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#### Abstract

We show that ( $S^{2} \times S^{2}, \omega_{0} \oplus \lambda \omega_{0}$ ), with $\lambda>1$, is an example of symplectic manifold $(X, \omega)$ such that the $\pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X \times X, \omega \oplus-\omega)$ contains extra elements than those from $\pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X, \omega) \times \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X,-\omega)$.
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## 1 Introduction

Let $(X, \omega)$ be a compact symplectic manifold with $\operatorname{dim}_{\mathbb{R}} X=2 n$ and $\operatorname{Ham}(X, \omega)$ the group of Hamiltonian diffeomorphisms. It's natural to ask how $\operatorname{Ham}(X, \omega) \times \operatorname{Ham}(X,-\omega)$ compares with $\operatorname{Ham}(X \times X, \omega \oplus-\omega)$. Firstly, there is a natural injection:

$$
m: \operatorname{Ham}(X, \omega) \times \operatorname{Ham}(X,-\omega) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ham}(X \times X, \omega \oplus-\omega): m(\phi, \psi)=(\phi, \psi)
$$

Secondly, since a neighbourhood of the diagonal $\triangle \subset X \times X$ is symplectomorphic to a neighbourhood of the zero section in $T^{*} X$, it is clear that the injection $m$ can't be surjective. On the other hand, it is not as clear how they compare homotopically. It is well known that for $(X, \omega)=\left(S^{2}, \omega_{0}\right)$, the standard 2 -sphere, the two sides of $m$ are weakly homotopic. In this article we consider the first homotopy group, and will use $m$ to denote the induced map on $\pi_{1}$ as well. To save notations, we use $X$ to denote $(X, \omega)$ and $\bar{X}$ to denote $(X,-\omega)$.

Seidel constructed for each $\gamma \in \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X)$ an automorphism $\Phi_{\gamma}^{X}$ of the quantum homology ring $Q H_{*}(X)$ as a module over itself. Let $\mathbb{1}=[X] \in Q H_{*}(X)$ be the unit, then the Seidel

[^0]element $\Psi_{\gamma}^{X}=\Phi_{\gamma}^{X}(\mathbb{1}) \in Q H_{*}^{\times}(X)$ is an invertible element. ${ }^{1}$ The map $\Psi^{X}: \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X) \rightarrow$ $Q H_{*}^{\times}(X): \Psi^{X}(\gamma)=\Psi_{\gamma}^{X}$ is the Seidel homomorphism, where $Q H_{*}^{\times}$is a group under quantum multiplication.

In this article, we consider the example $(X, \omega)=\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}, \omega_{0} \oplus \lambda \omega_{0}\right)$, where $\omega_{0}$ is the standard volume form on $S^{2}$ and $\lambda>1$. We prove the following statement, using explicit computation of the Seidel elements.

Theorem 1.1. $m$ is not surjective on $\pi_{1}$ for $(X, \omega)=\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}, \omega_{0} \oplus \lambda \omega_{0}\right)$ with $\lambda>1$.
Remark 1.2. We note that, in fact, $\pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X, \omega)$ already has an element $S$ which does not come from $\pi_{1}$ Ham of either of its factors. On the other hand, the factors are not symplectomorphic (after reversing one of the structures). Indeed, Gromov [1] showed that $\operatorname{Ham}\left(X, \omega_{0} \oplus \omega_{0}\right)$ is weakly homotopic to $S O(3) \times S O(3)$, which in turn is weakly homotopic to $\operatorname{Ham}\left(S^{2}, \omega_{0}\right) \times \operatorname{Ham}\left(S^{2}, \omega_{0}\right)$.

