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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to characterize the topological dimension of a compact metric space $X$ in terms of the extremal structure of the unit ball of the spaces $C\left(X, R_{n}\right)$, where $R_{n}$ denotes Euclidean $n$-space with the usual Euclidean norm and $C\left(X, R_{n}\right)$ denotes the space of continuous maps of $X$ into $R_{n}$, normed by the sup norm. The main results are that if $n \geqq 2$, the unit ball of $C\left(X, R_{n}\right)$ is always the closed convex hull of its extreme points, and that if the unit ball of $C\left(X, R_{n}\right)$ is actually equal to the convex hull of its extreme points, then the dimension of $X$ is less than $n$. If $n$ is even, the converse of the second assertion above is shown to be true, and with additional assumptions on $X$, the converse of the second assertion holds whether $n$ is even or odd.

In the last half of the paper, the corresponding questions for the spaces $C(X, N)$ are studied, where $N$ is an infinitedimensional strictly convex normed space and $C(X, N)$ is the space of continuous maps of $X$ into $N$, again with the sup norm. Here it is established that the unit ball of $C(X, N)$ is always the convex hull of its extreme points.


We will be studying spaces $C(X, N)$, where $N$ is either finitedimensional Euclidean space or an infinite-dimensional strictly convex normed space. If $|\mid$ is the norm on $N, C(X, N)$ is normed by $\|f\|=\sup _{x \in X}|f(x)|$. Let $U_{N}$ denote the (closed) unit ball of $C(X, N)$ and let $E_{N}$ denote the set of extreme points of $U_{N}$; then it is clear that $E_{N}$ is the set of all continuous maps of $X$ into the surface of the unit ball of $N$. In case $N$ is $n$-dimensional Euclidean space, we let $U_{N}$ be represented by $U_{n}$; similarly $E_{N}$ will be represented by $E_{n}$. When no confusion can arise we will sometimes drop the subscript $N$ on $U_{N}$ and $E_{N}$.

It is to be emphasized that all the hypotheses on $X$ are not always needed; we elaborate this in the remarks at the end of the paper.

A theorem in Bade [1] states that $U_{1}$ is the closed convex hull of $E_{1}$ if and only if $X$ is totally disconnected. Phelps [6] proved that $U_{2}$ is always the closed convex hull of $E_{2}$; a simpler proof was given by Sine [7]. Related results were obtained by Goodner [2] for the case $n=1$; here, compactness of $X$ was not assumed.

1. Mappings into Euclidean spaces. We begin with

Theorem 1. If $n \geqq 2, U_{n}$ is equal to the closed convex hull of
$E_{n}$.
Proof. Our basic tool is the construction used by Sine in [7], with a suitable modification. By $S_{n-1}$ we will mean the surface of the unit sphere in $R_{n}$. If $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are (small) positive numbers and $x_{0}$ is a point of $S_{n-1}$, let $B\left(x_{0}, \alpha\right)=\left\{z \in S_{n-1}:\left|z-x_{0}\right|<\alpha\right\}$ and let $W\left(x_{0}, \alpha, \beta\right)$ equal the convex hull of $\left(B\left(x_{0}, \alpha\right) \cup\left\{-\beta x_{0}\right\}\right)$. Any set of the form $W\left(x_{0}, \alpha, \beta\right)$ will be called a wedge; $-\beta x_{0}$ will be called the vertex of the wedge.

Now let $f$ be in $U_{n}$ and let $\varepsilon>0$. Let $k$ be a positive integer such that $(1 / k)<\varepsilon$; it is not hard to see that wedges $W_{1}, \cdots, W_{k}$ can be chosen so that the wedges $W_{i}$ are pairwise disjoint outside the set $\left\{z \in R_{n}:|z| \leqq \varepsilon\right\}$. (Choose $\alpha_{i}$ relatively small in comparison with $\beta_{i}$ if $\left.W_{i}=W\left(x_{i}, \alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}\right)\right)$. Let $\varphi_{i}$ be the following retraction of the unit ball in $R_{n}$ onto the unit ball with the (relative) interior of the wedge $W_{i}$ removed: If $z$ is in $W_{i}, \varphi_{i}(z)$ is obtained by projecting $z$ parallel to $x_{i}$ until it hits the boundary of $W_{i}$. If $z$ is not in $W_{i}, \varphi_{i}(z)=z$. The number $\beta_{i}$ can be chosen $<\varepsilon$; then $\left|\varphi_{i}(z)\right| \leqq \varepsilon$ if $|z| \leqq \varepsilon$.

