## THE SHORTCOMING OF LOCALLY MOST POWERFUL TESTS IN CURVED EXPONENTIAL FAMILIES

## By Wilbert C. M. Kallenberg

Vrije Universiteit, Amsterdam

Comparison of tests with respect to contiguous alternatives is mostly concerned with fixed levels. Properties of locally most powerful (LMP) tests in this sense are well-known in statistical literature. In this note the behaviour of LMP tests is studied for local (not necessarily contiguous) alternatives and vanishing levels of significance. It turns out that the shortcoming of the LMP test tends to zero at the rate  $n^{-1} |\log \alpha_n|^{3/2}$ .

1. Introduction. Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. k-dimensional random variables (rv's) with density

(1.1) 
$$\exp\{\gamma_{\theta}'x - \psi(\gamma_{\theta})\}, \qquad \theta \in \Theta,$$

with respect to a  $\sigma$ -finite measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}^k$ . Here  $\Theta$  is an interval in  $\mathbb{R}^1$ ,  $\gamma_{\theta}$  a three times differentiable bijection from  $\Theta$  onto  $\gamma(\Theta) \subset \Gamma = \{\gamma \in \mathbb{R}^k; \int \exp(\gamma'x) \ d\mu(x) < \infty\}$  and  $\psi(\gamma) = \log \int \exp(\gamma'x) \ d\mu(x)$ . So the distribution of  $X_i$  belongs to a curved exponential family in the terminology of Efron (1975). This means that our one-parameter family is smooth in the sense that it can be embedded in an exponential family in a suitable way. We consider the testing problem  $H: \theta = \theta_0$  against  $K: \theta > \theta_0$  with level of significance  $\alpha_n \in (0, 1)$ , where n denotes the number of available observations and  $\theta_0 \in \Theta$  is given.

In Efron (1975) and Pfanzagl (1975) some properties of locally most powerful (LMP) tests are mentioned for this kind of testing problems. If  $\alpha_n = \alpha$  is fixed, asymptotic expansions of the power function of LMP tests for  $\theta \to \theta_0$  are obtained e.g., by Pfanzagl (1973, 1975), Chibisov (1973) and Albers (1974). The LMP tests turn out to be nonoptimal even under contiguous alternatives. According to Pfanzagl (1975) the shortcoming of the LMP test tends to zero at the rate  $n^{-1}$  for contiguous alternatives if  $\alpha_n = \alpha$  is fixed. For nonlocal alternatives the performance of LMP tests can be expressed by its Bahadur slope. Intuitively it is obvious that LMP tests are not optimal from this nonlocal point of view. Indeed, indicating differentiation with respect to  $\theta$  by a dot, the slope is not optimal at  $\theta$  unless the vectors  $\gamma_{\theta} - \gamma_{\theta_0}$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_{\theta_0}$  have the same direction.

Nonlocal comparison of tests in the sense of Bahadur requires levels of significance tending to zero at an exponential rate. Comparison of tests with respect to contiguous alternatives is mostly concerned with fixed levels. This note attempts to fill in the gap: the behaviour of LMP tests is studied for local (not necessarily contiguous) alternatives and vanishing levels of significance. It turns out that the shortcoming of the LMP test tends to zero at the rate  $n^{-1}|\log \alpha_n|^{3/2}$ . This agrees with the well-known results for fixed  $\alpha$ .

**2. Main results.** Consider the probability space  $(\mathbb{R}^k, \mathcal{B}^k, P_\gamma)$ , where  $\mathcal{B}^k$  is the  $\sigma$ -field of Borel sets in  $\mathbb{R}^k$  and  $dP_\gamma = \exp\{\gamma' x - \psi(\gamma)\}\ d\mu(x)$  for all  $\gamma \in \Gamma$ , and suppose  $X_i$  has distribution  $P_{\gamma_0}$ ,  $i=1, \cdots, n$ . Since with n observations  $X_1, \cdots, X_n$  the sample mean  $\bar{X}_n = n^{-1} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$  is sufficient, LMP tests and most powerful (MP) tests only depend on  $\bar{X}_n$ . If  $Y_1, \cdots, Y_n$  are i.i.d. rv's each distributed according to  $P_\gamma$ , the distribution of  $\bar{Y}_n$  is denoted by  $\bar{P}^n_\gamma$ , and the expectation and covariance matrix of  $Y_i$  by  $\lambda(\gamma)$  and  $\Sigma_\gamma$ , respec-

Received November, 1979; revised May, 1980.

