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#### Abstract

. Johnson has defined a surjective homomorphism from the Torelli subgroup of the mapping class group of the surface of genus $g$ with one boundary component to $\wedge^{3} H$, the third exterior product of the homology of the surface. Morita then extended Johnson's homomorphism to a homomorphism from the entire mapping class group to $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$. This Johnson-Morita homomorphism is not surjective, but its image is finite index in $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$ [11]. Here we give a description of the exact image of Morita's homomorphism. Further, we compute the image of the handlebody subgroup of the mapping class group under the same map.


## §1. Introduction

Let $S_{g}$ be a closed surface of genus $g$. We fix a closed disk $D$ in $S_{g}$, and by deleting its interior, obtain $S_{g, 1}$, a genus $g$ surface with one boundary component, as illustrated in Figure 1. Let $\mathcal{M}_{g}$ (resp. $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ ) denote the mapping class group of the surface $S_{g}$ (resp. $S_{g, 1}$ ). In the case of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ we assume the boundary component is fixed pointwise.

We choose a base point on $\partial S_{g, 1}$, and let $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g}$ denote the based loops illustrated in Figure 1(b). Let $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{g}$ denote the corresponding homology classes, as in Figure 1(a). It will sometimes be convenient to denote these same homology classes by $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{2 g}$ with the understanding that $x_{i}=a_{i}$ and $x_{i+g}=b_{i}$ for
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Fig. 1. (a) A basis for $H_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)$ (b) Generators for $\pi_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)$
$1 \leq i \leq g$. Likewise, we will sometimes refer to the based loops $\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g}$ by $\xi_{1}, \ldots, \xi_{2 g}$ with the understanding that $\xi_{i}=\alpha_{i}$ and $\xi_{i+g}=\beta_{i}$ for $1 \leq i \leq g$.

Now, let $H=H_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)$ be the free abelian group with generating set $\left\{a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}, b_{1}, \ldots, b_{g}\right\}$ and $\pi=\pi_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)$ which is a free group on the generating set $\left\{\alpha_{1}, \ldots, \alpha_{g}, \beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g}\right\}$. The action of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ on $\pi$ gives an injection $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\pi)$. More generally, we can compose with the homomorphism $\operatorname{Aut}(\pi) \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}(\pi / \chi)$ for any characteristic subgroup $\chi \subset \pi$. The lower central series of the free group $\pi$ is a sequence of characteristic subgroups defined inductively by setting $\pi^{(0)}=\pi$ and $\pi^{(k+1)}=\left[\pi, \pi^{(k)}\right]$. We define the $k^{t h}$ Johnson-Morita representation to be the map

$$
\rho_{k}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Aut}\left(\pi / \pi^{(k)}\right)
$$

We note that these maps were first studied by Johnson in $[7,6]$ and subsequently developed by Morita in a series of papers [11, 12, 13, 14].

Observe that the first Johnson-Morita map is just the classical symplectic representation $\rho_{1}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(H)$ which is surjective ([4], in particular pp. 209-212). In [11, Theorem 4.8] Morita shows that the image of $\rho_{2}$ is isomorphic to a subgroup of finite index in $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$. Our first main result in this paper, given in Theorem 2.4, is to identify the precise image $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$ using a formulation due to Perron [16].

Let us now consider $S_{g}$ as $\partial X_{g}$, where $X_{g}$ is a genus $g$ handlebody. Let $\mathcal{H}_{g}$ denote the handlebody subgroup of $\mathcal{M}_{g}$, that is, the subgroup consisting of maps of $S_{g}$ which extend to the handlebody $X_{g}$. There is a natural surjection $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{g}$ obtained by extending via the identity map along $D$. The kernel of this surjection is generated by two kinds of elements: the Dehn twist along the boundary curve, and "push" maps along elements of $\pi_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)$ [1]. Note that any map in this kernel extends to $X_{g}$. Hence, we are justified in defining the handlebody subgroup $\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}$ of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ as the pullback of $\mathcal{H}_{g}$.

The handlebody group arises naturally in a number of applications in 3-manifold topology, particularly through Heegaard splittings of 3manifolds. Our second result in this paper is to compute $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$, given in Theorem 3.5.

The authors would like to thank the referee for helpful comments and suggestions.

## §2. The second Johnson-Morita map

In this section we will describe Perron's formulation [16] of the second Johnson-Morita representation. We will give a precise characterization of the image of the mapping class group under this map. First, it will be useful to review the image of the first Johnson-Morita representation, i.e., the symplectic group.

