LARGE DEVIATIONS FROM A HYDRODYNAMIC SCALING LIMIT FOR A NONGRADIENT SYSTEM

By JEREMY QUASTEL

University of California, Davis

The hydrodynamic limit appears as a law of large numbers for rescaled density profiles of a large stochastic system. We study the large deviations from this scaling limit for a particular nongradient system, the nongradient version of the Ginzburg-Landau model.

1. Introduction. This paper is an extension of the basic paper of Donsker and Varadhan [2], on the large deviations from the hydrodynamic scaling limit of the Ginzburg–Landau model, to the nongradient version of that model considered in [5]. The setup in [5] is as follows: Let S denote the unit circle $0 \le \theta \le 1$ with $1 \equiv 0$ and, for an integer N, let S_N denote the periodic lattice $\{i/N\}_{i=1,\ldots,N}$. The neighbours of a site i/N are i+1/N and i-1/N with addition modulo 1. To each site i/N is assigned a variable $x_i \in \mathbb{R}$ which is thought of as the charge at i/N. The vector $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ evolves according to the system of stochastic differential equations

$$dx_{i} = dz_{i-1,i} - dz_{i,i+1},$$

$$(1.1)$$

$$dz_{i,i+1} = \frac{N^{2}}{2} W_{i,i+1} dt + N \sqrt{a(x_{i}, x_{i+1})} d\beta_{i},$$

where

(1.2)
$$W_{i,i+1} = a(x_i, x_{i+1}) (\varphi'(x_i) - \varphi'(x_{i+1})) - \frac{\partial a}{\partial x} (x_i, x_{i+1}) + \frac{\partial a}{\partial y} (x_i, x_{i+1}),$$

a(x, y) is a function of \mathbb{R}^2 which is assumed to have bounded continuous first derivatives and satisfy

$$(1.3) 1/a^* < a(x, y) < a^*$$

for some $a^* < \infty$, and $\varphi \in C^2$ is assumed to satisfy

$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} e^{-\varphi(x)} dx = 1,$$

www.jstor.org

Received December 1993; revised August 1994.

AMS 1991 subject classifications. 60K35, 60F10, 82C21.

Key words and phrases. Large deviations, hydrodynamic limit, Ginzburg-Landau model, nongradient system.

(1.5)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\lambda x - \varphi(x)) dx = M(\lambda) < \infty \text{ for all } \lambda \in \mathbb{R},$$

(1.6)
$$\int_{-\infty}^{\infty} \exp(\sigma |\varphi'(x)| - \varphi(x)) dx < \infty \text{ for all } \sigma > 0$$

and $1/K < \varphi'' < K$ for some $K < \infty$. The β_i are independent Brownian motions and the N^2 represents the diffusion scaling of time, corresponding to the lattice spacing of 1/N.

When $a \equiv 1$, the system is the standard Ginzburg-Landau model (see [3], [2]) which is a gradient system, meaning that the microscopic current $W_{i,\,i+1}$ can be expressed as the gradient $\tau^{i+1}g - \tau^i g$ of the local function $g(x_{-l},\ldots,x_l)$. For such systems there is no net effect of fluctuations on the diffusion coefficient. While this simplifies the proofs considerably, it is not a natural condition. Many models, and in particular most stochastic lattice gases, do not satisfy the gradient condition. The Onsager coefficient a is introduced into the model to break the gradient structure.

The dynamics (1.1) can alternatively be described by the generator

(1.7)
$$\mathscr{L}_{N} = \frac{N^{2}}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \Phi_{N}^{-1} D^{ii+1} \Phi_{N} a(x_{i}, x_{i+1}) D^{ii+1},$$

where $D^{ii+1} = \partial/\partial x_i - \partial/\partial x_{i+1}$, or, since \mathscr{L}_N is symmetric with respect to $\Phi_N(x) = \exp{-\sum_{i=1}^N \varphi(x_i)}$, by the Dirichlet form

(1.8)
$$\mathscr{D}_{N}(f) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^{N}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a(x_{i}, x_{i+1}) (D^{ii+1}f)^{2} \Phi_{N}(x) dx.$$

As initial distribution for x we take $f_N^0 \Phi_N$, where

$$(1.9) f_N^0(x) = \exp \sum_{i=1}^N h'(m_0(i/N))x_i - \log M(h'(m_0(i/N))),$$

where $m_0(\theta)$ is a given smooth function on S and

(1.10)
$$h(m) = \sup_{\lambda} \{\lambda_m - \log M(\lambda)\}.$$

Corresponding to the initial distribution (1.9), the evolution (1.1) and $T < \infty$, we have a measure Q_N on $C([0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N)$. For each $x \in \mathbb{R}^N$ define a measure on S by

(1.11)
$$\mu_M(d\theta) = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \delta_{i/N},$$

where δ_{θ} represents the measure giving mass 1 to θ in S. The probability measure Q_N is carried by this mapping into a probability measure \hat{Q}_N on $X = C([0,T] \to M[S])$, where M[S] denotes the set of signed measures on S. In [5] it is shown that under these conditions,

$$(1.12) \hat{Q}_N \Rightarrow \delta_{m(t,\,\theta)\,d\,\theta},$$

where $\delta_{m(t,\,\theta)\,d\,\theta}$ denotes the probability measure on X whose mass is concentrated on the unique weak solution of the nonlinear diffusion equation

$$(1.13) \quad \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\hat{a}(m(t,\theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} h'(m(t,\theta)) \right), \qquad m(0,\theta) = m_0(\theta).$$

The function $\hat{a}(m)$ is given by a variational formula which we now describe. Consider $\Omega = \prod_{-\infty}^{\infty} \mathbb{R}$ with product measure $\pi_m = \prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \exp\{h'(m)x_i - \varphi(x_i) - \log M(h'(m))\} dx_i$. By a local function we mean a function on Ω which depends on only finitely many coordinates. Let T be the shift operator on Ω defined by $(Tx)_i = x_{i+1}$, and for functions g on Ω , let $\tau^i g(x) = g(T^i x)$. For a local function g we can write the formal sum

$$\xi_g = \sum_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \tau^i g.$$

Although this is purely formal, the derivative $D^{12}\xi_g$ is well defined. The variational formula for $\hat{a}(m)$ is

(1.15)
$$\hat{a}(m) = \inf_{g} E^{\pi_{m}} \left[a(x_{1}, x_{2}) \left(1 - D^{12} \xi_{g} \right)^{2} \right],$$

where the infimum is taken over all smooth local functions g.

