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#### Abstract

We propose another proof of geometric class field theory for curves by considering blow-ups of symmetric products of curves.


## 1. Introduction

Geometric class field theory gives a geometric description of the abelian coverings of a curve by using generalized jacobian varieties. Let us recall its precise statement. Let $C$ be a projective smooth curve over a perfect field $k$. We assume that $C$ is geometrically connected over $k$. Fix a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$, i.e., an effective Cartier divisor of $C$ and let $U$ be its complement in $C$. Denote by $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ the corresponding generalized jacobian variety. Let $G^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathrm{~m}}^{0}$ be an étale isogeny of smooth commutative algebraic groups and $G^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$ be a compatible morphism of torsors. We call such a pair $\left(G^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}, G^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}\right)$ a covering of $\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}, \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}\right)$. A covering $\left(G^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}, G^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}\right)$ is called connected abelian if $G^{0}$ is connected and $G^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ is an abelian isogeny. There is a natural map from $U$ to $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$ sending a point of $U$ to its associated invertible sheaf with a trivialization. Geometric class field theory states:

Theorem 1.1. Let $C$ be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over a perfect field $k$. Fix a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$ of $C$ and denote its complement by $U$. Let $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ be the generalized jacobian variety with modulus $\mathfrak{m}$. Then a connected abelian covering $\left(G^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}, G^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}\right)$ pulls back by the natural map $U \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$ to a geometrically connected abelian covering of $U$ whose ramification is bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$. Conversely, every such covering is obtained in this way.

Originally this theorem was proved by M. Rosenlicht [1954]. S. Lang [1956] generalized his results to an arbitrary algebraic variety. Their works are explained in detail in Serre's book [1988].

On the other hand, in 1980s, P. Deligne found another proof for the tamely ramified case by using symmetric powers of curves [Laumon 1990]. The aim of this paper is to complete his proof by considering blow-ups of symmetric powers of curves.

We have learned that Q. Guignard has done similar work [2019].
Actually we prove a variant of Theorem 1.1 now stated.
Theorem 1.2. There is an isomorphism of groups between the subgroup of $H^{1}(U, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of a character $\chi$ such that $\operatorname{Sw}_{P}(\chi) \leq n_{P}-1$ for all points $P \in \mathfrak{m}$, where $n_{P}$ is the multiplicity of $\mathfrak{m}$

[^0]at $P$, and the subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ consisting of $\rho$ which is multiplicative, i.e., the self-external product $\rho \boxtimes 1+1 \boxtimes \rho$ on $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}} \times_{k} \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}$ equals to $m^{*} \rho$, the pullback of $\rho$ by the multiplication map $m: \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}} \times_{k} \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}$.

The relation between Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 will be explained in Section 4.
When $k$ is algebraically closed, Theorem 1.2 can be stated as follows. Let $\rho$ be a multiplicative element of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Fix a closed point $P \in \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$. The multiplicativity of $\rho$ implies that, for an integer $d$, the pullback of $\rho^{d}$ by the multiplication by $d P \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ coincides with $\rho^{0}$. In this way, Theorem 1.2 can be restated as follows:

Theorem 1.3. Assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. Then there is an isomorphism of groups between the subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^{1}(U, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of a character $\chi$ such that $\operatorname{Sw}_{P}(\chi) \leq n_{P}-1$ for all points $P \in \mathfrak{m}$ and the subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ consisting of a multiplicative element $\rho^{0}$, i.e., the self-external product $\rho^{0} \boxtimes 1+1 \boxtimes \rho^{0}$ on $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0} \times{ }_{k} \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ equals to $m^{*} \rho^{0}$, the pullback of $\rho^{0}$ by the multiplication map $m: \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0} \times{ }_{k} \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$.

Here we summarize the construction of this paper. In Section 2, we recall the definition and properties of (refined) Swan conductors, and make a calculation on the Swan conductors of symmetric products of characters. We construct compactifications of the Abel-Jacobi maps $U^{(d)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ and study their properties in Section 3. The main result of this section is that the compactifications can be identified with open subschemes of blow-ups of $C^{(d)}$. In Section 4, we finish the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 by combining the results in the previous sections.

Throughout this paper, we use the following conventions: We identify an effective Cartier divisor with the associated closed subscheme. For an object defined on a scheme $S$ (e.g., an $S$-scheme, a locally free sheaf, a vector bundle, and so on) and a $S$-scheme $T$, we denote its pullback to $T$ by the same letter, unless there may be ambiguity. We denote the category of $S$-schemes by $S c h / S$. For a category $\mathcal{C}$, we call a functor $\mathcal{C}^{o p} \rightarrow(S e t)$, from the opposite category of $\mathcal{C}$ to the category of sets (Set), a presheaf on $\mathcal{C}$.

## 2. Preliminaries

In this section, we recall basic properties of Witt vectors and refined Swan conductors, and calculate the Swan conductors of symmetric products of characters. Fix a prime number $p$.

Reminder on the refined Swan conductor. Let $A$ be a ring of characteristic $p$. Let $m$ be an integer $\geq 0$. We denote by $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(A)$ the ring of Witt vectors of length $m+1$ with coefficients in $A$, and write its elements as $\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$. Let $\mathcal{O}_{A}$ be the structure sheaf of rings on the étale topos of $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$.

Let $F$ be the absolute Frobenius map $\mathcal{O}_{A} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{A}$, i.e., sending $x \mapsto x^{p}$, and denote the ring homomorphism $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A}\right)$ induced from $F$ by the same letter $F$. The short exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A}\right) \xrightarrow{F-1} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{A}\right) \rightarrow 0
$$

of étale sheaves on $\operatorname{Spec}(A)$ defines the boundary map

$$
\delta_{m+1, A}: \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(A) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(A), \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

The boundary map is surjective, hence $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(A) / \operatorname{Im}(F-1) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(A), \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, the map it induces, is an isomorphism. The boundary map $\delta_{m+1, A}$ is natural in $A$. In other words, for a morphism $f: A \rightarrow B$ of rings of characteristic $p$, the diagram

is commutative, where the vertical maps are the canonical ones induced from $f$.
Let $(R, \pi)$ be a DVR of equal characteristic $p$ and $K$ be its field of fractions. Let $v_{R}$ be its normalized valuation. Let $m$ be an integer $\geq 0$. We extend the valuation $v_{R}$ to $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K)$ by setting

$$
v_{R}\left(\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)\right):=\min _{i}\left\{p^{m-i} v_{R}\left(a_{i}\right)\right\} .
$$

We define an increasing exhaustive filtration on $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K)$ by setting, for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}(K)$ to be the subgroup of $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K)$ consisting of elements $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)$ such that

$$
v_{R}\left(\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)\right) \geq-n
$$

Define an increasing exhaustive filtration $\operatorname{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ by the image of $\mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}(K)$ through the boundary map $\delta_{m+1, K}$.

For any $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, the Swan conductor of $\chi, \operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi)$, is the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that $\chi \in \operatorname{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ [Brylinski 1983; Kato 1989]. When $R$ is henselian and the residue field is perfect, this is the same as the classical Swan conductor [Kato 1989, Proposition (6.8)].
Lemma 2.1. Let $R$ and $K$ be as above. Take $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$.
(1) The subgroup $\mathrm{fil}_{0} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ coincides with the image of the map $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(R), \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, i.e., the group of unramified characters.
(2) Let $\hat{R}$ be the completion of $R$ and $\hat{K}$ be its field offractions. Denote the restriction of $\chi$ to $\hat{K}$ by $\hat{\chi}$. Then, the equality $\operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi)=\operatorname{Sw}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{\chi})$ holds.

Proof. (1) This follows from the commutative diagram

$$
\begin{gather*}
\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(R) \xrightarrow{\delta_{m+1, R}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Spec}(R), \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)  \tag{2-2}\\
\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\delta_{m+1, K}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)
\end{gather*}
$$

and the fact that the upper horizontal arrow in (2-2) is surjective.
(2) The commutative diagram

implies $\operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi) \geq \operatorname{Sw}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{\chi})$. Let $n=\operatorname{Sw}_{\hat{R}}(\hat{\chi})$. Then there exists a Witt vector $\hat{\alpha} \in \operatorname{fil}_{n} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}(\hat{K})$ mapping to $\hat{\chi}$. Take $\alpha \in \operatorname{fil}_{n} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}(K)$ whose components are close enough to those of $\hat{\alpha}$ with respect to the valuation of $\hat{K}$, so that every component of $\hat{\alpha}-\alpha$ (here $\alpha$ is regarded as an element of $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(\hat{K})$ ) is in $\hat{R}$. Then, $\delta_{m+1, \hat{K}}(\hat{\alpha}-\alpha)$ is an unramified character by (1). Therefore, $\chi-\delta_{m+1, K}(\alpha)$ is unramified. Again by (1), there exists $\beta \in \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(R)$ such that $\chi-\delta_{m+1, K}(\alpha)=\delta_{m+1, K}(\beta)$, hence the assertion.

Next we recall refined Swan conductors.
Define $\widehat{\Omega}_{R}^{1}$ to be the $\pi$-adic completion of the absolute differential module $\Omega_{R}^{1}$. Let $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}:=\widehat{\Omega}_{R}^{1} \otimes_{R} K$. The canonical map $\widehat{\Omega}_{R}^{1} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ is injective and we usually regard $\widehat{\Omega}_{R}^{1}$ as an $R$-submodule of $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ via this map. The $R$-module $\widehat{\Omega}_{R}^{1}(\log )$ is the $R$-submodule of $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ generated by $\widehat{\Omega}_{R}^{1}$ and $d \log \pi:=d \pi / \pi$. From the definition, the following holds:

Lemma 2.2. Assume that $R$ is obtained from a smooth scheme over a perfect field by localizing at a point of codimension one. Let $b_{1}, \ldots, b_{n}$ be a lift of a p-basis of the residue field of $R$ to $R$. Then, $\widehat{\Omega}_{R}^{1}(\log )$ is a $\hat{R}$-free module with a basis $d b_{1}, \ldots, d b_{n}, d \log \pi$.

