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#### Abstract

We study the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (with rectangular data) to find a non-negative measure $\mu(\delta), \delta \in \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} x_{1}^{m} x_{2}^{n} d \mu=s_{m, n}, 0 \leq m \leq M, \quad 0 \leq n \leq N$, where $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{0 \leq m \leq M, 0 \leq n \leq N}$ is a prescribed sequence of real numbers; $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. For the cases $M=N=1$ and $M=1, N=2$ explicit numerical necessary and sufficient conditions for the solvability of the moment problem are given. In the cases $M=N=2$; $M=2, N=3 ; M=3, N=2 ; M=3, N=3$ some explicit numerical sufficient conditions for the solvability are obtained. In all the cases some solutions (not necessarily atomic) of the moment problem can be constructed.


## 1. Introduction and preliminaries

In this paper we consider the truncated two-dimensional moment problem. A general approach for this moment problem was presented by Curto and Fialkow in their books [2] and [3]. These books entailed a series of papers by a group of mathematicians, see recent papers [4], [6], [8] and references therein. This approach includes an extension of the matrix of prescribed moments, which has the same rank. While positive extensions are easy to build, the Hankel-type structure is hard to inherit. This aim needed an involved analysis. Effective optimization algorithms for the multidimensional moment problems were given in the book of Lasserre [5]. Another approaches for truncated moment problems were presented by Vasilescu in [7] and by Cichoń, Stochel and Szafraniec in [1].

[^0]For arbitrary $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we denote $\mathbb{Z}_{k, l}:=\{j \in \mathbb{Z}: k \leq j \leq l\}$. Consider the following problem: find a non-negative measure $\mu(\delta), \delta \in \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} x_{1}^{m} x_{2}^{n} d \mu=s_{m, n}, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}, \quad n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}}$ is a prescribed sequence of real numbers; $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$. This problem is said to be the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (with rectangular data).

In the case of an arbitrary size of truncations, the approach of Curto and Fialkow for the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (with triangular data) gives some special conditions for the solvability of the moment problem, see [3, p. 51]. A more comprehensive analysis can be performed for small sizes of truncations ([3, p. 49-51]). A similar situation appears for the moment problem (1.1).

Let $K$ be a subset of $\mathbb{R}^{2}$. The problem of finding a solution $\mu$ of the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (1.1) such that

$$
\operatorname{supp} \mu \subseteq K
$$

is said to be the truncated (two-dimensional) $K$-moment problem (with rectangular data). Since no other types of truncations will appear in the sequel, we shall omit the words about rectangular data.

As a tool for the study of the truncated two-dimensional moment problem we shall use the truncated $K$-moment problem on parallel lines (see Theorem 2.1). For the case of arbitrary $M, N$, Theorem 2.1 allows to perform some numerical tests for the existence of solutions of the moment problem (1.1) (see Remark 2.2). Similar to [9], this also allows us to consider a set of Hamburger moment problems and then to analyze the corresponding systems of non-linear inequalities. For the cases $M=N=1$ and $M=1, N=2$ this approach leads to the necessary and sufficient conditions of the solvability of the truncated two-dimensional moment problem. In the cases $M=N=2 ; M=2, N=3 ; M=3, N=2 ; M=3, N=3$ some explicit numerical sufficient conditions for the solvability are obtained. In all these cases a set of solutions (not necessarily atomic) can be constructed.
Notations. As usual, we denote by $\mathbb{R}, \mathbb{C}, \mathbb{N}, \mathbb{Z}, \mathbb{Z}_{+}$, the sets of real numbers, complex numbers, positive integers, integers and non-negative integers, respectively. By $\max \{a, b\}$ we denote the maximal number of $a$ and $b$. For arbitrary $k, l \in \mathbb{Z}$ we set

$$
\mathbb{Z}_{k, l}:=\{j \in \mathbb{Z}: k \leq j \leq l\} .
$$

By $\mathfrak{B}(M)$ we denote the set of all Borel subsets of $M$, where $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ or $M \subseteq \mathbb{R}^{2}$.

## 2. The truncated two-dimensional moment problems for the cases

$$
M=N=1 \text { AND } M=1, N=2 .
$$

Choose an arbitrary $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and arbitrary real numbers $a_{j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}$ : $a_{0}<$ $a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<a_{N}$. Set

$$
K_{N}=K_{N}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)=\bigcup_{j=0}^{N} L_{j}, \quad L_{j}:=\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x_{2}=a_{j}\right\}
$$

Thus, $K_{N}$ is a union on $N+1$ parallel lines in the plane. In this case the $K-$ moment problem is reduced to a set of Hamburger moment problems (cf. [9, Theorems 2 and 4]).

