# A REPRESENTATION THEOREM ON STATIONARY GAUSSIAN PROCESSES AND SOME LOCAL PROPERTIES<sup>1</sup>

#### BY RUBEN KLEIN

Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada

Let  $X(t, \omega)$ ,  $a \le t \le b$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  be a real continuous stationary Gaussian process with mean 0 and covariance R. We prove that there exist analytic functions  $f_n$  defined on [a, b] and independent random variables  $X_n N(0, 1)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , such that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(t) X_n$  converges uniformly to X(t) with probability 1. Among other applications of this representation theorem, we show that if the second spectral moment is infinite and  $\int_0^b (R(0) - R(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt < \infty$  for some  $0 < \delta \le b - a$ , then for any given  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $P\{\omega \mid X_{\omega}^{-1}(u) \text{ is infinite}\} > 0$ .

1. Introduction. Let  $X(t, \omega)$ ,  $a \le t \le b$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , be a real continuous Gaussian process with mean  $EX(t) \equiv 0$  and covariance R(s, t) = E(X(s)X(t)). It is known (see, for instance, Dudley (1973), Theorem 0.3) that for every orthonormal basis  $\{X_n\}$  of the linear span of  $\{X(t) \mid t \in [a, b]\} \subset L^2(\Omega, P)$ , the series  $\sum (X(t), X_n)X_n$  converges uniformly to X(t) with probability 1. In particular, the Karhunen-Loève expansion of a Gaussian process is just a special case of this orthogonal series.

For stationary processes, we show another special case of this orthogonal series where the functions  $f_n(t) = (X(t), X_n)$  are analytic. The way to do this is to exploit the congruence between  $L^2(X(t) | t \in [a, b])$  and the reproducing kernel Hilbert space H(R).

With this representation we prove some local properties of real continuous Gaussian stationary processes with mean 0, covariance R(h), spectral measure  $\mu$  and second spectral moment  $\lambda_2 = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \lambda^2 d\mu(\lambda) = \infty$ . In particular we show that all four Dini derivates are infinite almost everywhere with probability 1. This implies the nondifferentiability almost everywhere with probability 1 shown by Geman and Horowitz (1973).

Next, we apply this representation and these local properties to the following problem in level crossings.

Let u be any real number and define  $C(u) = \#\{t \mid X(t) = u\}$ , where # denotes "cardinality of," and is interpreted as  $\infty$  when the set is infinite. C(u) is a random variable and it is known that  $EC(u) < \infty$  if and only if  $\lambda_2 < \infty$ . (See, for instance, Cramér-Leadbetter ((1967), page 195). Dudley ((1973), Section 8.2) asks if it can happen that  $C(u) < \infty$  almost surely and  $EC(u) = \infty$ .

844

www.jstor.org

Received February 12, 1975; revised October 25, 1975.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> This paper is part of the author's doctoral dissertation at the Massachusetts Institute of Technology, 1974.

AMS 1970 subject classifications. Primary 60G10, 60G15; Secondary 60G17.

Key words and phrases. Stationary Gaussian processes, representation, level crossings.

We answer this question with "no" for processes satisfying the following condition: there exists  $0 < \delta \le b - a$  such that  $\int_0^s (R(0) - R(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt < \infty$ .

Kahane (1968), page 146), Orey (1970), Berman (1972) approached this problem by considering the Hausdorff dimension of the set  $\{t: X_{\omega}(t) = u\}$ . Kahane studied Gaussian-Fourier series satisfying the above condition and showed that in certain cases, dim  $\{t: X_{\omega}(t) = u\} > 0$  for a *u*-set of positive measure, with a positive probability. Orey and Berman showed that for some ergodic stationary Gaussian processes X(t),  $t \ge 0$ , with  $\sigma^2(t) = E|X(t) - X(0)|^2 \sim C|t|^{\alpha}$  for some constant C and some  $\alpha$ ;  $0 < \alpha < 2$ , dim  $\{t: X_{\omega}(t) = u\} = 1 - \alpha/2$  for all u, almost surely.

