# 3-DIMENSIONAL SUBMANIFOLDS OF SPHERES WITH PARALLEL MEAN CURVATURE VECTOR\*

By

# Qing-ming CHENG and Bin JIANG

**Abstract.** In this paper, for a 3-dimensional complete submanifold M with parallel mean curvature vector in  $S^{3+p}(c)$ , we give a pinching condition of the Ricci curvature under which M is a 3-dimensional small sphere.

#### 1. Introduction

Let M be an n-dimensional complete submanifold immersed in a sphere  $S^{n+p}(c)$ . It is well-known that properties of M can be described by a pinching condition of some curvatures. When M is a minimal submanifold or a submanifold with parallel mean curvature vector, many authors studied the pinching problem with respect to the sectional curvature or the scalar curvature of M and a lot of beautiful results were obtained. It is natural to consider whether we can describe the properties of M by a pinching condition of the Ricci curvature. When M is minimal, Ejiri [2] and Shen [5] studied the pinching problem. Shun [6] researched compact submanifolds of a sphere with parallel mean curvature vector for n>3. He gave a pinching condition of the Ricci curvature under which M is totally umbilic.

In this paper, for n=3, we consider same problem. That is, we prove the following:

THEOREM 1. Let M be a 3-dimensional complete submanifold of  $S^{3+p}(c)(p \le 2)$  with parallel mean curvature vector  $\mathbf{h}$ . If

$$Ric(M) \! \ge \! \frac{3}{4}c \! + \! \frac{39}{64}H^2 \! + \! \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1521}{64}H^4 \! + \! \frac{45}{2}H^2c} \, ,$$

then M is totally umbilic. Hence M is a 3-dimensional small sphere, where Ric(M) and  $H=|\mathbf{h}|$  denote the Ricci curvature and the norm of the mean cur-

<sup>\*</sup> The Project Supported by National Natural Science Fundation of China. Received January 7, 1991, Revised September 18, 1991.

vature vector h respectively.

THEOREM 2. Let M be a 3-dimensional complete submanifold with parallel mean curvature vector of  $S^{3+p}(c)(p>2)$ . If

$$Ric(M) \geq \delta$$
.

then M is totally umbilic. Hence M is a 3-dimensional small sphere, where

$$\delta = Max \left\{ \frac{3}{4}c + \frac{39}{64}H^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1521}{64}H^4 + \frac{45}{2}H^2c}, \frac{5p - p}{2(2p - 3)}(c + H^2) \right\}.$$

#### 2. Preliminaries.

Throughout this paper all manifolds are assumed to be smooth, connected without boundary. We discuss in smooth category.

Let M be a 3-dimensional submanifold of a (3+p)-dimensional sphere  $S^{3+p}(c)$ . We choose a local field of orthonormal frame  $e_1, \dots, e_{3+p}$  in  $S^{3+p}(c)$  and the dual coframe  $\omega_1, \dots, \omega_{3+p}$  in such a way that  $e_1, e_2$  and  $e_3$  are tangent to M. In the sequel, the following convention on the range of indices is used, unless otherwised stated:

$$1 \le A, B, \dots \le 3+p;$$
  $1 \le i, j, \dots \le 3;$   $4 \le \alpha, \beta, \dots \le 3+p.$ 

And we agree that the repeated indices under a summation sign without indication are summed over the respective range. The connection forms  $\{\omega_{AB}\}$  of  $S^{3+p}(c)$  are characterized by the structure equations

(2.1) 
$$\begin{cases} d\boldsymbol{\omega}_{A} - \sum \boldsymbol{\omega}_{AB} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}_{B} = 0, & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{AB} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{AB} = 0, \\ d\boldsymbol{\omega}_{AB} - \sum \boldsymbol{\omega}_{AC} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}_{CB} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{AB}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{AB} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum R'_{ABCD} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{C} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}_{D}, \end{cases}$$

$$(2.2) R'_{BBCD} = c(\delta_{AC}\delta_{BD} - \delta_{AD}\delta_{BC}),$$

where  $\Omega_{AB}$  (resp.  $R'_{ABCD}$ ) denotes the Riemannian curvature form (resp. the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor) of  $S^{3+p}(c)$ . Therefore the components of Ricci curvature tensor Ric' and the scalar curvature r' are given as

$$R'_{AB} = c(n+p-1)\delta_{AB}$$
,  $r' = (n+p)(n+p-1)c$ .