Theorem 2.1. Let $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}$and $a_{j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}: a_{0}<a_{1}<a_{2}<\ldots<$ $a_{N}$, be arbitrary. Consider the truncated $K$-moment problem (1.1) with $K=$ $K_{N}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$. Let

$$
W=W\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)=\left|\begin{array}{cccc}
1 & 1 & \ldots & 1 \\
a_{0} & a_{1} & \ldots & a_{N} \\
a_{0}^{2} & a_{1}^{2} & \ldots & a_{N}^{2} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
a_{0}^{N} & a_{1}^{N} & \ldots & a_{N}^{N}
\end{array}\right|
$$

and $\Delta_{j ; m}$ be the determinant obtained from $W$ by replacing $j$-th column with

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c}
s_{m, 0} \\
s_{m, 1} \\
\vdots \\
s_{m, N}
\end{array}\right), \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}
$$

Set

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{m}(j):=\frac{\Delta_{j ; m}}{W}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

The truncated $K_{N}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$-moment problem has a solution if and only if for each $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}$, the truncated Hamburger moment problem with moments $s_{m}(j)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{m} d \sigma_{j}=s_{m}(j), \quad m=0,1, \ldots, M \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

is solvable. Here $\sigma_{j}$ is a non-negative measure on $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$.
Moreover, if $\sigma_{j}$ is a solution of the Hamburger moment problem (2.2), $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}$, then we may define a measure $\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}$ by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}(\delta)=\sigma_{j}(\delta \cap \mathbb{R}), \quad \delta \in \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\mathbb{R}$ means the set $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x_{2}=0\right\}$. We define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}^{\prime}(\delta)=\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}\left(\theta_{j}^{-1}(\delta)\right), \quad \delta \in \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\theta_{j}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}+a_{j}\right): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then we can define $\mu$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu(\delta)=\sum_{j=0}^{N} \widetilde{\sigma}_{j}^{\prime}(\delta), \quad \delta \in \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right) \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

to get a solution $\mu$ of the truncated $K_{N}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$-moment problem.
Proof. Suppose that the truncated $K_{N}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$-moment problem has a solution $\mu$. For an arbitrary $j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}$ we denote:

$$
\pi_{j}\left(\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right)\right)=\left(x_{1}, x_{2}-a_{j}\right): \mathbb{R}^{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^{2}
$$

and

$$
\mu_{j}^{\prime}(\delta)=\mu\left(\pi_{j}^{-1}(\delta)\right), \quad \delta \in \mathfrak{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)
$$

Using the measure $\mu_{j}^{\prime}(\delta)$ on $\mathfrak{B}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2}\right)$, we define the measure $\sigma_{j}$ as a restriction of $\mu_{j}^{\prime}(\delta)$ to $\mathfrak{B}(\mathbb{R})$. Here by $\mathbb{R}$ we mean the set $\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x_{2}=0\right\}$. With these notations, using the change of variables for measures and the definition of the integral, for arbitrary $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}$, we may write:

$$
s_{m, n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} x_{1}^{m} x_{2}^{n} d \mu=\sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j}^{n} \int_{L_{j}} x_{1}^{m} d \mu=\sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x_{1}^{m} d \mu_{j}^{\prime}=\sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j}^{n} \int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{m} d \sigma_{j} .
$$

Denote $\mathbf{s}_{m}(j)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x^{m} d \sigma_{j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}$. Then

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\mathbf{s}_{m}(0)+\mathbf{s}_{m}(1)+\mathbf{s}_{m}(2)+\ldots+\mathbf{s}_{m}(N)=s_{m, 0}  \tag{2.7}\\
a_{0} \mathbf{s}_{m}(0)+a_{1} \mathbf{s}_{m}(1)+a_{2} \mathbf{s}_{m}(2)+\ldots+a_{N} \mathbf{s}_{m}(N)=s_{m, 1}, \\
a_{0}^{2} \mathbf{s}_{m}(0)+a_{1}^{2} \mathbf{s}_{m}(1)+a_{2}^{2} \mathbf{s}_{m}(2)+\ldots+a_{N}^{2} \mathbf{s}_{m}(N)=s_{m, 2}, \\
\ldots \\
a_{0}^{N} \mathbf{s}_{m}(0)+a_{1}^{N} \mathbf{s}_{m}(1)+a_{2}^{N} \mathbf{s}_{m}(2)+\ldots+a_{N}^{N} \mathbf{s}_{m}(N)=s_{m, N}
\end{array} \quad\left(m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}\right) .\right.
$$

By Cramer's formulas numbers $\mathbf{s}_{m}(j)$ coincide with numbers $s_{m}(j)$ from (2.1). We conclude that the truncated Hamburger moment problems (2.2) are solvable.