In the present article we are concerned with the large deviations from the scaling limit described above. Let $\langle f, g \rangle$ be the usual inner product on $L^2(S, d\theta)$. Define, for functions $m(\theta)$, $f(\theta)$ on S,

(1.16)
$$I_{\text{static}}(m(\cdot)) = \int_{S} (h(m(\theta)) - h(m_0(\theta)) - h'(m_0(\theta))(m(\theta) - m_0(\theta))) d\theta,$$

$$(1.17) \quad \|f\|_{-1,\hat{a}(m(\cdot))}^2 = \sup_{J \in H_1(S)} \left\{ 2\langle J, f \rangle - \int_S \hat{a}(m(\theta)) \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(\theta) \right)^2 d\theta \right\}$$

and for a function $m(t, \theta)$ on $[0, T] \times S$,

(1.18)
$$I_{\text{dynamic}}(m(\cdot,\cdot)) \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \left\| \frac{\partial m}{\partial t} - \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \times \left(\hat{a}(m(t,\theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} h'(m(t,\theta)) \right) \right\|_{-1,\,\hat{a}(m(t,\cdot))}^{2} dt.$$

We will need as well the form $I_0(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))$, which is defined as follows: $I_0(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) = \infty$ unless $\mu(t,d\theta) = m(t,\theta) d\theta$ for each $t \in [0,T]$, in which case

(1.19)
$$I_0(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) = \int_0^T \int_S \hat{a}(m) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta}(h'(m))\right)^2 d\theta dt.$$

We can now describe the rate function I and X. If $I_0(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) = \infty$, then $I(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) = \infty$. Otherwise, $\mu(t,d\theta) = m(t,\theta) d\theta$ for each $t \in [0,T]$ and

(1.20)
$$I(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) = I_{\text{static}}(m(0,\cdot)) + I_{\text{dynamic}}(m(\cdot,\cdot)).$$

The main result of this paper is that Q_N has the large deviations property with rate function I. In particular, let $M^l[S]$ be the set of signed measures on S with total variation at most l. The space $M^l[S]$ with the topology of weak convergence is metrizable. Therefore $X^l = C([0,T] \to M^l[S])$ can be given the topology of uniform convergence. Finally, $X = \bigcup X^l$ is given the direct limit topology. For any closed set $C \subset X$,

(1.21)
$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \hat{Q}_N(C) \le -\inf_{u(\cdot, \cdot) \in C} I(\mu(\cdot, \cdot))$$

and, for any open set $U \subset X$,

(1.22)
$$\liminf_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \hat{Q}_N(U) \ge -\inf_{\mu(\cdot,\cdot)\in U} I(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)).$$

2. Upper bound. To prove the upper bound (1.21) we will produce a family of functionals $\hat{F}_{J,G,\,\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))$, depending on certain parameters $J,G,\,\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2$, for which we can prove the following lemmas.

LEMMA 2.1. For each fixed J and G,

$$(2.1) \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \to 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \, E^{\hat{Q}_N} \Big[\exp N \hat{F}_{J,\,G,\,\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2} \Big] \, \leq 0.$$

LEMMA 2.2. For each $\mu(\cdot,\cdot) \in X$ for which $I_0(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) < \infty$

$$(2.2) I(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) \leq \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \to 0} \sup_{J,G} \hat{F}_{J,G,\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2}(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)).$$

In addition we will show the following lemma.

LEMMA 2.3. If $I_0(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) \equiv \infty$ on a compact $K \subset X$, then

(2.3)
$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \hat{Q}_N(K) = -\infty.$$

The upper bound for compact sets will follow by the exponential Chebyshev inequality. These are essentially standard arguments in large deviations (see [4], [2]). To extend this to arbitrary closed sets, we need the following exponential tightness estimates whose proofs can be found in [5].

LEMMA 2.4. For each $T < \infty$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and smooth function J on S,

(2.4)
$$\lim_{l\to\infty} \limsup_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \hat{Q}_N \left(\sup_{0 \le t \le T} \|\mu(t)\| \ge l \right) = -\infty,$$

$$(2.5) \quad \lim_{\delta \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \hat{Q}_N \left(\sup_{\substack{0 \le s < t \le T \\ |s-t| < \delta}} |\langle J, \mu(t) \rangle - \langle J, \mu(s) \rangle| \ge \varepsilon \right) = -\infty.$$

We now describe the functionals $\hat{F}_{J,G,\,\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))$. Let $J(t,\,\theta)$ be a smooth test function on $[0,T]\times S$ and let $G(\theta)$ be a smooth test function on S. For $\varepsilon_1>0$, let $m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_1}$ be the average density in an ε_1 neighbourhood of $\theta\colon m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_1}=(2\,\varepsilon_1)^{-1}\mu([\,\theta-\varepsilon_1,\,\theta+\varepsilon_1])$. For $\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2>0$, let

$$\hat{F}_{J,G,\,\varepsilon_{1},\,\varepsilon_{2}}(\,\mu(\cdot\,,\cdot\,)) = \int_{S} \log M(h'(m_{0}(\theta))) - \log M(G(\theta) + h'(m_{0}(\theta))) \, d\theta$$

$$+ \int_{S} J(T,\theta) \mu(T,d\theta)$$

$$- \int_{S} (J(0,\theta) - G(\theta)) \mu(0,d\theta)$$

$$- \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}(t,\theta) \mu(t,d\theta) \, dt$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) \hat{a}(m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_{1}})$$

$$\times \left(\frac{h'(m_{\theta-\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}}) - h'(m_{\theta+\varepsilon_{s}}^{\varepsilon_{1}})}{2\varepsilon_{2}}\right) d\theta \, dt$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta)\right)^{2} \hat{a}(m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_{1}}) \, d\theta \, dt.$$

We will now begin the proof of Lemma 2.1, which depends on several preliminary lemmas. Before we start, it is worth making a remark. Let P_N be the *equilibrium process*, by which we mean the measure on $C([0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N)$ corresponding to taking the invariant measure $\Phi_N(x) dx$ as initial distribution. Suppose that for some sequence of functionals F_N we can prove a superexponential estimate which says that for any $\alpha > 0$,

(2.7)
$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_N} [\exp \alpha N F_N] \le 0.$$

Then, by Hölder's inequality, this estimate extends to Q_N :