Let's start by fixing some notations. Let $\Gamma_{\omega}=\pi_{2}(M) / \sim$ where $\beta \sim \beta^{\prime} \Longleftrightarrow \omega\left(\beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)=$ $c_{1}(T X)\left(\beta-\beta^{\prime}\right)=0$. As a group, the quantum homology $Q H_{*}(X, \omega) \cong H_{*}(X, \omega) \otimes \Lambda_{\omega}$ where $\Lambda_{\omega}$ is the Novikov ring

$$
\Lambda_{\omega}=\left\{\sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\omega}} a_{\beta} e^{\beta} \mid a_{\beta} \in \mathbb{R}, \forall K \in \mathbb{R}, \#\left\{\beta \mid a_{\beta} \neq 0 \text { and } \omega(\beta)>K\right\}<\infty\right\}
$$

graded by dege $e^{\beta}=2 c_{1}(T X)(\beta)$. The quantum (intersection) product on $Q H_{*}(X)$ is given by

$$
a * b=\sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\omega}, c \in H_{*}(X, \omega)}\langle a, b, \hat{c}\rangle_{\beta} e^{-\beta} c
$$

where $\hat{c} \in H_{*}(X)$ is the Poincaré dual of $c$ under the ordinary intersection product and $\langle a, b, \hat{c}\rangle_{\beta}$ is the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariant counting the number of $J$-holomorphic rational curves in $X$ passing through representatives of the classes $a, b$ and $\hat{c}$, representing the class $\beta$.

Next recall the effect of reversing the symplectic structure on $Q H_{*}(X)$ and the Seidel elements. It leaves $\Gamma_{\omega}$ unchanged. Let $\tau: \pi_{2}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{2}(X): \beta \mapsto-\beta$, it induces the ring isomorphism

$$
\tau: \Lambda_{\omega} \rightarrow \Lambda_{-\omega}: \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\omega}} a_{\beta} e^{\beta} \mapsto \sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\omega}} a_{\beta} \tau\left(e^{\beta}\right)=\sum_{\beta \in \Gamma_{\omega}}(-1)^{c_{1}(T X)(\beta)} a_{\beta} e^{-\beta}
$$

The quantum homology $Q H_{*}(X)$ and $Q H_{*}(\bar{X})$ are isomorphic as rings via

$$
\tau: Q H_{*}(X) \rightarrow Q H_{*}(\bar{X}): \tau\left(a \otimes e^{\beta}\right)=(-1)^{n+c_{1}(T X)(\beta)} a \otimes e^{-\beta}
$$

where $a \in H_{*}(X)$. Let $\gamma=[g] \in \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X)$ where $g \in \Omega_{0} \operatorname{Ham}(X, \omega)$ is a loop in $\operatorname{Ham}(X)$ based at id and define $\tau: \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X) \rightarrow \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(\bar{X})$ by $\tau(\gamma)=\left[g^{-}\right]$, where $g^{-}(t)=g(1-t)$, then the Seidel elements are related by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau\left(\Psi_{\gamma}^{X}\right)=\Psi_{\tau(\gamma)}^{\bar{X}} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^1]Let $\left(X, \omega_{X}\right)$ and $\left(Y, \omega_{Y}\right)$ be compact monotone symplectic manifolds, then we have the ring isomorphism extending the Künneth isomorphism for ordinary homology:

$$
\begin{equation*}
Q H_{*}\left(X \times Y, \omega_{X} \oplus \omega_{Y}\right) \cong Q H_{*}\left(X, \omega_{X}\right) \otimes Q H_{*}\left(Y, \omega_{Y}\right) \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the case under consideration, although $(X, \omega)=\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}, \omega_{0} \oplus \lambda \omega_{0}\right)$ is not monotone, neither is $(X,-\omega)$, the manifold $(X \times X, \omega \oplus-\omega)$ can be written as a product of monotone manifolds:

$$
(X \times X, \omega \oplus-\omega)=\left(X_{1} \times X_{1}, \omega_{1} \oplus \lambda \omega_{1}\right)
$$

where $\omega_{1}=\omega_{0} \oplus-\omega_{0}$ on $X_{1}=S^{2} \times S^{2}$. Since
$Q H_{*}\left(X_{1}, \omega_{1}\right) \otimes Q H_{*}\left(X_{1}, \lambda \omega_{1}\right) \cong Q H_{*}\left(S^{2}, \omega_{0}\right) \otimes Q H_{*}\left(S^{2},-\omega_{0}\right) \otimes Q H_{*}\left(S^{2}, \lambda \omega_{0}\right) \otimes Q H_{*}\left(S^{2},-\lambda \omega_{0}\right)$
it follows still that

$$
Q H_{*}(X \times X, \omega \oplus-\omega) \cong Q H_{*}(X, \omega) \otimes Q H_{*}(X,-\omega)
$$

