having vertical order 4 [5]. In Theorem 2 to follow we generalize Theorem 1 to compact 2-manifolds in $E^{3}$.

Previous work concerned with the nature of the intersection of vertical lines with a 2 -sphere in $E^{3}$ has been done by Bing [ 1 , Theorem 7.3]; [3].

Proof of Theorem 1. The vertical line in $E^{3}$ containing the point $x$ is denoted by $L_{x}$, and we refer to the bounded component of $E^{3}-S$ as Int $S$. If $x \in \operatorname{Int} S$ it is easy to see that $L_{x} \cap S$ consists of two points. In this case the point with largest third coordinate is denoted by $U_{x}$ and the other by $V_{x}$. Welet $U=\left\{U_{x} \mid x \in \operatorname{Int} S\right\}$ and $V=\left\{V_{x} \mid x \in \operatorname{Int} S\right\}$, and we note that $U$ and $V$ are both open subsets of $S$. A bicollar can be constructed for a neighborhood of each point of $U \cup V$ using short vertical intervals. Thus $S$ is locally tame at each point of $U \cup V$ [2].

Let $R=S-(U \cup V)$. The proof that $S$ is tame is completed by showing that $R$ is a tame simple closed curve, since a 2 -sphere that is locally tame modulo a tame simple closed curve is known to be tame [4].

It will follow that $R$ is a simple closed curve once we show that each of $U$ and $V$ is connected and that each point $p \in R$ is arcwise accessible from both $U$ and $V$ [7, p. 233]. Let $\theta$ be an arc in Int $S$ $\cup\{p\}$ such that $p$ is an endpoint of $\theta$. We now show that the vertical projection $\sigma$ of $\theta$ into $U \cup\{p\}$ is continuous. To accomplish this we take a sequence $\left\{x_{i}\right\}$ of points in $\theta$ converging to $x_{0}$ and we prove that the sequence $\left\{\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}$ converges to $\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)$. Let $L_{i}(i=0,1,2, \cdots)$ be the vertical interval from $x_{i}$ to $\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)$ (if $x_{i}=p$, then $L_{i}$ is degenerate),

[^0]and let $L=$ limit superior $\left\{L_{i}\right\}$. Then $L$ is an interval (possibly degenerate) in $L_{x_{0}}$ having lower endpoint $x_{0}$. The upper endpoint of $L$ must lie in $S$ so it cannot lie below $\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)$. On the other hand $L$ cannot properly contain $L_{0}$ either, since then there would be limit points of Int $S$ in Ext $S$. Thus $L=L_{0}$, and we see that $\left\{\sigma\left(x_{i}\right)\right\}$ converges to $\sigma\left(x_{0}\right)$. Since $\sigma(\theta)$ is the continuous image of an arc, it must contain an arc having $p$ as an endpoint. Now $p$ is arcwise accessible from $V$ by the same reasoning. A similar argument would establish the arcwise connectivity of both $U$ and $V$, so we may conclude that $R$ is a simple closed curve.

All that remains is to show that $R$ is tame. We let $p \in R$ and we let $\epsilon>0$. In the remainder of the proof we construct a 2 -sphere $S^{\prime}$ such that $p \in \operatorname{Int} S^{\prime}, S^{\prime}$ has diameter less than $\epsilon$, and $S^{\prime} \cap R$ consists of two points. This is enough to ensure the tameness of $R$ since it implies that $R$ satisfies property $P$ of [6].

Let $N$ be a round open neighborhood of $p$ with diameter less than $\epsilon$, and let $\alpha$ and $\beta$ be two arcs in $R$ such that $\alpha \cap \beta=\{p\}, \alpha \cup \beta \subset N$, and no point of $N \cap R$ lies vertically above $p$. There is an arc $\gamma$ joining the two endpoints of $\alpha \cup \beta$ such that Int $\gamma \subset U$ and $\alpha \cup \beta \cup \gamma$ is a simple closed curve $J$ bounding an open disk $W$ in $U$. Since the vertical projection $\pi$ of $E^{3}$ onto a horizontal plane $E^{2}$ is continuous, there exist $\operatorname{arcs} \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\beta^{\prime}$ in $\pi(\alpha)$ and $\pi(\beta)$, respectively, such that $\pi(\mathrm{Bd} \alpha)=\mathrm{Bd} \alpha^{\prime}$ and $\pi(\mathrm{Bd} \beta)=\operatorname{Bd} \beta^{\prime}$. The proof is completed in two cases.