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{Q_N} [\exp \alpha N F_N]$$

$$\leq \limsup_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)N} \log E^{P_N} \Big[\big(f_N^0 \big)^{1+\gamma} \Big]$$

$$+ \limsup_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{\gamma}{(1+\gamma)N} \log E^{P_N} \Big[\exp \frac{(1+\gamma)}{\gamma} \alpha N F_N \Big]$$

$$\leq 0.$$

Now let $g(x_{-l},\ldots,x_l,m)$ be a smooth function on \mathbb{R}^{2l+2} with bounded first derivatives and for $\xi_g=\sum_{i=-\infty}^\infty \tau^i g$, let

(2.9)
$$A(g,) = E^{\pi_m} \left[a(x_1, x_2) \left(1 - D^{12} \xi_g \right)^2 \right] - \hat{a}(m).$$

For k > l, let

$$\begin{split} V^{1} &= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J \bigg(t, \frac{i}{N} \bigg) \Big[W_{i, i+1} - \big(\hat{\mathscr{L}} g \big) \Big(x_{i-l}, \dots, x_{i+l}, m_{i/N}^{k/N} \big) \Big] \\ (2.10) &\qquad + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J \bigg(t, \frac{i}{N} \bigg) \hat{a} \big(m_{i/N}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \big) \bigg(\frac{h' \big(m_{i/N+\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \big) - h' \big(m_{i/N-\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \big)}{2\varepsilon_{2}} \bigg) \\ &\qquad - \frac{\alpha}{4N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bigg(J \bigg(t, \frac{i}{N} \bigg) \bigg)^{2} A \big(g, m_{i/N}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \big). \end{split}$$

Here $\hat{\mathscr{L}}_{g}$ represents the generator, without the N^2 scaling, acting only on the variables x_{-l} through x_{l} .

The main point in our proof is the following estimate which is due to Varadhan.

LEMMA 2.5. For each $\alpha > 0$, g and J,

(2.11)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_2 \to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \to 0} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_N} \left[\exp \alpha N \int_0^T V^1(t, x(t)) dt \right]$$

$$\leq 0.$$

PROOF. This is Theorem 7.1 of [5]. \square

LEMMA 2.6. For any $\varepsilon > 0$ and $C < \infty$, we can find a smooth function $g(x_{-1}, \ldots, x_l, m)$ on \mathbb{R}^{2l+2} with bounded first derivatives such that

$$(2.12) \qquad \sup_{|m| \le C} A(g, m) \le \varepsilon$$

and

$$\sup_{m} A(g,m) \leq a^*.$$

PROOF. Let $\mathcal{G}_{l,B}$ be the set of smooth g of the form $g(x_{-l},\ldots,x_l)$ with $\|g\|_{\infty} \leq B$ and $\|\partial g/\partial x_i\|_{\infty} \leq B$ for $i=-l,\ldots,l$, and let

$$(2.14) A_{l,B}(m) = \inf_{g \in \mathcal{G}_{l,B}} A(g,m).$$

The quantity $A_{l,B}(m)$ is upper semicontinuous in m, nonincreasing in l and B and, for each m, $\lim_{l\to\infty}\lim_{l\to\infty}A_{l,B}(m)=0$. Therefore,

$$\lim_{l\to\infty}\lim_{B\to\infty}\sup_{|m|\leq C+1}A_{l,B}(m)=0.$$

Thus we can find l, B and, for each $|m| \le C + 1$, $g(m) \in \mathcal{G}_{l, B}$ so that $A(g(m), m) \le \varepsilon/4$. For |m| > C + 1 take g(m) = 0. Of course this g is not regular in m, so we will smooth it out. Let $\mathcal{B}_M = \{x_{-2l+1}^2 + \dots + x_{2l+3}^2 \le M\}$ and let M be large enough so that for all $g \in \mathcal{G}_{l, B}$,

(2.15)
$$\sup_{|m| \le C} E^{\pi_m} \left[a(x_1, x_2) \left(1 - D^{12} \xi_g \right)^2 1_{\mathscr{B}_M^C} \right] \le \frac{\varepsilon}{4},$$

and let $1 > \delta > 0$ be small enough that if $|m| \le C + 1$ and $|m' - m| \le \delta$, then $|\hat{a}(m') - \hat{a}(m)| \le \varepsilon/4$ and

(2.16)
$$\sup_{\mathscr{B}_{M}} \left\{ \frac{d\pi_{m'}}{d\pi_{m}} \bigg|_{\mathscr{F}_{\pi^{2}(\pm 1)} = 2(\pm 2)} \right\} \leq 1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4a^{*}}.$$

Then for $|m| \leq C$ and $|m' - m| \leq \delta$,

$$A(g(m), m')$$

$$= E^{\pi_{m'}} \left[a(x_1, x_2) \left(1 - D^{12} \xi_{g(m)} \right)^2 \right] - \hat{a}(m')$$

$$(2.17) \qquad \leq E^{\pi_{m'}} \left[a(x_1, x_2) \left(1 - D^{12} \xi_{g(m)} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{B}_M} \right] + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \hat{a}(m')$$

$$\leq \left(1 + \frac{\varepsilon}{4a^*} \right) E^{\pi_m} \left[a(x_1, x_2) \left(1 - D^{12} \xi_{g(m)} \right)^2 \mathbf{1}_{\mathscr{B}_M} \right] + \frac{\varepsilon}{4} - \hat{a}(m')$$

Let φ be a smoothing kernel with support in $(-\delta, \delta)$ and let

(2.18)
$$\tilde{g}(x_{-l},...,x_l,m) = \int \varphi(m'-m)g(x_{-l},...,x_l,m') dm'.$$

Clearly $\partial \tilde{g}/\partial m$ is bounded and by (2.17) and convexity,

$$(2.19) \quad A(\tilde{g}(m), m) \leq \left(\int \varphi(m'-m) \big(A(g(m'), m) \big)^{1/2} dm' \right)^2 \leq \varepsilon$$

for $|m| \le C$. Finally, if g = 0, $A(g, m) = E^{\pi_m}[a(x_1, x_2)] - \hat{a}(m) \le a^*$, so by (2.19), for |m| > C, $A(\tilde{g}(m), m) \le a^*$. \square

The next lemma allows us to control the difference between the corrector term which appears in (2.10),