### 2.1. The symplectic group

The group $H=H_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)$ is free abelian with free basis $a_{1}, \ldots, a_{g}$, $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{g}$, as in Figure 1(a), and has a symplectic intersection form given by signed intersection of curves which is preserved by every mapping class $f \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$. In the basis above, the intersection form is given by the the matrix $J$ with $g \times g$ block form

$$
J=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
0 & -I  \tag{1}\\
I & 0
\end{array}\right)
$$

The intersection form got by acting by the linear transformation $M$ on an intersection form with matrix $L$ is given by $M L \bar{M}$ where $\bar{M}$ denotes the transpose of $M$. Hence for every $M$ in the image of the mapping class group

$$
\begin{equation*}
M J \bar{M}=J, \quad \text { or equivalently } \quad \bar{M} J M=J \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

In fact (2) is a sufficient condition for $M$ to be in the image of the mapping class group under $\rho_{1}$. It is sometimes useful to write a symplectic matrix $M$ in $g \times g$ block form as

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S & T \\
P & Q
\end{array}\right)
$$

A convenient consequence of (2) is that $M^{-1}=J \bar{M} J^{-1}$. In block form this becomes

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S & T \\
P & Q
\end{array}\right)^{-1}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{Q} & -\bar{T} \\
-\bar{P} & \bar{S}
\end{array}\right)
$$

The group of such matrices form the symplectic group. Writing $M$ and $\bar{M}$ in $g \times g$ block form

$$
M=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
S & T \\
P & Q
\end{array}\right), \quad \bar{M}=\left(\begin{array}{cc}
\bar{S} & \bar{P} \\
\bar{T} & \bar{Q}
\end{array}\right)
$$

we derive the symplectic constraints, which follow directly from the condition in (2):
(3) (i) $Q \bar{S}-P \bar{T}=I, \quad$ (ii) $S \bar{T}$ symmetric, (iii) $P \bar{Q}$ symmetric.

### 2.2. Perron's formulation of $\rho_{2}$

The Torelli group $\mathcal{I}_{g, 1}$ is the kernel of the symplectic representation $\rho_{1}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Sp}(H)$. Johnson proved, in [5], that the image of the Torelli group under $\rho_{2}$ is $\Lambda^{3} H$. In the next section we will go a step further, and describe, in Theorem 2.4, the image of the full mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ under $\rho_{2}$ noting that Morita [11, Theorem 4.8] has already identified this image as being finite index in $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$. We begin by summarizing Morita's explicit description of $\rho_{2}$ as given in [11, Section 4]. Consider the 2-step nilpotent group

$$
\Phi_{2}=\left\{(\eta, y) \left\lvert\, \eta \in \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right., y \in H\right\}
$$

with multiplication in $\Phi_{2}$ given by $(\eta, y)(\nu, z)=\left(\eta+\nu+\frac{1}{2} y \wedge z, y+z\right)$. It contains a subgroup of finite index which can be identified (see [8, Sec. 5.5]) with the second nilpotent quotient $\pi / \pi^{(2)}=\pi /[\pi,[\pi, \pi]]$ of our surface group via the homomorphism $\phi_{2}: \pi \rightarrow \Phi_{2}$

$$
\phi_{2}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\left(0, x_{i}\right)
$$

where $\left\{\xi_{1}, \cdots, \xi_{2 g}\right\}$ generate $\pi=\pi_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)$ and $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{2 g}\right\}$ is our basis for $H=H_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)$ (see Figure $1(\mathrm{a}-\mathrm{b})$ ). The group $\Phi_{2}$ can be viewed as a subgroup of the Mal'cev completion of the nilpotent group $\pi / \pi^{(2)}$. Any automorphism of $\pi / \pi^{(2)}$ extends to the Mal'cev completion and preserves $\Phi_{2}$ so we may think of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ as acting on $\Phi_{2}[11$, Proposition 2.5].

In [11, Section 3] Morita describes a function $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2}\right.$ $H)$. An automorphism $f$ of $\Phi_{2}$ coming from an automorphism of the Mal'cev completion of $\pi / \pi^{(2)}$ can be specified by the images

$$
f\left(0, x_{i}\right)=\left(w_{i}, h_{i}\right) \quad w_{i} \in \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H, h_{i} \in H
$$

for each $x_{i}$. The homomorphism $\rho_{1}(f): H \rightarrow H$ given by $\rho_{1}(f)\left(x_{i}\right)=h_{i}$ is just the image of $f$ under the symplectic representation. Johnson looks at the homomorphism $\tilde{\tau}_{2}(f): H \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H$ given by

$$
\tilde{\tau}_{2}(\dot{f})\left(x_{i}\right)=w_{i}
$$

The function $\tilde{\tau}_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$ is a homomorphism when restricted to the kernel $\mathcal{I}_{g, 1}$ of the symplectic representation. Johnson [5, Theorem 1] identifies its image as $\wedge^{3} H \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$, where $x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \wedge x_{k} \in \wedge^{3} H$ is understood to be the homomorphism
(4) $\left(x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \wedge x_{k}\right)(y)=\left\langle y, x_{k}\right\rangle x_{i} \wedge x_{j}+\left\langle y, x_{i}\right\rangle x_{j} \wedge x_{k}+\left\langle y, x_{j}\right\rangle x_{k} \wedge x_{i}$
where $\langle$,$\rangle gives the symplectic pairing for vectors in H$. The map $\mathcal{I}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \wedge^{3} H \subset \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$ is usually referred to as the Johnson homomorphism.

