## EXISTENCE THEOREM FOR THE BARGAINING SET M(1)

## BY BEZALEL PELEG

Communicated by C. B. Tompkins, September 11, 1962

M. Davis and M. Maschler have conjectured [1] that for each coalition structure  $^1$  B in a cooperative game, there exists a payoff vector  $\mathbf{x}$  such that the payoff configuration  $(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{B})$  is stable, i.e., belongs to the bargaining set  $\mathbf{M}_1^{(i)}$ . We outline here a proof of the conjecture. The details of the proof will be published elsewhere.

Let  $\mathbf{B} \equiv B_1, B_2, \dots, B_m$  be a fixed coalition structure for an *n*-person game  $\Gamma$  with a characteristic function v(B), satisfying  $v(B) \ge 0$ , and v(i) = 0 for  $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . We denote by  $X(\mathbf{B})$  the space of the points  $\mathbf{x} \equiv (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n)$  such that  $(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{B})$  is an individually rational payoff configuration (i.r.p.c.). Thus,  $X(\mathbf{B}) = S_1 \times S_2 \times \dots \times S_m$ , where for  $j = 1, 2, \dots, m$ ,  $S_j$  is the simplex

$$\left\{\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{B_j} \equiv \left\{x_k\right\}_{k \in B_j} : x_k \ge 0 \text{ and } \sum_{k \in B_j} x_k = v(B_j)\right\}.$$

LEMMA. Let  $c^1(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $c^2(\mathbf{x})$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $c^n(\mathbf{x})$  be non-negative continuous real functions defined for  $\mathbf{x} \in X(\mathbf{B})$ . If, for each  $\mathbf{x}$  in  $X(\mathbf{B})$ , and for each coalition  $B_j$  in  $\mathbf{B}$ , there exists a player i in  $B_j$ , such that  $c^i(\mathbf{x}) \geq x_i$ , then there exists a point  $\xi \equiv (\xi_1, \xi_2, \cdots, \xi_n)$  in  $X(\mathbf{B})$  such that  $c^k(\xi) \geq \xi_k$  for all  $k, k = 1, 2, \cdots, n$ .

The proof is indirect and one arrives at the contradiction by using Brouwer's fixed point theorem.

Let  $(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{B})$  be an i.r.p.c. We shall denote by  $(\mathbf{y}^{B_i}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{N-B_i}; \mathbf{B})$  an i.r.p.c. which results from the previous one by holding the payments to the players in  $N-B_j$  fixed, and giving each player k in  $B_j$ ,  $B_j \in \mathbf{B}$ , an amount  $y_k$ . Clearly,  $\mathbf{x}^{N-B_i}$  is the projection of  $\mathbf{x}$  on the space  $S_1 \times \cdots \times S_{j-1} \times S_{j+1} \times \cdots \times S_m$ , and  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{B_j} \equiv \{y_k\}$  is a point in  $S_j$ .

Let  $E_j^i(\mathbf{x})$  be the set of points  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{B_i}$  in  $S_j$ , having the property that in  $(\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{B_i}, \hat{\mathbf{x}}^{N-B_i}; \mathfrak{B})$ , player  $i, i \in B_j$ , is not weaker than any other player. The set  $E_j^i(\mathbf{x})$  is closed and contains the face  $y_i = 0$  of  $S_j$ . (See [2].)

We now define for each player  $i, i=1, 2, \dots, n$ , the function

$$c^{i}(\mathbf{x}) \equiv x_{i} + \underset{\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{B_{j}} \in E_{j}^{i}(\mathbf{x})}{\operatorname{Max}} \quad \underset{k \in B_{j}}{\operatorname{Min}} (x_{k} - y_{k}).$$

Here,  $B_i$  is that coalition of **B** which contains player i.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> Throughout this paper we shall use the definitions and the notations of [2].

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Another proof has been given by the author, M. Davis, and M. Maschler. It has been decided to publish this version, which is simpler.

It can be shown that  $c^{i}(x)$  is a non-negative continuous function of x.

Since  $\sum_{k \in B_j} x_k = \sum_{k \in B_j} y_k = v(B_j)$ , it follows that  $c^i(\mathbf{x}) \leq x_i$  for all  $i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Let  $E_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , be the set of points  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in X(\mathbf{B})$ , for which i is not weaker than any other player of the coalition  $B_j$  which contains player i. Clearly,  $(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{B}) \in \mathbf{M}_1^{(i)}$  if and only if  $\mathbf{x} \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n E_k$ . If  $\mathbf{x} \in E_i$ , then its projection  $\hat{\mathbf{x}}^{B_j}$  on  $S_j$  belongs to  $E_j^i(\mathbf{x})$ . In this case  $c^i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ . Conversely, if  $c^i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ , then some  $\hat{\mathbf{y}}^{B_j} \in E_j^i(\mathbf{x})$  must be equal coordinatewise to  $\mathbf{x}^{B_j}$ , hence  $\mathbf{x} \in E_i$ .

It is proved in [2] (see proof of Theorem 2), that for each  $\mathbf{x}$ ,  $\mathbf{x} \in X(\mathbf{B})$ , and for each coalition  $B_j$ ,  $B_j \in \mathbf{B}$ , there exists a player i,  $i \in B_j$ , such that  $\mathbf{x} \in E_i$ . Thus, for this player,  $c^i(\mathbf{x}) = x_i$ . By the lemma, there exists a point  $\xi$ ,  $\xi \in X(\mathbf{B})$ , such that  $c^k(\xi) = \xi_k$  for all k,  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . Therefore,  $\xi \in \bigcap_{k=1}^n E_k$ , and so  $(\xi, \mathbf{B}) \in \mathbf{M}_1^{(i)}$ . We have thus proved:

THEOREM. Let **B** be a coalition structure in an n-person cooperative game; then there always exists a payoff vector **x** such that  $(\mathbf{x}; \mathbf{B}) \in \mathbf{M}_1^{(t)}$ .

This work was done under the supervision of Dr. R. J. Aumann, as part of a doctoral thesis to be submitted at the Hebrew University.

## REFERENCES

- 1. M. Davis and M. Maschler, Existence of stable payoff configurations for cooperative games, Econometric Research Program, Research Memorandum No. 38 (1962), Princeton University, Princeton, N. J.
- 2. ——, Existence of stable payoff configurations for cooperative games, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc. 69 (1963), 106-108.

HEBREW UNIVERSITY OF JERUSALEM