Case 1. $\alpha^{\prime} \cap \beta^{\prime}$ is nondegenerate. In this case we let $x^{\prime}$ be a point of $\alpha^{\prime} \cap \beta^{\prime}$ such that $x^{\prime} \neq \pi(p)=p^{\prime}$, and we let $x \in \alpha$ and $y \in \beta$ be two points such that $\pi(x)=\pi(y)=x^{\prime}$. Let $f$ be an arc from $x$ to $y$ such that $f-\{x, y\} \subset W$, and notice that $f^{\prime}=\pi(f)$ is a simple closed curve. It is not difficult to show that $p$ lies in Int $H$ where $H=\pi^{-1}\left(f^{\prime}\right)$ and Int $H$ is the component of $E^{3}-H$ whose intersection with $E^{2}$ is bounded. We form a 2 -sphere $T$ in $\bar{N}$ by taking the union of $H \cap N$ with the two disks in $(\operatorname{Bd} N) \cap(H \cup \operatorname{Int} H)$. If $T \cap R=\{x, y\}$ we let $T=S^{\prime}$. Otherwise $T \cap R$ consists of three points and it follows that $R$ cannot pierce $T$ at all three points. Thus $R$ must be tangent to $H$ at one point, and we may move $T$ slightly to the nontangency side of $H$ near the nonpiercing point to form $S^{\prime}$ in this case.

Case 2. $\alpha^{\prime} \cap \beta^{\prime}=\left\{p^{\prime}\right\}$. Let $\gamma^{\prime}=\pi(\gamma)$ and $W^{\prime}=\pi(W)$. In this case $\alpha^{\prime} \cup \beta^{\prime} \cup \gamma^{\prime}$ is a simple closed curve $J^{\prime}$ bounding a disk $D^{\prime}$ in $E^{2}$. It is not difficult to see that $W^{\prime} \subset$ Int $D^{\prime}$ because $W^{\prime} \cap J^{\prime}=\varnothing$ and $W^{\prime}$ is arcwise connected. Let $N_{1}$ and $N_{2}$ be round open neighborhoods of $p$ such that $\bar{N}_{1} \cap R \subset \alpha \cup \beta$ and each pair of points of $R \cap N_{2}$ lies in an arc in $(\alpha \cup \beta) \cap N_{1}$. If $x^{\prime} \in \alpha^{\prime} \cap \pi\left(N_{2}\right)$ and $y^{\prime} \in \beta^{\prime} \cap \pi\left(N_{2}\right)$ there is an arc $g^{\prime}$ from $x^{\prime}$ to $y^{\prime}$ such that $g^{\prime}-\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\} \subset E^{2}-D^{\prime}$ and $g^{\prime} \subset \pi\left(N_{1}\right)$.

The idea of the remainder of the proof is to obtain an arc $f^{\prime}$ from $x^{\prime}$ to $y^{\prime}$ such that $f^{\prime}-\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\} \subset \pi\left(N_{1}\right) \cap W^{\prime}$, and then to construct the 2-sphere $S^{\prime}$ using part of the infinite vertical cylinder $H=\pi^{-1}\left(f^{\prime} \cup g^{\prime}\right)$ and two disks in $\mathrm{Bd} N_{1}$. Of course, this requires a nice enough selection of $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ to insure that $H$ intersects $R$ in a controlled manner.