The Hamiltonian groups are similarly related:

$$
m: \operatorname{Ham}\left(X, \omega_{X}\right) \times \operatorname{Ham}\left(Y, \omega_{Y}\right) \hookrightarrow \operatorname{Ham}\left(X \times Y, \omega_{X} \oplus \omega_{Y}\right)
$$

Moreover, let $\gamma_{X} \in \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}\left(X, \omega_{X}\right)$ and $\gamma_{Y} \in \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}\left(Y, \omega_{Y}\right)$ then $\gamma_{X \times Y}:=m\left(\gamma_{X}, \gamma_{Y}\right) \in \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X \times$ $Y, \omega_{X} \oplus \omega_{Y}$ ). Suppose that the ring isomorphism (1.2) holds, then the respective Seidel elements are related by

$$
\Psi^{X \times Y}\left(\gamma_{X \times Y}\right)=\Psi^{X}\left(\gamma_{X}\right) \otimes \Psi^{Y}\left(\gamma_{Y}\right)
$$
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## 2 Example: $(X, \omega)=\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}, \omega_{0} \oplus \lambda \omega_{0}\right)$

Let $\left(S^{2}, \omega_{0}\right)$ be the sphere with the standard symplectic structure, $X=\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}, \omega_{0} \oplus \lambda \omega_{0}\right)$ for some $\lambda>0$, and $(M, \Omega)=X \times \bar{X}$. Denote the factors as $\mathbb{P}_{j}$ for $j=1, \ldots, 4$. Let

$$
\left(X^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right)=\mathbb{P}_{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{4} \text { and }\left(M^{\prime}, \Omega^{\prime}\right)=X^{\prime} \times \bar{X}^{\prime}
$$

then $M^{\prime}$ and $M$ are isomorphic symplectic manifolds, by switching the factors; while $X^{\prime}$ and $X$ are isomorphic via an anti-symplectic involution on the second factor.

When $\lambda \in(1,2]$, it's known (see for example McDuff-Tolman [3]) that $\pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X)$ is generated by 3 elements: $r_{1}$ and $r_{2}$ of order 2 rotating the respective factors and an element $s$ of infinite degree. $X$ admits another structure of $S^{2}$ fibration over $S^{2}$ and $s$ defines an $S^{1}$ action on $X$ rotating the fibers. The diagonal and the anti-diagonal are the two sections of the fibration fixed by this $S^{1}$-action, and the weight of the action on the normal bundle of the section with bigger area is -1 .

In order to write down the Seidel elements in $Q H_{*}(X)$ and for later convenience, we introduce a system of notations for the elements in $H_{*}$ of the various spaces involved. The
homology $H_{*}\left(S^{2}\right)=\mathbb{Z} \oplus 0 \oplus \mathbb{Z}$, as graded by the degree. We write $(1) \in H_{2}\left(S^{2}\right)$ and (0) $\in$ $H_{0}\left(S^{2}\right)$ as the respective (positive) generators (with respect to the volume form $\omega_{0}$ ). For a (positive) basis of $H_{*}\left(S^{2}\right)$ with respect to the reverse form $-\omega_{0}$, we write $(\overline{1}):=-(1) \in$ $H_{2}\left(S^{2}\right)$ and $(\overline{0}):=-(0) \in H_{0}\left(S^{2}\right)$. The homology $H_{*}(X)$ is then generated by $(11) \in H_{4}(X)$, $(10),(01) \in H_{2}(X)$ and $(00) \in H_{0}(X)$, where, for example, (10) denotes the tensor (1) $\otimes(0)$. We use similar notations for the generators of $H_{*}(M)$, e.g. $(01 \overline{01}) \in H_{4}(M)$.