AMS 1970 subject classification. 62F05.

Key words and phrases. Locally most powerful tests, shortcoming, curved exponential families.

tively.

The size- $\alpha_n$  LMP test of H against K based on n observations is given by

(2.1) 
$$\phi_n^L(\bar{x_n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & > \\ \delta_n & \text{if } \dot{\gamma}_{\theta_0} \bar{x_n} = d_n, \\ 0 & < \end{cases}$$

where the constants  $d_n$  and  $\delta_n$  satisfy  $E_{\theta_0} \phi_n^L(\bar{X}_n) = \alpha_n$ , cf. Efron (1975). Let  $\beta_n^+$  be the level- $\alpha_n$  envelope power function. Then the shortcoming  $R_n$  of the LMP test is defined by

$$R_n(\theta) = \beta_n^+(\theta) - E_\theta \phi_n^L,$$
  $\theta > \theta_0$ 

To obtain the required asymptotic expansions we present condition (C), which is of the same kind as Cramér's condition (C), cf. Cramér (1962). For some  $\varepsilon > 0$ 

$$\lim_{|t|\to\infty}\sup_{\|\gamma_1\|\leq\varepsilon,\|\gamma_2\|\leq\varepsilon}|E_{\gamma_{\theta_0}+\gamma_1}e^{it(\dot{\gamma}_{\theta_0}+\gamma_2)X_1}|<1,$$

where  $\|\cdot\|$  denotes the Euclidean norm. This effectively rules out discrete random variables.

THEOREM 2.1. Assume that  $\gamma_{\theta_0} \in \text{int } \Gamma$ , condition (C) holds and that the Fisher information of  $X_i$  at  $\theta_0$  is positive. Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence of levels satisfying  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha < 1$ , then

(2.2) 
$$\theta_n \to \theta_0 \text{ implies } R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1} |\log \alpha_n|^{3/2}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty$$

Note that a positive Fisher information at  $\theta_0$  implies  $\dot{\gamma}_{\theta_0} \neq 0$ .

REMARK 2.1. If  $\alpha_n = \alpha \in (0, 1)$  is fixed the well-known order  $O(n^{-1})$  is obtained. For sequences of alternatives  $\{\theta_n\}$  tending to  $\theta_0$  at a rather slow or a rather fast rate we have  $R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1})$  even if  $\alpha_n$  is not fixed, cf. Lemma 3.6.

The following example indicates that the order term  $O(n^{-1} | \log \alpha_n |^{3/2})$  is sharp.

Example 2.1. Let  $X_1, X_2, \cdots$  be i.i.d. 2-dimensional rv's with normal  $N(\gamma_{\theta}; I_2)$  distributions, where  $\gamma_{\theta} = (\theta, \frac{1}{2} \theta^2) \ (-\infty < \theta < \infty)$  and  $I_2$  the  $2 \times 2$  identity matrix. Let  $\theta_0 = 0, \ \theta_n = n^{-1/2} \Phi^{-1}(1-\alpha_n)$ , where  $\alpha_n$  is such that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \alpha_n = 0 = \lim_{n\to\infty} n^{-1} |\log \alpha_n|^{3/2}$ ;  $\Phi$  denotes the standard normal distribution function,  $\phi$  denotes the standard normal density. Then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} n |\log \alpha_n|^{-3/2} R_n(\theta_n) = \frac{1}{4} \pi^{-1/2}$ .