We only use this condition to assure the almost sure absolute continuity of the occupation time distribution (defined in Section 4) of a certain process depending on X(t). If Orey's conjecture is true for processes with differentiable mean (in fact,  $C^{\infty}$  mean is enough), then this condition is not needed and the proof works for all stationary Gaussian process with  $\lambda_2 = \infty$ .

### 2. The representation theorem.

THEOREM 1. Let  $X(t, \omega)$ ,  $a \le t \le b$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , be a real continuous stationary Gaussian process with mean 0 and covariance R(h). Then there exist analytic functions  $f_n$  defined on [a, b] and independent random variables  $X_n N(0, 1)$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$ , such that the series  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(t) X_n$  converges uniformly to X(t) with probability 1.

PROOF. By Krein's theorem, there exists a bounded symmetric positive measure  $\mu$  on  $\mathbb{R}$  (in general, not unique) such that  $R(h) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{ixh} d\mu(x)$ ,  $0 \le h \le b - a$ . In particular, R(h) can be extended to  $\mathbb{R}$  still as a continuous covariance function of a stationary Gaussian process defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ .

R being real, we have

$$R(s, t) = R((s - t)) = \int_{-\infty}^{\infty} [\cos(sx) + \sin(sx)][\cos(tx) + \sin(tx)] d\mu(x),$$
$$-\infty < s, t < \infty.$$

By Theorem 4D (Parzen (1959)), the reproducing kernel Hilbert space H(R) can be represented by the space of functions g, defined on  $\mathbb{R}$ , of the form  $g(t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g^*(x) [\cos(tx) + \sin(tx)] d\mu(x)$  for some (necessarily unique) function  $g^*$  in the Hilbert subspace  $L^2(\cos(tx) + \sin(tx); t \in \mathbb{R})$  of  $L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  spanned by the functions  $\{\cos(tx) + \sin(tx); t \in \mathbb{R}\}$ . The norm of g is given by  $||g||^2 = \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g^*(x)|^2 d\mu(x)$ .

As  $L^2(\cos(tx) + \sin(tx); t \in \mathbb{R}) = L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  and the functions  $g^*(x) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$  of compact support are dense in  $L^2(R, \mathcal{B}, \mu)$ , so the set  $\{g \in H(R) \mid g^* \text{ has compact support}\}$  is dense in H(R). And, every function in this set is analytic.

By Theorem 6C (Parzen (1959), the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of R, restricted to the interval  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ , consists of all functions h defined on [a, b] which are restrictions of functions g belonging to H(R). Furthermore  $||h|| \le ||g||$  (the norms taken in their respective spaces).

Denoting also by H(R), the reproducing kernel Hilbert space of R restricted

to  $[a, b] \times [a, b]$ , it follows, then, that the set  $\{g \in H(R) \mid g \text{ is analytic}\}\$  is dense in H(R).

H(R) is a separable Hilbert space since the process is continuous in quadratic mean (Parzen (1959), Theorem 2C). So, there exists an orthonormal basis of analytic functions  $f_n$  of H(R).

As H(R) is congruent to  $L^2(X(t); t \in [a, b])$ ,  $X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(t) X_n$  in  $L^2(X(t), t \in [a, b])$ . Therefore, by a theorem (see Dudley (1973), Theorem 0.3), the result follows.

REMARK 1. With the same hypothesis as in the theorem, but with  $t \in \mathbb{R}$ , the same argument shows the existence of analytic functions  $f_n$  defined on  $\mathbb{R}$  and independent random variables  $X_n$  with law N(0, 1), belonging to  $L^2(X(t); t \in \mathbb{R})$ ,  $n = 0, 1, 2, \cdots$  such that  $X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(t) X_n$  in  $L^2(X(t); t \in \mathbb{R})$ .