For $\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$, define $v_{R}^{\log }(\omega)$ as the largest integer $n$ such that $\omega \in \pi^{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{R}^{1}(\log )$ (we formally put $\left.v_{R}^{\log }(0):=\infty\right)$. There is a homomorphism $F^{m} d: \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ of groups given by

$$
F^{m} d\left(\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m}\right)\right):=\sum_{i} a_{i}^{p^{m-i}-1} d a_{i}
$$

Define an increasing exhaustive filtration on $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ by setting

$$
\mathrm{fil}_{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}:=\left\{\omega \in \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} \mid v_{R}^{\log }(\omega) \geq-n\right\}
$$

for $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. From the definitions, the homomorphism $F^{m} d: \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ respects their filtrations. In other words, $v_{R}(\alpha) \leq v_{R}^{\log }\left(F^{m} d \alpha\right)$ hold for all $\alpha \in \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K)$.

Proposition 2.3 [Leal 2018, Proposition 2.8]. Let $n$ be an integer $\geq 0$.
(1) There is a unique homomorphism

$$
\text { rsw }: \operatorname{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{fil}_{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} / \operatorname{fil}_{\lfloor n / p\rfloor} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}
$$

called the refined Swan conductor, such that the composition

$$
\mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{fil}_{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} / \mathrm{fil}_{\lfloor n / p\rfloor} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}
$$

coincides with $F^{m} d$.
(2) For $\left\lfloor\frac{n}{p}\right\rfloor \leq i \leq n$, the induced map

$$
\mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) / \mathrm{fil}_{i} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{fil}_{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} / \mathrm{fil}_{i} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}
$$

is injective.
At the end of this subsection, we extend the definition of the Swan conductors for characters in $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})$ as follows.

Let $m$ be an integer $\geq 0$. We identify the groups $\mathbb{Z} / p^{m} \mathbb{Z}$ and $\frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}$ via the multiplication by $\frac{1}{p^{m}}$. In this way, we define a filtration on $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ from that of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. The natural inclusion $\frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}$ induces an inclusion

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

of groups.
Lemma 2.4. Let $m, n$ be integers $\geq 0$. The equality

$$
\mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)=\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right) \cap \mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

of subgroups of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ holds.
Proof. Fix a separable closure $K^{s}$ of $K$. Let $V: \mathrm{W}_{m}\left(K^{s}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K^{s}\right)$ be the Verschiebung, i.e., the map sending $\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right)$ to $\left(0, a_{0}, \ldots, a_{m-1}\right)$. We have the following commutative diagram

here we identify $\frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}$ and $\mathbb{Z} / p^{m} \mathbb{Z}$ as mentioned above. Taking cohomology groups, we get a commutative diagram

$$
\begin{align*}
& \mathrm{W}_{m}(K) \xrightarrow{\delta_{m, K}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)  \tag{2-4}\\
& \quad \downarrow_{V} \\
& \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}(K) \xrightarrow{\delta_{m+1, K}} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right) .
\end{align*}
$$

Since the map $V: \mathrm{W}_{m}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K)$ respects the filtrations, the inclusion

$$
\mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right) \subset \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right) \cap \mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

holds. To prove the equality, it suffices to show that the morphism

$$
\operatorname{Gr}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

is injective for $n \geq 1$, where $\mathrm{Gr}_{n}:=\mathrm{fil}_{n} / \mathrm{fil}_{n-1}$. We have the following commutative diagram


By Proposition 2.3(2), the refined Swan conductors rsw in (2-5) are injective, hence the assertion.
We define a filtration on $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\bigcup_{m} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ by

$$
\operatorname{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)=\bigcup_{m} \operatorname{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \frac{1}{p^{m}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

Let $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}(K, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})$ be a character. Let $\chi_{p}$ be the $p$-primary part of $\chi$ and be considered as an element of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$ via the natural decomposition

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}(K, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}) \cong \bigoplus_{q} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Q}_{q} / \mathbb{Z}_{q}\right)
$$

where $q$ runs through all prime numbers. We define the $\operatorname{Swan}$ conductor $\operatorname{Sw}(\chi)$ to be the smallest integer $n \geq 0$ such that $\chi_{p} \in \operatorname{fil}_{n} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Q}_{p} / \mathbb{Z}_{p}\right)$.

The Swan conductor of a symmetric product. In this subsection, we assume that $k$ is a perfect field of characteristic $p$.

Let $X_{1}, X_{2}$ be smooth schemes over $k$. Let $Z_{1}$ and $Z_{2}$ be smooth irreducible closed subvarieties of $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$. Let $\tilde{X}_{1}, \tilde{X}_{2}$, and $\widetilde{X_{1} \times X_{2}}$ be the blow-ups of $X_{1}, X_{2}$, and $X_{1} \times X_{2}$ along $Z_{1}, Z_{2}$, and $Z_{1} \times Z_{2}$. Denote by $R_{1}, R_{2}$, and $R_{3}$ the DVRs at the generic points of the exceptional divisor of $\tilde{X}_{1}, \tilde{X}_{2}$, and $\widetilde{X_{1} \times X_{2}}$. Let $K_{i}$ be the field of fractions of $R_{i}$ for $i=1,2,3$.

Lemma 2.5. (1) The projections $X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow X_{1}$ and $X_{1} \times X_{2} \rightarrow X_{2}$ induce the extensions $R_{3} / R_{1}$ and $R_{3} / R_{2}$ of DVRs, which preserve uniformizers.
(2) There is a canonical isomorphism

$$
\widehat{\Omega}_{K_{3}}^{1} \cong\left(\hat{K}_{3} \otimes_{\hat{K}_{1}} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{1}}^{1}\right) \oplus\left(\hat{K}_{3} \otimes_{\hat{K}_{2}} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{2}}^{1}\right)
$$

This isomorphism respects the filtrations, i.e., via this isomorphism, fil $\widehat{\Omega}_{K_{3}}^{1}$ coincides with

$$
\left(\hat{R}_{3} \otimes_{\hat{R}_{1}} \mathrm{fil}_{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{1}}^{1}\right) \oplus\left(\hat{R}_{3} \otimes_{\hat{R}_{2}} \mathrm{fil}_{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{2}}^{1}\right)
$$

Proof. Let $U$ be the open subscheme of $\widetilde{X_{1} \times X_{2}}$ obtained by removing the strict transforms of $Z_{1} \times X_{2}$ and $X_{1} \times Z_{2}$. This is the largest open subscheme where the pull-backs of $Z_{1} \times X_{2}$ and $X_{1} \times Z_{2}$ coincide with the exceptional divisor. By the universality of the blow-ups $\tilde{X}_{1}$ and $\tilde{X}_{2}$, the projections $U \rightarrow X_{1}$ and $U \rightarrow X_{2}$ induce morphisms $U \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{1}$ and $U \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{2}$, hence a morphism $U \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}$ of $X_{1} \times X_{2}$-schemes. Denote by $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ the exceptional divisors of $\tilde{X}_{1}$ and $\tilde{X}_{2}$. Let $\left(\tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$ be the blow-up of $\tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}$ along $D_{1} \times D_{2}$. The morphism $U \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}$ lifts to a morphism $U \rightarrow\left(\tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$. We claim that this is an open immersion. Indeed, by the universality of the blow-up, the morphism $\left(\tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow X_{1} \times X_{2}$ lifts to a morphism $\left(\tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}\right)^{\prime} \rightarrow \widetilde{X_{1} \times X_{2}}$, which implies that $U$ is quasifinite over $\left(\tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$. By Zariski main theorem, the morphism $U \rightarrow\left(\tilde{X}_{1} \times \tilde{X}_{2}\right)^{\prime}$ is an open immersion.

Taking an affine open neighborhood of the generic point of the exceptional divisors $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$ in $\tilde{X}_{1}$ and $\tilde{X}_{2}$, we may assume that $\tilde{X}_{1}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{1}\right)$ and $\tilde{X}_{2}=\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{2}\right)$ are affine. We also assume that there are systems of regular parameters $x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n} \in A_{1}$ and $y_{1}, y_{2}, \ldots, y_{m} \in A_{2}$ such that the ideal generated by $x_{1}$ and $y_{1}$ define $D_{1}$ and $D_{2}$. The scheme $U$ is canonically isomorphic to $\operatorname{Spec}\left(A_{1} \otimes A_{2}\left[\frac{x_{1}}{y_{1}}, \frac{y_{1}}{x_{1}}\right]\right)$ and the natural inclusions $A_{1}, A_{2} \rightarrow A_{1} \otimes A_{2}\left[\frac{x_{1}}{y_{1}}, \frac{y_{1}}{x_{1}}\right]$ define the projections $U \rightarrow \tilde{X}_{1}, \tilde{X}_{2}$. The first assertion follows from this calculation. The canonical isomorphism

$$
\Omega_{X_{1} \times X_{2}}^{1} \cong \operatorname{pr}_{X_{1}}^{*} \Omega_{X_{1}}^{1} \oplus \operatorname{pr}_{X_{2}}^{*} \Omega_{X_{2}}^{1}
$$

where $\mathrm{pr}_{X_{1}}$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{X_{2}}$ are the projections to $X_{1}$ and $X_{2}$, gives an isomorphism

$$
\widehat{\Omega}_{K_{3}}^{1} \cong\left(\hat{K}_{3} \otimes_{\hat{K}_{1}} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{1}}^{1}\right) \oplus\left(\hat{K}_{3} \otimes_{\hat{K}_{2}} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{2}}^{1}\right)
$$

The differentials $\frac{d x_{1}}{x_{1}}, d\left(\frac{y_{1}}{x_{1}}\right), d x_{2}, \ldots, d x_{n}, d y_{2}, \ldots, d y_{m}$ form a basis of $\hat{R}_{3}$-module $\widehat{\Omega}_{R_{3}}^{1}(\log )$. The second assertion follows from this fact and (1).
Corollary 2.6. Let $\chi_{i} \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{i}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for $i=1,2$. Then, the following holds:

$$
\operatorname{Sw}_{R_{3}}\left(\chi_{1} \boxtimes 1+1 \boxtimes \chi_{2}\right)=\max \left\{\operatorname{Sw}_{R_{1}}\left(\chi_{1}\right), \operatorname{Sw}_{R_{2}}\left(\chi_{2}\right)\right\} .
$$

Proof. Taking the p-primary parts of $\chi_{1}, \chi_{2}$, and $\chi_{1} \boxtimes 1+1 \boxtimes \chi_{2}$, we reduce to the case when $\chi_{i} \in$ $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{i}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$.