We now estimate $\left|z-(1 / k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_{i}(z)\right|$ for $z$ in the unit ball of $R_{n}$. If $|z| \leqq \varepsilon$, then $\left|\varphi_{i}(z)\right| \leqq \varepsilon$ for each $i$, so

$$
\left|z-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_{i}(z)\right| \leqq 2 \varepsilon ;
$$

if $\varepsilon<|z| \leqq 1, \varphi_{i}(z)=z$ for all but at most one $i$, so

$$
\left|z-\frac{1}{k} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_{i}(z)\right| \leqq \frac{2}{k}<2 \varepsilon .
$$

Hence $\left\|f-(1 / k) \sum_{i=1}^{k} \varphi_{i} \circ f\right\| \leqq 2 \varepsilon$.
If $A$ is a subset of $S_{n-1}, n \geqq 2$, by a vector field on $A$ we will mean a continuous function $\Phi: A \rightarrow S_{n-1}$ such that $\Phi(z)$ is perpendicular to $z$ for all $z$ in $A$. If $n$ is even, define $p$ on $S_{n-1}$ by

$$
p\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)=\left(t_{2},-t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n},-t_{n-1}\right) .
$$

Then $p$ is a vector field on $S_{n-1}$.
If $n$ is odd, $n \geqq 3$, and the complement of $A$ in $S_{n-1}$ contains at least one point, $A$ admits a vector field. We see this as follows: clearly we may assume that the omitted point $p_{0}$ is the "north pole" $(0,0, \cdots, 1)$. If $z \in S_{n-1}, z \neq p_{0}$, we define $P(z)$ to be the stereographic projection of $z$ on the hyperplane $H=\left\{t_{n}=0\right\}$, where $t_{n}$ is the $n^{\prime \text { th }}$ coordinate function: $P(z)$ is the intersection of the line through $p_{0}$ and $z$ with $H . \quad P$ is one-to-one and bicontinuous from $S_{n-1} \sim\left\{p_{0}\right\}$ onto $H$. Let $T$ be a translation of $H$ onto itself: $T(y)=y+y_{0}$, where $y_{0}$
is a nonzero element of $H$. Now let $Q(z)=\left(P^{-1} \circ T \circ P\right)(z)$ for $z \in S_{n-1} \sim$ $\left\{p_{0}\right\}$.

For each $z$ in $S_{n-1} \sim\left\{p_{0}\right\}, Q(z)$ can be written uniquely as $\lambda z+V(z)$, where $\lambda$ is a real number and $V(z)$ is an element of $R_{n}$ which is perpendicular to $z$. If $V(z)=0$, then since $|Q(z)|=|z|=1$, we have $\lambda= \pm 1$. We cannot have that $\lambda=1$, since $Q(z) \neq z$ ( $T$ is fixed-point free); and if the vector $y_{0}$ in the definition of $T$ is small enough, $T(y)-y$ is uniformly small, so $\lambda$ cannot equal -1 . Hence $V(z) \neq 0$, so if we define $\Phi$ by $\Phi(z)=(V(z) /|V(z)|), \Phi$ is the desired vector field. It is not hard to check that $P$ has the properties claimed for it and that $V$ is continuous, whence $\Phi$ is continuous.

For each $i$, let $W_{i}$ be the wedge associated with $\varphi_{i} ; W_{i}$ is the convex hull of $v_{i}$ and $B\left(x_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$, where $v_{i}$ is the vertex of $W_{i}$. The preceding remarks imply that there is a vector field $\Phi_{i}$ on $S_{n-1} \sim$ $B\left(x_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$. Observe that for each $i, \varphi_{i} \circ f$ omits the origin and that $\varphi_{i}(f(x)) /\left|\varphi_{i}(f(x))\right|$ is never in $B\left(x_{i}, \alpha_{i}\right)$; hence we can define $g_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ on $X$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& g_{i}(x)=\varphi_{i}(f(x))+\left(1-\left|\varphi_{i}(f(x))\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \Phi_{i}\left(\frac{\varphi_{i}(f(x))}{\left|\varphi_{i}(f(x))\right|}\right), \\
& h_{i}(x)=\varphi_{i}(f(x))-\left(1-\left|\varphi_{i}(f(x))\right|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \Phi_{i}\left(\frac{\varphi_{i}(f(x))}{\left|\varphi_{i}(f(x))\right|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then $g_{i}$ and $h_{i}$ are in $E_{n}$ and $\varphi_{i} \circ f=\left(g_{i}+h_{i} / 2\right)$; hence $f$ is approximated within $2 \varepsilon$ by a convex combination of elements of $E_{n}$. This completes the proof.