Restricting these forms to M, we have

$$(2.3) \omega_{\alpha}=0 \text{for } \alpha=4, \cdots, 3+p.$$

We see that  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  is a local field of orthonormal frames on M and  $\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_2$  and  $\omega_3$  is a local field of its dual coframes on M. It follows from (2.1), (2.3) and Cartan's Lemma that

(2.4) 
$$\boldsymbol{\omega}_{\alpha i} = \sum h_{ij}^{\alpha} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{j}, \qquad h_{ij}^{\alpha} = h_{ji}^{\alpha}.$$

The second fundamental form  $\alpha$  and the mean curvature vector h of M are defined by

(2.5) 
$$\alpha = \sum h_{ij}^{\alpha} \omega_i \omega_j e_{\alpha} , \quad \mathbf{h} = \frac{1}{3} \sum (\sum_i h_{ii}^{\alpha}) e_{\alpha} .$$

The mean curvature H is given by

$$(2.6) H=|\boldsymbol{h}|=\frac{1}{3}\sqrt{\sum(\sum_{i}h_{it}^{\alpha})^{2}}.$$

Let  $S = \sum (h_{ij}^{\alpha})^2$  denote the squared norm of the second fundamental form of M. The connection forms  $\{\omega_{ij}\}$  of M are characterized by the structure equations

(2.7) 
$$\begin{cases} d\boldsymbol{\omega}_{i} - \sum \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}_{j} = 0, & \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} + \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ji} = 0, \\ d\boldsymbol{\omega}_{ij} - \sum \boldsymbol{\omega}_{ik} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}_{kj} = \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij}, \\ \boldsymbol{\Omega}_{ij} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum R_{ijkl} \boldsymbol{\omega}_{k} \wedge \boldsymbol{\omega}_{l}, \end{cases}$$

where  $\Omega_{ij}$  (resp.  $R_{ijkl}$ ) denotes the Riemannian curvature form (resp. the components of the Riemannian curvature tensor) of M. Therefore the Gauss equation is given by, from (2.1) and (2.7),

$$(2.8) R_{ijkl} = c(\boldsymbol{\delta}_{ik}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{il} - \boldsymbol{\delta}_{il}\boldsymbol{\delta}_{ik}) + \sum (h_{ik}^{\alpha}h_{il}^{\alpha} - h_{il}^{\alpha}h_{ik}^{\alpha}).$$

The components of the Ricci curvature Ric and the scalar curvature r are given by

$$(2.9) R_{ik} = 2c\delta_{ik} + \sum h_{ii}^{\alpha} h_{ik}^{\alpha} - \sum h_{ik}^{\alpha} h_{ii}^{\alpha},$$

(2.10) 
$$r = 6c + 9H^2 - \sum (h_{ij}^{\alpha})^2.$$

We also have

$$d\omega_{\alpha\beta} - \sum \omega_{\alpha\gamma} \wedge \omega_{\gamma\beta} = -\frac{1}{2} \sum R_{\alpha\beta ij} \omega_i \wedge \omega_j$$
 ,

where

$$(2.11) R_{\alpha\beta ij} = \sum \left( h_{il}^{\alpha} h_{il}^{\beta} - h_{il}^{\alpha} h_{il}^{\beta} \right).$$

Define  $h_{ijk}^{\alpha}$  and  $h_{ijkl}^{\alpha}$  by

$$\sum h_{ijkl}^{\alpha} \omega_l = d h_{ijk}^{\alpha} + \sum h_{ilk}^{\alpha} \omega_{lj} + \sum h_{ijl}^{\alpha} \omega_{lk} + \sum h_{ijk}^{\alpha} \omega_{li} - \sum h_{ijk}^{\beta} \omega_{\alpha\beta}.$$

The Codazzi equation and the Ricci formula for the second fundamental form are given by

$$h_{ijk}^{\alpha} - h_{ikj}^{\alpha} = 0$$
,

$$(2.13) h_{ijkl}^{\alpha} - h_{ijlk}^{\alpha} = \sum h_{im}^{\alpha} R_{mjkl} + \sum h_{mj}^{\alpha} R_{mikl} + \sum h_{ij}^{\beta} R_{\beta\alpha kl},$$

The Laplacian  $\Delta h_{ij}^{\alpha}$  of the components  $h_{ij}^{\alpha}$  of the second fundamental form  $\alpha$  is given by

$$\Delta h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sum_{k} h_{ijkk}^{\alpha} .$$

From (2.13) we get

$$(2.14) \qquad \Delta h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sum_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}\mathbf{k}ij}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}m}^{\alpha} R_{mijk} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} h_{mi}^{\alpha} R_{mkjk} + \sum_{\mathbf{k}} h_{\mathbf{k}i}^{\beta} R_{\beta\alpha jk} .$$