Morita [11, Section 3] begins by considering this map $\tilde{\tau}_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$ (in Morita's notation this is the map $\tilde{k}$ ). While not a homomorphism it is a crossed homomorphism with respect to the symplectic action of the mapping class group on $\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$. In other words, the map $\tilde{\tau}_{2}$ satisfies:

$$
\tilde{\tau}_{2}(f g)=\tilde{\tau}_{2}(f)+\rho_{1}(f) \tilde{\tau}_{2}(g) \quad f, g \in \mathcal{M}_{g, 1}
$$

Choose $R \in \operatorname{Sp}(H), y \in H$, and $m \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$. We note that the action of $\operatorname{Sp}(H)$ on $\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$ in the equation above (and in the remainder of this paper) is the natural "change-of-basis" action:

$$
\begin{equation*}
(R m)(y)=R m\left(R^{-1} y\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

The crossed homomorphism property is exactly what is needed for the $\operatorname{map} \tilde{\rho}_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right) \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$ given by

$$
\tilde{\rho}_{2}(f)=\left(\tilde{\tau}_{2}(f), \rho_{1}(f)\right)
$$

to be a homomorphism. The homomorphism $\tilde{\rho}_{2}$ gives the action of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ on $\phi_{2}(\pi) \subset \Phi_{2}$, via the action of $(r, R) \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right) \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$ on $\Phi_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
(r, R) *(\eta, y)=(r(R y)+R \eta, R y) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Morita shows that by modifying the crossed homomorphism $\tilde{\tau}_{2}$ : $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$, one obtains a crossed homomorphism $\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{\prime}$ (Morita denotes this map by $\tilde{k}^{\prime}$ in [11, Section 4] and $\tilde{k}$ in [11, Section 5]) from $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ to the submodule $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H$ of $\operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$ which
extends the Johnson homomorphism. We will modify $\tilde{\tau}_{2}$ to get a different crossed homomorphism $\tau_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H$ extending the Johnson homomorphism. Our map $\tau_{2}$ is a trivial modification of Morita's map $\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{\prime}$ which will lend itself to later calculations.

For any $m \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$, the $\operatorname{map} \sigma_{m}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2}\right.$ $H$ ) given by

$$
\sigma_{m}(f)=m-\rho_{1}(f) m
$$

is a crossed homomorphism. Such a crossed homomorphism is called principal; two crossed homomorphisms are cohomologous if they differ by a principal crossed homomorphism [3, Chapter IV.2].

Let $\kappa \in \operatorname{Hom}\left(H, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{2} H\right)$ be the homomorphism

$$
\kappa\left(a_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} a_{i} \wedge b_{i} \quad \kappa\left(b_{i}\right)=-\frac{1}{2} a_{i} \wedge b_{i}
$$

or equivalently

$$
\begin{equation*}
\kappa\left(x_{i}\right)=\frac{1}{2} x_{i} \wedge C x_{i} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $C$ is the $2 g \times 2 g$ matrix with $g \times g$ block form $\left(\begin{array}{cc}0 & I \\ I & 0\end{array}\right)$. Define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{2}(f)=\tilde{\tau}_{2}(f)+\kappa-\rho_{1}(f) \kappa \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

This is the crossed homomorphism that Perron [16, Remark 5.5] denotes $-\frac{1}{6} \widetilde{A_{1}}$. We note that by comparing the above with [11, Proposition 4.7], it is straightforward to see that Morita's crossed homomorphism $\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{\prime}$ can be expressed as

$$
\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{\prime}(f)=\tau_{2}(f)+m-\rho_{1}(f) m
$$

where $m=-\frac{1}{2}\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{i}+b_{i}\right) \wedge\left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} a_{i} \wedge b_{i}\right)$. In other words, the map $\tau_{2}$ and Morita's original map $\tilde{\tau}_{2}^{\prime}$ are cohomologous, that is, they represent the same element of $H^{1}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}, \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H\right)$.

We can now define a homomorphism $\rho_{2}: \mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \rightarrow \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$ as follows:

$$
\rho_{2}(f)=\left(\tau_{2}(f), \rho_{1}(f)\right)
$$

Using (8), (6), (5), and (4), we obtain the correct action of $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$ on $\Phi_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(\sum r_{i j k} x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \wedge x_{k}, R\right) *(\eta, y) \\
& =(R \eta-\kappa(R y)+R(\kappa(y))+r(y), R y)  \tag{9}\\
& =\left(R \eta-\kappa(R y)+R(\kappa(y))+\sum r_{i j k}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle R y, x_{k}\right\rangle x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \\
+\left\langle R y, x_{i}\right\rangle x_{j} \wedge x_{k} \\
+\left\langle R y, x_{j}\right\rangle x_{k} \wedge x_{i}
\end{array}\right), R y\right) \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\langle$,$\rangle is the symplectic pairing on H$ and the sums are taken over $1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g$.

