## ASSOCIATION MATRICES AND THE KRONECKER PRODUCT OF DESIGNS

By P. U. Surendran

Victoria College, Palghat, Kerala

- 1. Summary and introduction. Vartak [4] has shown by enumeration that the Kronecker product of two PBIB designs with s and t associate classes is again a PBIB with at most s+t+st associate classes. In this paper the same result is established more easily with the help of association matrices. In addition to this, it is shown that the association matrices of a PBIB which is the Kronecker product of two known designs, are the Kronecker product of those of the original designs and that the "augmented matrices of the parameters of the second kind" of the resulting design are the Kronecker product of the corresponding matrices of the given designs.
- 2. Some definitions. A PBIB design with m associate classes is defined as an arrangement of v treatments in b blocks each of size  $k(\langle v)$  such that (i) each treatment is replicated r times; (ii) each block contains distinct treatments; (iii) corresponding to each treatment, others fall into m mutually exclusive classes known as associate classes, the ith class containing  $n_i$  treatments which  $(n_i)$  is independent of the treatment with which we start; (iv) the relation of association is symmetrical, i.e., if j is an ith associate of k, then k is an ith associate of j, and two treatments which are ith associates occur together in  $\lambda_i$  blocks; (v) if two treatments are ith associates, the number of treatments common to the jth associates of one and the kth associates of the other is  $p_{jk}^i = p_{kj}^i$  and this is independent of the treatments with which we start.

Now we make the additional assumption that each treatment is its own zeroth associate and of no other treatment. Then  $n_0 = 1$ ,  $\lambda_0 = r$ ,  $p_{ij}^0 = p_{ji}^0 = n_i$  if j = i and zero otherwise, and  $p_{j0}^i = p_{0j}^i = 1$  if i = j and zero otherwise. Also we define the  $v \times v$  matrices  $B_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ) as

$$(1) B_i = (b_{ji}^k)$$

where,  $b_{ji}^k = 1$  if k and j are ith associates and zero otherwise. Clearly,  $B_0 = I(v)$ , where, I(v) is the identity matrix of order v.  $B_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, m$ ) are called the association matrices of the association scheme corresponding to the PBIB defined above. These matrices are incidence matrices and are symmetric. They have unity in mutually exclusive positions on each row,  $B_i$  having  $n_i$  such elements in each of its row. Thus,

$$\sum_{i=0}^m B_i = E(v, v),$$

where, E(v, v) is a  $v \times v$  matrix with all its elements unity. Let

Received 3 January 1966; revised 4 October 1967.

$$(2) P_i = (p_{jk}^i),$$

 $j, k = 0, 1, \dots, m$ , for every  $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ . Then,

DEFINITION 2.1.  $P_0$ ,  $P_1$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $P_m$  are called the "augmented matrices of the parameters of the second kind."

DEFINITION 2.2. The matrices obtained by deleting the first row and column of  $P_1, \dots, P_m$  are called the "usual matrices of parameters of the second kind."

**3.** Determination of a PBIB in terms of  $B_i$  and  $P_i$ . It is shown by Bose [1], Bose and Mesner [2] and Thompson [3] that

(3) 
$$B_{j}B_{k} = p_{jk}^{0}B_{0} + p_{jk}^{1}B_{1} + \cdots + p_{jk}^{m}B_{m}.$$

Therefore the matrix

$$(4) (B_j B_k) = P_0 \times B_0 + \cdots + P_m \times B_m, j, k = 0, 1, \cdots, m,$$

where,  $A \times B$  denotes the Kronecker product of A and B. Also, if N is the incidence matrix of the PBIB design defined in Section 2, and N' its transpose

$$NN' = rB_0 + \lambda_1 B_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m B_m.$$

The essence of the following theorem is due to Bose and Mesner [2].

Theorem 1. If  $B_i$  ( $i = 0, 1, \dots, m$ ) are symmetric incidence matrices satisfying

(6) (i) 
$$B_0 = I(v)$$
, (ii)  $\sum_{i=0}^m B_i = E(v, v)$ , (iii)  $B_i B_k = \sum_{i=0}^m p_{jk}^i B_i$ ,

 $(j, k = 0, \dots, m)$  for some set of constants  $p_{jk}^i = p_{kj}^i$  then, the incidence matrix N is the matrix of a PBIB with v treatments if and only if N has equal column totals and

$$NN' = rB_0 + \lambda_1 B_1 + \cdots + \lambda_m B_m$$

for some m and some numbers  $r, \lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_m$ .