On the other hand, suppose that the truncated Hamburger moment problems (2.2) have solutions $\sigma_{j}$. We define measures $\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}, \widetilde{\sigma}_{j}^{\prime}, \mu$ by (2.3), (2.4) and (2.6), respectively. Observe that $\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash \mathbb{R}\right)=0$. Then $\widetilde{\sigma}_{j}^{\prime}\left(\mathbb{R}^{2} \backslash L_{j}\right)=0$, and $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subseteq \bigcup_{j=0}^{N} L_{j}$. Using the change of the variable (2.5) and the definition of $\mu$ we see that

$$
s_{m}(j)=\int_{\mathbb{R}} x_{1}^{m} d \sigma_{j}=\int_{L_{j}} x_{1}^{m} d \mu, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}
$$

Observe that $s_{m}(j)$ are solutions of the linear system of equations (2.7). Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{m, n}= & \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j}^{n} \int_{L_{j}} x_{1}^{m} d \mu=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} \sum_{j=0}^{N} a_{j}^{n} \chi_{L_{j}}\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) x_{1}^{m} d \mu= \\
& =\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} x_{1}^{m} x_{2}^{n} d \mu, \quad m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}
\end{aligned}
$$

Here by $\chi_{L_{j}}$ we denote the characteristic function of the set $L_{j}$. Thus, $\mu$ is a solution of the truncated $K_{N}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$-moment problem.

Remark 2.2. (Numerical tests).
Consider the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (1.1) with some $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}}\left(M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{+}\right)$. How to use Theorem 2.1 in our search of its solutions?

Firstly, we can choose arbitrary real numbers $a_{j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}$ : $a_{0}<a_{1}<$ $a_{2}<\ldots<a_{N}$, and consider the truncated $K$-moment problem (1.1) with $K=$ $K_{N}\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{N}\right)$, and the same $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}}$ as above. Then we calculate the $s_{m}(j)$ 's by formula (2.1). It remains to check that the corresponding truncated Hamburger moment problems (2.2) are solvable.

Of course, such a test is specific. It can be powered in the following way. Choose an arbitrary real interval $[-T, T]$ and its partition:

$$
-T=y_{0}<y_{1}<\ldots<y_{g}=T
$$

with a uniform step $h$. Then we can choose real numbers $a_{j}, j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}$ : $a_{0}<a_{1}<$ $a_{2}<\ldots<a_{N}$, taking $a_{j}$ 's from the latter partition. For each choice of $a_{j}$ 's we perform the above test.

If these tests do not help, we can increase $T$ and/or decrease $h$. Finally, we can consider more that $N+1$ lines by increasing the given $N$ and by introducing some additional moments.

Observe that the positive result of tests in Remark 2.2 is not guaranteed. However, for small $M$ and $N$ there are some conditions which guarantee the existence of a solution of the moment problem (1.1). At first we consider the case $M=1, N=1$ of the truncated two-dimensional moment problem.

Theorem 2.3. Let the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (1.1) with $M=1, N=1$ and some $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,1}}$ be given. This moment problem has a solution if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(i) $s_{0,0}=s_{0,1}=s_{1,0}=s_{1,1}=0$;
(ii) $s_{0,0}>0$.

In the case ( $i$ ) the unique solution is $\mu \equiv 0$. In the case (ii) a solution $\mu$ can be constructed as a solution of the truncated $K_{1}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)$-moment problem with the same $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,1}}$, and arbitrary $a_{0}<\frac{s_{0,1}}{s_{0,0}} ; a_{1}>\frac{s_{0,1}}{s_{0,0}}$.
Proof. Suppose that the truncated two-dimensional moment problem with $M=$ $N=1$ has a solution $\mu$. Of course, $s_{0,0}=\int d \mu \geq 0$. If $s_{0,0}=0$ then $\mu \equiv 0$ and condition ( $i$ ) holds. If $s_{0,0}>0$ then condition (ii) is true.

On the other hand, if condition $(i)$ holds then $\mu \equiv 0$ is a solution of the moment problem. Of course, it is the unique solution (one can repeat the arguments at the beginning of this Proof). If condition (ii) holds, choose arbitrary real $a_{0}, a_{1}$ such that $a_{0}<\frac{s_{0,1}}{s_{0,0}}$ and $a_{1}>\frac{s_{0,1}}{s_{0,0}}$. Consider the truncated $K_{1}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}\right)$-moment problem with $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,1}}$. Let us check by Theorem 2.1 that this problem is solvable. We have: $W=a_{1}-a_{0}$,

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{0}(0)=\frac{a_{1} s_{0,0}-s_{0,1}}{a_{1}-a_{0}}>0, \quad s_{0}(1)=\frac{s_{0,1}-a_{0} s_{0,0}}{a_{1}-a_{0}}>0, \\
s_{1}(0)=\frac{a_{1} s_{1,0}-s_{1,1}}{a_{1}-a_{0}}, \quad s_{1}(1)=\frac{s_{1,1}-a_{0} s_{1,0}}{a_{1}-a_{0}} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The Hamburger moment problems (2.2) are solvable [10, Theorem 8]. Their solutions can be used to construct a solution $\mu$ of the truncated two-dimensional moment problem.

We now turn to the case $M=1, N=2$ of the truncated two-dimensional moment problem.