### 2.3. Calculating the image of the mapping class group

In this section we compute $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$. See Theorem 2.4 below.
Recall the map $\phi_{2}: \pi \rightarrow \Phi_{2}$ given in the previous section. It will be helpful for us to identify $\phi_{2}(\pi) \subset \Phi_{2}$ precisely. The gist of the following lemma is that for pairs in the image of $\phi_{2}$, the second coordinate determines the first coordinate modulo 1.

Lemma 2.1. The image of $\pi$ under the map $\phi_{2}$ is given as follows.

$$
\phi_{2}(\pi)=\left\{\left.\left(\sum_{1<i<j<2 g}\left(n_{i j}+\frac{l_{i} l_{j}}{2}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{2 g} l_{i} x_{i}\right) \right\rvert\, n_{i j}, l_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Proof. Let $G \subset \Phi_{2}$ denote the set on the right-hand side of the equation in the lemma. We claim that the set $G$ is a subgroup of $\Phi_{2}$. First, $G$ is closed under inversion since $(\eta, y)^{-1}=(-\eta,-y)$. For closure under products consider

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(\sum_{1<i<j<2 g}\left(n_{i j}+\frac{l_{i} l_{j}}{2}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{2 g} l_{i} x_{i}\right) \\
& \quad \cdot\left(\sum_{1<i<j<2 g}\left(n_{i j}^{\prime}+\frac{l_{i}^{\prime} l_{j}^{\prime}}{2}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{2 g} l_{i}^{\prime} x_{i}\right) \\
& =\left(\sum_{1<i<j<2 g}\binom{n_{i j}+n_{i j}^{\prime}+\frac{l_{i} l_{j}}{2}}{+\frac{l_{i}^{\prime} j_{j}^{\prime}}{2}+\frac{l_{i}^{\prime} j_{j}^{\prime}}{2}-\frac{l_{j} l_{i}^{\prime}}{2}} x_{i} \wedge x_{j}, \sum_{i=1}^{2 g}\left(l_{i}+l_{i}^{\prime}\right) x_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

This product is in $G$ because $l_{i} l_{j}+l_{i}^{\prime} l_{j}^{\prime}+l_{i} l_{j}^{\prime}-l_{j} l_{i}^{\prime} \equiv\left(l_{i}+l_{i}^{\prime}\right)\left(l_{j}+l_{j}^{\prime}\right) \bmod 2$.
Clearly, $G$ contains each generator $\phi_{2}\left(\xi_{i}\right)=\left(0, x_{i}\right)$ of $\phi_{2}(\pi)$. For the reverse inclusion, note that any element of the form

$$
\left(0, x_{i}\right)\left(0, x_{j}\right)\left(0,-x_{i}\right)\left(0,-x_{j}\right)=\left(x_{i} \wedge x_{j}, 0\right)
$$

lies in $\phi_{2}(\pi)$. In fact such an element is in the center of $G$. Now, any element of $G$ can be written as a product of $\left(0, x_{i}\right)$ 's to get the correct second coordinate, followed by a product of ( $x_{i} \wedge x_{j}, 0$ )'s to get the correct first coordinate. Hence $G \subset \phi_{2}(\pi)$.
Q.E.D.

We are almost ready to characterize the subgroup $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right) \subset \frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3}$ $H \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$. We begin with a simple yet fundamental observation.

Remark 2.2. Suppose $R$ is a symplectic matrix and $\left(r_{1}, R\right)$, $\left(r_{2}, R\right) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$. Then $\left(r_{1}, R\right)^{-1}=\left(-R^{-1} r_{1}, R^{-1}\right) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$ so

$$
\left(r_{2}, R\right)\left(-R^{-1} r_{1}, R^{-1}\right)=\left(r_{2}-r_{1}, I\right) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)
$$

In other words, we have that $\left(r_{2}-r_{1}, I\right) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{g, 1}\right)$. Using Johnson's characterization of $\tau_{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{g, 1}\right)$ [5, Theorem 1] we conclude that if two elements of $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$ have identical symplectic matrices, then their $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H$ coordinate must differ by an integral element of $\wedge^{3} H$.

As a consequence of this observation, we expect that the symplectic matrix $R$ will determine the coefficients of $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ modulo 1 . Theorem 2.4 makes this precise and gives the characterization of $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$. First we give a short definition.