## 3. Some differentiability properties.

LEMMA (Zero-one law). Let  $X_n$ ,  $n=0,1,2,\cdots$ , be N(0,1) independent random variables. Let  $f_n$ ,  $n=0,1,2,\cdots$ , be differentiable real functions defined on [a,b] such that for every  $t \in [a,b]$ ,  $\sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n^2(t) < \infty$ . Suppose  $X(t) = \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} f_n(t) X_n$  is continuous with probability 1. Then for fixed t,

$$P\{\omega : \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} [X(t+h) - X(t)]/h = +\infty\} = 0 \quad or \quad 1.$$

PROOF.

$$P\{\omega : \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} [X(t+h) - X(t)]/h = +\infty]$$

$$= P\{\omega : \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n [f_n(t+h) - f(t)]/h = +\infty\}$$

$$= P\{\omega : \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} \sum_{n=j}^{\infty} X_n [f_n(t+h) - f(t)]/h = +\infty\}$$

since the  $f_n$ 's are differentiable.

So the event  $\{\omega: \limsup_{h\downarrow 0} \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} X_n [f_n(t+h) - f_n(t)]/h = +\infty\}$  is a tail event. So by Kolmogorov's zero-one law, its probability is 0 or 1.

THEOREM 2. Let  $X(t, \omega)$ ,  $a \le t \le b$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , be a real, continuous, stationary Gaussian process with mean 0 and covariance R(h). Then if the second spectral moment  $\lambda_2$  is infinite, for fixed t,  $P\{\omega : \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} [X(t+h) - X(t)]/h = +\infty\} = 1$ .

PROOF. By the zero-one law, it's enough to prove that

$$P\{\omega: \limsup_{h\downarrow 0} \left[X(t+h) - X(t)\right]/h < \infty\} < 1.$$

Fix  $\beta > 0$  and consider the events

$$A_n(\beta) = \{\omega : -\infty < X(t+h) - X(t) < \beta h \text{ if } 0 < h \le 1/n\}.$$

The  $A_n(\beta)$ 's form an increasing sequence and  $A(\beta) = U_n A_n(\beta)$  contains  $\{\omega : \limsup_{h \downarrow 0} [X(t+h) - X(t)]/h \leq \beta/2\}$ .

$$P(A_n(\beta)) \le P\{\omega : -\infty < X(t+1/n) - X(t) < \beta/n\}$$
  
=  $\Phi(\beta/n[2(R(0) - R(1/n))]^{\frac{1}{2}})$ 

since 
$$X(t + 1/n) - X(t)$$
 is  $N(0, 2(R(0) - R(1/n)))$  where 
$$\Phi(x) = (2\pi)^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{-\infty}^{x} \exp(-x^{2}/2) dx;$$

which implies  $P(A(\beta)) = \lim_{n \to \infty} P(A_n(\beta)) \le \frac{1}{2}$  since  $\lambda_2 = \lim_{h \to 0} 2[R(0) - R(h)]/h^2 = \infty$ . As this is true for every  $\beta > 0$ , we get the result.

Let us denote  $D^{\pm}X_{\omega}(t) = \limsup_{h\to 0^{\pm}} \left[X_{\omega}(t+h) - X_{\omega}(t)\right]/h$  and  $D_{\pm}X_{\omega}(t) = \lim\inf_{h\to 0^{\pm}} \left[X_{\omega}(t+h) - X_{\omega}(t)\right]/h$ .

COROLLARY. With X(t) as in Theorem 2, for each t fixed,

(\*) 
$$D^+X_{\omega}(t) = D^-X_{\omega}(t) = -D_+X_{\omega}(t) = -D_-X_{\omega}(t) = +\infty$$
 a.s.

PROOF. By symmetry of the process, we can apply Theorem 2 to -X(t), X(-t) and -X(-t) obtaining the result.

