SEMI STABLE LAWS AS LIMIT DISTRIBUTIONS

BY R. N. PILLAI

Ohio Northern University

Introduction. Characteristic functions which satisfy the equation

$$f(t) = \{f(bt)\}^a$$

where 0 < |b| < a are studied in this paper. Here it can be assumed that a > 1, since if $a \le 1$, f belongs to a degenerate law. These are the so-called semi-stable laws of P. Lévy (1937). The semi-stable laws with finite expectation constitute the class of all solutions of the regression equation

(2)
$$E(X_1 - \alpha X_2 / X_1 + \beta X_2) = 0 \quad \text{with } \alpha \beta > 0 \text{ and}$$

 $|\beta|$ < 1 and X_1 and X_2 two independent and identically distributed random variables with finite expectation.

THEOREM 1. Let X_1, X_2, \cdots be a sequence of independent and identically distributed random variables with common distribution function F and common characteristic function f satisfying (1).

Let
$$\xi_n = \frac{X_1 + X_2 + \cdots + X_n}{B_n}.$$

Then $F_{\xi_{k_n}} \to_W F$, where $k_n = [a^n]$ and $B_{k_n} = b^{-n}$ and [x] = the largest integer $\leq x$. Conversely, if for any subsequence $\{k_n\}$ $F_{\xi_{k_n}} \to_W F$, then the law F is semi-stable and its characteristic function satisfies (1).

REMARK. Every semi-stable law can appear as a limit distribution of the sums ξ_{k_n} and conversely, every such sub-sequence of sums of independent and identically distributed random variables whenever it converges weakly is a semi-stable law.

PROOF. By iteration it follows from (1) that

$$f(t) = \{f(b^n t)\}^{a^n}.$$

Let $f_n(t) = \{f(b^n t)\}^{[a^n]}$. Evidently $f(t) = f_n(t)\{f(b^n t)\}^{\theta_n}$ where $\theta_n = a^n - [a^n]$ and $0 \le \theta_n < 1$. Therefore,

$$|f(t)-f_n(t)| = |\{f(b^n t)\}^{[a^n]}| \cdot |1-f^{\theta_n}(b^n t)| \le |1-f^{\theta_n}(b^n t)| \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Therefore,

$$\mathscr{L}(b^n(X_1+X_2+\cdots+X_{\lceil a^n\rceil}))\to_W\mathscr{L}(X).$$

Conversely, suppose that $F_{\xi_{k_n}} \to F$ where $k'_n = [a^n]$.

Then
$$\xi_{k_{n+1}} = b^{n+1} \{ X_1 + \cdots + X_{\lfloor a^{n+1} \rfloor} \}$$
 and

$$\xi_{k_{n+1}} = U_n + V_n$$

where
$$U_n = b^{n+1}(X_1 + \cdots + X_{[a^n]})$$
 and $V_n = b^{n+1}(X_{[a^n]+1} + \cdots + X_{[a^{n+1}]})$.

Received November 24, 1969; revised September 23, 1970.

Let $f_n(t) = \{f(b^n y)\}^{[a^n]}$. Then we have $f_n(t) \to f(t)$; since $F_{\xi_{k_n}}$ converges weakly to F.

Write $\theta_n + [a^n] = a^n$. Then $[a^{n+1}] - [a^n] = a^n(a-1) + \theta_n - \theta_{n+1}$. The characteristic function of V_n is given by

$$\{f(b^{n+1}t)\}^{[a^{n+1}]-[a^n]} = \{f^{an(a-1)}(b^{n+1}t)\} \frac{f^{\theta_n}(b^{n+1}t)}{f^{\theta_{n+1}}(b^{n+1}t)}.$$

It is now clear that the characteristic function of V_n tends to $\{f(bt)\}^{a-1}$ as $n \to \infty$, since the characteristic function of U_n tends to f(bt) as $n \to \infty$ and the characteristic function of V_n tends to $\{f(bt)\}^{a-1} = \{f(bt)\}^a$. Hence f(t) is semi-stable.

NOTE. It is interesting to note that in the statement of the converse of Theorem 1, it is enough to have a sequence k_n such that

$$\frac{k_{n+1}}{k_n} \to a \quad \text{and} \quad \frac{B_{k_{n+1}}}{B_{k_n}} \to b^{-1}.$$

THEOREM 2. The following assertion holds for a semi-stable law F represented by equation (1). Given $\varepsilon > 0$ there exists X_0 such that for all $x \ge X_0$

$$\frac{1}{ab^{-pr}}(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \le \frac{1-F(x)+F(-x)}{1-F(b'x)+F(-b'x)} \le \frac{a}{b^{-rp}}(1+\varepsilon),$$

where r is a given but quite arbitrary positive integer and p is a unique solution of the equation $a|b|^p = 1$.