(2.20)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} J(t, i/N) (\hat{\mathscr{L}}g) (x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i+1}, m_{i}^{k/N})$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{N} J(t, i/N) \sum_{j=i-k}^{i+k-1} \mathscr{L}^{j,j+1} g(x_{i-l}, \dots, x_{i+l}, m_{i}^{k/N}),$$

where $\mathcal{L}^{i,i+1} = \Phi_N^{-1} D^{ii+1} \Phi_N a(x_i, x_{i+1}) C^{ii+1}$, and the "derivative" term,

(2.21)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{N} J\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) \left(\frac{\mathscr{L}_{N}}{N^{2}} g\right) \left(x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i+l}, m_{i}^{k}/N\right).$$

The difference is in the action of the generator on the local averages. It is

given by

(2.22)
$$V^{2} = \sum_{i=1}^{N} J(t, i/N) (\mathcal{L}^{i+k, i+k+1} + \mathcal{L}^{i-k-1, i-k}) \times g(x_{i-l}, \dots, x_{i+l}, m_{i/N}^{k/N}).$$

LEMMA 2.7. For any $\alpha > 0$,

$$(2.23) \quad \limsup_{k \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_N} \left[\exp \alpha N \int_0^T V^2(t, x(t)) dt \right] \le 0.$$

PROOF. Let g^i denote $g(x_{i-1},\ldots,x_{i+l},m_i^k/N)$. By Schwarz's inequality, for any f

$$\int \sum_{i=1}^{N} \mathcal{Z}^{i+k,i+k+1} g^{i} f \Phi_{N} dx$$

$$= -\int \sum_{i=1}^{N} a(x_{i+k}, x_{i+k+1}) D^{i+k,i+k+1} g^{i} D^{i+k,i+k+1} f \Phi_{N} dx$$

$$= -\int \sum_{i=1}^{N} a(x_{i+k}, x_{i+k+1}) D^{i+k,i+k+1} g^{i} \sqrt{f} \frac{D^{i+k,i+k+1} f}{\sqrt{f}} \Phi_{N} dx$$

$$\leq \left(\int \sum_{i=1}^{N} a(x_{i+k}, x_{i+k+1}) (D^{i+k,i+k+1} g^{i})^{2} f \Phi_{N} dx \right)^{1/2} (2 \mathcal{D}_{N}(\sqrt{f}))^{1/2}.$$

There is of course an analogous estimate for $\int \sum_i \mathscr{L}^{i-k-1,\,i-k} g^i f \Phi_N \, dx$. Also,

$$(2.25) \quad D^{i+k,i+k+1}g^{i} = -D^{i-k-1,i-k}g^{i} = \frac{1}{2k} \frac{\partial g}{\partial m} (x_{i-l}, \dots, x_{i+l}, m_{i/N}^{k/N}).$$

By the spectral theorem and (2.24) and (2.25),

$$\frac{1}{N}\log E^{P_{N}}\left[\exp \alpha N \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right)\right] \\
\times \left(\mathcal{Z}^{i+k, i+k+1} + \mathcal{Z}^{i-k-1, i-k}\right) g^{i} dt \\
\leq \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{N} \sup_{f} \left\{\alpha N \int \sum_{i=1}^{N} J\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) \left(\mathcal{Z}^{i+k, i+k+1} + \mathcal{Z}^{i-k-1, i-k}\right) \\
\times g^{i} f \Phi_{N} dx - N^{2} \mathcal{D}_{N}\left(\sqrt{f}\right)\right\} dt \\
\leq \frac{C}{N} \sup_{f} \left\{\alpha^{2} \int \sum_{i=1}^{N} a(x_{i+k}, x_{i+k+1}) \\
\times \left[\left(D^{i+k, i+k+1} g^{i}\right)^{2} + \left(D^{i-k-1, i-k} g^{i}\right)^{2}\right] f \Phi_{N} dx\right\}$$

$$\leq C'\alpha^2k^{-2}\left\|\frac{\partial g}{\partial m}\right\|_{\infty}^2.$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.7. □

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.1. For a smooth function $g(x_{-l}, ..., x_l, m)$ on \mathbb{R}^{2l+2} with bounded first derivatives, let

$$(2.27) \qquad \xi^{N}(t) = \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) g\left(x_{i-l}(t), \dots, x_{i+l}(t), m_{i/N}^{k/N}(t) \right)$$

and let

$$F^{1}(x(\cdot)) = \int_{S} \log M(h'(m_{0}(\theta))) - \log M(G(\theta) + h'(m_{0}(\theta))) d\theta$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J\left(T, \frac{i}{N}\right) x_{i}(T) - \frac{1}{N^{2}} \xi^{N}(T)$$

$$- \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(J\left(0, \frac{i}{N}\right) - G\left(\frac{i}{N}\right)\right) x_{i}(0) + \frac{1}{N^{2}} \xi^{N}(0)$$

$$(2.28) \qquad - \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial t} \left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) x_{i}(t)\right) dt$$

$$+ \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{N^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\partial^{2} J}{\partial t \partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) g\left(x_{i-l}(t), \dots, x_{i+l}(t), m_{i/N}^{k/N}(t)\right)\right) dt$$

$$- \int_{0}^{T} \frac{N}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(J\left(t, i + \frac{1}{N}\right) - J\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right)\right) W_{i,i+1} - \frac{\mathcal{Z}_{N}}{N^{2}} \xi^{N}(t) dt$$

$$- \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a(x_{i}, x_{i+1})$$

$$\times \left(N\left(J\left(t, i + \frac{1}{N}\right) - J\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right)\right) + D^{ii+1} \xi^{N}\right)^{2} dt.$$

The functional exp $NF^1(T)$ is a martingale under Q_N , so

$$\limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{Q_N} \left[\exp NF^1(T) \right]$$

$$= \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{Q_N} \left[\exp NF^1(0) \right]$$

$$= -\int_S \log \frac{M(G(\theta) + h'(m_0(\theta)))}{M(h'(m_0(\theta)))} d\theta$$

$$+ \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{f_N^0 \Phi_N} \left[\exp \sum_{i=1}^N G\left(\frac{i}{N}\right) x_i \right]$$

$$= 0.$$

By bounding the last term in (2.28) in terms of the bound on the derivatives of g, it is not difficult to show that

(2.30)
$$\limsup_{\gamma \downarrow 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{Q_N} \left[\exp(1 + \gamma) N F^1 \right] \le 0.$$