REMARK 2. Since the Dini derivates  $D^+X_{\omega}(t)$ ,  $D^-X_{\omega}(t)$ ,  $D_+X_{\omega}(t)$  and  $D_-X_{\omega}(t)$  are jointly measurable (due to the continuity in t of  $X(t, \omega)$ ), it follows from Fubini's theorem and the corollary, that with probability one, (\*) holds for  $\lambda$ -a.e.  $t \in [a, b]$ . In particular,  $X(t, \omega)$  is nondifferentiable a.e. a.s.

**4.** On level crossings. Let f(t),  $a \le t \le b$ , be a real-valued measurable function.

**DEFINITION.** f is said to be  $T_1$  if  $f^{-1}(u)$  is a finite set for almost every  $u \in \mathbb{R}$ .

DEFINITION.  $t \in [a, b]$  is an oscillation point of f if for every  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there exist  $t_1, t_2 \in (t - \varepsilon, t)$  such that  $f(t_1) > f(t) > f(t_2)$  or there exist  $t_3, t_4 \in (t, t + \varepsilon)$  such that  $f(t_3) > f(t) > f(t_4)$ .

Let  $\lambda$  be Lebesgue measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ . For every measurable set  $E \subset \mathbb{R}$ , define  $\nu(E) = \lambda(f^{-1}(E))$ . As it is easily verified,  $\nu$  is a measure on  $\mathbb{R}$ .  $\nu$  is called the occupation time distribution (O.T.D.) of f. If  $\nu$  is absolutely continuous with respect to  $\lambda$ , we write  $\nu \ll \lambda$ .

Let  $A(f) = \{t \in [a, b] | D^+f(t) = -D_+f(t) = +\infty$  or  $D^-f(t) = -D_-f(t) = +\infty\}$ . Let B = f(A(f)). We remark that every  $t \in A(f)$  is an oscillation point of f.

LEMMA. Let f(t),  $a \le t \le b$ , be a real-valued continuous function. Suppose f is not  $T_1$  and  $\lambda(A(f)) = b - a$ . Then  $\lambda(B) > 0$ .

PROOF. Let  $E = \{u \in \mathbb{R} \mid f^{-1}(u) \text{ is infinite}\}$ ,  $A = \{t \in [a, b] \mid t \text{ is an oscillation point of } f\}$ ,  $M = \{t \in [a, b] \mid t \text{ is a point of relative maximum (minimum) and an accumulation point of points of relative maximum (minimum) with the same value of <math>f\}$  and  $N = \{t \in [a, b] \mid \text{at least one Dini derivate is finite}\}$ .

As  $A(f) = (A(f) \cap N) \cup (A(f) \cap N^e)$ ,  $A \sim A(f) = \{t \in A \mid t \notin A(f)\} \subset A \cap N \subset N$ . By the Denjoy relations (Saks (1964), page 271),  $\lambda(A(f) \cap N) = 0$ , so  $b - a \ge \lambda(N^e) \ge \lambda(A(f) \cap N^e) = \lambda(A(f)) = b - a$ . Thus, by Theorem (4.6) (Saks (1964), page 271),  $\lambda(f(N)) = 0$  which implies  $\lambda(f(A \sim A(f))) = 0$ .

 $\lambda(E \sim B) \le \lambda(f(A \sim A(f)) + \lambda(f(M))) = 0$  since f is continuous and  $E = f(A \cup M) = f(A) \cup f(M)$ .

As  $B \subset E$ ,  $\lambda(B) = \lambda(E) > 0$  since f is not  $T_1$ .

THEOREM 4. Let  $X(t, \omega)$ ,  $a \le t \le b$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$ , be a real continuous Gaussian process with mean 0 and covariance R(s, t). Suppose X(t) can be represented almost surely as  $X(t) = f(t)X_0 + Y(t)$  where f(t) is differentiable and strictly positive on [a, b],  $X_0$  is N(0, 1) and  $X_0$  is independent of the family  $\{Y(t); t \in [a, b]\}$ . Suppose also that  $\lambda(A(X_{\omega})) = b - a$  almost surely. Then if R(t, t) > 0 for t > a and

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b [R(s, s)R(t, t) - R(s, t)^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds dt < \infty ,$$

for any given  $u \in R$ ,  $X^{-1}(u)$  is infinite with positive probability.