Theorem 2.4. Let the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (1.1) with $M=1, N=2$ and some $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,2}}$ be given. This moment problem has a solution if and only if one of the following conditions holds:
(a) $s_{0,0}=s_{0,1}=s_{0,2}=s_{1,0}=s_{1,1}=s_{1,2}=0$;
(b) $s_{0,0}>0$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{m, n}=\alpha^{n} s_{m, 0}, \quad m=0,1 ; n=1,2 \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$.
(c) $s_{0,0}>0, s_{0,0} s_{0,2}-s_{0,1}^{2}>0$.

In the case $(a)$ the unique solution is $\mu \equiv 0$.
In the case (b) a solution $\mu$ can be constructed as a solution of the truncated $K_{0}(\alpha)$-moment problem with moments $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,1}, n=0}$.

In the case (c) a solution $\mu$ can be constructed as a solution of the truncated $K_{2}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$-moment problem with the same $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,2}}$, arbitrary $a_{2}>$ $\sqrt{\frac{s_{0,2}}{s_{0,0}}}$ and $a_{1}=\frac{s_{0,1}}{s_{0,0}}, a_{0}=-a_{2}$.
Proof. Suppose that the truncated two-dimensional moment problem with $M=$ $1, N=2$ has a solution $\mu$. Choose $p\left(x_{2}\right)=b_{0}+b_{1} x_{2}$, where $b_{0}, b_{1}$ are arbitrary real numbers. Since

$$
0 \leq \int p^{2} d \mu=s_{0,0} b_{0}^{2}+2 s_{0,1} b_{0} b_{1}+s_{0,2} b_{1}^{2}
$$

then $\Gamma_{1}:=\left(\begin{array}{ll}s_{0,0} & s_{0,1} \\ s_{0,1} & s_{0,2}\end{array}\right) \geq 0$. If $s_{0,0}=0$ then $\mu \equiv 0$ and condition $(a)$ is true. If $s_{0,0}>0$ and $s_{0,0} s_{0,2}-s_{0,1}^{2}=0$, then 0 is an eigenvalue of the matrix $\Gamma_{1}$ with an eigenvector $\binom{c_{0}}{c_{1}}, c_{0}, c_{1} \in \mathbb{R}$. Observe that $c_{1} \neq 0$. Denote $\alpha=-\frac{c_{0}}{c_{1}}$. From the equation $\Gamma_{1}\binom{c_{0}}{c_{1}}=0$, it follows that relation (2.8) holds for $m=0$. Observe that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}}\left(\alpha-x_{2}\right)^{2} d \mu=0$. Then $\mu\left(\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x_{2} \neq \alpha\right\}\right)=0$. For $n=1$, 2 , we get $s_{1, n}=\int_{\mathbb{R}^{2}} x_{1} x_{2}^{n} d \mu=\alpha^{n} s_{1,0}$. Thus, condition $(b)$ is true. Finally, it remains the case ( $c$ ).

Conversely, if condition $(a)$ holds then $\mu \equiv 0$ is a solution of the moment problem. Since $s_{0,0}=0$, then any solution is equal to $\mu \equiv 0$.

Suppose that condition $(b)$ holds. Consider the truncated $K_{0}(\alpha)$-moment problem with moments $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,1}, n=0}$. Let us check by Theorem 2.1 that this problem is solvable. In fact, $W=1, \Delta_{0 ; m}=s_{m}(0)=s_{m, 0}, m=0,1$. Since $s_{0}(0)=s_{0,0}>0$, then the truncated Hamburger moment problem (2.2) has a solution. Then we may construct $\mu$ as it was described in the statement of the theorem. Remaining moment equalities then follow from relations (2.8) and the fact that $\operatorname{supp} \mu \subseteq\left\{\left(x_{1}, x_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}^{2}: x_{2}=\alpha\right\}$.

Suppose that condition $(c)$ holds. Consider the truncated $K_{2}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$-moment problem with the same $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,1}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,2}}$, arbitrary $a_{2}>\sqrt{\frac{s_{0,2}}{s_{0,0}}}$ and $a_{1}=\frac{s_{0,1}}{s_{0,0}}$, $a_{0}=-a_{2}$. We shall check by Theorem 2.1 that this problem is solvable. Observe that $W\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)=2 a_{2}\left(a_{2}^{2}-a_{1}^{2}\right)>0$, and

$$
s_{0}(0)=\frac{a_{2}-a_{1}}{W}\left(a_{1} a_{2} s_{0,0}-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) s_{0,1}+s_{0,2}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{0}(1)=\frac{a_{2}-a_{0}}{W}\left(-a_{0} a_{2} s_{0,0}+\left(a_{2}+a_{0}\right) s_{0,1}+s_{0,2}\right) \\
s_{0}(2)=\frac{a_{1}-a_{0}}{W}\left(a_{0} a_{1} s_{0,0}-\left(a_{0}+a_{1}\right) s_{0,1}+s_{0,2}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

For the solvability of the corresponding three truncated Hamburger moment problems it is sufficient the validity of the following inequalities: $s_{0}(j)>0, j=0,1,2$, which are equivalent to

$$
\begin{gathered}
a_{1} a_{2} s_{0,0}-\left(a_{1}+a_{2}\right) s_{0,1}+s_{0,2}>0 \\
a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}-s_{0,2}>0 \\
-a_{1} a_{2} s_{0,0}-\left(a_{1}-a_{2}\right) s_{0,1}+s_{0,2}>0
\end{gathered}
$$

