RATE OF CONVERGENCE OF ONE- AND TWO-STEP M-ESTIMATORS WITH APPLICATIONS TO MAXIMUM LIKELIHOOD AND PITMAN ESTIMATORS

By P. Janssen¹, J. Jurečková² and N. Veraverbeke¹

Limburgs Universitair Centrum, Diepenbeek¹ and Charles University, Prague²

A one-step version $M_n^{(1)}$ and a two-step version $M_n^{(2)}$ of a general M-estimator M_n are suggested such that $M_n - M_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1})$ and $M_n - M_n^{(2)} = O_P(n^{-3/2})$ for every $n^{1/2}$ -consistent initial estimator and under some regularity conditions. In the special case of maximum likelihood estimation, this among other yields that the second-order efficiency properties of $M_n^{(2)}$ coincide with those of M_n . An application to the Pitman estimator of location is considered.

1. Introduction. Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be independent identically distributed (i.i.d.) random variables with common density $f(x, \theta)$ (with respect to Lebesgue measure), where $\theta \in \Theta \subset \mathbb{R}$. Moreover, we assume that the parameter space Θ is an open interval. The true value of θ will be denoted by θ_0 .

Let $\psi \colon \mathbb{R} \times \Theta \to \mathbb{R}$ be a function such that $E_{\theta_0} \psi(X_1, \theta)$ exists for all $\theta \in \Theta$ and has a unique zero at $\theta = \theta_0$.

A consistent estimator M_n of θ_0 which is a solution with respect to t of the equation

(1.1)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(X_i, t) = 0$$

will be called an M-estimator corresponding to ψ . Regularity conditions on ψ and f under which M-estimators exist, can be found, e.g., in Serfling (1980), Chapter 7 and Huber (1981), Chapter 6.

It is often difficult to find a consistent solution of Equation (1.1) in an explicit way. Therefore a standard technique is to look at an iterative solution of (1.1) (see, e.g., Dzhaparidze (1983) for an excellent account). We shall consider the iterative solution $M_n^{(k)}$ of (1.1) in the form

(1.2)
$$M_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} M_n^{(k-1)} - (n\hat{\gamma}_n^{(k-1)})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i, M_n^{(k-1)}) & \text{if } \hat{\gamma}_n^{(k-1)} \neq 0 \\ M_n^{(k-1)} & \text{if } \hat{\gamma}_n^{(k-1)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

 $k=1,\ 2,\ \cdots$, where $M_n^{(0)}$ is a consistent initial estimator of θ_0 and $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(k)}$ $(k=0,\ 1,\ \cdots)$ is a consistent estimator of $\gamma(\theta_0)$, with

(1.3)
$$\gamma(\theta) = \int \dot{\psi}(x,\,\theta) f(x,\,\theta) \,dx,$$

 $\dot{\psi}(x, \theta)$ denoting the partial derivative with respect to θ .

Before we proceed, we introduce $E(\cdot)$ and $P(\cdot)$ as a shorthand notation for $E_{\theta_0}(\cdot)$ and $P_{\theta_0}(\cdot)$.

Received March 1984; revised February 1985.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 62F12; secondary 62G05.

Key words and phrases M-estimator, $n^{1/2}$ -consistent estimator, maximum likelihood estimator, Pitman's estimator, second-order asymptotic linearity.

Theorems showing that a one-step version (say T_n) of M_n is asymptotically equivalent to M_n , i.e.,

$$M_n - T_n = o_P(n^{-1/2}),$$

can be established, assuming that the initial estimator $M_n^{(0)}$ is $n^{1/2}$ -consistent (i.e., $n^{1/2}(M_n^{(0)} - \theta_0) = O_P(1)$) and that ψ and f satisfy some regularity conditions. A discussion on this subject can be found in Bickel (1975), Huber (1981), Chapter 6 and in Lehmann (1983), Chapter 6 for the case that M_n is a maximum likelihood estimator (MLE).

Jurečková (1983) studied the regression case and showed that $M_n - M_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1})$; in (1985) she derived the second-order asymptotic distribution of M_n in the location case and concluded that $M_n - M_n^{(1)} = o_P(n^{-1})$, provided $M_n^{(0)}$ is equally asymptotically efficient as M_n .

