STOCHASTIC PROCESSES WITH VALUE IN EXPONENTIAL TYPE ORLICZ SPACES

By MICHEL WEBER

University of Strasbourg-I

Let (T,Θ) be a compact measurable topological space and $\Psi_q(x)=\exp|x|^q-1,\ 1\leq q<\infty.$ Let $X=\{X(\omega,t),\omega\in\Omega,t\in T\}$ be a Θ -measurable stochastic process such that $\|X(s)-X(t)\|_{L^{\Phi_q}(\Omega)}\leq d(s,t)$ for every $(s,t)\in T\otimes T$, where $d(\cdot,\cdot)$ is some continuous pseudometric on (T,Θ) . We give a sufficient condition expressed in terms of a majorizing measure on (T,d) in order that X take values in the Orlicz space $L^{\Psi_q}(T,\mu)$, where $q\leq q'<\infty$ and μ any Borel probability measure on (T,Θ) .

1. Introduction and main result. In a recent paper [2], Marcus and Pisier have considered measurable stochastic processes having strongly integrable sample paths. Let (T, Θ) be a compact measurable space and $\Psi_q(x) = \exp|x|^q - 1$, $q \ge 1$. Let μ be any Borel probability on (T, Θ) and introduce the Orlicz space

$$L^{\Psi_q}(T,\mu) = \left\{ f \colon T \to C \colon \exists \ c > 0 \colon \int_T \!\! \Psi_q \! \left[\frac{f(t)}{c} \right] \! d\mu(t) < \infty \right\},$$

and its Orlicz norm,

$$\|f\|_{L^{\Psi_q(T,\mu)}} = \inf \left\{ c > 0 \colon \int_T \Psi_q \left[\frac{f(t)}{c} \right] d\mu(t) \le 1 \right\}.$$

Let $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ be a Θ -continuous pseudometric on $T \otimes T$ and consider any Θ -measurable stochastic process $X = \{X(\omega, t), \omega \in \Omega, t \in T\}$ such that

(1.1)
$$\forall (s,t) \in T \otimes T, \quad ||X(s) - X(t)||_{L^{\Psi_q}(T,\mu)} \leq d(s,t).$$

Let $q \le q^* \le \infty$ and suppose

(1.2)
$$J_{q, q'}(T, d) = \int_0^{\operatorname{diam}(T, d)} [\log N_d(T, u)]^{1/q - 1/q'} du < \infty,$$

where as usual, $N_d(T, u)$ denotes the minimal number of d-balls of radius u enough to cover T.

In [2], the authors show that (1.2) implies that X takes value in $L^{\Psi_q}(T, \mu)$, almost surely, for every Borel probability measure μ . We refer the reader to [2] for the proof and other interesting results. Our purpose in this work is to state a sufficient condition similar to (1.2), expressed in terms of majorizing measures on (T, d). This will necessitate a quite different approach than in [2]. Our result can be stated as follows.

Theorem 1.1. Let (T, Θ) be a compact measurable space and X a real valued measurable stochastic process $\{X(\omega, t), \omega \subset \Omega, t \subset T\}$ satisfying the

Received March 1986; revised September 1987.

AMS 1980 subject classifications. Primary 60G17; secondary 60G15.

Key words and phrases. Sample path properties, integrability, majorizing measure.

1366 M. WEBER

condition (1.1) for some $1 \le q < \infty$ and some continuous pseudometric $d(\cdot, \cdot)$ on T. Assume that

there exists a Borel probability measure μ on (T, Θ) such that

$$(1.3) \quad J_{q,\,q'}(T,\,d\,,\,\mu) \\ = \sup_{t \in T} \left\langle \int_0^{\operatorname{diam}(T,\,d)} \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu \{s \colon d(s,\,t) \le u\}} \right) \right]^{1/q - 1/q'} du \right\rangle < \infty,$$

for some $q \leq q' < \infty$ and

(1.4)
$$\int_0^{\operatorname{diam}(T, d)} \frac{\mu \otimes \mu\{(s, t) \in T \otimes T : 0 < d(s, t) \le u\}}{u} du < \infty.$$

Then the process X has sample paths in $L^{\Psi_{q'}}(T, v)$ almost surely, for every Borel probability measure v on T.

In particular, when (T, d) is a compact ultrametric space, that is, when

$$\forall s, t, u \in T, \qquad d(s, t) \leq \sup\{d(s, u), d(u, t)\},\$$

the same conclusion holds, without assumption (1.4).