In this paper, we assume that the mean curvature vector h of M is parallel. Hence the mean curvature H is constant. We choose  $e_4$  such that  $h=He_4$ , then

$$(2.16) H_{\alpha}H_{4}=H_{4}H_{\alpha} for any \alpha,$$

where  $H_{\alpha}$  denotes 3×3-matrix  $(h_{ij}^{\alpha})$ . From (2.14), we have

(2.17) 
$$\sum_{\alpha \neq 4} h_{ij}^{\alpha} \Delta h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{km}^{\alpha} R_{mijk} + \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{mi}^{\alpha} R_{mkjk} + \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{ki}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha jk},$$

Define  $|\tau|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}^2)$  and  $|\sigma|^2 = \operatorname{tr}(H_4^2)$ . Then  $S = |\tau|^2 + |\sigma|^2$ . A submanifold M is said to be *pseudo-umbilic* if it is umbilic with respect to the direction of the mean curvature vector h, that is

$$h_{ij}^4 = H\delta_{ij}$$
.

### 3. Proofs of Theorems

In this section, we will give the proofs of Theorem 1 and Theorem 2. In order to prove Theorems, at first we give the following Propositions 1 and 2.

PROPOSITION 1. Let M be a 3-dimensional complete pseudo-umbilical submanifold in  $S^{3+p}(c)(p>1)$  with parallel mean curvature vector. If

$$Ric(M) \ge \frac{5p-9}{2(2p-3)}(c+H^2)$$
,

then M is a totally umbilical submanifold.

PROOF. Because of  $Ric(M) \ge [(5p-9)/2(2p-3)](c+H^2) > 0$ , we know that M is a compact submanifold from Myers' theorem (2.17) implies

(3.1) 
$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\tau|^{2} = \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} (h_{ijk}^{\alpha})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} h_{ij}^{\alpha} \Delta h_{ij}^{\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} (h_{ijk}^{\alpha})^{2} + \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} (h_{km}^{\alpha} R_{mijk} + h_{mi}^{\alpha} R_{mkjk}) h_{ij}^{\alpha} + \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{ki}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha jk},$$

$$\sum_{\alpha \neq 4} (h_{km}^{\alpha} R_{mijk} + h_{mi}^{\alpha} R_{mkjk}) h_{ij}^{\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{\beta})^{2} - \operatorname{tr} (H_{\beta}^{2} H_{\alpha}^{2}) \} - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}) \}^{2}$$

$$+ 3c |\tau|^{2} + 3H \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{4} H_{\alpha}) - \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{4}) \}^{2}$$

$$+ \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{4})^{2} - \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{4}^{2}).$$

Since M is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold, we have  $H_4=HI$ , where I is the identity matrix. Hence

$$\begin{split} \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} & \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{4})^{2} - \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{4}^{2}) = 0 , \\ & \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} & \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{4} H_{\alpha}) = H |\tau| , \\ & \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} & \left\{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{4}) \right\}^{2} = 0 \quad \text{(by (2.15))} . \end{split}$$

Thus

(3.2) 
$$\sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \left\{ h_{km}^{\alpha} R_{mijk} + h_{mi}^{\alpha} R_{mkjk} \right\} h_{ij}^{\alpha}$$

$$= \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left( H_{\alpha} H_{\beta} \right)^{2} - \operatorname{tr} \left( H_{\beta}^{2} H_{\alpha}^{2} \right) \right\} - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left( H_{\alpha} H_{\beta} \right) \right\}^{2} + 3(c + H^{2}) |\tau|^{2} .$$

$$(3.3) \qquad \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} h_{ij}^{\alpha} h_{ki}^{\beta} R_{\beta \alpha jk} = \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left( H_{\alpha} H_{\beta} \right)^{2} - \operatorname{tr} \left( H_{\beta}^{2} H_{\alpha}^{2} \right) \right\} .$$

According to (3.1), (3.2) and (3.3), we get

(3.4) 
$$\frac{1}{2} \Delta |\tau|^2 = \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} (h_{ijk}^{\alpha})^2 - \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}) \}^2$$

$$+ 3(c + H^2) |\tau|^2 + 2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \{ \operatorname{tr} \{ (H_{\alpha} H_{\beta})^2 - \operatorname{tr} (H_{\beta}^2 H_{\alpha}^2) \} .$$

For a suitable choice of  $e_5$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $e_{3+p}$ , we can assume  $(p-1)\times(p-1)$  matrix  $(\operatorname{tr}(H_\alpha H_\beta))$  is diagonal. Hence

(3.5) 
$$\sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{\beta}) \}^{2} = \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^{2}) \}^{2}$$