Definition 2.3. Given three $n$-dimensional vectors $\vec{w}=\left(w_{1}, \ldots\right.$, $\left.w_{n}\right), \vec{y}=\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{n}\right), \vec{z}=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{n}\right)$ in basis $\mathcal{B}$, their $\mathcal{B}$-triple dot product is the scalar

$$
\bullet_{\mathcal{B}}(\vec{w}, \vec{y}, \vec{z})=\sum_{i=1}^{n} w_{i} y_{i} z_{i} .
$$

When the basis $\mathcal{B}$ is clear, we will write $\bullet(\vec{w}, \vec{y}, \vec{z})$.
Recall that $J$ is the matrix given in (1).
Theorem 2.4. Let $R \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 g, \mathbb{Z})$ be an arbitrary symplectic matrix. Let $r$ be any element of $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H$ with $r=\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g} r_{i j k} x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \wedge x_{k}$. Then $(r, R) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$ if and only if

$$
r_{i j k} \equiv \frac{E_{i j k}}{2} \bmod 1
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
E_{i j k}= & \bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{j}(R), \operatorname{row}_{k}(R)\right) \\
& -\bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R), \operatorname{row}_{j}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{k}(R)\right) \\
& +\bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R), \operatorname{row}_{j}(R), \operatorname{row}_{k}(R J)\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g$.

Proof. Let $(r, R) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$, and let

$$
r=\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g} r_{i j k} x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \wedge x_{k}
$$

For $1 \leq i, j, k \leq 2 g$ we set $r_{i j k}=0$ unless $i<j<k$. The group $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$ preserves $\phi_{2}(\pi)$, described in Lemma 2.1. Let $x_{n}$ be an arbitrary basis element of $H$, and consider the action of $(r, R)$ on $\left(0, x_{n}\right)$. We will use the standard notation $M_{i j}$ to denote the entry in the $i^{t h}$ row and $j^{t h}$ column of a matrix $M$ throughout. By (10), we get that the second coordinate of $(r, R) *\left(0, x_{n}\right)$ is simply $R x_{n}$, which we can write as $\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} R_{i n} x_{i}$, with an eye on eventually applying Lemma 2.1. Using (10) and (7), we obtain the following for the first coordinate of $(r, R) *\left(0, x_{n}\right)$ :

$$
-\kappa\left(R x_{n}\right)+R\left(\kappa\left(x_{n}\right)\right)+\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g} r_{i j k}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left\langle R x_{n}, x_{k}\right\rangle x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \\
+\left\langle R x_{n}, x_{i}\right\rangle x_{j} \wedge x_{k} \\
-\left\langle R x_{n}, x_{j}\right\rangle x_{i} \wedge x_{k}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Notice that under the symplectic pairing $\left\langle R x_{n}, x_{k}\right\rangle=(J R)_{k n}$ so the above can be rewritten:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\kappa\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} R_{i n} x_{i}\right)+R\left(\frac{1}{2} x_{n} \wedge C x_{n}\right) \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g} r_{i j k}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left((J R)_{k n}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \\
+\left((J R)_{i n}\right) x_{j} \wedge x_{k} \\
-\left((J R)_{j n}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{k}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & -\left(\sum_{i=1}^{2 g} \frac{R_{i n}}{2} x_{i} \wedge C x_{i}\right)+\left(\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq 2 g} \frac{R_{i n}(R C)_{j n}}{2} x_{i} \wedge x_{j}\right) \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g} r_{i j k}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left((J R)_{k n}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \\
+\left((J R)_{i n}\right) x_{j} \wedge x_{k} \\
-\left((J R)_{j n}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{k}
\end{array}\right) \\
= & \left(\sum_{i=1}^{g} \frac{(C R)_{i n}-R_{i n}}{2} x_{i} \wedge x_{i+g}\right) \\
& +\left(\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq 2 g} \frac{R_{i n}(R C)_{j n}-R R_{j n}(R C)_{i n}}{2} x_{i} \wedge x_{j}\right) \\
& +\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g} r_{i j k}\left(\begin{array}{c}
\left((J R)_{k n}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \\
+\left((J R)_{i n}\right) x_{j} \wedge x_{k} \\
-\left((J R)_{j n}\right) x_{i} \wedge x_{k}
\end{array}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Now, applying Lemma 2.1 to the coefficient of $x_{p} \wedge x_{q}$, where $p<q$, gives