First we verify that the morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{1}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{2}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{3}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \tag{2-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

respects the filtrations. Since the extensions $R_{3} / R_{1}, R_{3} / R_{2}$ of DVRs preserve uniformizers the morphism $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{1}\right) \oplus \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{2}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{3}\right)$ respects the filtrations, which implies the assertion.

To show the corollary, it is enough to prove that the morphism induced from (2-6) by taking $\mathrm{Gr}_{n}$ is injective. This follows from the injectivity of refined Swan conductors (Proposition 2.3) and Lemma 2.5.

Let $S$ be a scheme. For a quasiprojective $S$-scheme $X$ and a natural number $d \geq 1$, the $d$-th symmetry group $\mathfrak{S}_{d}$ acts on $X^{d}:=X \times_{S} X \times_{S} \cdots \times_{S} X(d$ times) via permutation of coordinates. Define a scheme $X^{(d)}:=X^{d} / \mathfrak{S}_{d} . X^{(d)}$ is called the $d$-th symmetric product of $X$. It is known that, if $X$ is smooth of
relative dimension 1 over $S, X^{(d)}$ is smooth and parametrizes effective Cartier divisors of deg $=d$ on $X$ [SGA 43 1973, Exposé XVII, Application 1; Polishchuk 2003, 16]. In particular, the formation of $X^{(d)}$ commutes with base change $S^{\prime} \rightarrow S$.

Let $C$ be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over $k$. Let $U$ be a nonempty open subscheme of $C$.

Let $d$ be an integer $\geq 1$. We construct a map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(U, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(U^{(d)}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ as follows. First fix a finite abelian group $G$. Let $V \rightarrow U$ be a $G$-torsor. Then $V^{d}$ is a $G^{d}$-torsor of $U^{d}$. Let $H$ be the subgroup of $G^{d}$ consisting of elements $\left(a_{1}, \ldots, a_{d}\right)$ satisfying $\sum_{1 \leq i \leq d} a_{i}=0$. Then $V^{d} / H$ is a $G$-torsor of $U^{d}$. This torsor has a natural action by the $d$-th symmetry group $\mathfrak{S}_{d}$ which is equivariant with respect to its action to $U^{d}$.

## Lemma 2.7. The morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(V^{d} / H\right) / \mathfrak{S}_{d} \rightarrow U^{(d)} \tag{2-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

induced from the map $V^{d} / H \rightarrow U^{d}$, taking the quotients by $\mathfrak{S}_{d}$, is a $G$-torsor.
Proof. It is sufficient to show that, for every geometric point $\bar{x}$ of $U^{d}$, the stabilizer group $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{x}}$ at $\bar{x}$ acts trivially on the fiber $\left(V^{d} / H\right)_{\bar{x}}$ over $\bar{x}$, see [SGA 1 1971, Remarque 5.8].

We may assume that $k$ is algebraically closed and that geometric points considered are $k$-valued points. Let $\bar{x}$ be a geometric point of $U^{d}$. For simplicity, we assume that $\bar{x}=\left(x_{1}, \ldots, x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{r}, \ldots, x_{r}\right)$, where $x_{1}, \ldots, x_{r}$ are distinct points and $x_{i}$ appears $d_{i}$ times for each $i$. Then the inertia group $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{x}}$ at $\bar{x}$ is isomorphic to $\prod_{1 \leq i \leq r} \mathfrak{S}_{d_{i}}$.

For each $i$, take a $k$-valued point $e_{i}$ of $V \times_{U} x_{i}$. From the definition of $H$, the fiber of $V^{d} / H$ over $\bar{x}$ can be identified with the set

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\{\left(e_{1}, e_{1}, \ldots, e_{r}, g e_{r}\right) \mid g \in G\right\} \tag{2-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

on which $\left(\mathfrak{S}_{d}\right)_{\bar{x}}$ acts trivially.
In this way, we construct a $G$-torsor $\left(V^{d} / H\right) / \mathfrak{S}_{d}$ on $U^{(d)}$. Since this construction is compatible with a morphism of abelian groups $G \rightarrow G^{\prime}$, we obtain a group homomorphism $\mathrm{H}^{1}(U, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(U^{(d)}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We denote by $\chi^{(d)}$ the image of $\chi$ via this map. Let $K$ be the field of fractions of $U, K_{(d)}$ be that of $U^{(d)}$, and $K_{d}$ be that of $U^{d}$. Taking $U$ smaller and smaller, we also have a map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K, G) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{(d)}, G\right)$ for a finite abelian group $G$ and a map $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{(d)}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$.

We consider a similar construction on the groups of Witt vectors. Denote by $\mathrm{pr}_{i}^{*}$ the morphism $K \rightarrow K_{d}$ induced by the $i$-th projection $U^{d} \rightarrow U$. Consider the map $\lambda: \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{d}\right)$ sending a Witt vector $\alpha$ to $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} \alpha+\cdots+\mathrm{pr}_{d}^{*} \alpha$. Since the extension $K_{d} / K_{(d)}$, induced by the natural projection $U^{d} \rightarrow U^{(d)}$, is finite Galois with the Galois group $\mathfrak{S}_{d}$, the $\mathfrak{S}_{d}$-fixed part of $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{d}\right)$ coincides with $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right)$ (here $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right)$ is considered as a subgroup of $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{d}\right)$ via the natural projection $\left.U^{d} \rightarrow U^{(d)}\right)$. Thus the map $\lambda$ factors through $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right)$. We also denote the induced map $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right)$
by $\lambda$. Note that the diagram

is commutative. This follows from the commutativity of $\mathrm{pr}_{i}^{*}$ and the boundary maps (see the diagram (2-1)), and the injectivity of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(U^{(d)}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(U^{d}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ (see Lemma 4.2). Also, the canonical morphism $\widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1} \otimes_{K_{(d)}} K_{d} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{d}}^{1}$ is an isomorphism and the $\mathfrak{S}_{d}$-fixed part of $\widehat{\Omega}_{K_{d}}^{1}$ coincides with (the image of) $\widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}$. We define a map $\mu: \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}$ similarly to $\lambda$. The maps $\lambda$ and $\mu$ commute with $F^{m} d$.

Let $P$ be a closed point of $U$. For simplicity, let us assume that the residue field at $P$ is isomorphic to $k$. Let $R$ be the DVR of $C$ at $P$, and $R_{(d)}$ be the DVR of $K_{(d)}$ at the generic point of the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of $C^{(d)}$ along the point corresponding to the divisor $d P$. We define filtrations on $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K)\left(\right.$ resp. $\mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right)$ ) and $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}\left(\operatorname{resp} . \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}\right)$ by $R\left(\operatorname{resp} . R_{(d)}\right)$ (see (2-1)).

The following theorem, and corollary are key calculations to prove Theorem 1.2 in Section 4.
Theorem 2.8. Let $n$ be an integer.
(1) The homomorphism

$$
\lambda: \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right)
$$

sends $\mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}(K)$ into $\mathrm{fil}_{\lfloor n / d\rfloor} \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right)$.
(2) The homomorphism

$$
\mu: \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}
$$

sends $\mathrm{fil}_{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ into fil ${ }_{\lfloor n / d\rfloor} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}$. Let $j$ be an integer. The induced map

$$
\mathrm{fil}_{(j+1) d-1} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} / \mathrm{fil}_{j d-1} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} \rightarrow \operatorname{Gr}_{j} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}
$$

is injective, here $\mathrm{Gr}_{j}:=\mathrm{fil}_{j} / \mathrm{fil}_{j-1}$.
Corollary 2.9. Let $\chi$ be a character in $\mathrm{H}^{1}(K, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})$. The following identity holds:

$$
\operatorname{Sw}_{R_{(d)}}\left(\chi^{(d)}\right)=\left\lfloor\frac{\operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi)}{d}\right\rfloor
$$

Proof of Corollary 2.9. Taking the $p$-primary part of $\chi$ and an isomorphism $\frac{1}{p^{m+1}} \mathbb{Z} / \mathbb{Z} \cong \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}$, we reduce to the case when $\chi \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Take $\alpha \in \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K)$ such that $\alpha$ maps to $\chi$ via the boundary $\operatorname{map} \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ and $v_{R}(\alpha)=-\mathrm{Sw}_{R}(\chi)$. Since the map $F^{m} d: \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ respects the filtrations, we have $F^{m} d \alpha \in \operatorname{fil}_{-\mathrm{Sw}_{R}(\chi)} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$. On the other hand, by Proposition 2.3(2), we have $F^{m} d \alpha=\operatorname{rsw}(\chi) \notin \mathrm{fil}_{-1-\mathrm{Sw}_{R}(\chi)} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$. By the definition of the filtration on $\widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$, the equality $v_{R}^{\log }\left(F^{m} d \alpha\right)=-\operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi)$ holds.

When $\operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi)=0, \chi$ is unramified since $\chi$ is $p$-torsion. Thus $\chi^{(d)}$ is unramified too by the construction of $\chi^{(d)}$, which implies the assertion in this case.

Assume $\operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi)>0$. Let $r:=\left\lfloor\operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi) / d\right\rfloor$. From Theorem 2.8(1), the inequality $v_{R_{(d)}}(\lambda(\alpha)) \geq-r$ holds. Thus $\chi^{(d)}$ is contained in $\operatorname{fil}_{r} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{(d)}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$, which implies the inequality $\mathrm{Sw}_{R_{(d)}}\left(\chi^{(d)}\right) \leq r$.

We show that the class of $\chi^{(d)}$ in $\operatorname{Gr}_{r} \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(K_{(d)}, \mathbb{Z} / p^{m+1} \mathbb{Z}\right)$ is nonzero. Consider the following commutative diagram
which is obtained from Proposition 2.3. It suffices to show that $\operatorname{rsw}\left(\chi^{(d)}\right)$ is nonzero. From the commutativity of (2-9), $\operatorname{rsw}\left(\chi^{(d)}\right)$ coincides with the class containing $F^{m} d \lambda(\alpha)=\mu\left(F^{m} d \alpha\right)$. Since $v_{R}^{\log }\left(F^{m} d \alpha\right)=-\operatorname{Sw}_{R}(\chi)$, the class of $F^{m} d \alpha$ in $\operatorname{fil}_{(r+1) d-1} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} / \mathrm{fil}_{r d-1} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ is nonzero. the assertion follows from Theorem 2.8(2), i.e., the injectivity of $\mu$.