All these inequalities are true. Then the solution of the truncated $K_{2}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}\right)$ moment problem exists and provides us with a solution of the truncated twodimensional moment problem.
3. The truncated two-dimensional moment problems for the cases

$$
M=N=2 ; M=2, N=3 ; M=3, N=2 ; M=N=3 .
$$

Consider arbitrary real numbers $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3}}$, such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0,0}>0, \quad s_{0,0} s_{0,2}-s_{0,1}^{2}>0, \quad s_{0,0} s_{2,0}-s_{1,0}^{2}>0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let us study the truncated two-dimensional $K_{3}\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$-moment problem with the moments $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3}}$ and with some $a_{0}<a_{1}<a_{2}<a_{3}$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
a_{2} \in\left(\frac{\left|s_{0,1}\right|}{s_{0,0}}, \sqrt{\frac{s_{0,2}}{s_{0,0}}}\right) ;  \tag{3.2}\\
a_{3}>\max \left\{\frac{\left|s_{0,3}-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}\right|}{-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,2}}, \sqrt{\frac{a_{2} s_{0,2}+\left|s_{0,3}\right|}{a_{2} s_{0,0}-\left|s_{0,1}\right|}}\right\} ;  \tag{3.3}\\
a_{0}=-a_{3}, \quad a_{1}=-a_{2} .
\end{gather*}
$$

Observe that condition (3.1) ensures the correctness of all expressions in (3.2), (3.3). Let us study by Theorem 2.1, when this moment problem has a solution. We have: $W=\prod_{1 \leq j<i \leq 4}\left(a_{i-1}-a_{j-1}\right)>0$, and for $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3}$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{m}(0)=\frac{2 a_{2}\left(a_{3}-a_{2}\right)\left(a_{3}+a_{2}\right)}{W}\left\{-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} s_{m, 0}+a_{2}^{2} s_{m, 1}+a_{3} s_{m, 2}-s_{m, 3}\right\}, \\
& s_{m}(1)=-\frac{\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right)\left(a_{3}-a_{2}\right) 2 a_{3}}{W}\left\{-a_{3}^{2} a_{2} s_{m, 0}+a_{3}^{2} s_{m, 1}+a_{2} s_{m, 2}-s_{m, 3}\right\}, \\
& s_{m}(2)=\frac{\left(-a_{2}+a_{3}\right)\left(a_{3}+a_{2}\right) 2 a_{3}}{W}\left\{a_{2} a_{3}^{2} s_{m, 0}+a_{3}^{2} s_{m, 1}-a_{2} s_{m, 2}-s_{m, 3}\right\}, \\
& s_{m}(3)=-\frac{\left(-a_{2}+a_{3}\right) 2 a_{2}\left(a_{2}+a_{3}\right)}{W}\left\{a_{3} a_{2}^{2} s_{m, 0}+a_{2}^{2} s_{m, 1}-a_{3} s_{m, 2}-s_{m, 3}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Sufficient conditions for the solvability of the corresponding Hamburger moment problems (2.2) are the following ([10, Theorem 8]):

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{0}(j)>0, \quad s_{0}(j) s_{2}(j)-\left(s_{1}(j)\right)^{2}>0, \quad j=0,1,2,3 \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The first inequality in (3.4) for $j=0,1,2,3$ is equivalent to the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} s_{0,0}+a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}+a_{3} s_{0,2}-s_{0,3}>0  \tag{3.5}\\
a_{3}^{2} a_{2} s_{0,0}-a_{3}^{2} s_{0,1}-a_{2} s_{0,2}+s_{0,3}>0 \\
a_{2} a_{3}^{2} s_{0,0}+a_{3}^{2} s_{0,1}-a_{2} s_{0,2}-s_{0,3}>0 \\
-a_{3} a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}+a_{3} s_{0,2}+s_{0,3}>0
\end{array} .\right.
$$