Let $\operatorname{dim} X$ denote the dimension of $X$ as defined in Hurewicz and Wallman [3]. We continue with

Theorem 2. For $n \geqq 1$, suppose that $U_{n}$ is equal to the convex hull of $E_{n}$. Then $\operatorname{dim} X<n$.

Proof. By Theorem VI. 4. of Hurewicz and Wallman, it suffices to prove the following: Let $A$ be a closed subset of $X$. Then if $f$ is a continuous map of $A$ into $S_{n-1}$, there is an extension of $f$ to a continuous map of $X$ into $S_{n-1}$.

Hence, let $A$ and $f$ be as above. Using Tietze's theorem, we can extend $f$ to a continuous $\tilde{f}$ from $X$ into the unit ball of $R_{n}$. If $\tilde{f}$ is in the convex hull of $E_{n}$, there is a probability measure $\mu$ defined on the Borel subsets of $U_{n}$ with $\mu\left(E_{n}\right)=1$ ( $\mu$ has finite support, but we do not need this fact) such that $\Psi(\tilde{f})=\int_{E_{n}} \Psi(g) d \mu(g)$ for each continuous linear functional $\Psi$ on $C\left(X, R_{n}\right)$. Let $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ be a sequence dense in $A$ and let $p_{j}=f\left(x_{j}\right)$. Define continuous linear functionals $\Psi_{j}$ by

$$
\Psi_{j}(g)=\left\langle g\left(x_{j}\right), p_{j}\right\rangle \text { for } g \text { in } C\left(X, R_{n}\right) .
$$

(Here, $\langle$,$\rangle denotes the usual inner product.) Then for each j$ we have

$$
1=\Psi_{j}(\tilde{f})=\int_{E_{n}} \Psi_{j}(g) d \mu(g)
$$

If $g$ is in $E_{n}$ and $g\left(x_{j}\right) \neq p_{j}$, then $\Psi_{j}(g)<1$; since $\mu$ is a probability measure it must be the case that

$$
\mu\left\{g \in E_{n}: g\left(x_{j}\right) \neq p_{j}\right\}=0 .
$$

Hence, $\mu\left(\bigcup_{j=1}^{\infty}\left\{g \in E_{n}: g\left(x_{j}\right) \neq p_{j}\right\}\right)=0$; it follows that there is a $g^{*}$ in $E_{n}$ such that $g^{*}\left(x_{j}\right)=p_{j}=f\left(x_{j}\right)$ for all $j$. Since $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ is dense in $A, g^{*}(x)=f(x)$ for all $x$ in $A$. This $g^{*}$ is the desired extension of $f$ and the proof is complete.

We now show that in case $n$ is even the converse of Theorem 2 holds, and that if $n=1$, something slightly weaker than the converse of Theorem 2 holds; we also give some related results. Before proceeding, we again note that if $n$ is even, the function $p$ on $S_{n-1}$ defined by

$$
p\left(t_{1}, t_{2}, \cdots, t_{n-1}, t_{n}\right)=\left(t_{2},-t_{1}, \cdots, t_{n},-t_{n-1}\right)
$$

is a continuous map of $S_{n-1}$ into $S_{n-1}$ such that $p(z)$ is perpendicular to $z$ for all $z$ in $S_{n-1}$.

Theorem 3. If $n$ is even and $\operatorname{dim} X<n, U_{n}$ is equal to the convex hull of $E_{n}$.