Consider the functional $\hat{F}(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))$ defined in (2.6). For each N a mapping $x \mapsto \mu$ is given by (1.11) and $\hat{F}(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))$ pulls back through this map to a function $F(x(\cdot))$ on $C([0,T] \to \mathbb{R}^N)$. By Hölder's inequality, for any $\gamma > 0$,

$$(2.31) \qquad \frac{1}{N} \log E^{Q_N} [\exp NF]$$

$$\leq \frac{1}{(1+\gamma)N} \log E^{Q_N} [\exp(1+\gamma)NF^1]$$

$$+ \frac{\gamma}{(1+\gamma)N} \log E^{Q_N} \left[\exp \frac{1+\gamma}{\gamma} N(F-F^1)\right].$$

To prove Lemma 2.1 it therefore suffices to show that for any $\alpha > 0$,

$$(2.32) \quad \inf_{g} \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \to 0} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{Q_N} \left[\exp \alpha N(F - F^1) \right] \le 0.$$

We break it up as follows: $F - F^1 = \int_0^T (V^3 + V^4 + V^5) dt + o(1)$, where

$$V^{3} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(N \left(J \left(t, i + \frac{1}{N} \right) - J \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right) - \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right) W_{i,i+1},$$

$$V^{4} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) W_{i,i+1} - \frac{\mathcal{S}_{N}}{N^{2}} \xi^{N}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right)$$

$$\times \hat{a} \left(m_{i/N}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \right) \left(\frac{h' \left(m_{i/N+\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \right) - h' \left(m_{i/N-\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \right)}{2\varepsilon_{2}} \right),$$

$$V^{5} = \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} a(x_{i}, x_{i+1}) \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) + D^{ii+1} \xi^{N} \right)^{2}$$

$$- \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \hat{a} \left(m_{i/N}^{\varepsilon_{1}} \right) \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right)^{2}.$$

By (3.8) of [5] there is a constant $C < \infty$ such that, for any $\alpha > 0$,

$$\frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_N} \left[\exp \alpha N \int_0^T V^3(x(t), t) dt \right] \\
\leq C \alpha^2 \int_0^T \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^N \left(N \left(J \left(t, \frac{i+1}{N} \right) - J \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right) - \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right)^2 dt,$$

the right hand side being o(1). Now let $\alpha > 0$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $C < \infty$ so that

$$(2.35) \begin{array}{c} \limsup_{\varepsilon_{1} \to 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_{N}} \left[\exp 2 \alpha^{2} \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right)^{2} \right. \\ \left. \times a^{*} 1_{\{|m_{i}^{\theta}|_{N}| > C\}} dt \right] \leq \varepsilon \end{array}$$

and, for this C, choose g(m) as in Lemma 2.6 so that $A(g(m), m) \leq a^*$ and

(2.36)
$$\sup_{|m| < C} A(g(m), m) \le \frac{1}{4T} \varepsilon \alpha^{-2} \left\| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{\infty}^{-2}.$$

By Schwarz's inequality,

$$\frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_N} \left[\exp \alpha^2 \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right)^2 A \left(g \left(m_{i/N}^{\varepsilon_1} \right), m_{i/N}^{\varepsilon_1} \right) dt \right]
(2.37) \leq \frac{1}{2N} \log E^{P_N} \left[\exp \frac{\alpha^2}{2T} \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right)^2 \varepsilon \alpha^{-2} \left\| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{\infty}^{-2} dt \right]
+ \frac{1}{2N} \log E^{P_N} \left[\exp 2 \alpha^2 \int_0^T \sum_{i=1}^N \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right)^2 a^* \mathbf{1}_{\{|m_i^{\varepsilon_1}/N| > C\}} dt \right],$$

the latter terms each being less than $\varepsilon/2$. Together with Lemmas 2.5 and 2.7 this shows that

(2.38)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_{2} \to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_{1} \to 0} \limsup_{k \to \infty} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_{N}} \left[\exp \alpha N \int_{0}^{T} V^{4}(x(t), t) dt \right] \leq \varepsilon.$$

To deal with V^5 we use Theorem 4.1 of [3], which says that if $\nu(x_{-k},\ldots,x_k)$ is bounded and continuous and $J(\theta)$ is smooth, then, for any $\alpha>0$,

where $\nu^i = \nu(x_{i-k}, \dots, x_{i+k})$. It is true that the theorem was only proved in [3] for the case $a \equiv 1$, but the proof extends to the general case by means of the inequality

(2.40)
$$\mathscr{D}_{N}(f) \geq \frac{1}{a^{*}} \int \sum_{i=1}^{N} (D^{ii+1}f)^{2} \Phi_{N} dx.$$

From (2.37) and (2.39) it is easy to see that

(2.41)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_{2}\to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_{1}\to 0} \limsup_{k\to \infty} \limsup_{N\to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_{N}} \left[\exp \alpha N \int_{0}^{T} V^{5}(x(t),t) dt \right] \leq \varepsilon.$$

To complete the proof of Lemma 2.1, we remark that (2.32) follows from (2.34), (2.38) and (2.41) by another application of Hölder's inequality. \Box

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.2. First note that

$$I_{\text{static}}(m(0,\cdot))$$

$$= \sup_{G} \left\{ \int_{S} G(\theta) m(0,\theta) d\theta + \int_{S} \log M(h'(m_{0}(\theta))) -\log M(G(\theta) + h'(m_{0}(\theta))) d\theta \right\}.$$

By assumption, $I_0(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))<\infty$ and therefore $m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_1}(t)\to m(t,\theta)$ for almost every t and θ . Suppose that $I_{\mathrm{dynamic}}(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))\geq M$. Let $\varepsilon>0$ and choose $J(t,\theta)$ so that

$$(2.43) M - \varepsilon \leq \int_{S} J(T,\theta) \mu(T,d\theta) - \int_{S} J(0,\theta) \mu(0,d\theta)$$

$$- \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}(t,\theta) \mu(t,d\theta) dt$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) \hat{a}(m(t,\theta)) \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} h'(m(t,\theta)) d\theta dt$$

$$- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta)\right)^{2} \hat{a}(m(t,\theta)) d\theta dt.$$

By the bounded convergence theorem, since \hat{a} is bounded and continuous,

(2.44)
$$\int_0^T \! \int_S \! \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) \right)^2 \hat{a}(m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_1}) \, d\theta \, dt$$

$$\to \int_0^T \! \int_S \! \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) \right)^2 \hat{a}(m(t,\theta)) \, d\theta \, dt.$$

