## A CHARACTERIZATION OF THE KERNEL $\lim_{\lambda\downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$ FOR SUB-MARKOVIAN RESOLVENTS $(V_{\lambda})^{1}$

By J. C. TAYLOR

McGill University

Let  $(V_{\lambda})$  be a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels  $V_{\lambda}$  on a measurable space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ . Assume that  $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$  is a proper kernel. The proper kernels V on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  that are of the form  $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$ ,  $(V_{\lambda})$  a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ , are proved to be precisely those proper kernels V which satisfy the complete maximum principle and for which the following condition holds: there exists an increasing sequence  $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{E}$  with  $\bigcup_n A_n = E$  such that (i)  $V1_{A_n} < \infty$  for all n; and (ii) if  $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$  and  $Vf < \infty$  then  $\inf_n R_{\mathcal{E}A_n} Vf < \infty$ , where  $R_B u = \inf_n \{v \text{ supermedian } | u \geq v \text{ on } B\}$ .

**Introduction.** Let  $(V_{\lambda})$  be a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels  $V_{\lambda}$  on a measurable space  $(E, \mathcal{E})$ . Assume that  $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$  is a proper kernel. In this article a proof of the following result is given.

THEOREM 1. The proper kernels V on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  that are of the form  $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$ ,  $(V_{\lambda})$  a sub-Markovian resolvent of kernels on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  are precisely those proper kernels V which satisfy the complete maximum principle and for which the following condition holds: there exists an increasing sequence  $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{E}$  with  $\bigcup_n A_n = E$  such that

- (i)  $V1_{A_n} < \infty$  for all n; and
- (ii) if  $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$  and  $Vf < \infty$  then  $\inf_n R_{\mathcal{E}^A} Vf = 0$ , where  $R_B u = \inf \{ v \text{ supermedian } | v \ge u \text{ on } B \}$ .

A proof that this condition on V implies that V has the desired form is to be found in [9]. P.-A. Meyer conjectured that this condition was not only sufficient but necessary and suggested how one might use Ray processes and potentials of class (D) to obtain a proof. The author obtained a proof by this method but later received a preprint from F. Hirsch proving a similar result by non-probabilistic methods. In this article a slight adaptation of an idea of Hirsch is used to give a quick proof of the result.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1 (necessity). In view of Proposition 1 in [1], it suffices to show that if  $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$  (the nonnegative  $\mathcal{E}$ -measurable functions) is bounded and strictly positive with Vf bounded (finite will do) then there exists an increasing sequence  $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{E}$  with  $\bigcup_n A_n = E$  such that (i) and (ii) are satisfied for this function.

Received December 27, 1973; revised April 29, 1974.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This work was materially supported by NRC Grant No. A-3108.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60J35; Secondary 47D05.

Key words and phrases. Resolvent, sub-Markovian resolvent, maximum principle, potentials, Ray processes.

Let  $(\lambda_n)$  be a sequence decreasing to zero. Then, if  $A_n' = \{V_{\lambda_n} f \geq n^{-1}\}$  Hirsch showed in [2] that  $\inf_n R_{\mathscr{C}A_n'} Vf = 0$ . This follows from the fact that on  $\mathscr{C}A_n'$ ,  $Vf = V_{\lambda_n} f + \lambda_n V V_{\lambda_n} f \leq n^{-1} + u_n$  with  $u_n = Vf - V_{\lambda_n} f$ .

Now  $\bigcup_n A_n' = \{Vf > 0\}$ . Let  $N = \{Vf = 0\}$ . Then, by the complete maximum principle and the fact that f is strictly positive,  $V1_N = 0$ . Let  $A_n = A_{n'} \cup N$ . Then,  $\bigcup_n A_n = E$  and  $V1_{A_n} = V1_{A_{n'}} \le nVV_{\lambda_n} f < \infty$ . Clearly,  $R_{\mathscr{C}A_n}Vf \le R_{\mathscr{C}A_n'}Vf$ .

The result of Hirsch. The trick of adding the set N to the sets used by Hirsch shows that Hirsch's theorem is equivalent to the following result.

THEOREM 2 (cf. Theorem 1 of [2]). Let V be a proper kernel on  $(E, \mathcal{E})$  that satisfies the complete maximum principle and let  $a \in \mathcal{E}^+$  be finite. The following conditions are equivalent (where  $M_a g = ag$ ):

- (i) there is a family of kernels  $(V_{\lambda})_{\lambda>0}$  such that
  - (a)  $0 < \lambda < \mu$  implies  $V_{\lambda} = V_{\mu} + (\mu \lambda)V_{\lambda}M_{a}V_{\mu}$  and

$$V_{\lambda} M_a V_{\mu} = V_{\mu} M_a V_{\lambda}$$

- (b)  $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$ ; and
- (ii) there is an increasing sequence  $(A_n) \subset \mathcal{E}$  with  $\bigcup_n A_n = E$  such that
  - (a)  $V(a1_{A_n}) < \infty \ \forall n$ ; and
  - (b) if  $f \in \mathcal{E}^+$  and  $Vf < \infty$  then  $\inf_n R_{\mathcal{E}^{A_n}} Vf = 0$ .