2. Preparation. For each $t \in T$ and each $\varepsilon > 0$, we denote $B_d(t, \varepsilon) = \{s \in T: d(s, t) \le \varepsilon\}$. Since (T, Θ) is compact, there is a compact subset

$$K = \overline{\left\{s \in T : \exists \ \varepsilon > 0 : \mu\left\{B_d(s, \varepsilon)\right\} = 0\right\}},$$

such that $\mu(K) = 0$. Thus, there is no loss when assuming $\mu\{B_d(t, \epsilon)\} > 0$, for all $t \in T$ and all $\epsilon > 0$. Let $\{\epsilon_n, n \ge 1\}$ be a sequence decreasing to zero and let S_n be a subset of T satisfying

(2.1)
$$\bigcup_{s \in S_n} B_d(s, \varepsilon_n) = T, \qquad n \ge 1.$$

For every $n \geq 1$, let $\Pi_n = \{\pi_n(s), s \in S_n\}$ be the induced partition of T. Let also $X = \{X(\omega, t), \omega \in \Omega, t \in T\}$ be any Θ -measurable stochastic process satisfying

$$(2.2) \forall (s,t) \in T \otimes T, E|X(s) - X(t)| = \delta(s,t) < \infty.$$

We consider two types of approximation. The first one is connected with the sequence $\{\Pi_n, n \geq 1\}$ and gives a step process whose sample paths are therefore in any Orlicz space $L^{\Psi_{q'}}(T, \nu)$ almost surely:

(2.3)
$$\forall t \in T, \forall n \geq 1, \quad X_n^{(1)}(t) = \sum_{s \in S_n} I_{\pi_n(s)}(t) \int_{B_d(s, \, \varepsilon_n)} X(u) \frac{\mu(du)}{\mu_n(s)},$$

where for simplicity we note $\mu_n(s) = \mu\{B_d(s, \epsilon_n)\}.$

The second approximation is needed to obtain a majorizing measure type condition:

(2.4)
$$\forall t \in T, \forall n \geq 1, \quad X_n^{(2)}(t) = \int_{B_n(t, \varepsilon_n)} X(u) \frac{\mu(du)}{\mu_n(t)}.$$

In the sequel, we denote $X_n^{(1)}(t)$ and $X_n^{(2)}(t)$ by $X_n(t)$, except when it is necessary to distinguish them. The following lemma is very classical.

LEMMA 2.1. Assume that the identity map $i: (T, d) \to (T, \delta)$ is uniformly continuous. Then, if the sequence $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ decreases sufficiently fast to zero, one has

(2.5)
$$\forall t \in T, \qquad P\Big\{\lim_{n \to \infty} X_n(t) = X(t)\Big\} = 1.$$

PROOF. By assumption, $\Delta(\varepsilon) = \sup\{\delta(s,t) \colon d(s,t) \le 2\varepsilon\}$ tends to zero with ε , so that we can choose a sequence $\{\varepsilon_n, n \ge 1\}$ such that $\sum_{n \ge 1} \sqrt{\Delta(\varepsilon_n)} < \infty$. Further,

$$P\{|X(t) - X_n(t)| > \sqrt{\Delta(\varepsilon_n)}\} \le \sqrt{\Delta(\varepsilon_n)}$$

by applying the Tchebycheff inequality. The proof is achieved by applying the Borel–Cantelli lemma. \Box

Consider now a sequence of functions b_n : $T \to R^+$ such that

(2.6)
$$\sup_{t \in T} \left\langle \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n(t) \right\rangle < \infty,$$

and put $R_N(t) = \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} b_n(t)$, $R_N = \sup\{R_N(t), t \in T\}$. Let $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence of events such that $P\{\bigcap_{n\geq 1}(A_n)^c\} > 0$ and set $\Omega_1 = \bigcap_{n\geq 1}(A_n)^c$. Let $\varphi \colon R \to R^+$ be any convex nondecreasing function. One easily has

$$(2.7) I_{\Omega_1} \varphi \left[\frac{X(t) - X_0(t)}{R_1(t)} \right] \leq \sum_{n>1} \frac{b_n(t)}{R_1(t)} \varphi \left[\frac{X_n(t) - X_{n-1}(t)}{b_n(t)} \right] I_{(A_n)^c}.$$

Integrating first with respect to dP, then with respect to any Borel probability measure v on (T, Θ) , one obtains

(2.8)
$$E\left\langle I_{\Omega_{1}}\int_{T}\varphi\left[\frac{X(t)-X_{0}(t)}{R_{1}}\right]v(dt)\right\rangle$$

$$\leq \sum_{n\geq 1}E\left\langle I_{(A_{n})^{c}}\int_{T}\varphi\left[\frac{X_{n}(t)-X_{n-1}(t)}{b_{n}(t)}\right]\frac{b_{n}(t)}{R_{1}(t)}v(dt)\right\rangle,$$

$$=B_{1}.$$

Thus, if $B_1 < \infty$ and $X_0 = X_0^{(1)}$,

(2.9)
$$\Omega_1 \subset \{\omega \colon X(\omega, \cdot) \in L^{\varphi}(T, v)\}.$$

We are therefore in a position to state

LEMMA 2.2. Let (T, Θ) be a compact measurable space and X a Θ -measurable stochastic process $\{X(\omega, t), \omega \in \Omega, t \in T\}$ satisfying (2.2). Assume that the identity map $i: (T, d) \to (T, \delta)$ is uniformly continuous and let $\{\varepsilon_n, n \geq 1\}$ be a sequence decreasing to zero such that the conclusion of Lemma 2.1 holds.