The quantum homology $Q H_{*}\left(S^{2}\right)$ is determined by the fact that (1) is the unit and

$$
(0) *(0)=(1) e^{-(1)}
$$

For $Q H_{*}\left(\overline{S^{2}}\right)$, we have the corresponding - -version:

$$
(\overline{0}) \bar{*}(\overline{0})=(\overline{1}) e^{-(\overline{1})} \Rightarrow(0) \mp(0)=-(1) e^{(1)}
$$

Note that the unit in the quantum homology $Q H_{*}(X), Q H_{*}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$ and $Q H_{*}(M)$ are respectively (11), $(1 \overline{1})$ and $(11 \overline{11})$. We have for example

$$
(01) *(10)=(00) \text { and }(01 \overline{01}) *(00 \overline{11})=(10 \overline{01}) e^{-(1000)}
$$

Using these notations, let $r$ denote the action of $S^{1}$ on $S^{2}$ fixing the poles and $\Psi_{r} \in Q H_{*}\left(S^{2}\right)$ be the corresponding Seidel element, then

$$
\Psi_{r}^{S^{2}}=(0) e^{\frac{1}{2}(1)} \text { and } \Psi_{\tau(r)}^{\bar{S}^{2}}=\tau\left(\Psi_{r}^{S^{2}}\right)=(-1)^{c_{1}\left(T S^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1}{2}(1)\right)}(\overline{0}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1)}=-(\overline{0}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(1)} \in Q H_{*}\left(\overline{S^{2}}\right)
$$

We write down the Seidel elements for $R_{1}$ and $R_{2}$ :

$$
\Psi_{r_{1}}^{X}=\Psi_{r}^{S^{2}} \otimes \Psi_{11}^{S^{2}}=(01) e^{\frac{1}{2}(10)} \text { and } \Psi_{r_{2}}^{X}=\Psi_{11}^{S^{2}} \otimes \Psi_{r}^{S^{2}}=(10) e^{\frac{1}{2}(01)}
$$

Following [3], we explicitly write down the Seidel element for $s$ :

$$
\Psi_{s}^{X}=[(01)+(10)] e^{\frac{1}{2}(10)+h[(10)-(01)]} \text { where } h=\frac{1}{6 \lambda(\lambda-1)}
$$

where $\omega((10))=1, \omega((01))=\lambda$ and $c_{1}((01))=c_{1}((10))=2$. Because

$$
[(01)+(10)] *[(01)-(10)]=(11)\left(e^{-(10)}-e^{-(01)}\right)
$$

we see that the reversed loop $s^{-}$gives the Seidel element

$$
\Psi_{s^{-}}^{X}=\left(\Psi_{s}^{X}\right)^{-1}=[(01)-(10)] e^{\frac{1}{2}(10)-h[(10)-(01)]}\left(1+e^{(10)-(01)}+e^{2[(10)-(01)]}+\ldots\right)
$$

The corresponding Seidel elements in $Q H_{*}(\bar{X})$ are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\tau\left(r_{1}\right)}^{\bar{X}}=-(\overline{01}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(10)}, \Psi_{\tau\left(r_{2}\right)}^{\bar{X}}=-(\overline{10}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(01)} \text { and } \\
\Psi_{\tau(s)}^{\bar{X}}=-[(\overline{01})+(\overline{10})] e^{-\frac{1}{2}(10)-h[(10)-(01)]}
\end{gathered}
$$

Next we describe the Seidel elements in $Q H_{*}\left(X^{\prime}\right)$. Those for $r_{1}^{\prime}$ and $r_{2}^{\prime}$ are:

$$
\Psi_{r_{1}^{\prime}}^{X^{\prime}}=\Psi_{r}^{S^{2}} \otimes \Psi_{\tau(\mathbb{1})}^{\overline{S^{2}}}=(0 \overline{1}) e^{\frac{1}{2}(10)} \text { and } \Psi_{r_{2}^{\prime}}^{X^{\prime}}=\Psi_{\mathbb{1}}^{S^{2}} \otimes \Psi_{\tau(r)}^{\overline{S^{2}}}=-(1 \overline{0}) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(01)}
$$