From Lemma 1 in [1], we have

(3.6) 
$$2\{\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}H_{\beta})^{2} - \operatorname{tr}(H_{\beta}^{2}H_{\alpha}^{2})\} = -\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}H_{\beta} - H_{\beta}H_{\alpha})^{2} \ge -2\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}^{2})\operatorname{tr}(H_{\beta}^{2}),$$

and equality holds for nonzero matrices  $H_{\alpha}$  and  $H_{\beta}$  if and only if  $H_{\alpha}$  and  $H_{\beta}$  can be transformed simultaneously by an orthogonal matrix into

$$H_{\alpha}^{*} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{and} \quad H_{\beta}^{*} = \mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Moreover if  $H_{\alpha_1}$ , ...,  $H_{\alpha_s}$  satisfy

$$\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha_i}H_{\alpha_k}-H_{\alpha_k}H_{\alpha_i})^2+2\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha_i}^2)\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha_k}^2)$$
 for  $1\leq i, k\leq s$ ,

then at most two of the matrices  $H_{\alpha}$ , are nonzero. Let

$$(p-1)\sigma_1 = |\tau|^2,$$

$$(p-1)(p-2)\sigma_2 = 2 \sum_{\alpha < \beta, \alpha, \beta \neq 4} \operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}^2) \operatorname{tr}(H_{\beta}^2).$$

Then

(3.7)

(3.8) 
$$(p-1)^{2}(p-2)(\sigma_{1}^{2}-\sigma_{2}) = \sum_{\alpha < \beta, \alpha, \beta \neq 4} \{ \operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}^{2}) - \operatorname{tr}(H_{\beta}^{2}) \}^{2} .$$

Hence we obtain

(3.9) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\tau|^{2} \geq \sum_{\alpha\neq 4} (h_{ijk}^{\alpha})^{2} + 3(c+H^{2})|\tau|^{2}$$

$$-2\{\sum_{\alpha\neq 4} \operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}^{2})\}^{2} + \sum_{\alpha\neq 4} \{\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}^{2})\}^{2}$$

$$\geq -\{2(p-1)-1\}(p-1)\sigma_{1}^{2} + (p-1)(p-2)(\sigma_{1}^{2} - \sigma_{2}) + 3(c+H^{2})|\tau|^{2}$$

$$\geq -(p-1)(2p-3)\sigma_{1}^{2} + 3(c+H^{2})|\tau|^{2}$$

$$= -\left(2 - \frac{1}{p-1}\right)|\tau|^{4} + 3(c+H^{2})|\tau|^{2}.$$

On the other hand, for each fixed  $\alpha \neq 4$ , we can choose a local field of orthonormal frames  $e_1$ ,  $e_2$  and  $e_3$  such that, from (2.16),

$$h_{ij}^4 = H\delta_{ij}$$
 and  $h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \lambda_i^{\alpha}\delta_{ij}$ .

Since tr  $H_{\alpha} = \sum \lambda_i^{\alpha} = 0$ , we have

$$\sum_{i} (\lambda_i^{\alpha})^4 = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \sum_{i} (\lambda_i^{\alpha})^2 \right\}^2,$$

that is,

$$\operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}^{4} = \frac{1}{2} \{ \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}^{2} \}^{2}.$$

Hence

(3.10) 
$$\sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}^{4} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} H_{\alpha}^{2} \right\}^{2}.$$

For any  $\alpha \neq 4$ ,

(3.11) 
$$2\sum_{\beta=5}^{3+p} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} \left( H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2} \right) - \operatorname{tr} \left( H_{\alpha} H_{\beta} \right)^{2} \right\}$$
$$= \left\{ \sum_{\beta=5}^{3+p} \sum_{ij} \left( h_{ij}^{\beta} \right)^{2} (\lambda_{i}^{\alpha} - \lambda_{j}^{\alpha})^{2} \right\}$$
$$\leq 4 \sum_{\beta\neq4, \beta\neq\alpha} \sum_{ij} \left( h_{ij}^{\beta} \right)^{2} (\lambda_{i}^{\alpha})^{2}.$$