To prove Theorem 2.8, we first collect some basic properties of the DVR $R_{(d)}$ and its module of differentials. Let $R_{d}$ be the normalization of $R_{(d)}$ in $K_{d} . R_{d}$ is a DVR. The natural projection $C^{d} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$ and the $i$-th projection $C^{d} \rightarrow C$ define extensions of DVRs

$$
R_{(d)} \hookrightarrow R_{d} \stackrel{\mathrm{pr}_{i}^{*}}{\longleftrightarrow} R
$$

Fix a uniformizer $t$ of $R$. Let $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{d}$ be the elementary symmetric polynomials of $\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} t, \ldots \mathrm{pr}_{d}^{*} t$ in $R_{d}$, i.e., $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{d}$ satisfy the following identity

$$
\left(T-\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} t\right) \cdots\left(T-\operatorname{pr}_{d}^{*} t\right)=T^{d}-S_{1} T^{d-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{d} S_{d}
$$

Lemma 2.10. (1) The residue field of $R_{(d)}$ is isomorphic to $k\left(S_{1} / S_{d}, \ldots, S_{d-1} / S_{d}\right)$.
(2) The elements $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{d}$ are uniformizers of $R_{(d)}$.
(3) The valuations of $\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} t, \ldots, \mathrm{pr}_{d}^{*} t$ with respect to $R_{d}$ are the same.

Proof. Since the sequence $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{d}$ is a regular system of parameters of the regular local ring of $C^{(d)}$ at the $k$-rational point $d P$, the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of $C^{(d)}$ is isomorphic to a projective space over $k$ with homogeneous coordinates $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{d}$.
(1) This follows from the considerations above.
(2) At the generic point of the exceptional divisor, the elements $S_{1}, \ldots, S_{d}$ generate the same ideal. Since the exceptional divisor is regular, the assertion follows.
(3) The $d$-th symmetry group $\mathfrak{S}_{d}$ acts on $R_{d}$ permuting the $p r_{i}^{*}$, hence the assertion.

By Lemmas 2.2 and $2.10(1), \widehat{\Omega}_{R_{(d)}}^{1}(\log )$ is an $\hat{R}_{(d)}$-free module with a basis $d S_{1} / S_{d}, \ldots, d S_{d} / S_{d}$.

Lemma 2.11. For each integer $i$, define

$$
\omega_{i}:=\frac{d\left(\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} t\right)}{\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} t^{i}}+\cdots+\frac{d\left(\operatorname{pr}_{d}^{*} t\right)}{\operatorname{pr}_{d}^{*} t^{i}} \in \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{d}}^{1}
$$

Let $j$ be an integer. Then, the differentials $\omega_{j d+1}, \ldots, \omega_{(j+1) d}$ form an $\hat{R}_{(d)}$-basis of the $\hat{R}_{(d)}$-free module $\left(1 / S_{d}^{j}\right) \widehat{\Omega}_{R_{(d)}}^{1}(\log )$.
Proof. To avoid notational confusion, we change the notation $d$ to $n$ in this proof.
Since the differentials $\omega_{j}$ are $\mathfrak{S}_{n}$-invariant, they are indeed contained in $\widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(n)}}^{1}$.
Suppose $j \geq 0$. Define a polynomial $F(T):=\left(T-\mathrm{pr}_{1}^{*} t\right) \cdots\left(T-\mathrm{pr}_{n}^{*} t\right)$. The following equalities hold:

$$
\begin{aligned}
-d S_{1} T^{n-1}+\cdots+(-1)^{n} d S_{n}=d F & =-F \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{d \operatorname{pr}_{i}^{*} t}{T-\operatorname{pr}_{i}^{*} t} \\
& =F \sum_{1 \leq i \leq n} \frac{1}{\mathrm{pr}_{i}^{*} t} \frac{d \mathrm{pr}_{i}^{*} t}{1-T / \mathrm{pr}_{i}^{*} t}=F \sum_{r \geq 0} \omega_{r+1} T^{r}
\end{aligned}
$$

Comparing the coefficients of $T^{r}$, we obtain equalities

$$
\begin{aligned}
S_{n} \omega_{1} & = \pm d S_{n} \\
S_{n} \omega_{2} \pm S_{n-1} \omega_{1} & = \pm d S_{n-1}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
S_{n} \omega_{r+1}+\left(\text { a linear combination of } \omega_{r}, \ldots, \omega_{r-n}\right)=0 \quad(r \geq n)
$$

The assertion follows by induction on $r$.
For the case when $j<0$, take $F$ as $\left(1-\operatorname{pr}_{1}^{*} t T\right) \cdots\left(1-\operatorname{pr}_{n}^{*} t T\right)$ and argue similarly.
Proof of Theorem 2.8. (1) Let $e_{R_{d} / R_{(d)}}$ be the ramification index of $R_{d} / R_{(d)}$. Let $e_{R_{d} / R}$ be the ramification index of $R_{d} / R$ induced by $\mathrm{pr}_{i}$. By Lemma 2.10, $e_{R_{d} / R}$ is independent of $i$. From the definition of the filtrations, the map $\mathrm{pr}_{i}^{*}: \mathrm{W}_{m+1}(K) \rightarrow \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{d}\right)$ sends $\mathrm{fil}_{n} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}(K)$ into $\mathrm{fil}_{n e_{R_{d} / R}} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}\left(K_{d}\right)$. Since $S_{d}$ is a uniformizer of $R_{(d)}$ by Lemma 2.10, the equality

$$
d e_{R_{d} / R}=e_{R_{d} / R_{(d)}}
$$

holds. This shows the identity

$$
\operatorname{fil}_{\lfloor n / d\rfloor} \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right)=\operatorname{fil}_{n e_{R_{d} / R}} \mathrm{~W}_{m+1}\left(K_{d}\right) \cap \mathrm{W}_{m+1}\left(K_{(d)}\right),
$$

hence the assertion.
(2) Note that the map $\mu: \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} \rightarrow \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}$ is continuous. The differentials $d t / t^{n+1}, d t / t^{n}, \ldots \in \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ map to $\omega_{n+1}, \omega_{n}, \ldots$ via $\mu$, all of which are contained in $\mathrm{fil}_{\lfloor n / d\rfloor} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}$ by Lemma 2.11. Thus the map $\mu$ sends fil ${ }_{n} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$ into fil ${ }_{\lfloor n / d\rfloor} \widehat{\Omega}_{K_{(d)}}^{1}$. Since the classes of $d t / t^{(j+1) d}, \ldots, d t / t^{j d+1}$ form a $k$-basis of $\mathrm{fil}_{(j+1) d-1} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1} / \mathrm{fil}_{j d-1} \widehat{\Omega}_{K}^{1}$, the last assertion follows from Lemma 2.11.

## 3. Generalized jacobians and blow-ups of symmetric powers

In this section, we fix a base scheme $S$. Let $C$ be a projective smooth $S$-scheme whose geometric fibers are connected and of dimension 1 . Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a relative effective Cartier divisor of $C / S$, i.e., a closed subscheme of $C$ which is finite flat of finite presentation over $S$. We also call $\mathfrak{m}$ a modulus. Let us denote, for $S$-schemes $T$, the projections $C \times{ }_{S} T \rightarrow T$ by the same symbol pr. In this section, we recall and study the notion of generalized jacobian varieties. Let $d$ be an integer and $\mathfrak{m}$ be a modulus. Let $T$ be an $S$-scheme. Consider a datum $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ such that:

- $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf of deg $=d$ on $C_{T}$.
- $\psi$ is an isomorphism $\left.\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}\right|_{\mathfrak{m}_{T}}$.

We say that two such data $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ and $\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}, \psi^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism of invertible sheaves $f: \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ making the following diagram commute:


For an $S$-scheme $T$, define a set

$$
\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d, \text { pre }}(T):=\{\text { isomorphism classes of }(\mathcal{L}, \psi) \text { defined as above }\} .
$$

$\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d, \text { pre }}$ extends in an obvious way to a presheaf on $S c h / S$, which we also denote by $\operatorname{Pic}{ }_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d, \text { pre }}$. Define $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ as the étale sheafification of $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d, \text { pre }}$. Their fundamental properties which we use without proofs are:
(1) $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ are represented by $S$-schemes.
(2) When $\mathfrak{m}$ is faithfully flat over $S, \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d, \text { pre }}$ are already étale sheaves.
(3) $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ is a smooth commutative group $S$-scheme with geometrically connected fibers.
(4) $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ are $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$-torsors.

When $\mathfrak{m}=0$, properties (1) and (3) are proved in [Bosch et al. 1990]. For general $\mathfrak{m}$, they can be proved similarly as in [Bosch et al. 1990, 9.3], or can be deduced from the case when $\mathfrak{m}=0$ and Lemma 3.1.
$\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ is called the generalized jacobian variety of $C$ with modulus $\mathfrak{m}$. When $\mathfrak{m}=0$, this is the jacobian variety of $C$. In this case, we also denote $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, 0}^{d}$ by $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ and $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ be moduli such that $\mathfrak{m} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$. There exists a natural map from $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}}^{d}$ to $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$, restricting $\psi$. Since $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a finite $S$-scheme, this map is a surjection as a morphism of étale sheaves.

Assume that $C \rightarrow S$ has a section. In this case, $\mathrm{Pic}_{C}^{d}$ has an expression as a sheaf as follows [Bosch et al. 1990, 8.1]. Let $T$ be an $S$-scheme, and $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ are invertible sheaves of deg $=d$ on $C_{T}$. Define an equivalence relation on $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d, \text { pre }}$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{1}$ and $\mathcal{L}_{2}$ are equivalent if and only if there exists an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{M}$ on $T$ such that $\mathcal{L}_{1} \cong \mathcal{L}_{2} \otimes$ pr* $\mathcal{M}$. If $C \rightarrow S$ has a section, the quotient presheaf of $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d \text {,pre }}$ by
this equivalence relation is an étale sheaf and coincides with the étale sheafification of $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d, \text { pre }}$ via the natural surjection. In particular, the identity map $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d}$ corresponds to an equivalence class of invertible sheaves on $C \times{ }_{S} \mathrm{Pic}_{C}^{d}$. In this paper, we call this class the universal class of invertible sheaves of $\operatorname{deg}=d$.