The second inequality in (3.4) for $j=0,1,2,3$ is equivalent to the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\left(-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} s_{0,0}+a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}+a_{3} s_{0,2}-s_{0,3}\right)\left(-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} s_{2,0}+a_{2}^{2} s_{2,1}+a_{3} s_{2,2}-s_{2,3}\right)> \\
>\left(-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} s_{1,0}+a_{2}^{2} s_{1,1}+a_{3} s_{1,2}-s_{1,3}\right)^{2} \\
\left(a_{3}^{2} a_{2} s_{0,0}-a_{3}^{2} s_{0,1}-a_{2} s_{0,2}+s_{0,3}\right)\left(a_{3}^{2} a_{2} s_{2,0}-a_{3}^{2} s_{2,1}-a_{2} s_{2,2}+s_{2,3}\right)> \\
>\left(a_{3}^{2} a_{2} s_{1,0}-a_{3}^{2} s_{1,1}-a_{2} s_{1,2}+s_{1,3}\right)^{2} \\
\left(a_{3}^{2} a_{2} s_{0,0}+a_{3}^{2} s_{0,1}-a_{2} s_{0,2}-s_{0,3}\right)\left(a_{3}^{2} a_{2} s_{2,0}+a_{3}^{2} s_{2,1}-a_{2} s_{2,2}-s_{2,3}\right)> \\
>\left(a_{3}^{2} a_{2} s_{1,0}+a_{3}^{2} s_{1,1}-a_{2} s_{1,2}-s_{1,3}\right)^{2} \\
\left(-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} s_{0,0}-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}+a_{3} s_{0,2}+s_{0,3}\right)\left(-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} s_{2,0}-a_{2}^{2} s_{2,1}+a_{3} s_{2,2}+s_{2,3}\right)> \\
>\left(-a_{2}^{2} a_{3} s_{1,0}-a_{2}^{2} s_{1,1}+a_{3} s_{1,2}+s_{1,3}\right)^{2}
\end{gathered}
$$

Dividing by $a_{3}$ or $a_{3}^{2}$ we obtain that the latter inequalities are equivalent to the following inequalities:

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,2}\right. & \left.+\frac{a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}-s_{0,3}}{a_{3}}\right)\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{2,0}+s_{2,2}+\frac{a_{2}^{2} s_{2,1}-s_{2,3}}{a_{3}}\right)> \\
& >\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{1,0}+s_{1,2}+\frac{a_{2}^{2} s_{1,1}-s_{1,3}}{a_{3}}\right)^{2}, \\
\left(a_{2} s_{0,0}-s_{0,1}\right. & \left.+\frac{-a_{2} s_{0,2}+s_{0,3}}{a_{3}^{2}}\right)\left(a_{2} s_{2,0}-s_{2,1}+\frac{-a_{2} s_{2,2}+s_{2,3}}{a_{3}^{2}}\right)> \\
& >\left(a_{2} s_{1,0}-s_{1,1}+\frac{-a_{2} s_{1,2}+s_{1,3}}{a_{3}^{2}}\right)^{2}, \\
\left(a_{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,1}\right. & \left.-\frac{a_{2} s_{0,2}+s_{0,3}}{a_{3}^{2}}\right)\left(a_{2} s_{2,0}+s_{2,1}-\frac{a_{2} s_{2,2}+s_{2,3}}{a_{3}^{2}}\right)> \\
& >\left(a_{2} s_{1,0}+s_{1,1}-\frac{a_{2} s_{1,2}+s_{1,3}}{a_{3}^{2}}\right)^{2}, \\
\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,2}\right. & \left.+\frac{s_{0,3}-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}}{a_{3}}\right)\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{2,0}+s_{2,2}+\frac{s_{2,3}-a_{2}^{2} s_{2,1}}{a_{3}}\right)> \\
& >\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{1,0}+s_{1,2}+\frac{s_{1,3}-a_{2}^{2} s_{1,1}}{a_{3}}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.6}
\end{align*}
$$

We additionally assume that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,2}\right)\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{2,0}+s_{2,2}\right) & >\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{1,0}+s_{1,2}\right)^{2}  \tag{3.7}\\
\left(a_{2} s_{0,0}-s_{0,1}\right)\left(a_{2} s_{2,0}-s_{2,1}\right) & >\left(a_{2} s_{1,0}-s_{1,1}\right)^{2} \tag{3.8}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(a_{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,1}\right)\left(a_{2} s_{2,0}+s_{2,1}\right)>\left(a_{2} s_{1,0}+s_{1,1}\right)^{2} . \tag{3.9}
\end{equation*}
$$

In this case inequalities (3.6) will be valid, if $a_{3}$ is sufficiently large. In fact, inequalities (3.6) have the following obvious structure:

$$
\left(r_{j}+\psi_{j}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)\left(l_{j}+\xi_{j}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)>\left(t_{j}+\eta_{j}\left(a_{3}\right)\right)^{2}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3},
$$

while inequalities (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) mean that

$$
r_{j} l_{j}>t_{j}^{2}, \quad j \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3}
$$

Since $\psi_{j}\left(a_{3}\right), \xi_{j}\left(a_{3}\right)$ and $\eta_{j}\left(a_{3}\right)$ tend to zero as $a_{3} \rightarrow \infty$, then there exists $A=$ $A\left(a_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{R}$ such that inequalities (3.6) hold, if $a_{3}>A$.