The aim of the present paper is to show that $M_n^{(1)}$ and $M_n^{(2)}$, with properly defined $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)}$ and $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(1)}$, are not only asymptotically equivalent to M_n for every $n^{1/2}$ -consistent initial estimator $M_n^{(0)}$, but even that

$$M_n - M_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1})$$
 and $M_n - M_n^{(2)} = O_P(n^{-3/2})$.

These asymptotic relations among others yield that the second-order efficiency properties of $M_n^{(2)}$ (in the sense of Akahira and Takeuchi, 1981) coincide with those of M_n . This is of special interest in the maximum likelihood case.

The basic technical tool is the second-order asymptotic linearity in t and u of

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(X_i, \, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t + n^{-\tau}u), \quad \text{where} \quad \tau \ge \frac{1}{2}.$$

This is established in Section 2. The main result is proved in Section 3. Section 4 specifies the main result for maximum likelihood estimation. In Section 5 we consider the application concerning the Pitman estimator.

- **2. Second-order asymptotic linearity.** Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be i.i.d. random variables with common density $f(x, \theta_0)$ and let Θ be an open interval in \mathbb{R} . Let ψ be a function from $\mathbb{R} \times \Theta$ into \mathbb{R} and denote the first and second derivative of ψ with respect to the second argument as $\dot{\psi}$ and $\ddot{\psi}$. Further assume that ψ satisfies the following conditions:
 - (A1) ψ and $\dot{\psi}$ are absolutely continuous in the second argument.
 - (A2) There exists a $\delta > 0$ and a positive constant K_1 such that

$$E[(\dot{\psi}(X_1, \theta_0 + t))^2] \le K_1 \text{ for } |t| \le \delta.$$

Moreover we will assume either condition (A3) or the somewhat stronger condition (A3)':

(A3) There exists a $\delta > 0$ and a positive constant K_2 such that

$$E | \ddot{\psi}(X_1, \theta_0 + t) | \leq K_2 \quad \text{for} \quad |t| \leq \delta.$$

(A3)' There exists a $\delta > 0$ and a positive constant K_3 such that

$$E[(\ddot{\psi}(X_1, \theta_0 + t))^2] \le K_3 \quad \text{for} \quad |t| \le \delta.$$

The first theorem states, under the conditions given above, the asymptotic linearity in t and u of $\sum_{i=1}^{n} \psi(X_i, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t + n^{-\tau}u)$.

THEOREM 2.1. Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be i.i.d. random variables with common density $f(x, \theta_0)$ and let ψ be a function from $\mathbb{R} \times \Theta$ into \mathbb{R} satisfying (A1) and (A2). Then,

- (i) if ψ satisfies (A3), we have for every C > 0
- $(2.1) \quad \sup_{|t| \le C} |n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\psi(X_i, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t) \psi(X_i, \theta_0)] \gamma(\theta_0)t| = O_P(n^{-1/2}).$
 - (ii) if ψ satisfies (A3)', we have for every $\tau \ge \frac{1}{2}$, $C_1 > 0$, $C_2 > 0$

(2.2)
$$\sup_{|t| \le C_1, |u| \le C_2} |n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\psi(X_i, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t + n^{-\tau}u) - \psi(X_i, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t) \right] - \gamma(\theta_0) n^{1/2-\tau}u | = O_n(n^{-\tau}).$$

PROOF. We only give a proof of (ii) since the proof of (i) is completely analogous and simpler. Note that $\gamma(\theta_0)$ exists by condition (A2) and that $\theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t + n^{-\tau}u \in \Theta$ for n sufficiently large (say $n > n_0$). Denote

$$Z_n(t, u) = n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\psi(X_i, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t + n^{-\tau}u) - \psi(X_i, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t) \right]$$

and

$$Z_n^0(t, u) = Z_n(t, u) - EZ_n(t, u), \quad n = 1, 2, \cdots$$

Then we have to show that

(2.3)
$$\sup_{|t| \le C_1, |u| \le C_2} |Z_n^0(t, u)| = O_P(n^{-\tau})$$

and

(2.4)
$$\sup_{|t| \leq C_1, |u| \leq C_2} |EZ_n(t, u) - \gamma(\theta_0) n^{1/2-\tau} u| = O(n^{-\tau}).$$