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{\delta_{q, p+g}\left((C R)_{p n}-R_{p n}\right)+R_{p n}(R C)_{q n}-R_{q n}(R C)_{p n}}{2} \\
& \quad+\sum_{i=1}^{2 g}\left(r_{i p q}(J R)_{i n}-r_{p i q}(J R)_{i n}+r_{p q i}(J R)_{i n}\right) \equiv \frac{R_{p n} R_{q n}}{2} \bmod 1
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that for fixed $i, p, q$, at most one of the $r$-coefficients in the above summation is nonzero. For bookkeeping purposes, when $1 \leq j<r \leq 2 g$ we define $\vec{r}_{j k}$ be the $2 g$-dimensional column vector whose $i^{t h}$ entry is $r_{i j k}$ if $i<j,-r_{j i k}$ if $j<i<k, r_{j k i}$ if $k<i$, and 0 otherwise. If $\operatorname{col}_{n}(M)$ denotes the $n^{\text {th }}$ column vector of $M$, we may rewrite this to obtain that $\operatorname{col}_{n}(J R) \cdot \vec{r}_{p q}$ is congruent $(\bmod 1)$ to

$$
\frac{\delta_{q, p+g}\left(R_{p n}-(C R)_{p n}\right)+R_{p n} R_{q n}-R_{p n}(R C)_{q n}+R_{q n}(R C)_{p n}}{2}
$$

In order to write this a bit more compactly, for $1 \leq j<k \leq 2 g$, we define $\vec{t}_{j k}$ to be the $2 g$-dimensional column vector whose $i^{t h}$ entry is $\delta_{k, j+g}\left(R_{j i}-(C R)_{j i}\right)+R_{j i} R_{k i}-R_{j i}(R C)_{k i}+R_{k i}(R C)_{j i}$. Combining the equations above for all $1 \leq n \leq 2 g$ we get:

$$
\overline{J R} \vec{r}_{p q} \equiv \frac{\vec{t}_{p q}}{2} \bmod 1 \quad \forall 1 \leq p<q \leq 2 g
$$

Solving for $\vec{r}_{p q}$, we obtain:

$$
\vec{r}_{p q} \equiv \frac{(\overline{J R})^{-1} \vec{t}_{p q}}{2} \bmod 1
$$

Since $R$ is assumed to be symplectic, we can rewrite this as:

$$
\vec{r}_{p q} \equiv \frac{R J \vec{t}_{p q}}{2} \bmod 1
$$

Observe that the $i^{\text {th }}$ entry of the vector on the right-hand side is

$$
\begin{align*}
& \frac{1}{2} \delta_{q, p+g} \operatorname{row}_{i}(R J) \cdot\left(\operatorname{row}_{p}(R)-\operatorname{row}_{p}(C R)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R C)\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \bullet \operatorname{cow}_{i}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R C), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R)\right) \tag{11}
\end{align*}
$$

We are interested in calculating the coefficients $r_{i p q}$ for $1 \leq i<p<q \leq$ $2 g$. Thus we are interested in the $i^{t h}$ entry of $\vec{r}_{p q}$ when $1 \leq i<p<$ $q \leq 2 g$. If $q \neq p+g$ then $\delta_{q, p+g}=0$. Assume that $q=p+g$. Then $1 \leq i<p \leq g$, and if we write $R=\left(\begin{array}{cc}S & T \\ P & Q\end{array}\right)$, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{row}_{i}(R J) \cdot\left(\operatorname{row}_{p}(R)-\operatorname{row}_{p}(C R)\right) \\
&= \operatorname{row}_{i}(T) \cdot \operatorname{row}_{p}(S)-\operatorname{row}_{i}(S) \cdot \operatorname{row}_{p}(T) \\
&-\operatorname{row}_{i}(T) \cdot \operatorname{row}_{p}(P)+\operatorname{row}_{i}(S) \cdot \operatorname{row}_{p}(Q) \\
&=(T \bar{S})_{i p}-(S \bar{T})_{i p}-(T \bar{P})_{i p}+(S \bar{Q})_{i p} \\
&= 0-0
\end{aligned}
$$

The last equality results from using the symplectic conditions (3i,ii) and by our assumption that $i \neq p$. Thus we may drop the first term of (11). In other words, for $1 \leq i<p<q \leq 2 g$ the $i^{t h}$ entry of $\vec{r}_{p q}(\bmod 1)$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R)\right) \\
& \left.-\frac{1}{2} \bullet \operatorname{row}_{i}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R C)\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} \bullet \operatorname{dow}_{i}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R C), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R)\right) \bmod 1
\end{aligned}
$$

For aesthetic reasons we rewrite the expression above more symmetrically to show that $i^{t h}$ entry of $\vec{r}_{p q}(\bmod 1)$ is:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{1}{2} \bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R)\right) \\
& -\frac{1}{2} \bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R J), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R)\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \bullet\left(\operatorname{row}_{i}(R), \operatorname{row}_{p}(R), \operatorname{row}_{q}(R J)\right) \bmod 1
\end{aligned}
$$

We have just shown that the $\binom{2 g}{3}$ equations in the statement of the lemma are necessary for $(r, R)$ to be an element of $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$. Since the symplectic representation $\rho_{1}$ is surjective, $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$ contains an element of the form $(r, R)$ for any given $R$. Johnson [5, Theorem 1] showed that any element of the form $(w, I)$ with $w \in \wedge^{3} H$ is in $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$. Then if $(r, R) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$, so is $(w, I)(r, R)=(w+r, R)$ for any $w \in \wedge^{3} H$. Hence we can hit any other possible choice of the coefficients $r_{i j k}$ satisfying the "mod 1 " conditions imposed by $R$ by composing our map with different choices of Torelli elements. This shows sufficiency.
Q.E.D.