PROOF. Let  $u \in \mathbb{R}$  and define Z(t) = (u - X(t))/f(t). Z(t) is a real continuous Gaussian process with mean m(t) = u/f(t) and covariance  $\eta(s, t) = R(s, t)/f(s)f(t)$ . Furthermore,  $\eta(t, t) > 0$  for t > a and

$$\int_a^b \int_a^b [\eta(s, s) \eta(t, t) - \eta(s, t)^2]^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds dt < \infty.$$

Then by Theorem 2 of Orey (1970),  $Z_{\omega}$  has an absolutely continuous O.T.D. with respect to Lebesgue measure.

It follows easily from the definition of a  $T_1$ -function and the fact that  $Z_{\omega}$  is continuous that  $Z_{\omega}$  is not  $T_1$  a.s. since  $A(Z_{\omega}) = A(X_{\omega}) \Rightarrow \lambda(A(Z_{\omega})) = b - a > 0$  a.s.

Let  $g_{\omega}(t)=(u-Y(t,\omega))/f(t)=Z(t,\omega)+X_0(\omega)$ .  $g_{\omega}(t)$  is not  $T_1$  a.s. and  $\lambda(A(g_{\omega}))=b-a$  a.s.

We can consider the probability space  $\Omega$  as being a product space  $\Omega_0 \times \Omega_1$  where  $X_0$  is defined on  $\Omega_0$ ,  $X_0(\omega_0, \omega_1) = X_0(\omega_0)$ , Y(t) is defined on  $\Omega_1$  for every t,  $Y(t, \omega_0, \omega_1) = Y(t, \omega_1)$  and  $P = P_0 \times P_1$ , since  $X_0$  is independent of the family  $\{Y(t); t \in [a, b]\}$ .

Let  $B(\omega_1) = g_{\omega_1}(A(g_{\omega_1}))$ . By the lemma,  $\lambda(B(\omega_1)) > 0$  a.s.  $\omega_1$ .

Let  $\Omega_u = \{ \omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1) \mid X_{\omega}^{-1}(u) \text{ is infinite} \}$ .  $\Omega_u$  is a measurable set (Cramér–Leadbetter (1967), page 195). We want to show

$$P(\Omega_{\mathbf{u}}) = \int_{\Omega_1} \int_{\Omega_0} \mathbf{1}_{\{\omega = (\omega_0, \omega_1) \mid X_\omega^{-1}(\mathbf{u}) \text{ is infinite}\}} \, dP_0(\omega_0) \, dP_1(\omega_1) > 0 \; .$$

For fixed  $\omega_1$ ,  $\{\omega_0 \mid X_0 \in B(\omega_1)\} \subset \{\omega_0 \mid X_{(\omega_0,\omega_1)}^{-1}(u) \text{ is infinite}\}\ \text{since } X_0(\omega_0) \in B(\omega_1) \Rightarrow there \ \text{exists} \ t \in A(g_{\omega_1}) \ \text{such that} \ X_0(\omega_0) = g_{\omega_1}(t). \ \text{So} \ u = f(t)X_0(\omega_0) + Y(t, \omega_1) = X(t, \omega_0, \omega_1). \ \text{But} \ t \in A(g_{\omega_1}) \Rightarrow t \in A(Y_{\omega_1}) \Rightarrow t \in A(X_{(\omega_0,\omega_1)}) \Rightarrow X_{(\omega_0,\omega_1)}^{-1}(u) \ \text{is infinite}.$ 

So  $P(\Omega_u) \ge \int_{\Omega_1} P_0(X_0 \in B(\omega_1)) dP_1(\omega_1) > 0$  since  $P_0(X_0 \in B(\omega_1)) > 0$  a.s.  $\omega_1$  because  $X_0$  is N(0, 1) and  $\lambda(B(\omega_1)) > 0$  a.s.  $\omega_1$ .