We only deal with (2.3) and (2.4) in the case where the supremum is taken over the region $(t, u) \in [0, C_1] \times [0, C_2]$; the other quadrants are treated similarly. For $0 \le t_1 \le t_2 \le C_1$ and $0 \le u_1 \le u_2 \le C_2$, we have

$$\begin{split} E[(Z_n^0(t_2, u_2) - Z_n^0(t_1, u_1))^2] \\ &\leq E[(\psi(X_1, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t_2 + n^{-\tau}u_2) - \psi(X_1, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t_2) \\ &- \psi(X_1, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t_1 + n^{-\tau}u_1) + \psi(X_1, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t_1))^2] \\ &= E\Big[\bigg(\int_{n^{-\tau}u_1}^{n^{-\tau}u_2} \psi(X_1, \theta_0 + n^{-1/2}t_2 + v) \ dv \\ &+ \int_0^{n^{-\tau}u_1} \int_{n^{-1/2}t_1}^{n^{-1/2}t_2} \ddot{\psi}(X_1, \theta_0 + w + v) \ dw \ dv\bigg)^2\Big] \\ &\equiv E[(A_{n1}(X_1) + A_{n2}(X_1))^2]. \end{split}$$

Now

 $E[A_{n1}^{2}(X_{1})]$

$$\leq \int_{n^{-\tau}u_1}^{n^{-\tau}u_2} \int_{n^{-\tau}u_1}^{n^{-\tau}u_2} \{E[(\dot{\psi}(X_1,\theta_0+n^{-1/2}t_2+v))^2] E[(\dot{\psi}(X_1,\theta_0+n^{-1/2}t_2+v'))^2] \} \ dv \ dv'$$

$$\leq K_1 n^{-2\tau} (u_2 - u_1)^2$$
, using (A2),

and similarly, by using (A3)' we obtain that

$$E[A_{n2}^{2}(X_{1})] \leq K_{3}n^{-2\tau}u_{1}^{2}n^{-1}(t_{2}-t_{1})^{2}.$$

Hence, for $n > n_0$ there is a constant K such that

$$E[(Z_n^0(t_2, u_2) - Z_n^0(t_1, u_1))^2] \le Kn^{-2\tau}[(t_2 - t_1)^2 + (u_2 - u_1)^2].$$

From this it follows by the multivariate version of Theorem 12.1 of Billingsley (1968) (see Jurečková and Sen, 1984, Section 3) that, for every positive integer m

$$P(\max_{0 \le k \le m, 0 \le k' \le m} | n^{\tau} Z_n^0(kC_1/m, k'C_2/m) | \ge M) \le K' M^{-2},$$

where K' is a positive constant, independent of m. Since $Z_n^0(t, u)$ is continuous, we conclude by letting $m \to \infty$ that $\sup_{0 \le t \le C_1, 0 \le u \le C_2} |Z_n^0(t, u)| = O_P(n^{-\tau})$. For the proof of (2.4), we have for $0 \le t \le C_1$ and $0 \le u \le C_2$ and $n > n_0$

$$\begin{aligned} |EZ_{n}(t, u) - \gamma(\theta_{0})n^{1/2-\tau}u| \\ &= n^{1/2} |E[\psi(X_{1}, \theta_{0} + n^{-1/2}t + n^{-\tau}u) - \psi(X_{1}, \theta_{0} + n^{-1/2}t) - n^{-\tau}u\dot{\psi}(X_{1}, \theta_{0})]| \\ &= n^{1/2} |E[\int_{0}^{n^{-\tau}u} \int_{0}^{v} \ddot{\psi}(X_{1}, \theta_{0} + n^{-1/2}t + w) dw dv \\ &- \int_{0}^{n^{-\tau}u} \int_{0}^{n^{-1/2}t} \ddot{\psi}(X_{1}, \theta_{0} + w) dw dv]| \\ &\leq K_{2}n^{1/2}[n^{-2\tau}(u^{2}/2) + n^{-1/2-\tau}tu] = O(n^{-\tau}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence the proof is complete. \square

Theorem 2.1 has an easy corollary, which will be useful in the sequel.