Proof. The containment one way is trivial. To show that $U_{n}$ is contained in the convex hull of $E_{n}$, it suffices to show that $U_{n}$ is in the convex hull of those elements of $U_{n}$ which omit the origin; for if $g$ is an element of $U_{n}$ which omits the origin we can define $f_{1}$ and $f_{2}$ in $E_{n}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f_{1}(x)=g(x)+\left(1-|g(x)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} p\left(\frac{g(x)}{|g(x)|}\right), \\
& f_{2}(x)=g(x)-\left(1-|g(x)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} p\left(\frac{g(x)}{|g(x)|}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Plainly $g=f_{1}+f_{2} / 2$.
Hence suppose $\operatorname{dim} X<n$ and that $f$ is in $U_{n}$. By Theorem VI. 1. of Hurewicz and Wallman, the origin is an unstable value of $f$; by Proposition B of the same section in Hurewicz and Wallman, there is a function $h_{1}$ in $U_{n}$ which omits the origin, such that
(1) If $|f(x)| \geqq(1 / 3)$, then $h_{1}(x)=f(x)$,
(2) If $|f(x)|<(1 / 3)$, then $\left|h_{1}(x)\right|<(1 / 3)$.

Put $h_{2}=2 f-h_{1}$; then $h_{2}$ is in $U_{n}$.
Suppose $\left|h_{1}(x)\right|>3 \varepsilon>0$ for all $x$ in $X$. Using the same results in Hurewicz and Wallman, we can choose $g_{2}$ in $U_{n}$ such that $g_{2}$ omits the origin and such that
(3) If $\left|h_{2}(x)\right| \geqq \varepsilon$, then $g_{2}(x)=h_{2}(x)$,
(4) If $\left|h_{2}(x)\right|<\varepsilon$, then $\left|g_{2}(x)\right|<\varepsilon$.

Put $g_{1}=2 f-g_{2}$. Now it is easy to check that $\left\|g_{1}\right\| \leqq 1$ and $\left\|g_{2}\right\| \leqq 1$; moreover $g_{1}$ omits the origin because $\left\|g_{1}-h_{1}\right\|=\left\|g_{2}-h_{2}\right\| \leqq 2 \varepsilon$. This completes the proof of Theorem 3.

For the case $n=1, \operatorname{dim} X=0$, we have a slightly weaker version of Theorem 3:

Theorem 4. If $\operatorname{dim} X=0$, then for every $f$ in $U_{1}$ there is a sequence $\left\{h_{i}\right\}$ of elements of $E_{1}$ such that $f=\sum_{i=1}^{\infty}\left(1 / 2^{i+1}\right)\left(h_{2 i-1}+h_{2 i}\right)$, the convergence being norm convergence.

We first prove an auxiliary result:

Lemma 1. Assume that $\operatorname{dim} X=0$ and that $f$ is in $U_{1}$. Then there are two elements $h_{1}, h_{2}$ of $E_{1}$ such that $\left\|f-(1 / 4)\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)\right\| \leqq 1 / 2$.

Proof. If $h_{i}$ assumes only the two values $\pm 1, h_{i}=\chi_{A_{i}}-\chi_{\sim A_{i}}$, where $A_{i}$ is an open-and-closed subset of $X$ and $\chi_{T}$ denotes the characteristic function of the set $T$. If $\left\|f-(1 / 4)\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)\right\| \leqq 1 / 2$ we must have that $|f-(1 / 2)| \leqq 1 / 2$ on $A_{1} \cap A_{2},|f| \leqq 1 / 2$ on

$$
\left(A_{1} \sim A_{2}\right) \cup\left(A_{2} \sim A_{1}\right),
$$

and $|f+(1 / 2)| \leqq 1 / 2$ on $\left(\sim A_{1}\right) \cap\left(\sim A_{2}\right)$. Using the zero-dimensionality of $X$, we can find an open-and-closed set $A_{1}$ containing $f^{-1}[1 / 2,1]$ and contained in $f^{-1}(0,1]$; we can then find an open-and-closed subset $A_{2}$ containing $f^{-1}[0,1]$ and contained in $f^{-1}(-(1 / 2), 1]$. With this choice of $A_{1}$ and $A_{2},\left\|f-(1 / 4)\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)\right\| \leqq 1 / 2$, and this completes the proof of the lemma.