Suppose we are able to select $x^{\prime} \in \alpha^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime} \in \beta^{\prime}$ each having exactly one point, say $x$ and $y$ respectively, of $\alpha \cup \beta$ vertically above it. Then an $\operatorname{arc} f$ can be constructed in $N_{1} \cap(W \cup\{x, y\})$ whose projection $\pi(f)$ satisfies the desired conditions on $f^{\prime}$, and it would follow that $H \cap(\alpha \cup \beta)=\{x, y\}$. Thus $S^{\prime}$ could be chosen as the boundary of the 3-cell $(H \cup \operatorname{Int} H) \cap \bar{N}_{1}$, and it would follow that $R \cap S^{\prime}=\{x, y\}$. We show now that such points $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ can always be found.

Suppose that for each $x^{\prime} \in \beta^{\prime}$ the set $\pi^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap \beta$ contains at least two points. We can select $x^{\prime} \in \beta^{\prime}$ such that $\pi^{-1}\left(x^{\prime}\right) \cap \beta$ contains two points $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ having the property that every open arc in $\beta$ with either $x_{1}$ or $x_{2}$ as an endpoint intersects $\pi^{-1}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$. This is possible because $\beta-\pi^{-1}\left(\alpha^{\prime} \cup \beta^{\prime}\right)$ has at most countably many components, and any point not in the projection of their endpoints would satisfy the conditions on $x^{\prime}$.

We choose disjoint arcs $A_{1}$ and $A_{2}$ such that $x_{i} \in \operatorname{Int} A_{i} \subset A_{i} \subset \beta$ and $A_{i} \cap L_{x_{i}}=\left\{x_{i}\right\} \quad(i=1,2)$, and we choose disjoint disks $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ such that $A_{i} \subset \operatorname{Bd} D_{i},\left(D_{i}-A_{i}\right) \subset W$, and $\operatorname{Bd} D_{i}-A_{i}$ is an open $\operatorname{arc} B_{i}$ in $W(i=1,2)$. In view of the selection of $x^{\prime}$ we may assume that the endpoints of each $A_{i}$ lie in $\pi^{-1}\left(\beta^{\prime}\right)$. This implies that the open arcs $B_{i}^{\prime}=\pi\left(B_{i}\right)$ have their endpoints in $\beta^{\prime}$. Notice that $B_{1}^{\prime} \cap B_{2}^{\prime}=\varnothing$, for otherwise a vertical line through a point of $B_{1}^{\prime} \cap B_{2}^{\prime}$ would intersect $W$ twice; and recall that $\pi\left(D_{1} \cup D_{2}\right) \subset \pi(W \cup J) \subset D^{\prime}$. Since $x^{\prime}$ lies in the boundary of both $\pi\left(D_{1}\right)$ and $\pi\left(D_{2}\right)$, we see that the endpoints of each $B_{i}^{\prime}$ separate $x^{\prime}$ from $\gamma^{\prime}$ in $\operatorname{Bd} D^{\prime}$. Thus the closure of one of $B_{1}^{\prime}$ and $B_{2}^{\prime}$, say $B_{1}^{\prime}$, separates the other from $\gamma$ in $D^{\prime}$. This forces $\bar{B}_{2}^{\prime}$ to separate $B_{1}^{\prime}$ from $x^{\prime}$ in $D^{\prime}$, and yields a contradiction since there is an arc in $D_{1}$ from $x_{1}$ to a point of $B_{1}$ missing $B_{2}$.

Theorem 2. If $S$ is a compact 2-manifold in $E^{3}$ having vertical order 3 , then $S$ is tame.

We restrict ourselves here to an outline of the proof of Theorem 2. By working with a component of $S$ we may suppose that $S$ is connected and consequently that $S$ has exactly two complementary domains. The sets $U, V$, and $R$ are defined just as in the proof for Theorem 1, and in the same way we see that $U$ and $V$ are connected, open, and locally tame. In this case $R$ is a finite collection of disjoint simple closed curves each of which can be proven tame by establishing

Properties $P$ and $Q$ of [6] as before. Thus $S$ is tame, since it is locally tame modulo a finite collection of tame simple closed curves.
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