Without condition (C) expansions can be made up to order  $O(n^{-1/2})$ . In that case we obtain

THEOREM 2.2. Assume that  $\gamma_{\theta_0} \in \text{int } \Gamma$  and that the Fisher information of  $X_i$  at  $\theta_0$  is positive. Let  $\{\alpha_n\}$  be a sequence of levels satisfying  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha < 1$ , then

$$(2.3) \theta_n \to \theta_0 implies R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1/2} + n^{-1} |\log \alpha_n|^{3/2}) as n \to \infty.$$

**3. Proofs.** In the sequel we assume  $\gamma_{\theta_0} \in \text{int } \Gamma$ ,  $\dot{\gamma}_{\theta_0} \neq 0$  (since the Fisher information of  $X_i$  at  $\theta_0$  is positive),  $\alpha_n \leq \alpha < 1$  and  $\lim_{n \to \infty} n^{-1} \log \alpha_n = 0$ . Note that if  $n_k^{-1} |\log \alpha_{n_k}| \to \alpha \in (0, \infty]$  for some subsequence  $\{n_k\}$  with  $\lim_{k \to \infty} n_k = \infty$ , then  $R_{n_k}(\theta_{n_k}) \leq 1 = O(n_k^{-1} |\log \alpha_{n_k}|^{3/2})$  if  $k \to \infty$ . (In fact  $\beta_{n_k}^+(\theta_{n_k})$  and hence  $R_{n_k}(\theta_{n_k})$  tend to zero at an exponential rate in this case.) Without loss of generality let  $\theta_0 = \gamma_0 = \lambda(0) = 0$  and let  $\Sigma_0$  be nonsingular.

The size- $\alpha_n$  LMP test of H against K is given by (2.1) with  $\theta_0 = 0$ . Since  $\alpha_n \le \alpha < 1$  it holds that  $\lim_{n\to\infty} n^{1/2} d_n > -\infty$ . Moreover,

(3.1) 
$$n^{-1} \log \alpha_n \to 0$$
 implies  $d_n \to 0$ .

We use the following notation

$$s^2 = \text{Var}_0 \dot{\gamma}_0' X_1 = \dot{\gamma}_0' \Sigma_0 \dot{\gamma}_0, \quad \Delta = E_0 (\dot{\gamma}_0' X_1)^3 \quad \text{and} \quad \xi_t = \Phi^{-1}(t), 0 < t < 1.$$

Relations between  $\alpha_n$  and  $d_n$  are given in the following two lemmas.

**LEMMA 3.1.** 

$$(3.2) n^{1/2} d_n s^{-1} = \xi_{1-\alpha_n} + 6^{-1} \Delta s^{-3} n^{-1/2} \xi_{1-\alpha_n}^2 + O(n^{-1/2} + \xi_{1-\alpha_n}^3 n^{-1}) as n \to \infty.$$

**PROOF.** Let  $a_n = n^{1/2} d_n s^{-1}$ , then  $a_n = o(n^{1/2})$  as  $n \to \infty$  in view of (3.1). By Theorem 1 on page 218 in Petrov (1975) we have

(3.3) 
$$\log \alpha_n = \log(1 - \Phi(\alpha_n)) + 6^{-1} \Delta s^{-3} n^{-1/2} \alpha_n^3 + O((|\alpha_n| + 1) n^{-1/2} + \alpha_n^4 n^{-1})$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . It easily follows that  $a_n \xi_{1-\alpha_n}^{-1} \to 1$  as  $n \to \infty$ . Taylor expansion of  $\log(1 - \Phi(\cdot))$  at  $\xi_{1-\alpha_n}$  yields

(3.4) 
$$\log(1 - \Phi(a_n)) = \log \alpha_n - (a_n - \xi_{1-\alpha_n}) \phi(\xi_{1-\alpha_n}) (1 - \Phi(\xi_{1-\alpha_n}))^{-1} + O(|a_n - \xi_{1-\alpha_n}|^2)$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . In view of (3.3), (3.4) and  $1 - \Phi(x) = x^{-1}\phi(x)(1 + O(x^{-2}))$  as  $x \to \infty$  the result is established.  $\square$ 

LEMMA 3.2. If condition (C) holds

(3.5) 
$$n^{1/2} d_n s^{-1} = \xi_{1-\alpha_n} + 6^{-1} \Delta s^{-3} n^{-1/2} (\xi_{1-\alpha_n}^2 - 1) + O(n^{-1} |\log \alpha_n|^{3/2})$$