## §3. The handlebody group

Our primary goal in this section is to compute $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ explicitly. We will begin with some known algebraic characterizations of $\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}$ and of $\rho_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ which will be helpful to us, and use them to derive an analogous characterization at the second level. Thus equipped, we derive an explicit formulation of $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ in Section 3.2.

### 3.1. Algebraic characterizations of the handlebody subgroup

Let $\mathfrak{b}$ denote the normal closure in $\pi$ of $\left\{\beta_{1}, \ldots, \beta_{g}\right\}$. Note that $\mathfrak{b}$ is also the kernel of the homomorphism $\pi \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X_{g}\right)$ induced by inclusion.

The following proposition was first proved by McMillan [9]. The proof given here was suggested to the authors by Saul Schleimer.

Proposition 3.1. The handlebody subgroup $\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}$ of the mapping class group $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1} \subset \operatorname{Aut}\left(\pi_{1}\left(S_{g, 1}\right)\right)$ is precisely the subgroup which preserves $\mathfrak{b}$.

Proof. One direction is immediate; in order for a mapping class in $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ to extend to the $X_{g}$ it must preserve $\mathfrak{b}$. Now suppose $f$ is a mapping class which preserves $\mathfrak{b}$. Then $f$ sends each $\beta_{i}$ to a loop that can be represented by a simple closed curve which is trivial in $\pi_{1}\left(X_{g}\right)$. Dehn's Lemma [15] shows that these curves bound disks in $X_{g}$ that can be made disjoint. By matching these disks to the ones bounded by each $\beta_{i}$ we may construct a homeomorphism from $X_{g}$ to itself restricting to $f$ on its boundary.
Q.E.D.

Moving on to level one of the Johnson-Morita representations, Birman has shown that the image of the handlebody group in $\operatorname{Sp}(2 g, \mathbb{Z})$ is particularly nice [2, Lemma 2.2]. All subblocks are $g \times g$ matrices.

Proposition 3.2 (Birman). The image of the handlebody group under the symplectic representation is characterized by a $g \times g$ block of zeroes in the upper-right corner. That is,

$$
\rho_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)=\left\{M \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 g ; \mathbb{Z}) \mid M \text { has block form }\left(\begin{array}{cc}
* & 0 \\
* & *
\end{array}\right)\right\}
$$

Sufficiency is shown in [2] by exhibiting generators for $\rho_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ which are in the image of the handlebody group. The necessity of this condition for membership in $\rho_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ follows from the observation that in the handlebody $X_{g}$, the homology classes of the generators of type $b_{i}$ are all 0 . Any homeomorphism of $S_{g}$ which extends to $X_{g}$ must take trivial elements in the homology of the handlebody to trivial elements
in the homology of the handlebody. In other words, $\rho_{1}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ is characterized by the property that its elements must preserve the subgroup of $H$ generated by the $b_{i}$ 's.

We will now give a second-level analogue of these characterizations by describing a subgroup of $\pi / \pi^{(2)}$ which must be preserved by $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$, thus giving a restriction on the image of the handlebody group.

The second Johnson-Morita homomorphism is given by the action of $\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}$ on the nilpotent quotient $\pi / \pi^{(2)}$. Let $\mathfrak{b} \subset \pi$ be as above, and recall from Section 2.2 the $\operatorname{map} \phi_{2}: \pi \rightarrow \Phi_{2}$ be as above. The following lemma computes $\phi_{2}(\mathfrak{b})$.

## Lemma 3.3.

$$
\phi_{2}(\mathfrak{b})=\left\{\left.\left(\begin{array}{c}
\sum_{1 \leq i, j \leq g} m_{i j} a_{i} \wedge b_{j} \\
+\sum_{1 \leq i<j \leq g}\left(n_{i j}+\frac{i_{i} l_{j}}{2}\right) b_{i} \wedge b_{j}
\end{array}, \sum_{i=1}^{g} l_{i} b_{i}\right) \right\rvert\, m_{i j}, n_{i j}, l_{i} \in \mathbb{Z}\right\}
$$

Proof. In light of Lemma 2.1, the right-hand side above is clearly the kernel of the quotient homomorphism $\pi / \pi^{(2)} \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(X_{g}\right) / \pi_{1}\left(X_{g}\right)^{(2)}$. Q.E.D.