According to (2.9), we get

(3.12) 
$$R_{ij} = 2(c+H^2) - (\lambda_i^{\alpha})^2 - \sum_{\beta \neq 4, \beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{j} (h_{ij}^{\beta})^2,$$

$$\begin{split} & \sum_{\beta \neq 4, \ \beta \neq \alpha} \sum_{ij} (h_{ij}^{\beta})^2 (\lambda_i^{\alpha})^2 \\ = & 2(c + H^2) \sum_{i} (\lambda_i^{\alpha})^2 - \sum_{i} (\lambda_i^{\alpha})^4 - \sum_{i} R_{ii} (\lambda_i^{\alpha})^2 \\ \leq & 2(c + H^2) \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^2) - \frac{1}{2} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^2) \right\}^2 - \delta_1 \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^2) \,, \end{split}$$

where  $\delta_1$  is the infimum of the Ricci curvature of M. Hence

(3.14) 
$$2 \sum_{\beta=5}^{3+p} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}) - \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{\beta})^{2} \right\}$$

$$\leq \left\{ 8(c+H^{2}) - 4\delta_{1} \right\} \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^{2}) - 2 \left\{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^{2}) \right\}^{2}.$$

The terms at the both ends of the inequality above do not depend on the choice of the frame fields. Hence

(3.15) 
$$2 \sum_{\alpha, \beta \neq 4} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^{2} H_{\beta}^{2}) - \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha} H_{\beta})^{2} \right\}$$

$$\leq \left\{ 8(c + H^{2}) - 4\delta_{1} \right\} |\tau|^{2} - 2 \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \left\{ \operatorname{tr} (H_{\alpha}^{2}) \right\}^{2}.$$

(3.4), (3.5) and (3.15) yield

$$(3.16) \qquad \frac{1}{2}\Delta|\tau|^{2} \ge -\left\{8(c+H^{2})-4\delta_{1}\right\}|\tau|^{2} + \sum_{\alpha \neq 4}\left\{\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}^{2})\right\}^{2} + 3(c+H^{2})|\tau|^{2}$$

$$\ge \left\{-5(c+H^{2})+4\delta_{1}\right\}|\tau|^{2} + \frac{1}{p-1}|\tau|^{4}.$$

 $(3.9)\times1/(2p-3)+(3.16)$  implies

$$(3.17) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \left[ 1 + \frac{1}{2p-3} \right] \Delta |\tau|^2 \ge \left\{ 4\delta_1 - \left( 5 - \frac{3}{2p-3} \right) (c+H^2) \right\} |\tau|^2.$$

Since  $\delta_1$  is the infimum of the Ricci curvature, we have

$$\boldsymbol{\delta}_1 \geq \frac{5p-9}{2(2p-3)}(c+H^2).$$

If  $\delta_1 > ((5p-3)/2(2p-3))(c+H^2)$ , from (3.17) and Hopf's maximum principle, we obtain  $|\tau|^2 = 0$ . If  $\delta_1 = ((5p-3)/2(2p-3))(c+H^2)$ , (3.16) and Hopf's maximum principle yield  $|\tau|^2 = \text{constant}$  and all inequalities above become actually equalites.

If  $|\tau|^2=0$ , then M is totally umbilic. If  $|\tau|^2\neq 0$ , from (3.6) and (3.9), we have

$$h_{ijk}^{\alpha}=0,$$

(3.19) 
$$|\tau|^2 = \frac{3}{2 - \frac{1}{p-1}} (c + H^2),$$

$$\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}H_{\beta}-H_{\beta}H_{\alpha})^{2}=2\operatorname{tr}(H_{\alpha}^{2})\operatorname{tr}(H_{\beta}^{2})$$
 for  $\alpha\neq\beta$ ,

$$(3.20) (p-1)(p-2)(\sigma_1^2 - \sigma_2) = 0.$$

From Lemma 1 in [1], we know that at most two of the matrices  $H_{\alpha}$  are non-zero, say  $H_{\alpha_1}$  and  $H_{\beta_1}$ , and we can suppose

$$H_{\alpha_1} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $H_{\beta_1} = \mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ .

From (2.16), we have

(3.21) 
$$H_{\alpha_1}H_4 = H_4H_{\alpha_1}, \quad H_{\beta_1}H_4 = H_4H_{\beta_1}, \quad \text{tr } H_4 = 3H.$$

Hence under this local field of orthonormal frames, we also have

$$h_{i,i}^4 = H \delta_{i,i}$$
.

a) Case p=2. (2.16) implies for a suitable choice of the orthonormal frame field

$$h_{ij}^4 = H \delta_{ij}$$

$$h_{ij}^{\alpha} = \lambda_i^{\alpha} \delta_{ij},$$

$$\sum_{i} \lambda_i^{\alpha} = 0.$$

If  $\lambda_i^{\alpha} \neq 0$ , from (3.12) and (3.13), we have

$$R_{ii} = \delta_1 = \frac{1}{2}(c + H^2)$$
 for  $i = 1, 2, 3,$ 

$$3\delta_1 = \frac{3}{2}(c+H^2) = 6(c+H^2) - |\tau|^2 = 3(c+H^2)$$
 (from (3.19)).