 $as n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF. Again let  $a_n = n^{1/2} d_n s^{-1}$ , then  $a_n = o(n^{1/2})$  as  $n \to \infty$  in view of (3.1). By Saulis (1969) (cf. also Petrov (1975) chapter 8, Section 4, Number 3 on page 249; note that  $P(S_n \ge \sigma(nx)^{1/2})$  has to be replaced there by  $P(S_n \ge \sigma n^{1/2}x)$ ) we have

$$\log \alpha_n = \log(1 - \Phi(\alpha_n)) + 6^{-1} \Delta s^{-3} n^{-1/2} (\alpha_n^2 - 1) \phi(\alpha_n) (1 - \Phi(\alpha_n))^{-1} + O((\alpha_n^4 + 1) n^{-1})$$

as  $n \to \infty$ . By Taylor expansion of  $\log(1 - \Phi(\cdot))$  at  $\xi_{1-\alpha_n}$  the result is established.  $\square$ 

An expansion of the power of the LMP test is given in the following

LEMMA 3.3 If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = 0$ 

(3.6) 
$$E_{\theta_n} \phi_n^L = 1 - \Phi(b_n) + O(n^{-1/2}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty;$$

if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = 0$  and condition (C) holds, then

(3.7) 
$$E_{\theta_n} \phi_n^L = 1 - \Phi(b_n) - 6^{-1} \rho_n n^{-1/2} (1 - b_n^2) \phi(b_n) + O(n^{-1}) \quad \text{as} \quad n \to \infty,$$

where

$$b_n = n^{1/2} [d_n s^{-1} - s\theta_n - \frac{1}{2} \theta_n s^{-3} \Delta d_n - \frac{1}{2} \dot{\gamma}_0' \Sigma_0 \ddot{\gamma}_0 s^{-1} \theta_n^2 + O(\theta_n^2 (\theta_n + d_n))]$$

and

$$\rho_n = (\dot{\gamma}_0' \sum_{\gamma_{\theta_n}} \dot{\gamma}_0)^{-3/2} E_{\theta_n} \{\dot{\gamma}_0' (X_1 - \lambda(\gamma_{\theta_n}))\}^3.$$

PROOF. Since  $\dot{\gamma}_0' \lambda(\gamma_{\theta_n}) = s^2 \theta_n + \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\gamma}_0' \Sigma_0 \ddot{\gamma}_0 + \Delta) \theta_n^2 + O(\theta_n^3)$  and  $\dot{\gamma}_0' \Sigma_{\gamma_{\theta_n}} \dot{\gamma}_0 = s^2 + \theta_n \Delta + O(\theta_n^2)$ , the Berry-Esseen theorem and Theorem 1 on page 159 in Petrov (1975) imply (3.6) and (3.7), respectively.  $\Box$ 

To study the envelope power function we introduce the critical function  $\phi_n^+$  of the size- $\alpha_n$  MP test of H against  $\theta = \theta_n$ , given by

$$\phi_n^+(\bar{x_n}) = \begin{cases} 1 & > \\ \varepsilon_n & \text{if} \quad \theta_n^{-1} \gamma_{\theta_n}' \bar{x_n} = e_n, \\ 0 & < \end{cases}$$

where the constants  $e_n$  and  $\varepsilon_n$  satisfy  $E_{\theta_n} \phi_n^+$   $(\bar{X}_n) = \alpha_n$ . By a similar argument as above we obtain

LEMMA 3.4. If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = 0$ 

$$(3.8) n^{1/2}e_ns_n^{-1} = \xi_{1-\alpha_n} + 6^{-1}\Delta_ns_n^{-3}n^{-1/2}\xi_{1-\alpha_n}^2 + O(n^{-1/2} + \xi_{1-\alpha_n}^3 n^{-1}) as n \to \infty;$$

if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = 0$  and condition (C) holds