1368 M. WEBER

Suppose further that there exist a sequence of functions b_n : $T \to R^+$, $n \ge 1$, a convex nondecreasing function φ : $R \to R^+$ and a sequence $\{A_n, n \ge 1\}$ of events satisfying (with $X_0 = X_0^{(1)}$)

$$\left(2.10\right) \qquad \sup\left\{\sum_{n>1}b_n(t)\right\} < \infty,$$

$$(2.11) P\left\langle \bigcap_{n>1} (A_n)^c \right\rangle \ge \rho > 0,$$

$$(2.12) \qquad \sum_{n\geq 1} E\left\langle I_{(A_n)^c} \int_T \varphi \left[\frac{X_n(t) - X_{n-1}(t)}{b_n(t)} \right] \frac{b_n(t)}{R_1(t)} v(dt) \right\rangle < \infty,$$

for some Borel probability measure v on T. Then, with probability greater than ρ , the sample paths of X belong to $L^{\varphi}(T, v)$.

3. Proof of Theorem 1.1. Since we assume (1.1), we can choose $\varepsilon_n = 2^{-n} \operatorname{diam}(T, d)$, $n \ge 0$, in order that (2.5) holds. Let v be any Borel probability on (T, Θ) and let $N \ge 1$ be fixed. Set

 $X_N(t) = X_N^{(1)}(t)$ and $\mu_N(t) = \mu_N(s)$ if $t \in \pi_N(s)$,

and for all
$$n > N$$
,
$$X_n(t) \coloneqq X_n^{(2)}(t),$$

$$b_n(t) = 3 \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu_n(t)\mu_{n-1}(t)} \right) \right]^{1/q - 1/q'} \varepsilon_{n-1},$$

$$(3.1) \qquad k_n(t) = \left[\frac{X_n(t) - X_{n-1}(t)}{b_n(t)} \right]^{(q'/q) - 1},$$

$$k_n^*(t) = \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu_n(t)\mu_{n-1}(t)} \right) \right]^{1/q - 1/q'},$$

$$A_n = \left\{ \exists \ t \in T : k_n(t) > k_n^*(t) \right\}.$$

We have

$$I_{(A_{n})^{c}} \int_{T} \Psi_{q'} \left[\frac{X_{n}(t) - X_{n-1}(t)}{b_{n}(t)} \right] \frac{b_{n}(t)}{R_{N}(t)} v(dt)$$

$$\leq I_{(A_{n})^{c}} \int_{T} \Psi_{q} \left[\frac{X_{n}(t) - X_{n-1}(t)}{b_{n}(t)} k_{n}(t) \right] \frac{b_{n}(t)}{R_{N}(t)} v(dt),$$

$$\leq \int_{T} \Psi_{q} \left[\frac{X_{n}(t) - X_{n-1}(t)}{b_{n}(t)} k_{n}^{*}(t) \right] \frac{b_{n}(t)}{R_{N}(t)} v(dt).$$

By integrating with respect to dP, then using Jensen's inequality,

 ≤ 1

once

$$\sup\left\{\frac{d(u,v)k_n^*(t)}{b_n(t)}, u \in B_n(t), v \in B_{n-1}(t)\right\} \leq 1.$$

But, by (3.1) this quantity is less than $3\varepsilon_{n-1}k_n^*(t)[b_n(t)]^{-1} \le 1$. Therefore, for every $n \ge N$,

$$E\bigg\langle I_{(A_n)^c} \int_T \Psi_{q'} \left[\frac{X_n(t) - X_{n-1}(t)}{b_n(t)} \right] \frac{b_n(t)}{R_N(t)} v(dt) \bigg\rangle \leq \int \frac{b_n(t)}{R_N(t)} v(dt),$$

and thus,

(3.3)
$$\sum_{n=N}^{\infty} E \left\{ I_{(A_n)^c} \int_T \Psi_{q'} \left[\frac{X_n(t) - X_{n-1}(t)}{b_n(t)} \right] \frac{b_n(t)}{R_N(t)} v(dt) \right\} \le 1.$$