Now that we have identified $\phi_{2}(\mathfrak{b})$ we will describe $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$.

### 3.2. Image of the handlebody subgroup under $\rho_{2}$

Theorem 2.4 above gives $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{M}_{g, 1}\right)$. The missing ingredient for a characterization of $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ is $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{g, 1} \cap \mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ which was computed by Morita.

Proposition 3.4 ([10, Lemma 2.5]). $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{g, 1} \cap \mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ is the free abelian group with free basis:
$\left(b_{i} \wedge b_{j} \wedge b_{k}, I\right), \quad\left(a_{i} \wedge b_{j} \wedge b_{k}, I\right), \quad$ and $\quad\left(a_{i} \wedge a_{j} \wedge b_{k}, I\right) \quad 1 \leq i, j, k \leq g$.
Now we have the tools to assemble a description of $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$. The following theorem gives a complete characterization of $\rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$; it says that an element is in this image if and only if its first factor has no "triple-a" terms and its second factor has the form of Proposition 3.2.

Theorem 3.5. Let $R \in \operatorname{Sp}(2 g, \mathbb{Z})$ be an arbitrary symplectic matrix. Let $r$ be any element of $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H$ with $r=\sum_{1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g} r_{i j k} x_{i} \wedge x_{j} \wedge x_{k}$. Then $(r, R) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$ if and only if all of the following three conditions hold:
(1) $\quad R$ has $g \times g$ block form $\left(\begin{array}{ll}* & 0 \\ * & *\end{array}\right)$
(2) $\quad r_{i j k} \equiv \frac{1}{2} E_{i j k} \bmod 1$ for all $1 \leq i<j<k \leq 2 g$.
$r_{i j k}=0$ for all $i, j, k$ with $0 \leq i<j<k \leq g$. (i.e. $r$ contains no terms of the form $a_{i} \wedge a_{j} \wedge a_{k}$.)

We refer the reader to Theorem 2.4 for the definition of $E_{i j k}$, which depends on the matrix $R$.

Proof. The necessity of condition 1 has already been established in [2, Lemma 2.2]. We claim that only elements of $\frac{1}{2} \wedge^{3} H \rtimes \operatorname{Sp}(H)$ satisfying condition 3 above preserve $\phi_{2}(\mathfrak{b})$ under the action of (10). Suppose $R$ is symplectic with the required block form and $r$ contains a term of the form $c a_{i} \wedge a_{j} \wedge a_{k}$. Since $R^{-1}$ must satisfy condition 1 above and using Lemma 3.3, there is an element $\left(\nu, R^{-1} b_{i}\right) \in \phi_{2}(\mathfrak{b})$ where $\nu$ has only terms of the form $\frac{1}{2} b_{n} \wedge b_{m}$. Applying (9) we get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& (r, R) *\left(\nu, R^{-1} b_{i}\right)= \\
& \quad=\left(R(\nu)+\kappa\left(R R^{-1} b_{i}\right)+R \kappa\left(R^{-1} b_{i}\right)+r\left(R R^{-1} b_{i}\right), R R^{-1} b_{i}\right) \\
& \quad=\left(R(\nu)+\kappa\left(b_{i}\right)+R \kappa\left(R^{-1} b_{i}\right)+r\left(b_{i}\right), b_{i}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Consider each of the terms in the first coordinate of the ordered pair above. Since $\nu$ only has terms of the form $\frac{1}{2} b_{n} \wedge b_{m}$ and the matrix $R$ has the block form given in condition 1 , we must have that $R(\nu)$ contains no terms of the form $a_{j} \wedge a_{k}$. The image of the homomorphism $\kappa$ has no $a_{j} \wedge a_{k}$ terms so neither $\kappa\left(b_{i}\right)$ nor $\kappa\left(R^{-1} b_{i}\right)$ contains any $a_{j} \wedge a_{k}$ terms. Application of the matrix $R$ preserves this quality; hence $R \kappa\left(R^{-1} b_{i}\right)$ contains no $a_{j} \wedge a_{k}$ terms. We can see using (4) that $r\left(b_{i}\right)$ will contain a term of the form $-c a_{j} \wedge a_{k}$ by construction. Then Lemma 3.3 implies that $c=0$. It follows that the two conditions of the corollary are necessary.

For each $R$ satisfying 1 there is some mapping class $f \in \mathcal{H}_{g, 1}$ with $\rho_{1}(f)=R$ as shown in [2, Lemma 2.2]. We have shown that $\rho_{2}(f)$ satisfies conditions 1 and 2. Applying Proposition 3.4 we can get every other element of the form $(w, R)$ satisfying 1 and 2 as a product $(z, I) \rho_{2}(f)$ where $(z, I) \in \rho_{2}\left(\mathcal{I}_{g, 1} \cap \mathcal{H}_{g, 1}\right)$. This establishes sufficiency. Q.E.D.
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