(3.9) 
$$n^{1/2}e_ns_n^{-1} = \xi_{1-\alpha_n} + 6^{-1}\Delta_ns_n^{-3}n^{-1/2}(\xi_{1-\alpha_n}^2 - 1) + O(n^{-1}|\log \alpha_n|^{3/2})$$

as  $n \to \infty$ , where

$$s_n^2 = \operatorname{Var}_0 \theta_n^{-1} \gamma_{\theta_n}' X_1 = \theta_n^{-2} \gamma_{\theta_n}' \Sigma_0 \gamma_{\theta_n} \text{ and } \Delta_n = E_0 (\theta_n^{-1} \gamma_{\theta_n}' X_1)^3.$$

LEMMA 3.5. If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = 0$ 

(3.10) 
$$E_{\theta, \Phi_n^+} = 1 - \Phi(c_n) + O(n^{-1/2}) \quad as \quad n \to \infty;$$

if  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = 0$  and condition (C) holds, then

$$(3.11) E_{\theta_n} \phi_n^+ = 1 - \Phi(c_n) - 6^{-1} \rho_n^+ n^{-1/2} (1 - c_n^2) \phi(c_n) + O(n^{-1}) \text{ as } n \to \infty,$$

where

$$c_n = n^{1/2} [e_n s_n^{-1} - s\theta_n - \frac{1}{2} \theta_n s^{-3} \Delta e_n - \frac{1}{2} (\dot{\gamma}_0' \Sigma_0 \ddot{\gamma}_0) s^{-1} \theta_n^2 + O(\theta_n^2 (\theta_n + e_n))]$$

and

$$\rho_n^+ = (\theta_n^{-2} \gamma_{\theta_n}' \Sigma_{\gamma_{\theta_n}} \gamma_{\theta_n})^{-3/2} E_{\theta_n} \{\theta_n^{-1} \gamma_{\theta_n}' (X_1 - \lambda (\gamma_{\theta_n}))\}^3.$$

In the next lemma the shortcoming is determined for sequences  $\{\theta_n\}$  tending to zero. Note that  $\theta_n \sim s^{-1} n^{-1/2} \{-2 \log \alpha_n\}^{1/2}$  is the "contiguous" case.

LEMMA 3.6. Assume that condition (C) holds and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = 0$ .

If 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} s\theta_n n^{1/2} \{-2\log \alpha_n\}^{-1/2} < 1$$
 then  $R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1})$  as  $n\to\infty$ .

If 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} s\theta_n n^{1/2} \{-2\log \alpha_n\}^{-1/2} = 1$$
 then  $R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1} |\log \alpha_n|^{3/2})$  as  $n\to\infty$ .

If 
$$\lim_{n\to\infty} s\theta_n n^{1/2} \{-2\log \alpha_n\}^{-1/2} > 1$$
 then  $R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1})$  as  $n\to\infty$ .

PROOF. By lemma 3.3, 3.4 and 3.5 we have  $b_n - c_n = O(n^{-1} |\log \alpha_n|^{3/2} + \theta_n^3 n^{1/2})$  and  $\rho_n = \rho_n^+ + O(\theta_n)$ .

Defining  $f(x) = 1 - \Phi(x) - 6^{-1} \rho_n n^{-1/2} (1 - x^2) \phi(x)$  and hence  $f'(x) = -\phi(x) - 6^{-1} \rho_n n^{-1/2} (x^3 - 3x) \phi(x)$  it follows by (3.7) and (3.11) that

$$R_n(\theta_n) = E_{\theta_n} \phi_n^+ - E_{\theta_n} \phi_n^L = f(c_n) - f(b_n) + 6^{-1} n^{-1/2} (1 - c_n^2) \phi(c_n) (\rho_n - \rho_n^+) + O(n^{-1}).$$