From now on we fix a modulus $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$. We call a modulus $\mathfrak{m}$ a submodulus if $\mathfrak{m} \subset \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ holds. Until the last paragraph, we treat the case when submoduli considered are everywhere strictly positive on $S$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a submodulus which is everywhere strictly positive. Then, $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ has an explicit expression as a sheaf, as explained before.

Denote the genus of $C$ by $g$. This is a locally constant function on $S$. We consider a condition on an integer $d$ as below:

$$
\begin{equation*}
d \geq \max \{2 g-1+\operatorname{deg} \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}, \operatorname{deg} \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}\} . \tag{3-1}
\end{equation*}
$$

When $S$ is quasicompact, such a $d$ always exists. For an integer $d$ and a submodulus $\mathfrak{m}$, denote $d_{\mathfrak{m}}:=d-\operatorname{deg} \tilde{\mathfrak{m}}+\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m}$. If $d$ satisfies (3-1), $d_{\mathfrak{m}}$ satisfies (3-1) with $\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}$ replaced by $\mathfrak{m}$.

Fix an integer $d$ satisfying (3-1). Let $T$ be an $S$-scheme and $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf of deg $=d$ on $C_{T}$. For every usual point $t \in T, R^{1} \operatorname{pr}_{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{L}(-\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})\right|_{C_{t}}\right)$ and $R^{1} \mathrm{pr}_{*}\left(\left.\mathcal{L}\right|_{C_{t}}\right)$ are zero by Serre duality and a degree argument. In this case, $\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}(-\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})$ and $\mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}$ are locally free sheaves and their formations commute with any base change, i.e., for any morphism of $S$-schemes $f: T^{\prime} \rightarrow T$, the base change morphisms $f^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} f^{*} \mathcal{L}$ and $f^{*} \operatorname{pr}_{*}(\mathcal{L}(-\tilde{\mathfrak{m}})) \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} f^{*}(\mathcal{L}(-\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}))$ are isomorphisms. Also $R^{1} \mathrm{pr}_{*} f^{*} \mathcal{L}$ and $R^{1} \mathrm{pr}_{*} f^{*}(\mathcal{L}(-\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}))$ are zero.

Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a submodulus. Denote by $U$ the complement of $\mathfrak{m}$ in $C$. The Abel-Jacobi map $U^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is a map which sends $D \in U^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ to $\left(\mathcal{O}_{C}(D), \iota_{D}\right)$, where $\iota_{D}$ is the one induced from the natural identification $\left.\mathcal{O}_{C \backslash D} \cong \mathcal{O}_{C}(D)\right|_{C \backslash D}$. In this section, we define and study a compactification $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ of the Abel-Jacobi map by constructing the following commutative diagram of smooth $S$-schemes:


The $S$-scheme $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ has, on the one hand, a clear moduli description, and, on the other hand, can be identified by an open subscheme of a blow-up, which will be denoted by $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$, of $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$.

Let $\mathcal{L}$ be an invertible sheaf on $C_{T}$ for an $S$-scheme $T$. Denote $\mathcal{L} /(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m}))$ by $\mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}$.
For an $S$-scheme $T$, consider a pair $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$ such that $\mathcal{L}$ is an invertible sheaf of deg $=d_{\mathfrak{m}}$ on $C_{T}$ and $\phi$ is an injection $\mathcal{O}_{T} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ such that the quotient $\mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}} / \mathcal{O}_{T}$ is locally free. Call such pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$ and $\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime}, \phi^{\prime}\right)$ isomorphic if there exists an isomorphism $f: \mathcal{L} \xrightarrow{ } \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ such that the following diagram
commutes:


Define $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}(T)$ as the set of isomorphism classes of such pairs. This is an étale sheaf on $S c h / S$. Define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}} \tag{3-2}
\end{equation*}
$$

by forgetting $\phi$. Let $X$ be a scheme, and $\mathcal{F}$ be a locally free sheaf of finite rank on $X$. We use a contra-Grothendieck notation for a projective space. Thus the $X$-scheme $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$ parametrizes invertible subsheaves of $\mathcal{F}$.
Lemma 3.1. The sheaf $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is represented by a proper smooth $S$-scheme. Assume that $C \rightarrow S$ has a section. Let $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ be a representative invertible sheaf of the universal class. Then, as sheaves on $\operatorname{Sch} / \operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d_{m}}$, $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is isomorphic to the projectivization $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}$.
Proof. First we consider the case when $C(S)$ is not empty. In this case, $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d_{\mathrm{m}}}$ has an explicit expression as a sheaf, as explained before.

Via the map (3-2), we regard $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ as a sheaf on $S c h / \operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. Fix a representative invertible sheaf $\mathcal{L}^{\prime}$ of the universal class. Let $\mathcal{N}$ be an element of $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}\right)(T)$, where $T$ is a $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$-scheme. Let $\phi: \mathcal{O}_{T} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*}\left(\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \otimes \mathrm{pr}^{*} \mathcal{N}^{-1}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ be a morphism obtained by tensoring the inclusion $\mathcal{N} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}$ with $\mathcal{N}^{-1}$. Then, the assignment $\mathcal{N} \mapsto\left(\mathcal{L}^{\prime} \otimes \operatorname{pr}^{*} \mathcal{N}^{-1}, \phi\right)$ defines a morphism of sheaves on $\operatorname{Sch} / \operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d_{\mathrm{m}}}$, $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. This is an isomorphism. Indeed, we can construct its inverse as follows. Let $T$ be a $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$-scheme and $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$ be an element of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}(T)$. Let $a: T \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ be the structure map. Then, there exists an invertible sheaf $\mathcal{N}$ on $T$ such that $\mathcal{L} \otimes \operatorname{pr}^{*} \mathcal{N}$ is isomorphic to $a^{*} \mathcal{L}^{\prime}$. Such an $\mathcal{N}$ is unique since $C \rightarrow S$ has a section. Then, $\mathcal{N} \xrightarrow{\phi \otimes \mathcal{N}} \operatorname{pr}_{*}\left(\left(\mathcal{L} \otimes \mathrm{pr}^{*} \mathcal{N}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{pr}_{*} a^{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}$ is an element of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\prime}\right)(T)$.

Next we consider the general case. As the map $C \rightarrow S$ has a section étale locally on $S$, the sheaf $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is represented, étale locally on $S$, by a projective space bundle. Since the dual of the canonical line bundle of a projective space bundle is relatively ample, the étale descent is effective.

Let $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$ be the universal element on $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. Define $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ as the $\mathcal{O}_{P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}}$ - module fitting in the following diagram of sheaves on $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ :

where the bottom horizontal arrow is the pushforward of the quotient map and each square is cartesian. Since all the right arrows are locally split injections and $p: \mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is a surjection of locally free sheaves, $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is locally free of finite rank and all the left arrows are locally split injections.

Let $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ be the projectivization of $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. As a sheaf on $\operatorname{Sch} / S, \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ parametrizes triples $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ such that $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$ is an element of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ and $\mathcal{M}$ is an invertible subsheaf of $\mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{T}$ such that the following diagram commutes:

where each arrow from $\mathcal{M}$ is the composition of the inclusion $\mathcal{M} \hookrightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{T}$ with the respecitve projection. This is a proper smooth $S$-scheme.

Lemma 3.2. The map $\operatorname{pr}_{*}(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ in (3-3) induces a closed immersion $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})\right) \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$. The closed subspace $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})\right)$ is a hyperplane bundle of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$.

Proof. The assertion follows from the exact sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*}(\mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{P_{\mathfrak{m}} d_{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow 0
$$

As a subsheaf of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right), \mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})\right)$ parametrizes triples $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ such that the first projection $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}$ factors through $\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})$.

Now we define a map $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ of $S$-schemes taking the homothety class of the left vertical arrow in (3-4).

Let $T$ be an $S$-scheme and $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ be an element of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)(T)$. Since the arrow $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}$ in (3-3) is locally a split injection, the first projection $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}$ is injective and the cokernel is locally free. Since these hold after any base change $t \rightarrow T$ from the spectrum of a field, the map $\mathrm{pr}^{*} \mathcal{M}_{t} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{t}$ is injective for a usual point $t$ of $T$. Thus $\mathcal{O}_{C_{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \otimes \mathrm{pr}^{*} \mathcal{M}^{-1}$ defines an effective Cartier divisor. Since $\operatorname{deg}\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{pr}^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)$ equals to $-d_{\mathfrak{m}}, \operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{T}} /\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \mathrm{pr}^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right)$ is finite flat of finite presentation of $\operatorname{deg}=d_{\mathfrak{m}}$ over $T$ by the Riemann-Roch formula.

Let $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ be the $d_{\mathfrak{m}}$-th symmetric product of $C$, which parametrizes effective Cartier divisors of $\operatorname{deg}=d_{\mathfrak{m}}$ on $C$. Define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)} \tag{3-5}
\end{equation*}
$$

sending $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ to $\operatorname{Spec}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C_{T}} /\left(\mathcal{L}^{-1} \otimes \operatorname{pr}^{*} \mathcal{M}\right)\right) \subset C_{T}$.
Let $Z_{0}$ be the closed subscheme of $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ defined by the map $C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \tilde{\mathfrak{m})}} \rightarrow C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$, adding $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ be the blow-up of $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ along $Z_{0}$. We now construct an isomorphism $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$, by which we will identify them.

We define a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
h: X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \tag{3-6}
\end{equation*}
$$

as follows.

