PSEUDO-DISCRIMINANT AND DICKSON INVARIANT

Jean Dieudonné

1. Let E be a vector space of finite dimension over a field K. To a bilinear symmetric form f(x, y) defined over $E \times E$ is attached classically the notion of *discriminant*: it is an element of K which is not entirely defined by f; however, it is entirely determined when in addition a basis of E is chosen, and when the basis is changed, the discriminant is multiplied by a square in K. More precisely, let u be a linear mapping of E into E, and let $f_1(x, y) = f(u(x), u(y))$ the form "transformed" by u; if $\Delta(f)$, $\Delta(f_1)$ are the discriminants of f and f_1 with respect to the same basis of E, and D(u) the determinant of uwith respect to that basis, then one has the classical relation

When K has characteristic $\neq 2$, the preceding results may be expressed in terms of the "quadratic form" f(x, x) associated to f(x, y). However, when K has characteristic 2, the one-to-one association between bilinear symmetric forms and quadratic forms no longer subsists. More precisely, to a given *alternate* symmetric form f(x, y) (that is, f(x, x)=0 for all $x \in E$) is associated a whole family of quadratic forms Q(x), satisfying the fundamental identity

(2)
$$Q(x+y) = Q(x) + Q(y) + f(x, y)$$

and to all these Q is associated the same discriminant of f (with respect to a given basis).

Now C. Arf [1] has introduced an element $\Delta(Q)$ attached to Q and to a given symplectic basis of E (with respect to the form f) which we shall call the *pseudo-discriminant* of Q. He proved moreover that under a change of symplectic basis, $\Delta(Q)$ is transformed in the following way: let \mathcal{F} be the homomorphism $\xi \rightarrow \xi + \xi^2$ of the additive group Kinto itself; then the pseudo-discriminants of Q with respect to two different symplectic bases have a *difference* which has the form $\mathcal{F}(\lambda)$. Arf's proof is rather lengthy and proceeds by induction on n. We propose to show how the pseudo-discriminant is related to the *Clifford algebra* of Q in a way which parallels the well-known relation between the discriminant of f and the Clifford algebra of f over a field of characteristic $\neq 2$. At the same time, this will clear up the origin of a curiously isolated result obtained by L. E. Dickson for the orthogonal

Received May 11, 1954.

group $O_n(K, Q)$ over a finite field of characteristic 2: the transformations u of that group are defined by the condition Q(u(x))=Q(x), and Dickson showed [4, p. 206] that a certain bilinear polynomial D(u) in the elements of the matrix of u (with respect to a symplectic basis), turns out to be always equal to 0 or 1 for elements of $O_n(K, Q)$ (the first case occurring if and only if u is a product of an *even* number of transvections of $O_n(K, Q)$; see [6, p. 301]). Now the connection with the Clifford algebra which we mentioned above leads one in a natural way to form the polynomial D(u) for an arbitrary symplectic transformation u; if $Q_i(x)=Q(u(x))$ is then the "transformed" of Q by u, and $\Delta(Q)$, $\Delta(Q_1)$ and D(u) are computed with respect to the same symplectic basis, we will prove the following identity, which can be considered as the counter-part of (1)

$$(3) \qquad \qquad \varDelta(Q_1) = \varDelta(Q) + \mathcal{P}(D(u)) \,.$$

Dickson's result follows obviously from this relation.

2. We shall always suppose that the alternate form f is nondegenerate, which implies that n=2m is even, and that the forms Q associated with f are nondefective [5, p. 39-40]. For the definition of the Clifford algebra C(Q) of a quadratic form Q associated to f, we refer the reader to [3] or [6]. If $(e_i)_{1\leq i\leq n}$ is a symplectic basis of E, such that

$$f(e_i, e_{m+j}) = \delta_{ij}, \quad f(e_i, e_j) = 0, \quad f(e_{m+i}, e_{m+j}) = 0 \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq m,$$

then the unit element and the e_i $(1 \le i \le n)$ constitute a system of generators for C(Q), with the relations

$$(4) \qquad \left\{ \begin{array}{c} e_i^2 = Q(e_i) , \quad e_{m+i}^2 = Q(e_{m+i}) , \quad e_i e_j = e_j e_i \\ e_{m+i} e_{m+j} = e_{m+j} e_{m+i} , \quad e_i e_{m+j} + e_{m+j} e_i = \delta_{ij} \\ \end{array} \right. 1 \le i, j \le m.$$

From this it follows that C(Q) is an algebra of rank 2^{2m} over K. Moreover, the elements of even degree of C(Q) (generated by the products of an even number of the e_i 's) constitute a subalgebra $C^+(Q)$ of rank 2^{2m-1} over K, and it can be shown that the center Z of that algebra has rank 2 over K [3, p. 44]. Now, it is readily verified from (4) that the element

(5)
$$z = e_1 e_{m+1} + e_2 e_{m+2} + \dots + e_m e_{2m}$$

commutes with all products $e_{h}e_{k}$, and therefore constitutes with the unit element a basis for Z over K. From (4) it follows that $z^{2}+z = \mathcal{A}(Q)$, where

$$(6) \qquad \qquad \Delta(Q) = Q(e_1)Q(e_{m+1}) + Q(e_2)Q(e_{m+2}) + \cdots + Q(e_m)Q(e_{2m})$$

908

is precisely the *pseudo-discriminant* of Q relative to the basis (e_i) considered by Arf. Now the fact that $\Delta(Q)$ has the form $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ expresses the fact that the equation $z^2 + z = \Delta(Q)$ has a solution in K, in other words, that Z is not a field. When Z is a field, it is a separable quadratic field over K, and if it is generated by the roots of any equation $t^2 + t = \mu$, then μ and $\Delta(Q)$ differ by an element of the form $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$ [2, p. 177, exerc. 8]. This proves immediately that when the pseudo-discriminant is computed with respect to two different symplectic bases, the values obtained have a difference of the form $\mathcal{P}(\lambda)$.