If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s\theta_n n^{1/2} \{-2\log \alpha_n\}^{-1/2} = 1 - \varepsilon < 1$  and  $\alpha_n \to 0$ , then  $c_n \sim \varepsilon \{-2\log \alpha_n\}^{1/2}$  and  $b_n \sim \varepsilon \{-2\log \alpha_n\}^{1/2}$ . Hence  $6^{-1}n^{-1/2}(1-c_n^2)\phi(c_n)(\rho_n-\rho_n^+)=o(n^{-1})$  and in view of the mean value theorem  $f(c_n)-f(b_n)=(b_n-c_n)f'(\eta_n)=O(n^{-1}|\log \alpha_n|^{3/2}f'(\eta_n))=O(n^{-1}\eta_n^3f'(\eta_n))=o(n^{-1})$ , where  $\eta_n$  lies between  $b_n$  and  $c_n$ . So in this case  $R_n(\theta_n)=O(n^{-1})$ . Suppose  $\theta_n=O(n^{-1/2}|\log \alpha_n|^{1/2})$  then  $b_n-c_n=O(n^{-1}|\log \alpha_n|^{3/2})$  and hence  $f(c_n)-f(b_n)=O(n^{-1}|\log \alpha_n|^{3/2})$ . Moreover,  $6^{-1}n^{-1/2}(1-c_n^2)\phi(c_n)(\rho_n-\rho_n^+)=O(\theta_n n^{-1/2})=O(n^{-1}|\log \alpha_n|^{3/2})$ . This completes the proof of the first and second statement.

If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s\theta_n n^{1/2} \{-2 \log \alpha_n\}^{-1/2} > 1$  then  $\lim_{n\to\infty} b_n s^{-1} \theta_n^{-1} n^{-1/2} = \lim_{n\to\infty} c_n s^{-1} \theta_n^{-1} n^{-1/2} < 0$ .

Hence  $6^{-1}n^{-1/2}(1-c_n^2)\phi(c_n)(\rho_n-\rho_n^+)=O(n^{-1})$  and in view of the mean value theorem  $f(c_n)-f(b_n)=(b_n-c_n)f'(\eta_n)=O(\theta_n^3 n^{1/2}f'(\eta_n))=O(n^{-1}\theta_n^3 n^{3/2}gh_n^{-3}\eta_n^3 f'(\eta_n))=O(n^{-1}),$  where  $\eta_n$  lies between  $b_n$  and  $c_n$ . This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\Box$ 

THEOREM 2.1 is an immediate consequence of lemma 3.6. Similarly one obtains

LEMMA 3.7. If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s\theta_n n^{1/2} \{-2\log\alpha_n\}^{-1/2} < 1$  then  $R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1/2})$  as  $n\to\infty$ . If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s\theta_n n^{1/2} \{-2\log\alpha_n\}^{-1/2} = 1$  then  $R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1/2} + n^{-1} |\log\alpha_n|^{3/2})$  as  $n\to\infty$ . If  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s\theta_n n^{1/2} \{-2\log\alpha_n\}^{-1/2} > 1$  and  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \theta_n = 0$  then  $R_n(\theta_n) = O(n^{-1/2})$  as  $n\to\infty$ .

THEOREM 2.2 is an immediate consequence of lemma 3.7.

## REFERENCES

Albers, W. (1974). Asymptotic expansions and the deficiency concept in statistics. Mathematical Centre Tracts 58, Amsterdam.

Chibisov, D. M. (1973). Asymptotic expansions for some asymptotically optimal tests. *Proc. Prague Symp. Asymptotic Statist.* **2** 37-68.

CRAMÉR, H. (1962). Random Variables and Probability Distributions. 2nd Ed. Cambridge Univ.

EFRON, B. (1975). Defining the curvature of a statistical problem. Ann. Statist. 3 1189-1242.

Petrov, V. V. (1975). Sums of Independent Random Variables. Springer, New York.

PFANZAGL, J. (1973). Asymptotically optimum estimation and test procedures. *Proc. Prague Symp. Asymptotic Statist.* 1 201–272.

PFANZAGL, J. (1975). On Asymptotically Complete Classes. Statistical Inference 2 1-43. (M. Puri, ed.) Academic, New York.

SAULIS, L. I. (1969). Asymptotic expansions of probabilities of large deviations. (in Russian). Litovskii matem. sb. 9 605–625.

Wiskundig Seminarium Vrije Universiteit De Boelelaan 1081 1007 MC Amsterdam The Netherlands