This is a contradiction. Hence at least one of  $\lambda_i^{\alpha}$  is zero, say  $\lambda_3^{\alpha} = 0$ . Thus  $\lambda_1^{\alpha} = -\lambda_2^{\alpha}$  from (3.22).

$$\begin{split} |\tau|^2 &= (\lambda_1^{\alpha})^2 + (\lambda_2^{\alpha})^2 = 3(c + H^2) \,, \\ (\lambda_1^{\alpha})^2 &= (\lambda_2^{\alpha})^2 = \frac{3}{2}(c + H^2) \,, \\ R_{ii} &= \frac{1}{2}(c + H^2) = \text{constant} > 0 \,, \qquad i = 1, \, 2, \\ R_{33} &= 2(c + H^2) = \text{constant} > 0 \,, \\ r &= \sum_i R_{ii} = 3(c + H^2) > 0 \,. \\ \sum_{ij} R_{ij}^2 &= \frac{9}{2}(c + H^2)^2 = \text{constant} \,. \end{split}$$

Hence  $\nabla_k R_{ij} = 0$ . Thus M is a 3-dimensional conformally flat submanifold with positive definite Ricci curvature. From Theorem 2 due to Goldberg [3], we know that M is a space form. Hence M is totally umbilic. This is a contradiction.

b) Case  $p \ge 3$ . In this cases, (3.20) implies

$$\sigma_1^2 = \sigma_2$$
.

We obtain that at most two of  $H_{\alpha}$ ,  $\alpha = 5$ ,  $\cdots$ , 3+p, are different from zero. Suppose that only one of them, say  $H_{\alpha_1}$ , is different from zero. Then we have  $\sigma_1^2 = (1/p-1)|\tau|^2$  and  $\sigma_2 = 0$ , which is a contradiction. Therefore we can suppose that

$$H_{5} = \lambda \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & -1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$
 and  $H_{6} = \mu \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ ,

$$H_{\alpha}=0$$
 for  $\alpha \geq 7$ 

In this case,

$$H_4 = HI$$
, tr  $H_5^2 = 2\lambda^2$ , tr  $H_6^2 = 2\mu^2$ ,

$$(3.23) 2\lambda^2 + 2\mu^2 = |\tau|^2 = 3(c + H^2).$$

(2.3) implies

$$\omega_{4i} = H\omega_i$$
,  $\omega_{51} = \lambda\omega_1$ ,  $\omega_{52} = -\lambda\omega_2$ ,  $\omega_{53} = 0$ ,

$$\omega_{51} = \mu \omega_2$$
,  $\omega_{62} = \mu \omega_1$ ,  $\omega_{63} = 0$ ,  $\omega_{\alpha i} = 0$  for  $\alpha = 2$ ,  $\cdots$ ,  $3 + \beta$ .

Since  $h_{ijk}^{\alpha}=0$  from (3.9), we have, for  $\alpha=5, \dots, 3+p$ ,

$$-dh_{ij}^{\alpha} = \sum h_{ik}^{\alpha} \omega_{kj} + \sum h_{kj}^{\alpha} \omega_{ki} + \sum h_{ij}^{\beta} \omega_{\beta\alpha}.$$

Setting  $\beta=6$ , i=1 and j=2, we have

$$d\mu = dh_{12}^6 = 0$$
.

Hence  $\mu$  is constant. Thus  $\lambda$  is also constant from (3.23).

$$R_{11} = R_{22} = 2(c + H^2) - \lambda^2 - \mu^2 = \frac{1}{2}(c + H^2) = \text{constant} > 0$$
.  
 $R_{22} = 2(c + H^2) = \text{constant} > 0$ .

Making use of the same proof as in case p=2, we obtain  $|\tau|^2=0$ . This is a contradiction. Thus we complete the proof of Proposition 1.

COROLLARY. Let M be a 3-dimensional minimal submanifold in a sphere  $S^{s+p}(c)$ . If

$$Ric(M) \ge \frac{5p-4}{2(2p-1)}c$$
,

then M is totally geodesic.

PROOF. Since M is a minimal submanifold in  $S^{3+p}(c)$  and  $S^{3+p}(c)$  is a totally umbilical hypersurface in  $S^{3+p+1}(c-H^2)$ , then M can be seen as a submanifold in  $S^{3+p+1}(c-H^2)$ . It is a pseudo-umbilical submanifold with parallel mean curvature vector h. According to Proposition 1, we know that Corollary is true.

REMARK. The result in Corollary is better than one due to Shen [5].