System (3.5) can be written in the following form:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c} 
\pm\left(a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}-s_{0,3}\right)<a_{3}\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,2}\right)  \tag{3.10}\\
\pm\left(a_{3}^{2} s_{0,1}-s_{0,3}\right)<a_{2}\left(a_{3}^{2} s_{0,0}-s_{0,2}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

System (3.10) is equivalent to the following system:

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{c}
\left|a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}-s_{0,3}\right|<a_{3}\left(-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,2}\right)  \tag{3.11}\\
\left|a_{3}^{2} s_{0,1}-s_{0,3}\right|<a_{2}\left(a_{3}^{2} s_{0,0}-s_{0,2}\right)
\end{array} .\right.
$$

If

$$
a_{3}>\frac{\left|a_{2}^{2} s_{0,1}-s_{0,3}\right|}{-a_{2}^{2} s_{0,0}+s_{0,2}}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
a_{3}>\sqrt{\frac{\left|s_{0,3}\right|+a_{2} s_{0,2}}{a_{2} s_{0,0}-\left|s_{0,1}\right|}}, \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

then inequalities (3.11) are true. Observe that relation (3.12) ensures that

$$
a_{3}^{2}\left|s_{0,1}\right|+\left|s_{0,3}\right|<a_{2}\left(a_{3}^{2} s_{0,0}-s_{0,2}\right) .
$$

Quadratic (with respect to $a_{3}$ or $a_{3}^{2}$ ) inequalities (3.7)-(3.9) can be verified by elementary means, using their discriminants. Let us apply our considerations to the truncated two-dimensional moment problem.

Theorem 3.1. Let the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (1.1) with $M=N=3$ and some $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3}}$ be given and conditions (3.1) hold. Denote by $I_{1}, I_{2}$ and $I_{3}$ the sets of positive real numbers $a_{2}$ satisfying inequalities (3.7), (3.8) and (3.9), respectively. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\frac{\left|s_{0,1}\right|}{s_{0,0}}, \sqrt{\frac{s_{0,2}}{s_{0,0}}}\right) \cap I_{1} \cap I_{2} \cap I_{3} \neq \emptyset \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

then this moment problem has a solution.
A solution $\mu$ of the moment problem can be constructed as a solution of the truncated $K_{3}\left(-a_{3},-a_{2}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)$-moment problem with the same $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3}}$, with arbitrary $a_{2}$ from the interval $\left(\frac{\left|s_{0,1}\right|}{s_{0,0}}, \sqrt{\frac{s_{0,2}}{s_{0,0}}}\right) \cap I_{1} \cap I_{2} \cap I_{3}$, and some positive large $a_{3}$.
Proof. The proof follows from the preceding considerations.

Let the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (1.1) with $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}_{2,3}$ and some $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, M}, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0, N}}$ be given, and conditions (3.1) hold. Notice that conditions (3.1), (3.7), (3.8), (3.9) and the first interval in (3.13) do not depend on $s_{m, n}$ with indices $m=3$ or $n=3$. Thus, we can check conditions of Theorem 3.1 for this moment problem (keeping undefined moments as parameters).
Example 3.2. Consider the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (1.1) with $M=N=2$, and

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{0,0}=4 a b, s_{0,1}=0, s_{0,2}=\frac{4}{3} a b^{3}, s_{1,0}=s_{1,1}=s_{1,2}=0, \\
s_{2,0}=\frac{4}{3} a^{3} b, s_{2,1}=0, s_{2,2}=\frac{4}{9} a^{3} b^{3},
\end{gathered}
$$

where $a, b$ are arbitrary positive numbers. In this case, condition (3.1) holds. Moreover, we have:

$$
\begin{gathered}
I_{1}=(0,+\infty) \backslash\left\{\frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} b\right\}, \quad I_{2}=I_{3}=(0,+\infty) ; \\
\left(\frac{\left|s_{0,1}\right|}{s_{0,0}}, \sqrt{\frac{s_{0,2}}{s_{0,0}}}\right)=\left(0, \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}} b\right) .
\end{gathered}
$$

By Theorem 3.1 we conclude that this moment problem has a solution.
Let us construct a solution of the moment problem. For simplicity we set $a=1, b=3$. Thus, we have the following moments:

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{0,0}=12, s_{0,1}=0, s_{0,2}=36, s_{1,0}=s_{1,1}=s_{1,2}=0 \\
s_{2,0}=4, s_{2,1}=0, s_{2,2}=12
\end{gathered}
$$

We consider the truncated two-dimensional moment problem (1.1) with $M=$ $N=3$ and with $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3}}$, where new moments (with indices $m=3$ or $n=3$ ) are zeros. According to Theorem 3.1 we choose $a_{2}=1$, and consider the truncated $K_{3}\left(-a_{3},-1,1, a_{3}\right)$-moment problem with $\left\{s_{m, n}\right\}_{m, n \in \mathbb{Z}_{0,3}}$. The value of $a_{3}(>1)$ will be specified later.