To describe the Seidel elements of infinite order, we notice that ( $X^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}$ ) is symplectically identified with $(X, \omega)$ by

$$
(1, c): \mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbb{C P}^{1} \times \mathbb{C P}^{1}
$$

where $c$ is the antipodal map. It induces on $H_{*}$ the isomorphism given by

$$
(1, c)_{*}:((00),(01),(10),(11)) \mapsto((00),(0 \overline{1}),(10),(1 \overline{1}))
$$

from which can be recovered the expressions for $\Psi_{r_{1}^{\prime}}^{X^{\prime}}$ and $\Psi_{r^{\prime}}^{X^{\prime}}$ given above. Let $s^{\prime}$ be the loop conjugate to $s$ by the map $(1, c)$ then the corresponding Seidel element is

$$
\Psi_{s^{\prime}}^{X^{\prime}}=[(0 \overline{1})-(1 \overline{0})] e^{\frac{1}{2}(10)+h[(01)+(10)]} \in Q H_{*}\left(X^{\prime}, \omega^{\prime}\right) .
$$

The corresponding Seidel elements in $Q H_{*}\left(\bar{X}^{\prime}\right)$ are:

$$
\begin{gathered}
\Psi_{\tau\left(r_{1}^{\prime}\right)}^{\bar{X}^{\prime}}=-(\overline{0} 1) e^{-\frac{1}{2}(10)}, \Psi_{\tau\left(r_{2}^{\prime}\right)}^{\bar{X}^{\prime}}=(\overline{1} 0) e^{\frac{1}{2}(01)} \text { and } \\
\Psi_{\tau\left(r^{\prime}\right)}^{\bar{X}^{\prime}}=-[(\overline{0} 1)-(\overline{1} 0)] e^{-\frac{1}{2}(10)-h[(01)+(10)]} .
\end{gathered}
$$

The image of the obvious map:

$$
m: \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(X) \times \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(\bar{X}) \rightarrow \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(M)
$$

is generated by the image of $\left\{11, r_{1}, r_{2}, s\right\} \times\left\{11, \tau\left(r_{1}\right), \tau\left(r_{2}\right), \tau(s)\right\}$ and the corresponding Seidel elements are given by the respective tensor products. Let $m^{\prime}$ be the corresponding map for ( $X^{\prime}, \pm \omega^{\prime}$ ):

$$
m^{\prime}: \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}\left(X^{\prime}\right) \times \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}\left(\bar{X}^{\prime}\right) \rightarrow \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}\left(M^{\prime}\right)=\pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(M),
$$

where the last identification is by switching the factors of $M^{\prime}$. The image of $m^{\prime}$ is generated by the image of $\left\{\mathbb{1}, r_{1}^{\prime}, r_{2}^{\prime}, s^{\prime}\right\} \times\left\{\mathbb{1}, \tau\left(r_{1}^{\prime}\right), \tau\left(r_{2}^{\prime}\right), \tau\left(s^{\prime}\right)\right\}$. Simple algebraic observation together with the explicit description of the Seidel elements given above lead to

Proposition 2.1. $\operatorname{img}(m) \neq \operatorname{img}\left(m^{\prime}\right) \subset \pi_{1} \operatorname{Ham}(M, \Omega)$.
Proof: We first proceed as far as possible without using the exact form of the Seidel elements computed above. Let $S=m(s, \mathbb{1}), T=m(\mathbb{1}, \tau(s)), R_{j}=m\left(r_{j}, \mathbb{1 1}\right), \bar{R}_{j}=m\left(\mathbb{1}, \tau\left(r_{j}\right)\right)$ for $j=1,2$ and the corresponding ones with ' , be loops in $\operatorname{Ham}(M, \Omega)$. Let $\Lambda:=\Lambda_{\Omega}$ denote the Novikov ring for $(M, \Omega)$. It's evident that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \Psi_{S}^{M} \in \operatorname{Span}_{\Lambda}((01 \overline{11}),(10 \overline{11})), \quad \Psi_{T}^{M} \in \operatorname{Span}_{\Lambda}((11 \overline{01}),(11 \overline{10})), \text { and }  \tag{2.1}\\
& \Psi_{S^{\prime}}^{M} \in \operatorname{Span}_{\Lambda}((01 \overline{11}),(1 \overline{10})), \quad \Psi_{T^{\prime}}^{M} \in \operatorname{Span}_{\Lambda}((10 \overline{10}),(11 \overline{01})) .
\end{align*}
$$