Since $I_0(\mu(\cdot, \cdot)) < \infty$, we have that

$$(2.45) \quad \int_0^T \! \int_S \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \hat{a}(m) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} h'(m) - \frac{h'(m_{\theta^+ \varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1}) - h'(m_{\theta^- \varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1})}{2 \varepsilon_2} \right) d\theta dt \to 0$$

as ε_1 is sent to zero, followed by ε_2 . By a straightforward application of Schwarz's inequality and the fact that $\hat{a}(m)$ is continuous and bounded away from both 0 and ∞ , we see that as ε_1 and then ε_2 go to zero,

$$(2.46) \quad \int_0^T \! \int_S \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \big(\hat{a}(m) - \hat{a}(m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_1}) \big) \! \left(\frac{h' \big(m_{\theta+\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1} \big) - h' \big(m_{\theta-\varepsilon_2}^{\varepsilon_1} \big)}{2 \varepsilon_2} \right) d\theta \, dt \to 0.$$

Therefore, for ε_1 and ε_2 sufficiently small,

$$\begin{split} M - 2\varepsilon &\leq \int_{S} J(T,\theta) \, \mu(T,d\theta) - \int_{S} J(0,\theta) \, \mu(0,d\theta) \\ &- \int_{0}^{T} \! \int_{S} \frac{\partial J}{\partial t}(t,\theta) \, \mu(t,d\theta) \, dt \\ &+ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \! \int_{S} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) \, \hat{a}(m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_{1}}) \left(\frac{h'\left(m_{\theta+\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) - h'\left(m_{\theta-\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}}\right)}{2\varepsilon_{2}} \right) d\theta \, dt \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \! \int_{S} \! \left(\frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) \right)^{2} \hat{a}(m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_{1}}) \, d\theta \, dt. \end{split}$$

Comparing with (2.6) we see that Lemma 2.2 follows. \square

PROOF OF LEMMA 2.3. For smooth test functions $J(t, \theta)$ on $[0, T] \times S$ and $\varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2 > 0$, consider the family of functionals given by

$$(2.48) \qquad \hat{F}_{J,\,\varepsilon_{1},\,\varepsilon_{2}}(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} J(t,\theta) \left(\frac{h'(m_{\theta^{+}\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}}) - h'(m_{\theta^{-}\varepsilon_{2}}^{\varepsilon_{1}})}{2\varepsilon_{2}} \right) d\theta dt$$

$$- \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} J^{2}(t,\theta) \hat{a}^{-1}(m_{\theta^{1}}^{\varepsilon_{1}}) d\theta dt,$$

so that for each $\mu(\cdot,\cdot)$,

(2.49)
$$\lim_{\varepsilon_2 \to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \to 0} \sup_{J} \hat{F}_{J, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2}(\mu(\cdot, \cdot)) = I_0(\mu(\cdot, \cdot)),$$

in the sense that if the right-hand side is infinite, then the left-hand side is as well. Let

$$(2.50) F_{J,\,\varepsilon_{1},\,\varepsilon_{2},\,N}(x(\cdot))$$

$$= 2 \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) \left(\frac{h'\left(m_{i/N+\varepsilon_{2}}^{e_{1}}\right) - h'\left(m_{i/N-\varepsilon_{2}}^{e_{1}}\right)}{2\,\varepsilon_{2}}\right) dt$$

$$- \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} J^{2}\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) \hat{a}^{-1}\left(m_{i/N}^{\varepsilon_{1}}\right) dt,$$

so that $F_{J, \, \varepsilon_1, \, \varepsilon_2, \, N}$ differs from the image of $\hat{F}_{J, \, \varepsilon_1, \, \varepsilon_2}$ under the mapping $x \mapsto \mu$ by o(1). To prove the lemma it is therefore enough to show that for each fixed J,

$$(2.51) \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \to 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_N} \left[\exp NF_{j, \varepsilon_1, \varepsilon_2, N} \right] \le 0.$$

This follows from Theorems 4.1 and 6.7 and Lemmas 7.2, 7.3 and 7.4 of [5]. □

3. Hydrodynamic limit for system with weak driving forces. We introduce a configuration dependent weak driving force into the system by changing the generator to

(3.1)
$$\mathscr{L}_{N,H,f} = \mathscr{L}_N + N/2 \sum_{i=1}^N H(t,i/N) a(x_i,x_{i+1}) (1 + D^{i,i+1}\xi_f) D^{i,i+1},$$

where $H(t,\theta)$ is a continuous function on $[0,T]\times S$, $f(t,\theta,x_{-r},\ldots,x_r)$ is a smooth function on $[0,T]\times S\times \mathbb{R}^{2r+1}$ with bounded first derivatives and $\xi_f=\sum_{i=1}^N f(t,\theta,x_{i-r},\ldots,x_{i+r})$. The ξ_f term does not affect the hydrodynamics, but it allows us to obtain the correct lower bound in Section 4. Let $m(\theta)$ be a smooth function on S and let $f_N=\exp\sum_{i=1}^N h'(m(i/N))x_i-\log M(h'(m(i/N)))$. Corresponding to the generator $\mathscr{L}_{N,H,f}$ and initial distribution $f_N\Phi_N$, we have probability measures $Q_{N,H,f}$ on $C([0,T]\to\mathbb{R}^N)$ and $\hat{Q}_{N,H,f}$ on X.

THEOREM 3.1. For each continuous H and smooth local f,

$$\hat{Q}_{N,H,f} \Rightarrow \delta_{m(t,\theta)d\theta},$$

where $\delta_{m(t,\,\theta)\,d\,\theta}$ is the probability measure concentrated on the unique weak solution of

(3.3)
$$\frac{\partial m}{\partial t} = \frac{1}{2} \frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} \left(\hat{a}(m) \left(\frac{\partial}{\partial \theta} h'(m) + H \right) \right), \quad m(0, \theta) = m(\theta).$$

PROOF. For $dQ_{N,H,f}/dP_N$ we have the following Cameron-Martin-Girsanov formula:

$$\begin{split} \frac{dQ_{N,H,f}}{dP_N} &= f_N(x(0)) \exp \left\{ \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^T H\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) \left(1 + D^{i,i+1}\xi_f\right) \sqrt{a(x_i, x_{i+1})} \ d\beta_i \right. \\ &\left. - \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^T H^2\left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) a(x_i, x_{i+1}) \left(1 + D^{i,i+1}\xi_f\right)^2 dt \right\}. \end{split}$$

Using this formula it is quite easy to show that there exists $C < \infty$ so that for all $\gamma > 0$,