Let $D$ be the universal effective Cartier divisor on $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$. Denote $\mathcal{O}_{C \times{ }_{S} C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}}(D)$ by $\mathcal{O}(D)$ and $\mathcal{O}(D) \otimes$ $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m} \times S_{S} C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}}$ by $\mathcal{O}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ for short. The composition of the natural maps $\mathcal{O}_{C \times{ }_{S} C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ defines a map of locally free sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} \rightarrow \mathrm{pr}_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ on $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$. After a base change $T \rightarrow C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$, this map becomes zero if and only if $T \rightarrow C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ factors through $Z_{0}$. Thus the image of the dual $\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{O}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)^{\vee} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{C^{(d \mathfrak{m})}}$ of this map is the ideal $\mathcal{I}$ defining $Z_{0}$. Let $\mathcal{L}:=\mathcal{O}_{C \times{ }_{S} X_{\mathfrak{m}}}(D) \otimes \operatorname{pr}^{*}\left(\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)$. Define $\phi: \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{C \times_{S} X_{\mathfrak{m}}}(D) \otimes \operatorname{pr}^{*}\left(\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)\right)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ to be the morphism obtained from the map $\left(\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)^{-1} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{C \times_{S} X_{\mathrm{m}}}(D)_{\mathfrak{m}}$ by tensoring $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathrm{m}}}$. Let $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathrm{m}}} \rightarrow \mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}$ be the map induced from the natural inclusion $\mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{C \times S X_{\mathfrak{m}}}(D)$ by tensoring with $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. This map and the natural inclusion $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ make the sheaf $\mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathrm{m}}}$ into a subsheaf of $\mathrm{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L} \oplus \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}}$, which makes the diagram (3-4) commutes. The triple $\left(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{I} \mathcal{O}_{X_{\mathfrak{m}}}\right)$ defines a morphism $h: X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$. From the construction, $h$ is a morphism over $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$.

Lemma 3.3. (1) As a subsheaf of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right), \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \times_{C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} Z_{0}$ parametrizes triples $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ such that the second projection $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ are zero. As closed subspaces of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right), \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \times_{C^{\left(d d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} Z_{0}$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})\right)$ are equal. In particular, $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \times_{C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} Z_{0}$ is a smooth divisor of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$.
(2) Let $V$ be the complement of $Z_{0}$ in $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$. As a subsheaf of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right), \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \times_{C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} V$ parametrizes triples $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ such that the second projection $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}$ is an isomorphism. The projection $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \times_{C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} V \rightarrow V$ is an isomorphism and its inverse coincides with the restriction of $h$ to $V$.

Proof. We are considering the following diagram:

(1) Let $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ be an element of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)(T)$. This maps into $Z_{0}$ via the map $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ if and only if the composition of $\mathrm{pr}^{*} \mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{L} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is zero. Since the right vertical arrow of (3-4) is an injection, this occurs if and only if the second projection $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T}$ is zero. The second assertion is obvious from the definition and the expression of $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})\right)$ as a subsheaf. The last assertion is verified for $\mathbb{P}\left(\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}(-\mathfrak{m})\right)$ in Lemma 3.2.
(2) Let $T$ be an $S$-scheme and $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ be an element of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)(T)$. Let $t$ be a usual point of $T$. By (1), the pullback of the projection $\mathcal{M} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{T}$ by $t \hookrightarrow T$ is an isomorphism if and only if the image of $t$ by the map

$$
T \xrightarrow{(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}
$$

is in $V$.
Let $p: \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \times_{C^{\left(d d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} V \rightarrow V$ be the projection. Since $h: X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ is a $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$-morphism, $\left.p \circ h\right|_{V}$ is the identity. Let $(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{M})$ be an element of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \times_{C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} V(T)$. Identify $\mathcal{M}$ and $\mathcal{O}_{T}$ by the second projection. By this rigidification, $\left(\mathcal{L}, \phi, \mathcal{O}_{T}\right)$ is determined by the first projection. Thus $p$ is an injection as a morphism of sheaves. The assertion follows.

After these preparations, we obtain the following:
Theorem 3.4. The morphism $h: X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ in (3-6) is an isomorphism.
Proof. By Lemma 3.3(1) and the universality of blow-ups, there exists a unique map $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ which is a lift of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$. Let V be as in Lemma 3.3(2), i.e., the complement of $Z_{0}$ in $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$. By the lemma, $V$ can be considered as an open subscheme of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$. On the other hand, as $V$ and $Z_{0}$ are disjoint, $V$ also can be considered as an open subscheme of $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Note that the complements of $V$ in $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ are supports of divisors. Therefore, $V$ is schematically dense in both of $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ and $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Since the morphisms $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)$ and $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ constructed above induce the identity on $V$, and both schemes are separated over $S$, the assertion follows.

Let $T$ be an $S$-scheme and $(\mathcal{L}, \psi)$ be an element of $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}(T)$. Define $\phi$ as the composition $\mathcal{O}_{T} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{m}_{T}} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{pr}_{*} \psi} \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}$. Then, the assignment $(\mathcal{L}, \psi) \mapsto(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$ defines a morphism

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}} \tag{3-7}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 3.5. The morphism $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}} \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ in (3-7) is an open immersion. The open subscheme $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ parametrizes pairs $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$ such that the maps $\mathcal{O}_{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ obtained from $\phi$ by adjunction are surjective.

Proof. This morphism is an injection of sheaves. Let $(\mathcal{L}, \phi)$ be an element of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}(T)$. This element is in $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ if and only if the map $\mathcal{O}_{C_{T}} \rightarrow \mathcal{L}_{\mathfrak{m}}$ obtained from $\phi$ by adjunction is a surjection. This is an open condition.

Next, we study behavior of various schemes when one replaces the modulus $\mathfrak{m}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a submodulus and $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}:=\tilde{\mathfrak{m}}-\mathfrak{m}$. Define a closed immersion $C^{\left(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m}^{\prime}\right)} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$ by adding $\mathfrak{m}^{\prime}$. We denote this closed subscheme of $C^{(d)}$ by $Z_{\mathfrak{m}}$. If $\mathfrak{m}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{2}$, the inclusion $Z_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}} \subset Z_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ holds. The closed immersion $Z_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}} \hookrightarrow Z_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ is induced by adding $\mathfrak{m}_{2}-\mathfrak{m}_{1}$. This induces a map

$$
\begin{equation*}
X_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}} \hookrightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}} \tag{3-8}
\end{equation*}
$$

of the blow-ups along $Z_{0}$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$ be submoduli such that $\mathfrak{m}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{2}$. Define a map $i_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}}: P_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}}} \rightarrow$ $P_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}}$ by sending $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}, \phi_{1}\right)$ to $\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{2}-\mathfrak{m}_{1}\right), \phi\right)$, where $\phi$ is the composition of $\phi_{1}$ and the natural injection $\operatorname{pr}_{*}\left(\mathcal{L}_{1}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}} \rightarrow \operatorname{pr}_{*} \mathcal{L}_{1}\left(\mathfrak{m}_{2}-\mathfrak{m}_{1}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$. The map $i_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ is a closed immersion.
Proposition 3.6. (1) Let $\mathfrak{m}_{1}$ and $\mathfrak{m}_{2}$ be submoduli such that $\mathfrak{m}_{1} \subset \mathfrak{m}_{2}$. As a subsheaf of $P_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}}, P_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}}}$ parametrizes pairs $\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}, \phi_{2}\right)$ such that the compositions $\mathcal{O}_{C} \xrightarrow{\operatorname{pr}^{*} \phi_{2}}\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}} \rightarrow\left(\mathcal{L}_{2}\right)_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}-\mathfrak{m}_{1}}$ are zero. The commutative diagram

induced by (3-6), (3-8), and the projections $\mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}}\right) \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}}}$ is a cartesian diagram.
(2) Assume that a submodulus $\mathfrak{m}$ is the sum $\sum_{i} \mathfrak{m}_{i}$ of submoduli of $\operatorname{deg}=1$. Let $\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}:=\sum_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{m}_{j}$. Then, the open subspace $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ of $P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is the complement of $P_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}}}$ for all $i$.

Proof. (1) The first assertion is obvious from the definition of $i_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}}$. To prove the second assertion, it is enough to show that $\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}} \cong i_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}}^{*} \mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ by Theorem 3.4. Let $\left(\mathcal{L}_{i}, \phi_{i}\right)$ be the universal elements of $P_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}}}$. The pullback of the cartesian diagram

by $i_{\mathfrak{m}_{1}, \mathfrak{m}_{2}}$ extends to the diagram

where the two squares are cartesian diagrams, which shows the assertion.
(2) This follows from Lemma 3.5 and (1).

Define the $S$-scheme $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ as the fibered product

where the bottom horizontal map $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ is the composition $X_{\mathfrak{m}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{P}\left(\mathcal{E}_{\mathfrak{m}}\right) \rightarrow P_{\mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$. The $S$-scheme $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ is a projective space bundle on $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$.

Proposition 3.7. The first projection $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)} \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ is an open immersion. Moreover, if $\mathfrak{m}$ is the sum $\sum_{i} \mathfrak{m}_{i}$ of submoduli of $\operatorname{deg}=1, \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ coincides with the complement of $X_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}}$ for all $i$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}:=\sum_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{m}_{j}$.

Proof. These are consequences of Lemma 3.5 and Proposition 3.6.

The Abel-Jacobi map $U^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d_{\mathfrak{m}}}$ and the canonical open immersion $U^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)} \rightarrow X_{\mathfrak{m}}$ define the following commutative diagram

which induces an $X_{\mathfrak{m}}$-morphism $U^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$. This is an open immersion, since the vertical arrows of (3-9) and the left vertical arrow of (3-10) are open immersions. Combining the previous results, we obtain the following:
Corollary 3.8. As an open subscheme of $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}, U^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ is the complement of $\tilde{C}^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)} \times_{C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}} Z_{0}$.
Proof. After a finite faithfully flat base change of $S$, we may assume that $\mathfrak{m}$ decomposes the sum $\sum_{i} \mathfrak{m}_{i}$ of submoduli $\mathfrak{m}_{i}$ of deg $=1$. Let $V$ be the complement of $Z_{0}$ in $C^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$. Since $V \backslash U^{\left(d_{\mathfrak{m}}\right)}$ is included in $\bigcup_{i} Z_{\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}}$, where $\mathfrak{m}_{i}^{\prime}:=\sum_{j \neq i} \mathfrak{m}_{j}$, the assertion follows from Proposition 3.7.