COROLLARY 2.1. Let T_n be an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent estimator of θ_0 . Then,

(i) under the conditions of Theorem 2.1 (i), we have

(2.5)
$$n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\psi(X_i, T_n) - \psi(X_i, \theta_0)] - \gamma(\theta_0) n^{1/2} (T_n - \theta_0) = O_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

(ii) under the conditions of Theorem 2.1 (ii) and with U_n a statistic satisfying $U_n = O_P(n^{-\tau})$, we have

(2.6)
$$n^{-1/2} \sum_{i=1}^{n} [\psi(X_i, T_n + U_n) - \psi(X_i, T_n)] - \gamma(\theta_0) n^{1/2} U_n = O_P(n^{-\tau}).$$

3. Application to one- and two-step estimators. Assume that there exists an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent solution M_n of (1.1) and that

$$(A4) \gamma(\theta_0) \neq 0.$$

Then, it follows from (2.5) that

$$(3.1) n^{1/2}(M_n - \theta_0) = -n^{-1/2}(\gamma(\theta_0))^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i, \theta_0) + O_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

Let $M_n^{(0)}$ be an arbitrary $n^{1/2}$ -consistent initial estimator of θ_0 . Consider the one-step version $M_n^{(1)}$ and the two-step version $M_n^{(2)}$ of M_n defined in (1.2) with $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(k)}$ (k=0,1) defined as follows

$$(3.2) \quad \hat{\gamma}_n^{(k)} = n^{-1/2} (t_2 - t_1)^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\psi(X_i, M_n^{(k)} + n^{-1/2} t_2) - \psi(X_i, M_n^{(k)} + n^{-1/2} t_1) \right]$$

where t_1 and t_2 ($t_1 < t_2$) are arbitrary fixed real numbers. It easily follows from Corollary 2.1 that $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)}$ is an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent estimator of $\gamma(\theta_0)$. The following theorem shows that, under the assumptions of Section 2,

$$M_n - M_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1})$$
 and $M_n - M_n^{(2)} = O_P(n^{-3/2})$,

where we remark that the $n^{1/2}$ -consistency of $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(1)}$ follows from $M_n - M_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1})$.

THEOREM 3.1. Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be i.i.d. random variables with common density $f(x, \theta_0)$. Assume that

- (i) M_n is an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent solution of the equation (1.1),
- (ii) $M_n^{(0)}$ is an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent initial estimator of θ_0 ,
- (iii) ψ satisfies (A1), (A2), and (A4).

Let $M_n^{(1)}$ and $M_n^{(2)}$ be the one- and two-step estimators defined in (1.2) with $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(k)}$ given in (3.2) for k=0, 1. Then, under condition (A3), we have

(3.3)
$$M_n - M_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1})$$

and under condition (A3)', we have

$$(3.4) M_n - M_n^{(2)} = O_P(n^{-3/2})$$

PROOF. Since $\gamma(\theta_0) \neq 0$ and $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)} - \gamma(\theta_0) = O_P(n^{-1/2})$, we have

(3.5)
$$\gamma(\theta_0)/\hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)} - 1 = O_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

Moreover, since $P(|\hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)}| < \delta)$ can be made arbitrarily small for $\delta < |\gamma(\theta_0)|$ and

$$(3.6) P(n \mid M_n - M_n^{(1)} \mid > C)$$

$$\leq P(n \mid M_n - M_n^{(1)} \mid > C, \, \hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)} \neq 0) + P(\mid \hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)} \mid < \delta).$$

it suffices to find a bound for $M_n - M_n^{(1)}$ in the case that $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)} \neq 0$. Then, using (3.1), we have

$$n^{1/2}(M_n - M_n^{(1)}) = \frac{\gamma(\theta_0)}{\hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)}} \left\{ n^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\gamma(\theta_0)} \sum_{i=1}^n \left[\psi(X_i, M_n^{(0)}) - \psi(X_i, \theta_0) \right] - n^{1/2} (M_n^{(0)} - \theta_0) \right\} + \left(\frac{\gamma(\theta_0)}{\hat{\gamma}_n^{(0)}} - 1 \right) \left\{ n^{-1/2} \frac{1}{\gamma(\theta_0)} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i, \theta_0) + n^{1/2} (M_n^{(0)} - \theta_0) \right\} + O_P(n^{-1/2}).$$