We next calculate $W, \Delta_{j ; m}$ and $s_{m}(j)$ from Theorem 2.1. A direct calculation of the determinants gives the following formulas for $s_{m}(j)$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{m}(0) & =\frac{1}{2 a_{3}\left(a_{3}^{2}-1\right)}\left(-a_{3} s_{m, 0}+s_{m, 1}+a_{3} s_{m, 2}\right) \\
s_{m}(1) & =\frac{-1}{2\left(a_{3}^{2}-1\right)}\left(-a_{3}^{2} s_{m, 0}+a_{3}^{2} s_{m, 1}+s_{m, 2}\right) \\
s_{m}(2) & =\frac{1}{2\left(a_{3}^{2}-1\right)}\left(a_{3}^{2} s_{m, 0}+a_{3}^{2} s_{m, 1}-s_{m, 2}\right) \\
s_{m}(3) & =\frac{-1}{2 a_{3}\left(a_{3}^{2}-1\right)}\left(a_{3} s_{m, 0}+s_{m, 1}-a_{3} s_{m, 2}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{0}(0)=\frac{12}{a_{3}^{2}-1}, s_{1}(0)=0, s_{2}(0)=\frac{4}{a_{3}^{2}-1}, s_{3}(0)=0 \\
s_{0}(1)=\frac{6 a_{3}^{2}-18}{a_{3}^{2}-1}, s_{1}(1)=0, s_{2}(1)=\frac{2 a_{3}^{2}-6}{a_{3}^{2}-1}, s_{3}(1)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

$$
\begin{gathered}
s_{0}(2)=\frac{6 a_{3}^{2}-18}{a_{3}^{2}-1}, s_{1}(2)=0, s_{2}(2)=\frac{2 a_{3}^{2}-6}{a_{3}^{2}-1}, s_{3}(2)=0 \\
s_{0}(3)=\frac{12}{a_{3}^{2}-1}, s_{1}(3)=0, s_{2}(3)=\frac{4}{a_{3}^{2}-1}, s_{3}(3)=0
\end{gathered}
$$

We set $a_{3}=2$ to get

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{0}(0)=4, s_{1}(0)=0, s_{2}(0)=\frac{4}{3}, s_{3}(0)=0 \\
& s_{0}(1)=2, s_{1}(1)=0, s_{2}(1)=\frac{2}{3}, s_{3}(1)=0 \\
& s_{0}(2)=2, s_{1}(2)=0, s_{2}(2)=\frac{2}{3}, s_{3}(2)=0 \\
& s_{0}(3)=4, s_{1}(3)=0, s_{2}(3)=\frac{4}{3}, s_{3}(3)=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Of course, the latter truncated Hamburger moment problems are solvable. As $\sigma_{0}=\sigma_{3}$ (see Theorem 2.1) we can take the two-atomic measure with atoms at points $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ and masses equal to 2 . As $\sigma_{1}=\sigma_{2}$ we take the two-atomic measure with atoms at points $\pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}$ and masses equal to 1 . By the construction in the formulation of Theorem 2.1 we get a solution $\mu$ of the moment problem. The measure $\mu$ is 8 -atomic with atoms at points $\left( \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \pm 2\right),\left( \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \pm 1\right)$. The masses at points $\left( \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \pm 2\right)$ are equal to 2 , while the masses at points $\left( \pm \frac{1}{\sqrt{3}}, \pm 1\right)$ are equal to 1 .

## References

1. D. Cichoń, J. Stochel, and F. H. Szafraniec, Extending positive definiteness, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 1, 545-577.
2. R. Curto and L. Fialkow, Solution of the truncated complex moment problem for flat data, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 119 (1996), no. 568, 1-52.
3. R. Curto and L. Fialkow, Flat extensions of positive moment matrices: Recursively generated relations, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. 136 (1998), no. 648, 1-56.
4. L. A. Fialkow, Solution of the truncated moment problem with variety $y=x^{3}$, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc. 363 (2011), no. 6, 3133-3165.
5. J.-B. Lasserre, Moments, positive polynomials and their applications, World Scientific, Singapore, 2010.
6. F.-H. Vasilescu, Dimensional stability in truncated moment problems, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 388 (2012), no. 1, 219-230.
7. F.-H. Vasilescu, An idempotent approach to truncated moment problems, Integral Equations Operator Theory 79 (2014), no. 3, 301-335.
8. S. Yoo, Sextic moment problems on 3 parallel lines, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 54 (2017), no. 1, 299-318.
9. S. M. Zagorodnyuk, On the complex moment problem on radial rays, Zh. Mat. Fiz. Anal. Geom. 1 (2005), no. 1, 74-92.
10. S. M. Zagorodnyuk, A description of all solutions of the matrix Hamburger moment problem in a general case, Methods Funct. Anal. Topology 16 (2010), no. 3, 271-288.

School of Mathematics and Computer Sciences, V. N. Karazin Kharkiv National University, Svobody Square 4, Kharkiv 61022, Ukraine.

E-mail address: Sergey.M.Zagorodnyuk@gmail.com;
Sergey.M.Zagorodnyuk@univer.kharkov.ua


[^0]:    Copyright 2016 by the Tusi Mathematical Research Group.
    Date: Received: Aug. 4, 2017; Accepted: Oct. 22, 2017.
    2010 Mathematics Subject Classification. Primary 47A57; Secondary 44A60.
    Key words and phrases. Hankel matrix, moment problem, non-linear inequalities.