(3.5)
$$E^{P_N} \left[\left(\frac{dQ_{N,H,f}}{dP_N} \right)^{1+\gamma} \right] \le \exp(C\gamma(1+\gamma)N).$$

Therefore, as discussed in (2.8), by Hölder's inequality superexponential estimates for P_N extend to $Q_{N,H,f}$. In particular $\hat{Q}_{N,H,f}$ has a weak limit, $\hat{Q}_{H,f}$. Let

$$(3.6) \quad \hat{F}^H_{J,G,\,\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2} = \hat{F}_{J,G,\,\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2} + \frac{1}{2} \int_0^T \int_S \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) \, \hat{a}(m_{\theta}^{\varepsilon_1}) H(t,\theta) \, d\theta \, dt,$$

where $\hat{F}_{J,G,\,\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2}$ is defined in (2.6). We claim that for each fixed J and G,

$$(3.7) \qquad \lim_{\varepsilon_2 \to 0} \limsup_{\varepsilon_1 \to 0} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{\hat{Q}_{N,H,f}} \Big[\exp N \hat{F}^H_{J,G,\varepsilon_1,\varepsilon_2} \Big] \le 0.$$

To this end note that $\exp NF^2(T)$ is a martingale under $Q_{N,H,f}$, where

(3.8)
$$F^{2}(T) = F^{1}(T) + \int_{0}^{T} \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) H\left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \nu^{i}(x(t)) dt + o(1).$$

Here F^1 is defined in (2.28) and

(3.9)
$$\nu^{i} = a(x_{i}, x_{i+1}) (1 + D^{i, i+1} \xi_{f}) (1 + D^{i, i+1} \xi_{g}),$$

where ξ_g is given by

(3.10)
$$\xi_g = \sum_{i=1}^N g(x_{i-1}, \dots, x_{i+l}, m_{i/N}^k).$$

Let

$$(3.11) V^6 = \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) H \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \left(\nu^i - \hat{a} \left(m_{i/N}^{s_1} \right) \right).$$

Let $\alpha > 0$, $\varepsilon > 0$ and choose $C < \infty$ as in (2.35) and $g(x_{-l}, \ldots, x_l, m)$ with l > r such that $A(g(m), m) \le a^*$ and

(3.12)
$$\sup_{|m| \le C} A(g(m), m) \le \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} \left\| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{\infty}^{-1}.$$

Let $g^*(x_{-l},...,x_l,m)$ by the minimizer of A(g,m) among functions of x_{-l} through x_l . We can assume in addition that

(3.13)
$$\sup_{|m| < C} E^{\pi_m} \left[a(x_1, x_2) \left(D^{12} \xi_{g^*} - D^{12} \xi_g \right)^2 \right] \le \varepsilon^2 \alpha^{-2} \left\| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{\infty}^{-2}$$

and that $E^{\pi_m}[a(x_1, x_2)(D^{12}\xi_f)^2]$ and $E^{\pi_m}[a(x_1, x_2)(D^{12}\xi_g)^2]$ are bounded by some $M < \infty$. Since g^* is the minimizer, we have

$$(3.14) E^{\pi_m} \left[a(x_1, x_2) \left(D^{12} \xi_f - D^{12} \xi_g \right) \left(1 - D^{12} \xi_{g^*} \right) \right] = 0.$$

By Schwarz's inequality, we have for $|m| \leq C$,

$$(3.15) E^{\pi_m} [\nu^i] - \hat{a}(m) \le \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} \left\| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{\infty}^{-1} (1 + \sqrt{4M})$$

and for |m| > C.

$$(3.16) E^{\pi_m} \left[\nu^i \right] - \hat{a}(m) \leq M.$$

Then

$$V^{6} \leq \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) H \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \left(\nu^{i} - E^{\pi_{m_{i/N}} \epsilon_{1}} \left[\nu^{i} \right] \right)$$

$$+ \frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right| \left| H \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right| M \mathbf{1}_{\{|m_{i}^{\theta}\}_{N}| > C\}}$$

$$+ \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \left| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right| \left| H \left(t, \frac{i}{N} \right) \right| \varepsilon \alpha^{-1} \left\| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \right\|_{\infty}^{-1} (1 + \sqrt{4M}).$$

So, by Schwarz's inequality, if we take the limit superior as $N\to\infty$, followed by $k\to\infty$, then $\varepsilon_1\to 0$, we get

$$\begin{split} \limsup_{\substack{\varepsilon_{1} \to 0 \\ k \to \infty}} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{N} \log E^{P_{N}} \bigg[\exp \alpha N \int_{0}^{T} V^{6}(x(t), t) \, dt \bigg] \\ & \leq \limsup_{\substack{\varepsilon_{1} \to 0 \\ k \to \infty}} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2N} \log E^{P_{N}} \\ & \times \bigg[\exp 2 \alpha N \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \bigg(t, \frac{i}{N} \bigg) H \bigg(t, \frac{i}{N} \bigg) \big(\nu^{i} - e^{\pi_{m_{i}/N}^{\varepsilon_{1}}} [\nu^{i}] \big) \, dt \bigg] \\ & + \limsup_{\substack{\varepsilon_{1} \to 0 \\ k \to \infty}} \limsup_{N \to \infty} \frac{1}{2N} \log E^{P_{N}} \\ & \times \bigg[\exp 2 \alpha N \int_{0}^{T} \sum_{i=1}^{N} \bigg| \frac{\partial J}{\partial \theta} \bigg(t, \frac{i}{N} \bigg) \bigg| \bigg| H \bigg(t, \frac{i}{N} \bigg) \bigg| M \mathbf{1}_{\{|m_{i}^{\varepsilon_{1}}\}_{N}| > C\}} \, dt \bigg] \\ & + \varepsilon \big(1 + \sqrt{4M} \big) \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} |H(t, \theta)| \, d\theta \, dt \\ & \leq 0 \, [\text{by } (2.39)] + \varepsilon \, (\text{for sufficiently large } C) + \text{const. } \varepsilon. \end{split}$$

If F^N is the image of \hat{F}^H under $x \mapsto \mu$, then

So (3.7) follows by Hölder's inequality and (2.32). If $I_0(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))<\infty$, then as in Lemma 2.2 we can calculate $\limsup_{\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2\to 0}\sup_{J,\,G}\hat{F}^H_{J,\,G,\,\varepsilon_1,\,\varepsilon_2}(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))>0$ unless $m(t,\,\theta)$ is a weak solution of (3.3). Therefore, $\hat{Q}_{H,\,f}$ is concentrated on such solutions. It only remains to prove uniqueness for weak solutions of (3.3). It is shown in [5] that \hat{a} is continuous in m. A general result for equations of the form (3.3), whose coefficients are only known to be continuous, can be found in [1]. \square