The statement (3.3) then easily follows from (3.5)–(3.7). If we now assume (A3)' and put $T_n = M_n$ and $U_n = M_n^{(1)} - M_n$ in (2.6), we get, for $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(1)} \neq 0$,

$$n^{1/2}(M_n - M_n^{(2)}) = n^{1/2}(M_n - M_n^{(1)}) + n^{-1/2}(1/\hat{\gamma}_n^{(1)}) \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i, M_n^{(1)})$$
$$= ((\gamma(\theta_0)/\hat{\gamma}_n^{(1)}) - 1)n^{1/2}(M_n^{(1)} - M_n) + O_P(n^{-1})$$

and this implies (3.4). \square

4. Application to maximum likelihood estimators. The maximum likelihood estimator is an *M*-estimator generated by the function

(4.1)
$$\psi(x, t) = (\partial/\partial t)\log f(x, t)$$

There exists a host of literature about the asymptotic efficiency of MLE of the first and second orders. A good review of such results may be found in Akahira and Takeuchi (1981).

One-step versions of the MLE $\hat{\theta}_n$ were discussed in Lehmann (1983), Chapter 6, where also other references can be found; however, only the relation $\hat{\theta}_n - T_n^{(1)} = o_P(n^{-1/2})$ was proved for such one-step version $T_n^{(1)}$.

The results of Section 3 yield, under some regularity conditions, the existence of one- and two-step versions $T_n^{(1)}$ and $T_n^{(2)}$ of $\hat{\theta}_n$ such that

$$\hat{\theta}_n - T_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1})$$
 and $\hat{\theta}_n - T_n^{(2)} = O_P(n^{-3/2})$.

Being combined with the results of Akahira and Takeuchi (1981), this means that the second-order efficiency properties of $T_n^{(2)}$ coincide with those of $\hat{\theta}_n$.

The regularity conditions under which the likelihood equation provides us with an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent estimator $\hat{\theta}_n$ of θ_0 are well known (see, e.g., Theorem 6.2.3 of Lehmann, 1983). One of these regularity conditions is typically that the Fisher information $I(\theta)$ satisfies

$$(4.2) \quad 0 < I(\theta) = E_{\theta}[((\partial/\partial\theta)\log f(X_1, \theta))^2] = E_{\theta}[-(\partial^2/\partial\theta^2)\log f(X_1, \theta)] < \infty$$

for all $\theta \in \Theta$. If we also assume that the function ψ in (4.1) satisfies the conditions (A1)–(A2) of Section 2, then $\gamma(\theta_0) = -I(\theta_0)$, so that (A4) holds because of (4.2).

If there exists an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent initial estimator of θ_0 , then Theorem 3.1 applies, so that we could summarize the results in the following theorem.

THEOREM 4.1. Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be i.i.d. random variables with common density $f(x, \theta_0)$ satisfying (4.2). Assume that

- (i) $\hat{\theta}_n$ is an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent solution of the maximum likelihood equation $\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\partial/\partial t) \log f(X_i, t) = 0$,
- (ii) $\overline{T}_n^{(0)}$ is an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent initial estimator of θ_0 ,
- (iii) $\psi(x, t) = (\partial/\partial t)\log f(x, t)$ satisfies (A1)-(A2).

Let

$$T_n^{(k)} = \begin{cases} T_n^{(k-1)} - (n\hat{\gamma}_n^{(k-1)})^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i, T_n^{(k-1)}) & \text{if } \hat{\gamma}_n^{(k-1)} \neq 0 \\ T_n^{(k-1)} & \text{if } \hat{\gamma}_n^{(k-1)} = 0 \end{cases}$$

k=1, 2, be the one- and two-step MLE with $\hat{\gamma}_n^{(k)}$ given in (3.2) for k=0, 1. Then, under condition (A3) we have

$$\hat{\theta}_n - T_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1})$$

and under condition (A3)' we have

$$\hat{\theta}_n - T_n^{(2)} = O_P(n^{-3/2}).$$

5. Application to Pitman's estimator in the location case. Let us now consider the location model in which $f(x, \theta) = f(x - \theta)$ so that the function ψ in (4.1) now takes the form $\psi(x, t) = \psi(x - t)$, where

(5.1)
$$\psi(x) = -(f'(x)/f(x)).$$

It is then natural to restrict our considerations to the translation-equivariant estimators, i.e., to estimators T_n which satisfy $T_n(X_1 + a, \dots, X_n + a) = T_n(X_1, \dots, X_n) + a$ for all $a \in \mathbb{R}$. Since the maximum likelihood equation now reduces to $\sum_{i=1}^n \psi(X_i - t) = 0$, it is immediately clear that a MLE will be translation-equivariant.