PROPOSITION 2. Let M be a 3-dimensional complete submanifold in  $S^{s+p}(c)$  with parallel mean curvature vector. If

$$Ric(M) \ge \frac{3}{4}c + \frac{39}{64}H^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1521}{64}H^4 + \frac{45}{2}H^2c}$$
,

then M is a pseudo-umblical submanifold.

PROOF. Because of

$$Ric(M) \ge \frac{3}{4}c + \frac{39}{64}H^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1921}{64}H^4 + \frac{45}{2}H^2c} > 0$$
 ,

we conclude that M is compact from Myers' theorem. We choose a frame field in such a way that

$$h_{ij}^4 = \lambda_i \delta_{ij}$$
.

Let  $\mu_i = \lambda_i - H$ , we have

$$\sum_{i} \mu_{i} = 0$$
,  $\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{2} = |\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2}$ ,   
 $\sum_{i} \mu_{i}^{3} = 6H^{3} - 3H|\sigma|^{2} + \sum_{i} \lambda_{i}^{3}$ ,

$$(3.26) -\frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sqrt{(|\sigma|^2-3H^2)^3} \leq \sum \mu_t^3 \leq \frac{1}{\sqrt{6}}\sqrt{(|\sigma|^2-3H^2)^3},$$

and equality holds if and only if two of  $\mu_i$  are equal (cf. [4]). Because of

(3.27) 
$$\sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \left( \sum_{i} \lambda_{i} h_{ii}^{\alpha} \right)^{2} = \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} \left\{ \sum_{i} (\lambda_{i} - H) h_{ii}^{\alpha} \right\}^{2}$$

$$\leq \left( |\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2} \right) |\tau|^{2},$$

from (2.18), (3.26) and (3.27), we obtain

(3.28) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta|\sigma|^{2} = \sum (h_{ijk}^{4})^{2} + \sum h_{ij}^{4}\Delta h_{ij}^{4}$$

$$= \sum (h_{ijk}^{4})^{2} + \sum (h_{km}^{4}R_{mijk} + h_{mi}^{4}R_{mkjk})h_{ij}^{4}$$

$$= \sum (h_{ijk}^{4})^{2} + 3c(|\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2}) - |\sigma|^{4} + 3H\sum \lambda_{i}^{3} - \sum_{\alpha \neq 4} (\sum_{i} \lambda_{i}h_{ii}^{\alpha})^{2}$$

$$\geq \sum (h_{ijk}^{4})^{2} + 3c(|\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2}) + 9H^{2}(|\sigma|^{2} - 2H^{2})$$

$$- \frac{3H}{\sqrt{6}}\sqrt{(|\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2})^{3}} - (|\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2})|\tau|^{2} - |\sigma|^{4}$$

$$= \sum (h_{ijk}^{4})^{2} + (|\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2})$$

$$\times \left\{ 3(c + H^{2}) - \frac{3H}{\sqrt{6}}\sqrt{(|\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2})} - |\sigma|^{2} + 3H^{2} - |\tau|^{2} \right\}.$$

On the other hand, since M is a 3-dimensional submanifold, its Weyl conformally curvature tensor vanishes, i.e.,

$$R_{ijkm} = R_{ik}\delta_{jm} - R_{im}\delta_{jk} + R_{jm}\delta_{ik} - R_{jk}\delta_{im} - \frac{1}{2}r(\delta_{ik}\delta_{jm} - \delta_{im}\delta_{jk}),$$

$$\sum (h_{km}^4 R_{mijk} + h_{mi}^4 R_{mkjk})h_{ij}^4$$

$$= \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i\neq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 R_{ijij}$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \left( R_{ii} + R_{jj} - \frac{r}{2} \right)$$

$$\geq 3 \left( 2\delta_1 - \frac{r}{2} \right) (|\sigma|^2 - 3H^2).$$

From (2.18), we have

(3.29) 
$$\frac{1}{2}\Delta |\sigma|^{2} \ge \sum (h_{ijk}^{4})^{2} + 3\left(2\delta_{1} - \frac{r}{2}\right)(|\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2})$$
$$\ge 3\left(2\delta_{1} - 3c - \frac{9}{2}H^{2} + \frac{1}{2}|\tau|^{2} + \frac{1}{2}|\sigma|^{2}\right)(|\sigma|^{2} - 3H^{2}).$$

 $(3.28) \times 3/2 + (3.29)$  implies

$$\frac{5}{2}\Delta |\sigma|^2 \ge \left\{6\delta_1 - \frac{9}{2}(c+H^2) - \frac{3\sqrt{6}}{4}H\sqrt{(|\sigma|^2 - 3H^2)}\right\} (|\sigma|^2 - 3H^2).$$

Because of

$$3\delta_1 \leq \sum R_{ii} = r = 6c + 9H^2 - |\sigma|^2 - |\tau|^2$$
,

we have

$$|\sigma|^2 - 3H^2 \le 6c + 6H^2 - 3\delta_1$$
.