Turning now to the proof of the theorem, we suppose that $f$ is in $U_{1}$. By the lemma, there are elements $h_{1}, h_{2}$ of $E_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|f-\frac{1}{4}\left(h_{1}+h_{2}\right)\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{2} .
$$

Assume that elements $h_{1}, h_{2}, \cdots, h_{2 j-1}, h_{2 j}$ of $E_{1}$ have been found so that

$$
\left\|f-\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\left(h_{2 i-1}+h_{2 i}\right)\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{2^{j}}
$$

Let

$$
H_{j}=f-\sum_{i=1}^{j} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\left(h_{2 i-1}+h_{2 i}\right)
$$

Then $\left\|2^{j} H_{j}\right\| \leqq 1$; appealing to the lemma again, we find elements $h_{2 j+1}, h_{2 j+2}$ of $E_{1}$ such that

$$
\left\|2^{j} H_{j}-\frac{1}{4}\left(h_{2 j+1}+h_{2 j+2}\right)\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{2}
$$

whence

$$
\left\|f-\sum_{i=1}^{j+1} \frac{1}{2^{i+1}}\left(h_{2 i-1}+h_{2 i}\right)\right\| \leqq \frac{1}{2^{j+1}}
$$

This completes the induction step and the proof of the theorem.
We now turn to the case that $n$ is an odd integer, $n \geqq 3$; we would like to prove something like Theorem 3 for such $n$. The two key elements in the proof of Theorem 3 were the approximation of an $f$ in $U_{n}$ by a nowhere-vanishing $g$, and the fact that a nowherevanishing $g$ can be written as the midpoint of two elements of $E_{n}$. The approximation is always possible, whether $n$ is odd or even, provided $\operatorname{dim} X<n$; but the representation of a nonvanishing $g$ in $U_{n}$ as the midpoint of two elements of $E_{n}$ is not always possible, even with $\operatorname{dim} X<n$. For example, if $n$ is odd, let $X=(1 / 2) S_{n-1}$, the set of points in $R_{n}$ at distance $1 / 2$ from the origin. Let $f$ be the identity map of $X$ into the unit ball of $R_{n}$. Then if $f=g_{1}+g_{2} / 2$, with $g_{1}, g_{2}$ in $E_{n}$, it is easy to see that if

$$
h(z)=\frac{g_{1}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)-\frac{z}{2}}{\left|g_{1}\left(\frac{z}{2}\right)-\frac{z}{2}\right|}
$$

for $z$ in $S_{n-1}, h$ is a vector field on $S_{n-1}$, which is an impossibility.
We do have the following partial result:

Proposition 1. Suppose that $X$ is a compact metric space such that any two continuous maps of $X$ into $S_{n-1}$ are homotopic in $S_{n-1}(n \geqq 2)$. Then if $g$ is an element of $U_{n}$ which omits the origin, $g=h_{1}+h_{2} / 2$, with $h_{1}, h_{2}$ in $E_{n}$.

Before we prove the proposition, we make the following observation (which must be in the literature):

Lemma 2. Let $X$ be a compact space and let $f, g$ be two continuous maps of $X$ into $S_{n-1}, n \geqq 2$, such that $\|f-g\|<\sqrt{2}$. Then if there is a continuous $g^{\prime}$ from $X$ into $S_{n-1}$ such that $g^{\prime}(x)$ is perpendicular to $g(x)$ for all $x$ in $X$, there is a continuous $f^{\prime}$ from $X$ into $S_{n-1}$ such that $f^{\prime}(x)$ is perpendicular to $f(x)$ for all $x$ in $X$.

Proof of the lemma. For each $x$ in $X$ we can write $g^{\prime}(x)$ uniquely in the form $g^{\prime \prime}(x)+\lambda(x) f(x)$, where $g^{\prime \prime}(x)$ is perpendicular to $f(x)$ and $\lambda(x)$ is a scalar between -1 and 1 . It is easy to see that $g^{\prime \prime}$ is continuous as a function of $x$. If $g^{\prime \prime}(y)=0$ for some $y$, then $g^{\prime}(y)= \pm f(y)$; since $g(y)$ is perpendicular to $g^{\prime}(y)$ we have $|f(y)-g(y)|=\sqrt{2}$, a contradiction. The proof of the lemma is complete if we define $f^{\prime}(x)=\left(g^{\prime \prime}(x) /\left|g^{\prime \prime}(x)\right|\right)$ for $x$ in $X$.

Proof of the proposition. Define $h$ on $X$ by $h(x)=(g(x) /|g(x)|)$; then $h$ is a continuous map of $X$ into $S_{n-1}$. By assumption, there are a constant map $k$ of $X$ into $S_{n-1}$ and a continuous map $q$ of $X \times[0,1]$ into $S_{n-1}$ such that $q(x, 0)=k(x), q(x, 1)=h(x)$ for all $x$ in $X$. Clearly there is a continuous map $k^{\prime}$ of $X$ into $S_{n-1}$ such that $k^{\prime}(x)$ is perpendicular to $k(x)$ for all $x$ in $X$. (Simply let $k^{\prime}$ be another constant map, appropriately chosen.)