## 4. The main results.

THEOREM 2.

$$N_1; b_1, v_1, r_1, k_1, \lambda_i', n_i'$$
  $(i = 1, \dots, s)$   
 $N_2; b_2, v_2, r_2, k_2, \lambda_j'', n_j''$   $(j = 1, \dots, t)$ 

are two PBIB designs with s and t associate classes with (a)  $P_i' = (q_{hk}^i)$  and  $P_j'' = (g_{um}^f)$  ( $i = 0, \dots, s; j = 0, \dots, t$ ) as their respective augmented matrices of parameters of the second kind and (b)  $B_i'$  and  $B_j''$  as their respective association matrices ( $i = 0, \dots, s; j = 0, \dots, t$ ). Then the Kronecker product  $N_1 \times N_2 = N$  is a PBIB with at most s + t + st associate classes with

(i) parameters of the first kind as

(8) 
$$b = b_1b_2$$
,  $v = v_1v_2$ ,  $r = r_1r_2$ ,  $k = k_1k_2$ ,  $\lambda_j = r_1\lambda_j''$ ,  $\lambda_{t+i} = r_2\lambda_i'$ ,  $\lambda_{t+s+ij} = \lambda_i'\lambda_j''$ ,  $n_j = n_j''$ ,  $n_{t+i} = n_i'$ ,  $n_{t+s+ij} = n_i'n_j''$   $(i = 1, \dots, s; j = 1, \dots, t);$ 

(ii) augmented matrices of parameters of the second kind as

(9) 
$$P_0 = P_0' \times P_0'', \quad P_j = P_0' \times P_j'', \quad P_{t+i} = P_i' \times P_0'', \quad P_{t+s+ij} = P_i' \times P_j'', \quad (i = 1, \dots, s; j = 1, \dots, t)$$

(iii) the association matrices as

(10) 
$$B_0 = B_0' \times B_0'', B_j = B_0' \times B_j'', B_{t+i} = B_i' \times B_0'', B_{t+s+ij} = B_i' \times B_j''$$
  
 $(i = 1, \dots, s; j = 1, \dots, t);$ 

and the design has all the s+t+st classes distinct if  $\lambda_i'\lambda_j'' \neq \lambda_u'\lambda_m''$  except when i=u and j=m  $(i,u=0,\cdots,s;j,m=0,\cdots,t)$ .

PROOF. It is easy to show that N is an incidence matrix which has  $v_1v_2 = v$  rows and  $b_1b_2 = b$  columns each column having unity in  $k_1k_2 = k$  places. Since,  $NN' = N_1N_1' \times N_2N_2'$  and  $N_1N_1' = r_1B_0' + \lambda_1'B_1' + \cdots + \lambda_s'B_s'$ ,  $N_2N_2' = r_2B_0'' + \lambda_1''B_1'' + \cdots + \lambda_t''B_t''$ ,

(11) 
$$NN' = r_1 r_2 B_0' \times B_0'' + r_1 \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_j'' B_0' \times B_j'' + r_2 \sum_{i=1}^s \lambda_i' B_i' \times B_0'' + \sum_{i=1}^s \sum_{j=1}^t \lambda_i' \lambda_j'' B_i' \times B_j''.$$

 $B_i' \times B_j''$  is symmetric, as  $B_i'$  and  $B_j''$  are symmetric. The Kronecker product  $B_i' \times B_j''$  is obtained by replacing every unity of  $B_i'$  by  $B_j''$  and every zero by a zero matrix of order  $v_2 \times v_2$ . Hence  $B_i' \times B_j''$  is a  $v \times v$  matrix having on each of its row  $n_i'n_j''$  unit elements and the rest zero. From the properties of  $B_i'$  and  $B_j''$  it follows that the matrices  $B_i' \times B_j''$  ( $i = 1, \dots, s; j = 1, \dots, t$ ) have nonzero elements in mutually exclusive positions in the corresponding rows. Also  $B_0' \times B_0'' = I(v)$ . Thus defining  $B_i$  as in (10) the sum of elements of each row of the sum of  $B_0, \dots, B_{t+s+st}$  is

(12) 
$$1 + \sum_{j=1}^{t} n_{j}'' + \sum_{i=1}^{s} n_{i}' + \sum_{i=1}^{s} \sum_{j=1}^{t} n_{i}' n_{j}'' = v$$
 for,  $\sum_{j=1}^{t} n_{j}'' = v_{2} - 1$  and  $\sum_{i=1}^{s} n_{i}' = v_{1} - 1$ . This shows that 
$$\sum_{i=0}^{s+t+st} B_{i} = E(v, v).$$

Again if  $B_a = B_h' \times B_j''$  and  $B_c = B_k' \times B_m''$ ,

$$(14) B_a B_c = \left(\sum_{i=0}^s q_{hk}^i B_i'\right) \left(\sum_{f=0}^t g_{jm}^f B_f''\right) = \sum_{i=0}^{s+t+st} p_{ac}^i B_i$$

where,  $p_{ac}^i = p_{ca}^i$  as  $q_{hk}^i$  and  $q_{im}^f$  are symmetric. Thus defining  $\lambda_i$  as in (8) N,  $B_i$  and  $\lambda_i$  ( $i = 0, \dots, s + t + st$ ) satisfy all requirements of the Theorem 1. N is, therefore, a PBIB with at most s + t + st associate classes satisfying (i) and (iii) of Theorem 2 with  $p_{ac}^i$  as the number of treatments common to the ath and cth classes of two treatments which are ith associates. To prove the condition (ii) of the theorem we observe that the matrix