We now apply this to the stationary case.

THEOREM 5. Let  $X(t, \omega)$ ,  $a \le t \le b$ ,  $\omega \in \Omega$  be a real continuous stationary Gaussian process with mean 0, covariance R(h) and second spectral moment infinite, and suppose there exists  $0 < \delta \le b - a$  such that  $\int_0^b (R(0) - R(t))^{-\frac{1}{2}} dt < \infty$ . Then for any given  $u \in R$ ,  $P\{\omega \mid X_\omega^{-1}(u) \text{ is infinite}\} > 0$ .

PROOF. Let [c, d] be such that  $0 < d - c \le \delta$ , R(0) + R(t) > 0 for  $0 \le t \le d - c$  and the function  $f_0$  given by Theorem 1 is strictly positive on [c, d]. There

is no loss of generality in considering  $f_0$  strictly positive on some  $[c, d] \subset [a, b]$  since if  $f_0$  is strictly negative on [a, b], we can always replace it by  $-f_0$  and  $X_0$  by  $-X_0$ .

It follows that  $\int_c^d \int_c^d (R(0)^2 - R(s-t)^2)^{-\frac{1}{2}} ds dt < \infty$ . So in view of Theorems 1, 2 and Remark 2, we can apply Theorem 4 for X(t),  $c \le t \le d$ . So  $P\{\omega : X_{\omega}^{-1}(u) \text{ is infinite}\} > 0$ .

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to express his sincere thanks to Professor Richard M. Dudley for having proposed the problem and for his continued advice. The author also wishes to thank the referee for his suggestions.

#### REFERENCES

- Berman, S. M. (1969 a). Local times and sample function properties of stationary Gaussian processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 137 277-299.
- Berman, S. M. (1969b). Harmonic analysis of local times and sample functions of Gaussian processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 143 269-281.
- Berman, S. M. (1970). Gaussian processes with stationary increments: local times and sample function properties. *Ann. Math. Statist.* 41 1260-1272.
- Berman, S. M. (1972). Gaussian sample functions: uniform dimension and Hölder conditions nowhere. Nagoya Math. J. 46 63-86.
- CRAMÉR, H. and LEADBETTER, M. R. (1967). Stationary and Related Stochastic Processes. Wiley, New York.
- DOOB, J. L. (1953). Stochastic Processes. Wiley, New York.
- DUDLEY, R. M. (1973). Sample functions of the Gaussian process. Ann. Probability 1 66-103.
- DVORETZKY, A., ERDÖS, P. and KAKUTANI, S. (1961). Nonincrease everywhere of the Brownian motion process. *Proc. Fourth Berkeley Symp. Math. Statist. Prob.* 2 103-116. Univ. of California Press.
- FOSCHINI, G. S. and MUELLER, R. K. (1970). On Wiener process sample paths. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* **149** 89-93.
- GEMAN, D. and HOROWITZ, J. (1973). Occupation time for smooth stationary processes. *Ann. Probability* 1 131-137.
- KAHANE, J.-P. (1968). Some Random Series of Functions. Heath, Lexington, Mass.
- LUKACS, E. (1970). Characteristic Functions, 2nd ed. Griffin, London.
- OREY, S. (1970). Gaussian sample functions and the Hausdorff dimension of level crossings. Z. Wahrscheinlichkeitstheorie und Verw. Gebiete 15 249-256.
- Parzen, E. (1959). Statistical inference on time series by Hilbert space methods I. Technical Report No. 23, Department of Statistics, Stanford Univ. Reprinted in Time Series Analysis Papers. Holden-Day, San Francisco.
- SAKS, S. (1964). Theory of the Integral. Dover, New York.
- Sirao, T. and Watanabe, H. (1970). On the upper and lower class for stationary Gaussian processes. *Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.* 147 301-331.
  - ' Instituto de Matemática Pura e Aplicada Rua Luis de Camões, 68 20.000 Rio de Janeiro, Brasil