PROOF. According to Gnedenko and Kolmogoroff (1954, Theorem 4 of Section 25), if for suitable constants A_n and B_n , the distribution functions of the sums

$$\xi_n = \xi_{n_1} + \xi_{n_2} + \cdots + \xi_{n_{k_n}} - A_n$$

of independent infinitesimal random variables converge to the distribution function F, then at continuity points of M(u) and N(u)

$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} F_{n_k}(x) \to M(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x < 0,$$
 and
$$\sum_{k=1}^{k_n} (F_{n_k}(x) - 1) \to N(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x > 0$$

as $n \to \infty$ where M(u) and N(u) are determined by Lévy's formula for F(x). Accordingly,

(3)
$$a^n F(b^{-n} x) \to M(x) \quad \text{for} \quad x < 0,$$

(4)
$$a^{n}(1-F(b^{-n})) \to -N(x) \text{ for } x > 0$$

at the continuity points of M and N respectively. For simplicity we assume that b > 0. The equation (4) in the characteristic function induces a similar equation in the functions M(u) and N(u) as follows:

(5)
$$M(u) = aM(u/b) \text{ and } N(u) = aN(u/b).$$

On iterating (5) n times, we get

$$N(u) = N(b^n u)/a^n = N(b^n u)/b^{-np}$$

where p is the unique solution of $a = b^{-p}$. Let $k(u) = u^p |N(u)|$ and $h(u) = |u|^p M(u)$. Then we get $h(u) = h(b^n u)$ and $k(u) = k(b^n u)$ for all n. Therefore, in place of (3) and (4), we can write

(6)
$$a^n F(b^{-n} x) \to |x|^{-p} h(x) \text{ for } x < 0,$$

(7)
$$a^{n}(1-F(b^{-n}x)) \to x^{-p}k(x) \text{ for } x > 0.$$

Let y > 0 be large. Choose *n* so large that for given x > 0, $b^{-n}x \le y \le b^{-(n+1)}x$. We now have

$$F(-b^{-(n+1)}x) \le F(-y) \le F(-b^{-n}x)$$
 and
 $1 - F(b^{-(n+1)}x) \le 1 - F(y) \le 1 - F(b^{-n}x)$.

For s > 0,

$$F(-sb^{-(n+1)}x) \le F(-sy) \le F(-b^{-n}sx),$$

$$1 - F(b^{-(n+1)}sx) \le 1 - F(sy) \le 1 - F(b^{-n}sx).$$

Therefore we have

$$\frac{1 - F(b^{-(n+1)}x) + F(-b^{-(n+1)}x)}{1 - F(b^{-n}sx) + F(-b^{-n}sx)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1 - F(y) + F(-y)}{1 - F(sy) + F(-sy)}$$

$$\leq \frac{1 - F(b^{-n}x) + F(-b^{-n}x)}{1 - F(b^{-(n+1)}sx)F + (-b^{-(n+1)}sx)}.$$

Let $s = b^r$, where r is a positive integer. Letting $n \to \infty$ and using (6) and (7), we have

$$\frac{1}{a}b^{rp}(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} \le \frac{1 - F(x) + F(-x)}{1 - F(b^{+r}x) + F(-b^{-r}x)} \le ab^{rp}(1+\varepsilon)$$

for all $x \ge X_0(\varepsilon)$. This completes the proof.

THEOREM 3. For a semi-stable law with exponent p, $E(|x|^{\delta})$ exists for $0 \le \delta < p$.

REMARK. There is a different proof of this theorem in Ramachandran and Rao (1968) with the stronger result that $E|X|^{\delta}$ does not exist for $\delta \geq p$.

PROOF. By Theorem 2, for $\varepsilon > 0$ and $k = b^{-r}$, r a positive integer,

(8)
$$\frac{k^{p}}{a}(1+\varepsilon)^{-1} < \frac{P\{|\xi| > k^{s}X_{0}\}}{P\{|\xi| > k^{s+1}X_{0}\}} < (1+\varepsilon)ak^{p}$$

for $s=1,2,\cdots$. We suppose that ε is so small that $((1+\varepsilon)a/k^{p-\delta})<1$.

We have

$$\int |X|^{\delta} dF(x) \leq \int_{-X_0}^{X_0} |X|^{\delta} dF(x) + \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} \int |X|^{\delta} dF(x) k^{s-1} X_0 \leq |X| < k^s X_0$$

$$(9) \qquad \leq \int_{-X_0}^{X_0} |X|^{\delta} dF(x) + X_0^{\delta} \sum_{s=1}^{\infty} k^{s^{\delta}} P\{|\xi| > k^{s-1} S_0\}.$$

Let U_n be the *n*th term of the infinite series. Then

$$\frac{U_{n+1}}{U_n} = k^{\delta} \frac{P\{\left|\xi\right| > k^n X_0\}}{P\{\left|\xi\right| > k^{n-1} X_0\}}$$
 and
$$\frac{U_{n+1}}{U_n} \le k^{\delta} a k^{-p} (1+\varepsilon) \quad \text{by} \quad (8)$$

$$= \frac{a(1+\varepsilon)}{k^{p-\delta}} < 1.$$

Therefore, the series on the right-hand side of (9) is convergent. Hence $E|X|^{\delta} < \infty$. This completes the proof.

REFERENCES

- [1] GNEDENKO, B. V. and KOLMOGOROV, A. N. (1954). Limit Distribution for Sums of Independent Variables. Addison-Wesley, Reading, Mass.
- [2] LINNIK, YU. V. (1960). Decomposition of Probability Laws. Leningrad Univ. Press.
- [3] LÉVY, P. (1937). Théore de L'addition des Variables Aleatoires. Gauthier-Villars, Paris.
- [4] Loéve, M. (1963). Probability Theory. Van Nostrand, Princeton.
- [5] LUKACS, E. (1960). Characteristic Functions. Griffin, London.
- [6] PATHAK, P. K. and PILLAI, R. N. (1968). On a characterization of the normal law. Sankhyā Series A 30 141-144.
- [7] PILLAI, R. N. (1968). On some characterizations of the normal law. Sankhyā Series A 30 145-146.
- [8] RAO, C. R. (1967). On some characterizations of the normal law, Sankyā Series A 29 1-14.
- [9] RAMACHANDRAN, B. and RAO, C. R. (1968). Some results on characteristic functions and characterizations of the normal and generalized stable laws. Sankhyā Series A 30 145-150