More explicitly, we have the following

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Psi_{S}^{M}=[(01 \overline{11})+(10 \overline{11})] e^{\frac{1}{2}(1000)+h[(1000)-(0100)]} \\
& \Psi_{T}^{M}=-[(1 \overline{01})+(11 \overline{10})] e^{-\frac{1}{2}(0010)-h[(0010)-(0001)]} \\
& \Psi_{S^{\prime}}^{M}=[-(11 \overline{10})+(01 \overline{11})] e^{\frac{1}{2}(1000)+h[(0001)+(1000)]} \\
& \Psi_{T^{\prime}}^{M}=-[-(1 \overline{10})+(1 \overline{101})] e^{-\frac{1}{2}(0010)-h[(0100)+(0010)]}
\end{aligned}
$$

We'll drop the superscripts such as ${ }^{X}$ from the notation of the Seidel elements as they can be inferred from the subscripts. The Seidel elements of loops in $\operatorname{img}(m)$ are of the form

$$
\sigma:=\Psi_{R_{1}}^{\epsilon_{1}} \Psi_{R_{2}}^{\epsilon_{2}} \Psi_{\bar{R}_{1}}^{\epsilon_{3}} \Psi_{\bar{R}_{2}}^{\epsilon_{4}} \Psi_{S}^{p} \Psi_{T}^{q}
$$

where $\epsilon_{j} \in\{0,1\}$ and $p, q \in \mathbb{Z}$. Square it we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\Psi_{S}^{2 p} \Psi_{T}^{2 q} \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose that $\sigma$ also lies in $\operatorname{img}\left(m^{\prime}\right)$, then $\exists p^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \in \mathbb{Z}$ so that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma^{2}=\Psi_{S}^{2 p} \Psi_{T}^{2 q}=\Psi_{S^{\prime}}^{2 p^{\prime}} \Psi_{T^{\prime}}^{2 q^{\prime}}=\sigma^{\prime 2} \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

In the following we show that (2.3) holds iff $p=q=p^{\prime}=q^{\prime}=0$.
It's easy to see from (2.1) (also see below for the first two) that

$$
\Psi_{S}^{2} \in V:=\operatorname{Span}_{\Lambda}((11 \overline{11}),(00 \overline{11})), \quad \Psi_{T}^{2} \in W:=\operatorname{Span}_{\Lambda}((11 \overline{11}),(11 \overline{00}))
$$

$$
\text { and } \Psi_{S^{\prime}}^{2} \in V^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Span}_{\Lambda}((11 \overline{11}),(01 \overline{10})), \quad \Psi_{T^{\prime}}^{2} \in W^{\prime}:=\operatorname{Span}_{\Lambda}((11 \overline{11}),(10 \overline{01}))
$$

Notice that $V, V^{\prime}, W$ and $W^{\prime}$ are closed under the quantum product $*$ and inverse (whenever exists).

Let us first assume that $p, q, p^{\prime}, q^{\prime} \geqslant 0$, then $\sigma^{2}$ has the form:

$$
(a(11 \overline{11})+b(00 \overline{11})) *(c(11 \overline{11})+d(11 \overline{00}))=a c(11 \overline{11})+a d(11 \overline{00})+b c(00 \overline{11})+b d(00 \overline{00})
$$

while $\sigma^{\prime 2}$ is of the form:
$\left(a^{\prime}(11 \overline{11})+b^{\prime}(10 \overline{01})\right) *\left(c^{\prime}(11 \overline{11})+d^{\prime}(01 \overline{10})\right)=a^{\prime} c^{\prime}(11 \overline{11})+a^{\prime} d^{\prime}(01 \overline{10})+b^{\prime} c^{\prime}(10 \overline{01})+b^{\prime} d^{\prime}(00 \overline{00})$
It follows that the necessary condition for (2.3) to hold is