Now assume $\mathfrak{m}=0$. If $C$ has an $S$-valued point $P$, it is well-known that $C^{(d)}$ is a projective space bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}_{C}^{d}$ when $d \geq \max \{2 g-1,0\}$, where $g$ is the genus of $C$. In other words, there exists a locally free sheaf $\mathcal{F}$ of finite rank on $\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d}$ such that $C^{(d)}$ is isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{F})$. Classically this is proved using the Poincare bundle. On the other hand, using Proposition 3.7, we might prove this fact with an extra condition $d \geq \max \{2 g, 1\}$, identifying $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, P}^{d} \cong \operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d}$ (see [Bosch et al. 1990, 8.2]).

Corollary 3.9. Assume that $S$ is connected noetherian. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a modulus $>0(r e s p .=0)$ of $C$ and $d$ be a sufficiently large integer. Take a geometric point $\bar{x}$ on $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}\left(\right.$ resp. on $\left.C^{(d)}\right)$ and denote $\bar{y}$ its image to $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$. Then, the morphism of profinite groups $\pi_{1}\left(\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}, \bar{x}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}, \bar{y}\right)\left(\operatorname{resp} . \pi_{1}\left(C^{(d)}, \bar{x}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C}^{d}, \bar{y}\right)\right)$ induced from the projection $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}\left(\right.$ resp. $\left.C^{(d)} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C}^{d}\right)$ is an isomorphism.
Proof. When $\mathfrak{m}=0$, let us also denote $C^{(d)}$ by $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ for. If $\mathfrak{m}>0$ (resp. $=0$ ), $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ is a projective space bundle over $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ (resp. after the base change from $S$ to an étale cover). In any case, the morphism $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ is proper surjective smooth with geometrically connected fibers. Take a geometric point $\bar{s}$ of $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}, \bar{y}}^{(d)}$ above $\bar{x}$. Since the scheme $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}, \bar{y}}^{(d)}$ is simply connected, the homotopy exact sequence

$$
\pi_{1}\left(\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}, \bar{y}}^{(d)}, \bar{s}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}, \bar{x}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}, \bar{y}\right) \rightarrow 1
$$

implies the assertion.

## 4. Proofs

In this section, we prove Theorems 1.1 and 1.2.
First we need to recall basic results on symmetric products of curves.
Let $C$ be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over a perfect field $k$. Let $\mathfrak{m}$ be a modulus on $C$ and write $\mathfrak{m}=n_{1} P_{1}+\cdots+n_{r} P_{r}$, where $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r}$ are distinct closed points of $\mathfrak{m}$. Denote the complement of $\mathfrak{m}$ in $C$ by $U$. Let $d_{i}:=\operatorname{deg} P_{i}$. Take a positive integer $d$ so that $d \geq \operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m}$.

Lemma 4.1. The morphism $\pi: C^{\left(n_{1} d_{1}\right)} \times \cdots \times C^{\left(n_{r} d_{r}\right)} \times C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$, taking the sum, is étale at the generic point of the closed subvariety $\left\{n_{1} P_{1}\right\} \times \cdots \times\left\{n_{r} P_{r}\right\} \times C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})}$ of $C^{\left(n_{1} d_{1}\right)} \times \cdots \times C^{\left(n_{r} d_{r}\right)} \times$ $C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})}$.

Proof. We may assume that $k$ is algebraically closed (hence $d_{i}=1$ for all $i$ ). Since the map $\pi$ : $C^{\left(n_{1}\right)} \times \cdots \times C^{\left(n_{r}\right)} \times C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})} \rightarrow C^{(d)}$ is finite flat, it is enough to show that there exists a closed point $Q$ of $n_{1} P_{1}+\cdots n_{r} P_{r}+C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})}$ over which there are deg $\pi$ points on $C^{\left(n_{1}\right)} \times \cdots \times C^{\left(n_{r}\right)} \times C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})}$. Choose $Q$ as a point corresponding to a divisor $n_{1} P_{1}+\cdots n_{r} P_{r}+P_{r+1}+\cdots+P_{r+d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m}}$, where $P_{1}, \ldots, P_{r+d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m}}$ are distinct points of $U(k)$.

Lemma 4.2. The morphism $\pi_{1}\left(U^{d}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(U^{(d)}\right)$ induced from the natural projection $U^{d} \rightarrow U^{(d)}$ (base points are omitted) is surjective.

Proof. Since $U^{d}$ and $U^{(d)}$ are geometrically connected over $k$, it is enough to show the surjectivity after the base change to an algebraic closure $\bar{k}$ by considering the homotopy exact sequence $1 \rightarrow \pi_{1}\left(U_{\bar{k}}^{d}\right) \rightarrow$ $\pi_{1}\left(U^{d}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1}(\operatorname{Spec}(k)) \rightarrow 1$ and the counterpart of $U^{(d)}$.

Assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. Let $V$ be a connected finite étale covering of $U^{(d)}$. We show that the pullback $V \times_{U^{(d)}} U^{d}$ is also connected, which shows the assertion. Note that, since the schemes $U^{d}$ and $U^{(d)}$ are normal, $V$ and $V \times_{U^{(d)}} U^{d}$ are normal. In particular, $V \times_{U^{(d)}} U^{d}$ is the disjoint union of integral schemes. Since the map $U^{d} \rightarrow U^{(d)}$ is finite flat, each connected component of $V \times_{U^{(d)}} U^{d}$ surjects onto $V$. Take a $k$-valued point $P \in U(k)$. Take a $k$-valued point $P^{\prime} \in V(k)$ over $d P \in U^{(d)}(k)$. Since the fiber of $U^{d} \rightarrow U^{(d)}$ over the point $d P$ consists of one point $(P, P, \ldots, P)$, the fiber of $V \times_{U^{(d)}} U^{d} \rightarrow V$ over $P^{\prime}$ also consists of one point. Thus the scheme $V \times_{U^{(d)}} U^{d}$ has only one connected component.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. Let $C$ be a projective smooth geometrically connected curve over a perfect field $k$. Let $\mathfrak{m}=n_{1} P_{1}+\cdots+n_{r} P_{r}\left(n_{i} \geq 1\right)$ be a modulus on $C$, and $U$ be its complement. Set $A$ as the subgroup of $H^{1}(U, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z})$ consisting of characters $\chi$ such that $\operatorname{Sw}_{P_{i}}(\chi) \leq n_{i}-1$ for $i=1, \ldots, r$, and $B$ as the subgroup of $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ consisting of multiplicative elements.

We construct a map $\Psi: B \rightarrow A$. Take $\rho \in B$. Define $\chi$ to be the pullback of $\rho^{1}$ by the natural map $U \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$. We need to show that the ramification is bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$. Take a natural number $d$ large enough so that $d$ satisfies (3-1) for $\mathfrak{m}$. Consider the following commutative diagram:


By the multiplicativity of $\rho$, we know that $\pi^{*} p^{*} \rho^{d}=\chi^{\boxtimes d}$. Lemma 4.2 implies that $p^{*} \rho^{d}=\chi^{(d)}$. We show that $\operatorname{Sw}_{P_{i}}(\chi) \leq n_{i}-1$. To do this, it is enough to prove that the Swan conductor of $\chi^{\left(n_{i}\right)}$, with respect to the DVR at the generic point of the blow-up of $C^{\left(n_{i}\right)}$ along $n_{i} P_{i}$, is zero, by Corollary 2.9. We may assume that $k$ is algebraically closed (hence $d_{i}=1$ ). Note that the right vertical arrow $p$ in (4-1)
factors through $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$ :

$$
U^{(d)} \rightarrow \tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d} .
$$

Since the pullback of $\rho^{d}$ by $p$ is $\chi^{(d)}$, we find that $\chi^{(d)}$ is unramified at the generic point of the complement $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)} \backslash U^{(d)}$. Thus, by Lemma 4.1 and Corollary 3.8, the character

$$
\chi^{\left(n_{1}\right)} \boxtimes 1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes 1+1 \boxtimes \chi^{\left(n_{2}\right)} \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes 1+\cdots+1 \boxtimes \cdots \boxtimes \chi^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})}
$$

on $U^{\left(n_{1}\right)} \times \cdots \times U^{\left(n_{r}\right)} \times U^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})}$ is unramified at the generic point of the exceptional divisor of the blow-up of $C^{\left(n_{1}\right)} \times \cdots \times C^{\left(n_{r}\right)} \times C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})}$ along $\left\{n_{1} P_{1}\right\} \times \cdots \times\left\{n_{r} P_{r}\right\} \times C^{(d-\operatorname{deg} \mathfrak{m})}$. Using Corollary 2.6 repeatedly, the assertion is proved.

Thus the map $B \rightarrow A$, pulling back by $U \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$, is well-defined. We denote this map by $\Psi$.
First we show the injectivity of $\Psi$. Take $\rho$ from the kernel of $\Psi$. Since the multiplication map $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{n} \times \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{m} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{n+m}$ and the two projections $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{n} \times \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{m} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{n}, \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{m}$ have geometrically connected fibers, the triviality of two of $\rho^{n}, \rho^{m}, \rho^{n+m}$ implies the triviality of the other. Thus it is enough to show the triviality of $\rho^{d}$ for sufficiently large $d$. Consider the diagram (4-1). By Lemma 4.2, we know that $p^{*} \rho^{d}$ is trivial, which implies that $\rho^{d}$ is trivial by Corollary 3.9.