4. Lower bound. For a smooth $m(\cdot, \cdot)$ we can find a continuous H so that m is a weak solution of (3.3). We can also assume that for each $0 \le t \le T$,

(4.1)
$$\int_{S} \hat{a}(m(t,\theta)) H(t,\theta) d\theta = 0.$$

For each smooth local f we construct $\hat{Q}_{N,H,f}$ which by Theorem 3.1 converges weakly to the probability measure on X concentrated on $m(\cdot,\cdot)$. By the Cameron-Martin-Girsanov formula,

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{dQ_N}{dQ_{N,H,f}} &= \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{f_N^0(x(0))}{f_N(x(0))} \\ (4.2) &\qquad + \frac{1}{2N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^T \!\! H\! \left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) \! \left(1 + D^{ii+1}\!\xi_f\right) \! \sqrt{a(x_i, x_{i+1})} \ d\beta_i \\ &\qquad - \frac{1}{8N} \sum_{i=1}^N \int_0^T \!\! H^2\! \left(t, \frac{i}{N}\right) \! a(x_i, x_{i+1}) \! \left(1 + D^{ii+1}\!\xi_f\right)^2 dt. \end{split}$$

Let

(4.3)
$$\hat{a}_f(m) = E^{\pi_m} \left[a(x_1, x_2) \left(1 + D^{12} \xi_f \right)^2 \right].$$

Then

$$\lim_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \frac{dQ_N}{dQ_{N,H,f}} = -I_{\text{static}}(m(0,\cdot))$$

$$-\frac{1}{8} \int_0^T \int_S \hat{a}_f(m(t,\theta)) H^2(t,\theta) d\theta dt$$

in $Q_{N,H,f}$ -probability. Call the right-hand side $-I^f(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))$. This provides us with a family of lower bounds: For each open $U \subset X$,

(4.5)
$$\liminf_{N\to\infty} \frac{1}{N} \log \hat{Q}_N(U) \ge -\inf_{\mu(\cdot,\cdot)\in U} I^f(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)).$$

By the following lemma we obtain the lower bound (1.22) by optimizing (4.3) over f.

LEMMA 4.1.
$$I(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)) = \inf_f I^f(\mu(\cdot,\cdot)).$$

PROOF. Let $\varepsilon > 0$. Since $m(t, \theta)$ is bounded, we can choose $f(t, \theta, x_{-r}, \dots, x_r)$ so that

$$(4.6) 1 \ge \frac{\hat{a}(m(t,\theta))}{\hat{a}_{f}(m(t,\theta))} > 1 - \varepsilon.$$

Since H is continuous and $\int_{S} \hat{a}H d\theta = 0$,

$$\frac{1}{8}\int_0^T\!\!\int_S\!\!H^2(t,\theta)\hat{a}_f(m(t,\theta))\,d\theta\,dt$$

$$(4.7) = \sup_{\varphi} \left\{ \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} H(t,\theta) \hat{a}(m(t,\theta)) \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) d\theta dt - \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} \left(\frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta}(t,\theta) \right)^{2} \hat{a}(m(t,\theta)) \frac{\hat{a}(m(t,\theta))}{\hat{a}_{f}(m(t,\theta))} d\theta dt \right\}.$$

Since m is a weak solution of (3.3),

(4.8)
$$\begin{aligned} \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S_{\cdot}} \hat{a}(m) H \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} d\theta dt \\ &= - \int_{S} m \varphi d\theta \Big|_{0}^{T} + \int_{0}^{T} \int_{S} \Big| m \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} d\theta dt \\ &- \frac{1}{2} \int_{0}^{T} \hat{a}(m) \frac{\partial h'}{\partial \theta} \frac{\partial \varphi}{\partial \theta} d\theta dt. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore,

$$egin{aligned} I_{ ext{dynamic}}ig(m(\cdot,\cdot)ig) &\leq rac{1}{8}\int_{0}^{T}\!\!\int_{S}\!\!H^{2}(t, heta)\hat{a}_{f}ig(m(t, heta)ig)\,d heta\,dt \ &< ig(1-arepsilonig)^{-1}I_{ ext{dynamic}}ig(m(\cdot,\cdot)ig). \end{aligned}$$

Since $\varepsilon > 0$ is arbitrary, this proves the lemma. \square

To complete the argument it remains to show that for any $\mu(\cdot,\cdot)$ with $I(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))<\infty$ we can find a sequence of smooth functions $\mu^k(\cdot,\cdot)\to\mu(\cdot,\cdot)$ with $I(\mu^k(\cdot,\cdot))\to I(\mu(\cdot,\cdot))$. To do this we first approximate $m(t,\theta)$ by $m^C(t,\theta)=-C\vee m(t,\theta)\wedge C$. Then we convolute with a smoothing kernel $\varphi_e(t,\theta)$ and use the fact that for $|m|\leq C$, $b(m)=\hat{a}(m)h''(m)$ is continuous and bounded away from both 0 and ∞ .

REFERENCES

- [1] BÉNILAN, P. and TOURÉ, H. (1984). Sur l'équation générale $u_t = \varphi(u)_{xx} \psi(u)_x + v$. C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. 1 299(18).
- [2] DONSKER, M. and VARADHAN, S. R. S. (1989). Large deviations from a hydrodynamic scaling limit. Comm. Pure Appl. Math. 42 243-270.
- [3] GUO, M. Z., PAPANICOLAOU, G. C. and VARADHAN, S. R. S. (1988). Nonlinear diffusion limit for a system with nearest neighbor interactions. Comm. Math. Phys. 118 31-59.
- [4] VARADHAN, S. R. S. (1984). Large Deviations and Applications. SIAM, Philadelphia.
- [5] VARADHAN, S. R. S. (1993). Nonlinear diffusion limit for a system with nearest neighbor interactions II. In Asymptotic Problems in Probability Theory; Stochastic Models and Diffusions on Fractals. Proceedings of the Taniguchi Symposium, Sanda and Kyoto 1990 75-130. Longman, Essex, England.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS UNIVERSITY OF CALIFORNIA DAVIS, CALIFORNIA 95616