Hence

(3.30) 
$$\frac{5}{4}\Delta(|\sigma|^2 - 3H^2) = \frac{5}{4}\Delta|\sigma|^2$$

$$\geq \left\{6\delta_1 - \frac{9}{2}(c + H^2) - \frac{9\sqrt{2}}{4}H\sqrt{2(c + H^2) - \delta_1}\right\}(|\sigma|^2 - 3H^2).$$

By a straightforward calculation, we can easily verify that if

$$\delta_1 > \frac{3}{4}c + \frac{39}{64}H^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1521}{64}H^4 + \frac{45}{2}H^2c},$$

we have

$$\left\{6\delta_{1}-\frac{9}{2}(c+H^{2})-\frac{9\sqrt{2}}{4}H\sqrt{2(c+H^{2})-\delta_{1}}\right\}(|\sigma|^{2}-3H^{2})>0.$$

According to (3.30) and Hopf's maximum principle, we conclude

$$|\sigma|^2-3H^2=0$$
.

Hence, M is pseudo-umbilic. If

$$\delta_1 = \frac{3}{4}c + \frac{39}{64}H^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1521}{64}H^4 + \frac{45}{2}H^2c},$$

then,

$$\left\{6\delta_{1}-\frac{9}{2}(c+H^{2})-\frac{9\sqrt{2}}{4}H\sqrt{2(c+H^{2})-\delta_{1}}\right\}(|\sigma|^{2}-3H^{2})=0.$$

Therefore from (3.30), we obtain that  $|\sigma|^2-3H^2=$ constant and all inequalities above are equalities. If  $|\sigma|^2-3H^2=0$ , then M is pseudo-umbilic. If  $|\sigma|^2-3H^2>0$ , we get that two of  $\mu_i$  are equal. Without loss of generality, we can suppose  $\mu_1=\mu_2$ , then  $\mu_3=-2h_1$ . From (2.18), we have

$$0 = \sum (h_{km}^4 R_{mijk} + h_{mi}^4 R_{mkjk}) h_{ij}^4$$

$$= \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i \neq j} (\lambda_i - \lambda_j)^2 \left( R_{ii} + R_{jj} - \frac{r}{2} \right)$$

$$= 9\mu_1^2 R_{33}.$$

Therefore  $R_{33}=0$ . On the other hand,

$$R_{33} \ge \delta_1 = \frac{3}{4}c + \frac{39}{64}H^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1521}{64}H^4 + \frac{45}{2}H^2c} > 0$$
.

This is a contradiction. Hence M is pseudo-umbilic.

PROOF OF THEOREM 1. When p=2, ((5p-9)/2(2p-3))=1/2. Hence

$$\frac{3}{4}c + H^2 + \frac{1}{8}\sqrt{\frac{1521}{64}H^4 + \frac{45}{2}H^2c} > \frac{1}{2}(c + H^2).$$

According to Propositions 1 and 2, we conclude easily that M is a 3-dimensional small sphere. When p=1, Proposition 1 implies that Theorem 1 is true.

PROOF OF THEOREM 2. According to Propositions 1 and 2, Theorem 2 holds good obviously.

Authors would like to express their deep thanks for the referee for his suggestion on Corollary in this section.

## References

- [1] S.S. Chern, M. do Carmo and S. Kobayashi, Minimal submanifolds of a sphere with second fundamental form of constant length, Functional analysis and related fields (1970), 59-75.
- [2] N. Ejiri, Compact minimal submanifolds of a sphere with positive Ricci curvature, J. Math. Soc. Japan 31 (1979), 251-256.
- [3] S.I. Goldberg, On conformally flat spaces with definite curvature, Kodai Math. Sem. Rep. 21 (1969), 226-232.
- [4] M. Okumura, Hypersurfaces and a pinching problem on the second fundamental tensor, Amer. J. Math. 96 (1974), 207-213.
- [5] Y.B. Shen, Intrinsic rigidity of minimal submanifolds in spheres, Scientia Simica A 32 (1989), 769-781.
- [6] Z.Q. Shun, Submanifolds of spheres with constant mean curvature, Adv. in Math. China 16 (1987), 91-96.

**Keywords.** Parallel mean curvature vector, Ricci curvature and totally umbilic submanifolds.

Department of Mathematics Northeast University of Technology Shenyang Liaoning 110006 China

First author's present address Institute of Mathematics Fudan University Shanghai 200433 P.R. China