Obviously, Hirsch's theorem is more general than Theorem 1 (let a=1) but in fact Theorem 1 and its proof imply Theorem 2.

Let  $W = VM_a$  and  $W_{\lambda} = V_{\lambda}M_a$ . Then, if a has no zeros, Theorem 1 applied to W yields Theorem 2. Assume  $F = \{a > 0\} \neq E$ . Set  $\bar{a}(x) = a(x)$  if  $x \in F$  and = 1 if  $x \notin F$ . Then  $a = 1_F \bar{a}$  and if  $\bar{V} = VM_{\bar{a}}$  we have  $W = \bar{V}M_F$  ( $M_F = 1_F$ ) and  $W_{\lambda} = \bar{V}_{\lambda}M_F$ . Hence, to deduce Theorem 2 from Theorem 1 it suffices to consider the case where  $a = 1_F$ ,  $F \in E$ .

OUTLINE OF PROOF OF THEOREM 2 (the case where  $a=1_F$ ).

- (i)  $\Rightarrow$  (ii). The argument above that establishes the corresponding implication in Theorem 1 applies virtually without change. Instead of  $u_n = \lambda_n V V_{\lambda_n} f$  one has  $u_n = \lambda V_n M_F V_{\lambda_n} f$ .
- (ii)  $\Rightarrow$  (i). If  $W = VM_F$  then by Theorem 1 there is a sub-Markovian resolvent  $(W_{\lambda})$  with  $W = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} W_{\lambda}$ .

Define  $V_{\lambda}$  by setting  $V_{\lambda}f = (I - \lambda W_{\lambda})V(f \cdot 1_{\mathscr{C}F}) + W_{\lambda}f$  for  $f \in \mathscr{C}^+$  with  $Vf < \infty$ . Then it is easy to see that  $(V_{\lambda})$  satisfies condition (i)(a) of Theorem 2 and further that  $V = V_{\lambda} + \lambda W_{\lambda}V$  for all  $\lambda > 0$ . It remains to show  $V = \lim_{\lambda \downarrow 0} V_{\lambda}$ .

Assume u=Vf is bounded. If  $x_0\in E$  and  $\varepsilon>0$  then there is a V-supermedian function s and  $t\geq 1$  with  $s(x_0)<\varepsilon$  and  $u\leq s+u1_{A_r}$ , where  $(A_n)\subset \mathscr E$  is the sequence given by condition (ii) of Theorem 2. Note that V-supermedian functions are also W-supermedian.

The estimate (\*) in [1] (line 7 of page 89) can be applied with  $(W_{\lambda}^{n})$  the resolvent corresponding to  $W^{n}$  (instead of  $V^{n}$  as in [1]). This gives, where  $K_{p}$  is defined so that  $W(x_{0}, 1_{A_{r} \setminus K_{p}} u) \leq \varepsilon$  (as in [1]),

$$\lambda W_{\lambda}^{n}(x_{0}, u) \leq 2\lambda \varepsilon + \lambda W_{\lambda}^{n}(x_{0}, 1_{K_{p}}u)$$
$$\leq \lambda [2\varepsilon + ||u||W(x_{0}, K_{p})]$$

with p independent of n.

Let m be given. Then  $\lambda W_{\lambda}(x_0, u1_{K_m}) \leq \lambda[2\varepsilon + ||u||W(x_0, K_p)]$ . This follows since  $\lim_{n\to\infty} \lambda W_{\lambda}^{n}(x_0, u1_{K_m}) = \lambda W_{\lambda}(x_0, u1_{K_m})$ . Hence,  $\lambda W_{\lambda}(x_0, u) \leq \lambda[2\varepsilon + ||u||W(x_0, K_p)]$ .

Consequently, Vf bounded implies  $\lim_{\lambda \to 0} V_{\lambda} f = Vf$ . Hence, (i)(b) holds in Theorem 2.

## REFERENCES

- [1] Hirsch, F. (1974). Conditions nécessaires et suffisantes d'existence de résolventes. To appear in Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete.
- [2] TAYLOR, J. C. (1973). On the existence of sub-Markovian resolvents. *Invent. Math.* 17 85-93.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS McGill University Montreal, Canada