The surjectivity of $\Psi$ is proved as follows. Take $\chi \in A$. Let $d$ be an integer satisfying (3-1) for $\mathfrak{m}$. Corollary 2.9, Proposition 3.7, and Lemma 4.1 imply that the character $\chi^{(d)}$ extends to a character $\tilde{\chi}^{(d)}$ on $\tilde{C}_{\mathfrak{m}}^{(d)}$. Corollary 3.9 implies that $\tilde{\chi}^{(d)}$ descends to a character $\rho^{d}$ on $\operatorname{Pic}{ }_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$. Let $d_{1}$ and $d_{2}$ be integers which satisfy (3-1). The commutative diagram

and the fact that the left vertical map has geometrically connected fibers show $q^{*} \rho^{d_{1}+d_{2}}=\rho^{d_{1}} \boxtimes 1+1 \boxtimes \rho^{d_{2}}$. Fix a nonzero effective Cartier divisor $D$ on $U$ such that $\operatorname{deg} D$ satisfies (3-1). Let $\xi$ be the pullback of $\rho^{\operatorname{deg} D}$ by the map $\operatorname{Spec}(k) \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{\operatorname{deg} D}$, corresponding to the point $D$. For an arbitrary integer $n$, take a natural number $m$ so large that the integer $n+m \operatorname{deg} D$ satisfies (3-1). Define $\rho^{n}:=f^{*} \rho^{n+m \operatorname{deg} D} \cdot a^{*} \xi^{-m}$, where $f: \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{n+m \operatorname{deg} D}$ is multiplication by $\mathcal{O}_{C}(m D)$ and $a: \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{n} \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ is the structure map. This construction does not depend on $m$, since the multiplicativity of $\rho^{n}$ is already verified for large $n$. By the same reason, the characters $\rho^{n}$ form a multiplicative element on $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}$. The equality $\chi=\Psi(\rho)$ follows from the commutative diagram

where $g$ is the composition of the structure map $U \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ and the map $\operatorname{Spec}(k) \rightarrow U^{(\operatorname{deg} D)}$ corresponding to the divisor $D$. Indeed, the pullback of $\rho^{\operatorname{deg} D+1}$ by the map $U \rightarrow U \times U^{(\operatorname{deg} D)} \rightarrow U^{(\operatorname{deg} D+1)} \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{\operatorname{deg} D+1}$ is $\chi \cdot b^{*} \xi$, where $b: U \rightarrow \operatorname{Spec}(k)$ is the structure map. On the other hand, the pullback of $\rho^{\operatorname{deg} D+1}$ the other way is $\Psi(\rho) \cdot b^{*} \xi$.

To deduce Theorem 1.1 from Theorem 1.2, first we recall basic facts on torsors.
Assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. Fix a connected commutative algebraic $k$-group $G$. Let $\mathcal{C}(G)$ be the category as follows. The objects are pairs $(H, \phi: H \rightarrow G)$ where $H$ are connected commutative algebraic $k$-groups and $\phi$ are abelian isogenies. The morphisms $\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}: H_{1} \rightarrow G\right) \rightarrow\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}: H_{2} \rightarrow G\right)$ are pairs $(f, g)$ where $f: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ is a morphism of $k$-group schemes such that $\phi_{2} \circ f=\phi_{1}$ and $g: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ is a compatible morphism of torsors such that $\phi_{2} \circ g=\phi_{1}$. Here we regard $H_{1}$ (resp. $H_{2}$ ) itself as an $H_{1}$-torsor (resp. $H_{2}$-torsor) by the multiplication. Note that the kernels of $\phi_{i}$ are constant $k$-schemes since $H_{i}$ are Galois coverings of $G$.

Lemma 4.3. Let the notation be as above. Let $\left(H_{i}, \phi_{i}: H_{i} \rightarrow G\right)$ be objects in $\mathcal{C}(G)$ for $i=1,2$.
(1) If there exists a morphism $H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ of $G$-schemes, there exists a unique morphism $f: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ of $k$-group schemes with $\phi_{2} \circ f=\phi_{1}$.
(2) The map

$$
\operatorname{Hom}\left(\left(H_{1}, \phi_{1}: H_{1} \rightarrow G\right),\left(H_{2}, \phi_{2}: H_{2} \rightarrow G\right)\right) \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{G}\left(H_{1}, H_{2}\right)
$$

sending $(f, g) \mapsto g$ is bijective. Here the target is the set of morphisms of $G$-schemes.
Proof. Let $e_{i} \in H_{i}(k)$ be the units.
(1) Uniqueness follows from the fact that $H_{i}$ are connected étale coverings of $G$ and such an $f$ must send $e_{1}$ to $e_{2}$. Let $f: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be the $G$-morphism which sends $e_{1}$ to $e_{2}$. Such an $f$ does exist since $H_{2}$ is Galois over $G$. We need to show that the diagram

where the vertical maps are the multiplications, is commutative. This follows since $H_{1} \times H_{1}$ is a connected étale covering of $G \times G$ and the two maps send $\left(e_{1}, e_{1}\right)$ to $e_{2}$.
(2) Injectivity follows since a goup homomorphism $f: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ over $G$ is unique if it exists by (1). We show the surjectivity. Thus we assume that there is a group homomorphism $f: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ over $G$. Let $g: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be a morphism of $G$-schemes. Since $H_{1}$ is a connected étale covering of $G$, this is uniquely determined by the image $a:=g\left(e_{1}\right)$, which is contained in ker $\phi_{2}$. Let $g^{\prime}: H_{1} \rightarrow H_{2}$ be the compatible morphism of torsors which sends $e_{1}$ to $a$. Since $a \in \operatorname{ker} \phi_{2}$ and $\phi_{2} \circ f=\phi_{1}$, this is a morphism of $G$-schemes. Thus we have $g=g^{\prime}$.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. Let $\left(G^{0}, G^{1}\right)$ be a connected abelian covering of $\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}, \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}\right)$. Since the $d$-th power of $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$ is isomorphic to $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ as $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$-torsors, the $d$-th power $G^{d}$ of $G^{1}$ is naturally equipped with a compatible morphism $G^{d} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}$ of torsors. Let $K$ be the kernel of the map $G^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$. This is a finite constant group since $G^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ is a Galois isogeny. Take a nontrivial homomorphism $\chi: K \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$. This defines characters $\rho^{d} \in \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{d}, \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}\right)$ for all $d$. From the construction, they form a multiplicative element on $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}$. Theorem 1.2 implies that the pullback of $\rho^{1}$ by $U \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$ is nontrivial and its ramification is bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$, which shows the first part of Theorem 1.1.

Define the category $\mathcal{C}_{1}$ as the category of geometrically connected abelian coverings of $U$ whose ramifications are bounded by $\mathfrak{m}$ and the category $\mathcal{C}_{2}$ as the category of connected abelian coverings of $\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}, \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}\right)$. We have constructed a functor $\Phi: \mathcal{C}_{2} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{1}$. We show that this functor is an equivalence of categories. We only treat the case when $k$ is algebraically closed. General case follows from this special case by using an argument of Galois descent.

Assume that $k$ is algebraically closed. Let $\mathcal{C}:=\mathcal{C}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}\right)$ be the category defined above. In this case, fixing a closed point $P$ of $U, \mathcal{C}_{2}$ is isomorphic to $\mathcal{C}$ via the isomorphism $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{1}$ of torsors, tensoring $\mathcal{O}_{C}(P)$.

We show that the functor $\Phi^{\prime}: \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_{1}$, pulling back by the morphism $U \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ sending $Q$ to $\mathcal{O}_{C}(Q-P)$ is an equivalence. Faithfulness is obvious since there only occur connected coverings. To show fullness, let $\left(G_{i}, G_{i} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}\right)$ be elements of $\mathcal{C}$ for $i=1,2$ and let $V_{i}:=\Phi^{\prime}\left(G_{i}, G_{i} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}\right)$. By Lemma 4.3(2) and faithfulness, it is enough to show that, if there is a map $V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$, there is a map $G_{1} \rightarrow G_{2}$. The kernel $K_{i}$ of $G_{i} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ is canonically identified with the Galois group of $V_{i} \rightarrow U$. If there is a map $V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$, there is a map of abelian groups $h: K_{1} \rightarrow K_{2}$, which is independent of the choice of $V_{1} \rightarrow V_{2}$. We show the commutativity of the diagram

where the downward diagonals are the canonical surjections. Assume that there is an element $\sigma \in$ $\pi_{1}\left(\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}\right)$ such that $p_{2}(\sigma) \neq h p_{1}(\sigma)$. Take a group homomorphism $\rho^{0}: K_{2} \rightarrow \mathbb{Q} / \mathbb{Z}$ such that the images of $p_{2}(\sigma)$ and $h p_{1}(\sigma)$ are different. Since the characters $\rho^{0} p_{2}$ and $\rho^{0} h p_{1}$ are multiplicative and are pulled back to the same character via the map $U \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$, they are the same character, a contradiction. Thus the diagram (4-2) is commutative, which implies that the quotient group $G_{1} / \operatorname{ker} h$ of $G_{1}$ is isomorphic to $G_{2}$.

For essential surjectivity, we argue as follows. Let $V \in \mathcal{C}_{1}$ be a connected cyclic covering of $U$. Take a character on $U$ whose kernel corresponds to $V$. By Theorem 1.3, this character is the pullback of a multiplicative character $\rho^{0}$ on $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$. Let $G^{0}$ be an étale covering of $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ corresponding to the kernel of $\rho^{0}$. We need to show that $G^{0}$ has a group structure. By the definition, $G^{0}$ is connected. From the
multiplicativity of $\rho^{0}$, we know that there is a commutative diagram


Let us denote the map $m_{G}$ multiplicatively. Let $F$ be the fiber of $G^{0} \rightarrow \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ over $1 \in \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$. For distinct points $y_{1}, y_{2} \in F$, the multiplication from right by $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}, G^{0} \rightarrow G^{0}$ are distinct. Indeed, Assume that $x y_{1}=x y_{2}$ for all $x \in G^{0}$. Take a point $x$ in $F$. The multiplication from left by $x, G^{0} \rightarrow G^{0}$ is a $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$-morphism and sends $y_{1}$ and $y_{2}$ to the same point, which implies that $y_{1}=y_{2}$ since $G^{0}$ is a connected covering of $\mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$.

Thus there exists an element $e \in F$ such that $x e=x$ for all $x \in G^{0}$. Next we show the commutativity of $m_{G}$. This follows from the fact that $G^{0} \times G^{0}$ is a connected covering of $\operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0} \times \operatorname{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ and that the maps $G^{0} \times G^{0} \rightarrow G^{0},(x, y) \mapsto x y$ and $(x, y) \mapsto y x$ send $(e, e)$ to the same point $e$. The associativity is proved in a similar way. Therefore it is verified that $G^{0}$ has a commutative group structure such that $G^{0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Pic}_{C, \mathfrak{m}}^{0}$ is a group homomorphism, hence an abelian isogeny. It is easy to show that $G^{0}$ is pulled back to $V$. For a general $V$, use the fact that $V$ is a connected component of the finite projective limit of cyclic connected coverings which are quotients of $V$.
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