Let $T$ be the set of all $t$ in $[0,1]$ such that there is a continuous map $g_{t}^{\prime}$ from $X$ into $S_{n-1}$ with $g_{t}^{\prime}(x)$ perpendicular to $q(x, t)$ for all $x$ in $X$. The set $T$ is nonempty, and by the lemma above, $T$ is open and closed in [0, 1]. We conclude that there is a continuous $h^{\prime}$ of $X$ into $S_{n-1}$ such that $h^{\prime}(x)$ is perpendicular to $h(x)$ for all $x$ in $X$.

Now define $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ on $X$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& h_{1}(x)=g(x)+\left(1-|g(x)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} h^{\prime}(x), \\
& h_{2}(x)=g(x)-\left(1-|g(x)|^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} h^{\prime}(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It follows that $h_{1}$ and $h_{2}$ are in $E_{n}$ and that $g=h_{1}+h_{2} / 2$.
Combining Proposition 1 and the techniques used in the proof of Theorem 3, we obtain the following.

Corollary. If $n$ is an integer $\geqq 3$ and if $X$ is a compact metric space of dimension $<n$ such that any two continuous maps of $X$ into $S_{n-1}$ are homotopic in $S_{n-1}$, then $U_{n}$ is the convex hull of $E_{n}$.

In particular, if $\operatorname{dim} X<n$ and $X$ is contractible, then $U_{n}$ is the convex hull of $E_{n}$. Hence if $n \geqq 3$ and $\operatorname{dim} X<n-1, U_{n}$ is the convex hull of $E_{n}$. (Use the cone over $X$; this has dimension $<n$ and is contractible.)
2. Mappings into infinite-dimensional spaces. We now wish to prove Theorem 3 in the case that the range space $N$ is infinitedimensional. We assume from here on that $X$ is a compact Hausdorff space (metrizability is no longer assumed) and that $N$ is an infinitedimensional strictly convex normed space.

Theorem 5. Let $X$ and $N$ be as above. Then $U_{N}$ is the convex hull of $E_{N}$.

We shall prove this in the same way that we proved Theorem 3: every element of $U_{N}$ can be approximated by an element of $U_{N}$ which omits the zero vector in $N$ : every element of $U_{N}$ which omits the origin is the midpoint of two elements of $E_{N}$. The first assertion is proved in Proposition 2 below; the second assertion is proved in Proposition 3.

Proposition 2. Let $X$ and $N$ be as above. Then if $f$ is in $U_{N}$ and $\varepsilon$ is a positive number, there is $g$ in $U_{N}$ such that $g$ omits the origin and $\|f-g\|<\varepsilon$.

Proof. The set $K=f(X)$ is compact, so by a result of Nagumo [4, Th. 2] there are points $x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}$ in the unit ball of $N$ and a continuous map $q$ of $K$ into the convex hull of $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right\}$ such that $|q(z)-z|<\varepsilon / 3$ for $z$ in $K$. If $s$ is the number $1-(\varepsilon / 3),|s \cdot q(z)-z|<$ $2 \varepsilon / 3$ for $z$ in $K$. Now let $v$ be any element of the unit ball of $N$ which is not in the linear span of $\left\{x_{1}, \cdots, x_{r}\right\}$. Finally if we define $g$ on $X$ by $g(x)=(\varepsilon / 3) v+s \cdot q(f(x)), g$ is a continuous map of $X$ into the unit ball of $N, g$ omits the origin, and $\|f-g\|<\varepsilon$.

Corollary. Let $X$ and $N$ satisfy the hypotheses of Proposition 2. Let $f$ be an element of $U_{N}$. Then for every $\varepsilon>0$ there is a $g$ in $U_{N}$ such that $g$ omits the origin, $|g(x)|<\varepsilon$ if $|f(x)|<\varepsilon, g(x)=$ $f(x)$ if $|f(x)| \geqq \varepsilon$.

Proof. The proof of Proposition B § 1 in chapter VI of Hurewicz and Wallman can be used without change, in conjunction with Proposition 2.