Further,

$$\sup \left\{ \sum_{n=N}^{\infty} b_n(t), t \in T \right\} \\
(3.4) \qquad \leq \sup \left\{ b_N(t), t \in T \right\} \\
+ O(1) \sup \left\{ \int_0^{\epsilon_N} \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu \{ B_d(t, u) \}} \right) \right]^{1/q - 1/q'} \mu(du), t \in T \right\},$$

which is finite by (1.3). We now turn to the control of the sequence $\{A_n, n \geq 1\}$. First observe

$$(3.5) \qquad \forall n \geq N,$$

$$A_n \subset \left\{ \exists \ t \in T \colon |X_n(t) - X_{n-1}(t)| \right.$$

$$\geq 3\varepsilon_{n-1} \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{1}{\mu_n(t)\mu_{n-1}(t)} \right) \right]^{1/q} \right\}$$

1370 M. WEBER

and by applying Jensen's inequality,

$$|X_{n}(t) - X_{n-1}(t)|$$

$$\leq 3\varepsilon_{n-1} \Big[\Psi_{q} \Big]^{-1} \left\{ \iint_{B_{n}(t) \otimes B_{n-1}(t)} \Psi_{q} \left[\frac{X(u) - X(v)}{d(u,v)} \right] \frac{\mu(du)\mu(dv)}{\mu_{n}(t)\mu_{n-1}(t)} \right\}.$$

Therefore, for every $n \geq N$,

$$(3.7) \quad A_{n} \subset \left\langle \exists t \in T : \iint_{\substack{B_{n}(t) \otimes B_{n-1}(t) \\ d(u,v) \neq 0}} \Psi_{q} \left[\frac{X(u) - X(v)}{d(u,v)} \right] \mu(du) \mu(dv) \geq 1 \right\rangle$$

$$\subset \left\langle \iint_{0 < d(u,v) \leq 3\varepsilon_{n-1}} \Psi_{q} \left[\frac{X(u) - X(v)}{d(u,v)} \right] \mu(du) \mu(dv) \geq 1 \right\rangle,$$

since $d(u,v) \leq 3\varepsilon_{n-1}$ when $(u,v) \in B_n(t) \otimes B_{n-1}(t)$. Further, by applying Tchebycheff's inequality, one obtains,

$$(3.8) \ \forall n \geq N, \qquad P\{A_n\} \leq \mu \otimes \mu\{(u,v) \in T \otimes T: 0 < d(u,v) \leq 3\varepsilon_{n-1}\}.$$

We finally obtain, by letting $\Omega_N = \bigcap_{n>N} (A_n)^c$ and using assumption (1.4),

$$\lim_{N \to \infty} P\{\Omega_N\} = 1.$$

The proof is achieved by applying Lemma 2.2.

When (T, d) is a compact ultrametric space, the two sequences of approximation described in (2.2) and (2.3) are identical, since $\pi(s) = B(t, \varepsilon_n)$ for every $t \in \pi_n(s)$, $s \in S_n$ and $n \ge 1$. The same proof, with the modifications

(3.10)
$$\tilde{b}_n(t) = 3\varepsilon_{n-1} \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{2^n}{\mu_n(t)\mu_{n-1}(t)} \right) \right]^{1/q - 1/q'},$$

$$\tilde{k}_n^*(t) = \left[\log \left(1 + \frac{2^n}{\mu_n(t)\mu_{n-1}(t)} \right) \right]^{1/q - 1/q'},$$

leads to (3.3) and (3.4), and for every $n \ge N$,

$$(3.11) \quad A_n \subset \left\{ \exists \ t \in T : \iint_{B_n(t) \otimes B_{n-1}(t)} \Psi_q \left[\frac{X(u) - X(v)}{d(u,v)} \right] \mu(du) \mu(dv) \ge 2^n \right\},$$

so that

(3.12)
$$P\{A_n\} \leq 2^{-n} \sum_{\substack{s \in S_n \\ s' \in S_{n-1}}} \mu\{\pi_n(s)\} \mu\{\pi_{n-1}(s')\} \leq 2^{-n},$$

which easily implies (3.9). \square

Acknowledgment. The author thanks the referee for useful comments.

REFERENCES

- [1] FERNIQUE, X. (1975). Régularité des trajectoires des fonctions aléatoires gaussiennes. Ecole d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour, 1974. Lecture Notes in Math. 480 1-96. Springer, New York.
- [2] MARCUS, M. and PISIER, G. (1984). Stochastic processes with sample paths in exponential type Orlicz spaces. Probability in Banach Spaces V, Medford, 1984. Lecture Notes in Math. 1153 329-358. Springer, New York.
- [3] WEBER, M. (1983). Analyse infinitésimale des fonctions aléatoires. Ecole d'Été de Probabilités de Saint-Flour, 1981. Lecture Notes in Math. 976 384-464. Springer, New York.

DEPARTEMENT DE MATHEMATIQUE UNIVERSITÉ LOUIS PASTEUR 7 RUE RENE DESCARTES 67084 STRASBOURG FRANCE