$$(15) \quad (B_a B_c) = (P_0' B_0' + \cdots + P_s' B_s') \times (P_0'' B_0'' + \cdots + P_t'' B_t'')$$

$$= \sum_{s=0}^{s+t+st} P_s B_s'$$

where  $P_i$  and  $B_i$  are defined in (9) and (10). This shows that  $P_i$  is the augmented matrix of parameters of the second kind corresponding to the *i*th class. Here we have assumed that the treatments corresponding to the zonzero elements in any row of  $B_i$  are the *i*th associates of the treatment which corresponds to the treatment in the same row of  $B_0$ . This completes the proof of our theorem.

The relations (9) and (10) give a logical and at the same time less cumbersome method of determining the different associate classes and the second kind of parameters.

If  $\lambda_i = \lambda_j$  in any PBIB, then the corresponding classes may be combined with the help of the lemma given by Vartak [4].

**5. Illustrations.** (i) Let  $N_1:b_1$ ,  $v_1$ ,  $r_1$ ,  $k_1$ ,  $\lambda_1'$ ;  $N_2:b_2$ ,  $v_2$ ,  $r_2$ ,  $k_2$ ,  $\lambda_2''$  be BIB designs. Then by our notation,

$$B_0' = I(v_1), \quad B_1' = E(v_1, v_1) - I(v_1); \quad B_0'' = I(v_2), \quad B_1'' = E(v_2, v_2) - I(v_2),$$

$$P_0' = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & v_1 - 1 \end{cases}, \quad P_1' = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & v_1 - 2 \end{cases},$$

$$P_0'' = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & v_2 - 1 \end{cases}, \quad P_1'' = \begin{cases} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & v_2 - 2 \end{cases}.$$

TABLE 1

	Treatments		I associates $B_0' \times B_1' + B_1' \times B_0'$									II associates $B_1' \times B_1'$								
		1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9	•	1	2	3	4	5	6	7	8	9
	1	0	1	1	1	0	0	1	0	0		0	0	0	0	ì	1	0	1	1
	2	1	0	1	0	1	0	0	1	0		0	0	0	1	0	1	1	0	1
	3	1	1	0	0	0	1	0	0	1		0	0	0	1	1	0	1	1	0
	4	1	0	0	0	1	1	1	0	0		0	1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1
	5	0	1	0	1	0	1	0	1	0		1	0	1	0	0	0	1	0	1
	6	0	0	1	1	1	0	0	0	1		1	1	0	0	0	0	1	1	0
	7	1	0	0	1	0	0	0	1	1		0	1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0
4	8	0	1	0	0	1	0	1	0	1		1	0	1	1	0	1	0	0	0
	9	0	0	1	0	0	1	1	1	0		1	1	0	1	1	0	0	0	0

In general,  $N_1 \times N_2$  is a PBIB with three associate classes. The first kind of parameters and the association matrices are obtained by strictly following (8) and (10). The usual matrices of parameters of the second kind are obtained from  $P_i$  by suppressing the first row and column. They are, respectively,

$$\begin{cases} v_2-2 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & v_1-1 \\ 0 & v_1-1 & (v_1-1)(v_2-2) \end{cases}, \begin{cases} 0 & 0 & v_2-1 \\ 0 & v_1-1 & 0 \\ v_2-1 & 0 & (v_1-2)(v_2-1) \end{cases}$$
$$\begin{cases} 0 & 1 & v_2-2 \\ 1 & 0 & v_1-2 \\ v_2-2 & v_1-2 & (v_1-2)(v_2-2) \end{cases}$$

and these are the same as those obtained by Vartak [4].

(ii) Let  $N_1$ :  $b_1=v_1=3, r_1=k_1=2, \lambda_1'=1$  and  $N_2$  be identical BIB designs. Then,

$$B_0' = B_0'' = I(3), \qquad B_1' = B_1'' = \begin{cases} 0 & 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 & 0 \end{cases}.$$

N is a PBIB with only two associate classes as the classes corresponding to  $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$  can be combined. We shall designate the class corresponding to this value of  $\lambda$  as the first associates. The treatments and the different associate classes of N are given in Table 1.

## REFERENCES

- Bose, R. C. (1963). Combinatorial properties of partially balanced designs and association schemes. Sankhyā 25 109-136.
- [2] Bose, R. C. and Mesner, D. M. (1959). On linear associative algebra corresponding to association schemes of partially balanced designs. Ann. Math. Statist. 30 21-38.
- [3] THOMPSON, W. A. (1958). A note on PBIB design matrices. Ann. Math. Statist. 29 919-922.
- [4] VARTAK, M. N. (1955). On an application of Kronecker product of matrices to statistical designs. Ann. Math. Statist. 26 420-438.