Now let $N$ be an infinite-dimensional strictly convex normed space. Let $B$ denote the closed unit ball of $N$ and let $S$ denote the boundary of $B$. Let $X$ be a compact Hausdorff space and let $g$ be a continuous map of $X$ into $B \sim\{0\}$. We shall show that $g$ is the midpoint of two continuous maps of $X$ into $S$. To prove this, it is certainly enough to prove the following.

Proposition 3. Let $N$ be an infinite-dimensional strictly convex normed space and let $K$ be a compact subset of the unit ball of $N$ such that $K$ does not contain the origin. Then there are two continuous maps $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$, defined and continuous on $K$ and assuming values in $S$, such that for each $x$ in $K, x=\varphi_{1}(x)+\varphi_{2}(x) / 2$.

Proof. Let $K$ satisfy the hypotheses of the proposition. Then if $\eta$ is defined on $K$ by $\eta(x)=(x /|x|), \eta$ is a continuous map of $K$ into $S$. Since $N$ is infinite-dimensional, $S$ cannot be compact; hence there is a point $z$ in $S \sim(\eta(K) \cup-\eta(K))$. We now define $\gamma$ on $K \times[0,2]$ in the following way:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\gamma(x, t)=\frac{(1-t) \eta(x)+t z}{|(1-t) \eta(x)+t z|} & \text { for } 0 \leqq t \leqq 1 \\
\gamma(x, t)=\frac{(2-t) z+(t-1)(-\eta(x))}{|(2-t) z+(t-1)(-\eta(x))|} & \text { for } 1 \leqq t \leqq 2
\end{array}
$$

(Note that the norms in the denominators are never zero because of the way $z$ was chosen.) It is clear that $\gamma$ is continuous on $K \times[0,2]$ and that $\gamma$ is a map of $K \times[0,2]$ into $S$.

Fix $x$ in $K$; then it is easily verified that $|2 x-\gamma(x, 0)| \leqq 1$ and $|2 x-\gamma(x, 2)|>1$. It follows that there is at least one $t$ in [0, 2] such that $|2 x-\gamma(x, t)|=1$.

We assert that there is at most one such $t$. Since this is an assertion about a two-dimensional subspace of $N$, our claim is equivalent to the following lemma, in which ( 1,0 ) plays the role of the point $\eta(x)$ and $(0,1) /|(0,1)|$ plays the role of the point $z$ :

Lemma 3. Let || be any strictly convex norm on the XY-plane. Suppose that $|(1,0)|=1$ and that $0<r \leqq 1$. Then there is at most one point $\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ with $y_{1} \geqq 0$ such that

$$
\left|\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right|=\left|2(r, 0)-\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)\right|=1
$$

Proof. For a contradiction, we may assume there are two such points $q_{1}=\left(x_{1}, y_{1}\right)$ and $q_{2}=\left(x_{2}, y_{2}\right)$, with $y_{1}>y_{2}>0$. (It is immediate from strict convexity that $y_{1} \neq y_{2}$.) Let $(u, 0)$ denote the point of intersection of the $x$-axis and the line through $q_{1}$ and $q_{2}$. Explicitly, $u=\left(y_{1}-y_{2}\right)^{-1}\left(y_{1} x_{2}-y_{2} x_{1}\right)$ and

$$
q_{2}=\lambda q_{1}+(1-\lambda)(u, 0), \quad \text { where } \quad \lambda=y_{2} / y_{1} \in(0,1)
$$

We also have

$$
q_{2}-2(r, 0)=\lambda\left[q_{1}-2(r, 0)\right]+(1-\lambda)(u-2 r, 0)
$$

We can obviously assume that neither the above-mentioned line nor its translate by $-2(r, 0)$ passes through the origin, so the strict convexity of the norm yields $|(u, 0)|>1$ and $|(u-2 r, 0)|>1$. These last two points are at most two units apart (since $0<r<1$ ), so we either have $u-2 r<u<-1$ or $1<u-2 r<u$. Neither of these is possible (a sketch clarifies this); in the first case, for instance, we would have $q_{2}$ in the interior of the triangle defined by $q_{2}-2(r, 0), q_{1}$ and the origin, which would imply $\left|q_{2}\right|<1$. (In the second case, we would get $\left|q_{2}-2(r, 0)\right|<1$.)