3. We are now going to make the above result more precise by proving (3). If u is a symplectic transformation, the elements $u(e_i)$ $(1 \le i \le 2m)$ constitute again a symplectic basis for E, hence also a system of generators for the Clifford algebra C(Q), satisfying relations similar to (4) (with $Q(u(e_i))$ replacing $Q(e_i)$). The element

(7)
$$z' = u(e_1)u(e_{m+1}) + \cdots + u(e_m)u(e_{2m})$$

constitutes therefore, with the unit element, a basis for Z over K, in other words, z' has the form p+qz, where p, q are in K. Now it is easy to compute z' as a function of the coefficients of the matrix of u with respect to (e_i) : let

$$u(e_{i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} a_{ij}e_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} b_{ij}e_{m+j}$$
$$u(e_{m+i}) = \sum_{j=1}^{m} c_{ij}e_{j} + \sum_{j=1}^{m} d_{ij}e_{m+j}.$$

Let on the other hand $Q(e_i) = \alpha_i$, $Q(e_{m+i}) = \beta_i$. Then z' is a linear combination of elements $e_k e_k$, and it follows from (4) and (5) that we need only consider among those elements the squares e_i^2 and the products $e_i e_{m+i}$, $e_{m+i} e_i$ since we know in advance that z' can contain no other elements from the basis of $C^+(Q)$. We thus obtain

(8)
$$p = \sum_{i=1}^{m} \sum_{j=1}^{m} (\alpha_j a_{ij} c_{ij} + \beta_j b_{ij} d_{ij} + b_{ij} c_{ij})$$

(9)
$$q = \sum_{i=1}^{m} (a_{ij}d_{ij} + b_{ij}c_{ij}).$$

But it follows, from the fact that the transposed matrix of u is again the matrix of a symplectic transformation, that q=1. The expression on the right of (8) is the *Dickson invariant* D(u); as the relation z'=p+z yields $z'^2+z'=z^2+z+p^2+p$, the identity (3) follows immediately from (6).

4. We cannot expect, of course, that the mapping $u \rightarrow D(u)$ should be a homomorphism of the symplectic group $Sp_{2m}(K)$ into the additive group of K, if only because we know that $Sp_{2m}(K)$ is a simple group. However, there are some relations between the Dickson invariants of two symplectic transformations u, v and the Dickson invariant of their product. In fact, it follows immediately from the expression of z' obtained in §3, that we have

(10)
$$D(vu) = D(u) + D_u(v)$$

where D(u) and D(vu) are the Dickson invariants of u and vu with respect to the basis (e_i) , and $D_u(v)$ the Dickson invariant of v with respect to the basis $(u(e_i))$. This general formula takes a simpler shape when u is an orthogonal transformation, because then $Q(u(e_i)) = Q(e_i)$ for $1 \le i \le 2m$; on the other hand, the matrix of v with respect to the basis $(u(e_i))$ is the same as the matrix of $u^{-1}vu$ with respect to (e_i) , and we thus obtain

(11)
$$D(vu) + D(u^{-1}vu) = D(u)$$
.

But in this identity we can replace v by uvu^{-1} ; therefore we also have

$$(12) D(uv) = D(u) + D(v)$$

when u is an orthogonal transformation, v an arbitrary symplectic transformation (D(u) being equal to 0 or 1, as recalled above).

References

1. C.Arf, Untersuchungen über quadratische Formen in Körpern der Charakteristik 2 (Teil I), J. Reine Ang. Math., **183** (1941), 148-167.

2. N.Bourbaki, *Eléments de Mathématique*, *Livre II: Algèbre*, *chap.* IV-V, Actual. Scient. et Ind., n° 1102, Paris (Hermann), 1950.

C.Chevalley, The algebraic theory of spinors, Columbia Univ. Press, New-York, 1954.
L.E.Dickson, Linear groups, Leipzig (Teubner), 1901.

5. J. Dieudonné, Sur les groupes classiques, Actual. Scient. et. Ind., n° 1040, Paris (Herman), 1948.

6. ____, Algebraic homogeneous spaces over fields of characteristic two. Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **2** (1951), 295-304.

NORTHWESTERN UNIVERSITY

Added in proof (November 1955): Since this paper was submitted for publication, the following papers, containing substantially the result of §2, have appeared:

M. Kneser, Bestimmung des Zentrums der Cliffordschen Algebren einer quadratischen Form über einem Körper der Charakteristik 2, J. Reine Angew. Math., **193** (1954), 123-125.

E. Witt, Über eine Invariante quadratische Formen mod. 2, J. Reine Angew. Math., **193** (1954), 119-120.

E. Witt and W. Klingenberg, Über die Arfsche Invariante quadratischer Formen mod. 2, J. Reine Angew. Math., **193** (1954), 121-122.