We shall consider the situation where the loss incurred by estimating θ_0 by t is the square deviation $(t - \theta_0)^2$. The minimum risk estimator is then the Pitman estimator T_n^* which could be written in the form

$$(5.2) T_n^* = \tilde{\theta}_n - E_0(\tilde{\theta}_n \mid \mathbf{Y})$$

where $\tilde{\theta}_n$ is an arbitrary initial translation-equivariant estimator with finite risk and

(5.3)
$$\mathbf{Y} = (Y_2, \dots, Y_n) = (X_2 - X_1, \dots, X_n - X_1).$$

Let $\hat{\theta}_n$ be the $n^{1/2}$ -consistent solution of the likelihood equation. It follows from Theorem 5.3.1 of Akahira and Takeuchi (1981) that if $0 < I(f) < \infty$ and under the conditions (A1), (A2) and (A3)" with

(A3)" There exists a $\delta > 0$ and a positive constant K such that

$$E | \ddot{\psi}(X_1, \theta_0 + t) |^3 \le K \text{ for } |t| \le \delta,$$

the Pitman estimator satisfies

(5.4)
$$T_n^* - \hat{\theta}_n = O_P(n^{-1}).$$

Let us select an $n^{1/2}$ -consistent translation-equivariant initial estimator $T_n^{(0)}$ and put

$$(5.5) T_n^{(1)} = T_n^{(0)} - (1/nI(f)) \sum_{i=1}^n f'(X_i - T_n^{(0)})/f(X_i - T_n^{(0)}).$$

Then $T_n^{(1)}$ is translation-equivariant and (5.4) combined with Theorem 4.1 implies

(5.6)
$$T_n^* - T_n^{(1)} = O_P(n^{-1}).$$

REMARK. The choice $T_n^{(0)} = \bar{X}_n = (1/n) \sum_{i=1}^n x_i$ is of special interest; then we should suppose that the underlying distribution has finite variance. Another possibility is to use robust estimators of location which are $n^{1/2}$ -consistent and translation-equivariant under general conditions.

Acknowledgement. The authors thank both referees for their valuable comments and proposals which led to a considerable improvement of the original text.

REFERENCES

AKAHIRA, M. and TAKEUCHI, K. (1981). Asymptotic efficiency of statistical estimators. Concepts and higher order asymptotic efficiency. *Lecture Notes in Statist.* **7** Springer, Berlin.

BICKEL, P. J. (1975). One-step Huber estimates in the linear model. J. Amer. Statist. Assoc. 70
428-433

BILLINGSLEY, P. (1968). Convergence of Probability Measures. Wiley, New York.

DZHAPARIDZE, K. O. (1983). On iterative procedures of asymptotic inference. Statist. Neerlandica 37 181-189.

HUBER, P. J. (1981). Robust Statistics. Wiley, New York.

JUREČKOVÁ, J. (1983). Robust estimation of location and regression parameters and their second order asymptotic relations. Proc. Ninth Prague Conf. on Inform. Theory. pp. 19–32, Reidel, Dordrecht.

Jurečková, J. (1985). Representation of M-estimators with the second order asymptotic distribution.

To appear in Statistics and Decisions.

Jurečková, J. and Sen, P. K. (1984). On adaptive scale-invariant M-estimators in linear models. Statistics and Decisions. Suppl. Issue No. 1, 31-46.

KAGAN, A. M. (1983). Pitman families. Seventh Seminar on Robustness of Stochastic Models. Univ. of Saratov.

LEHMANN, E. L. (1983). Theory of Point Estimators. Wiley, New York.

SERFLING, R. J. (1980). Approximation Theorems of Mathematical Statistics. Wiley, New York.

P. Janssen
N. Veraverbeke
Limburgs Universitair Centrum
Universitaire Campus
B-3610 Diepenbeek, Belgium

J. JUREČKOVÁ
DEPARTMENT OF PROBABILITY
AND STATISTICS
CHARLES UNIVERSITY
SOKOLOVSKÁ 83
18600 PRAHA 8, CZECHOSLOVAKIA