Continuing with the proof of the theorem, we let $t(x)$ be the unique point in $[0,2]$ such that $|2 x-\gamma(x, t(x))|=1$. We now claim that $t$ is continuous on $K$. If not, there are a point $x_{0}$ in $K$ and a sequence $\left\{x_{j}\right\}$ converging to $x_{0}$ such that $\left|t\left(x_{j}\right)-t\left(x_{0}\right)\right|>\varepsilon>0$ for all $j$. Taking a subsequence, if necessary, we may assume that $\left\{t\left(x_{j}\right)\right\}$ converges to $t_{0} \neq t\left(x_{0}\right)$. Using the continuity of $\gamma$ we find that

$$
\left|2 x_{0}-\gamma\left(x_{0}, t_{0}\right)\right|=\lim _{j}\left|2 x_{j}-\gamma\left(x_{j}, t\left(x_{j}\right)\right)\right|=1 ;
$$

this contradicts the uniqueness of $t\left(x_{0}\right)$ and the continuity of $t$ is established. It is now clear how $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are to be defined on $K$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}(x)=\gamma(x, t(x)), \\
& \varphi_{2}(x)=2 x-\gamma(x, t(x)) .
\end{aligned}
$$

This completes the proof of the proposition.
Observe that a much simpler proof is available if $N$ is complex linear. Indeed, if $N$ is complex linear and if $x$ is in the unit ball $B$ of $N, x \neq 0$, define $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \varphi_{1}(x)=\left(1+\left(|x|^{-2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} i\right) \cdot x \\
& \varphi_{2}(x)=\left(1-\left(|x|^{-2}-1\right)^{1 / 2} i\right) \cdot x
\end{aligned}
$$

The modulus of each of the coefficients of $x$ in the above expressions is $|x|^{-1}$, so it follows that for $x$ in $B \sim\{0\},\left|\varphi_{1}(x)\right|=\left|\varphi_{2}(x)\right|=1$. Plainly, $x=\varphi_{1}(x)+\varphi_{2}(x) / 2$, and it is equally clear that $\varphi_{1}$ and $\varphi_{2}$ are continuous on $B \sim\{0\}$.

Combining the above proposition, the Corollary to Proposition 2, and the techniques of Theorem 3, we obtain Theorem 5.

We conclude with a question: what are necessary and sufficient conditions on the compact metric space $X$ so that $U_{n}$ is equal to the convex hull of $E_{n}$, if $n$ is an odd integer $\geqq 3$ ?

Author's note. Since this paper was written, the results have been improved on in several ways. Professor Joram Lindenstrauss has communicated a proof that the conclusion of Theorem 1 holds for
the case of $C(X, N)$, where $N$ is any finite-dimensional real vector space, normed in such a way that the extreme points of the unit ball of $N$ form an arcwise connected set. In the proof of Theorem 3 compactness of $X$ appears essential $\left(\left|h_{1}(x)\right|>3 \varepsilon>0\right.$ for all $x$ in $X$ ), but Professor James L. Cornette has shown that compactness is unnecessary by modifying $h_{1}$ slightly. A similar device is used by Professor John Cantwell in a paper to appear in the AMS Proceedings; in this paper Cantwell establishes the converse of our Theorem 2 for odd $n, n \geqq 3$, without any additional hypotheses on $X$. (He shows that for odd $n, n \geqq 3$, each element of $U_{n}$ is in the convex hull of eight elements of $E_{n}$ if $\operatorname{dim} X<n$.) For $n=1$ our Theorem 4 appears best possible, since convex combinations of elements of $E_{1}$ assume only finitely many values and there are certainly zero-dimensional compact metric spaces admitting a continuous real-valued function which assumes infinitely many values.

Note that the proof of Theorem 1 shows that the theorem is really a statement about the normed space of all bounded continuous functions from a Hausdorff space $X$ into $R_{n}, n \geqq 2$. Finally, we remark that the proof of Theorem 2 would have been simpler if $\tilde{f}$ had been written explicitly as a convex combination of elements of $E_{n}$; the point here is that the weak form of "representability" of $\tilde{f}$ used in the proof is enough to give the conclusion.

I would like to thank Professors R. H. Szczarba and J. D. Stafney for several helpful conversations, and Professor R. R. Phelps for several communications on the subject. I would also like to thank Professor R. C. Sine for showing me his unpublished manuscript [7]; and I am indebted to the referee for several helpful suggestions.
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