$$
\begin{equation*}
a d=b c=a^{\prime} d^{\prime}=b^{\prime} c^{\prime}=0 \in \Lambda \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here we need the explicit form of the Seidel elements. First we have

$$
\Psi_{s}^{2}=\left[2(00)+(11)\left(e^{-(10)}+e^{-(01)}\right)\right] e^{(10)+2 h[(10)-(01)]} \in Q H_{*}(X) .
$$

Now let $x=e^{-(10)}, y=e^{-(01)}, A=(00)$ and $B=(11)$, then for any integer $p>0$

$$
\Psi_{s}^{2 p}=K^{p}\left(A+\frac{x+y}{2} B\right)^{p}, \text { where } A^{2}=B x y, B^{2}=B, A B=A \text { and } K=2 x^{-2 h-1} y^{2 h}
$$

We have the explicit formula

$$
\Psi_{s}^{2 p}=K^{p}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{p}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{p}{2 i} \alpha^{p-2 i}(x y)^{i} B+\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{p-1}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{p}{2 i+1} \alpha^{p-2 i-1}(x y)^{i} A\right) \text {, where } \alpha=\frac{x+y}{2} .
$$

Note that

$$
\tau(x)=e^{(10)}=x^{-1}, \tau(y)=e^{(01)}=y^{-1}, \tau(A)=(\overline{00})=(00)=A \text { and } \tau(B)=B
$$

It follows that $\tau(\alpha)=(x y)^{-1} \alpha$ and $\tau(K)=2 x^{2 h+1} y^{-2 h}=4 K^{-1}$. Using (1.1) we get for $q>0$

$$
\Psi_{\tau(s)}^{2 q}=4^{q} K^{-q}\left(\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{q}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{q}{2 i} \alpha^{q-2 i}(x y)^{i-q} B+\sum_{i=0}^{\left\lfloor\frac{q-1}{2}\right\rfloor}\binom{q}{2 i+1} \alpha^{q-2 i-1}(x y)^{i+1-q} A\right)
$$

Since $\Psi_{S}^{2 p}=\Psi_{s}^{2 p} \otimes \Psi_{\tau(\mathbb{1})}$ and $\Psi_{T}^{2 q}=\Psi_{11} \otimes \Psi_{\tau(s)}^{2 q}$, it follows that in (2.4) $a d=b c=0 \Rightarrow p=$ $q=0$, i.e. $\sigma^{2}=i d$. Similaly $a^{\prime} d^{\prime}=b^{\prime} c^{\prime}=0 \Rightarrow p^{\prime}=q^{\prime}=0$ and $\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{2}=i d$.

The other cases of the sign combinations of $p, q, p^{\prime}$ and $q^{\prime}$ are similar. Among $p, q,-p^{\prime},-q^{\prime}$, there must be 2 of the same sign. Let's suppose $p$ and $-p^{\prime}$ are of the same sign, say both $\geqslant 0$, then instead of (2.3) we may consider

$$
\Psi_{S}^{2 p} \Psi_{S^{\prime}}^{-2 p^{\prime}}=\Psi_{T}^{-2 q} \Psi_{T^{\prime}}^{2 q^{\prime}}
$$

Without using the details of the Seidel elements involved, we arrive at an equation similar to (2.4). Afterwards, explicit computation similar to the above gives $p=p^{\prime}=0$ and thus $\sigma^{2}=\left(\sigma^{\prime}\right)^{2}=i d$.

It follows that, at least, all elements in the image of $m$ of the form $p S+q T$ with $p$ or $q \neq 0$ do not lie in the image of $m^{\prime}$, and the proposition follows.

Corollary 2.2. $m$ is not surjective on $\pi_{1}$ for $(X, \omega)=\left(S^{2} \times S^{2}, \omega_{0} \oplus \lambda \omega_{0}\right)$ with $\lambda>1$.
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