

SETS OF INTEGERS CLOSED UNDER AFFINE OPERATORS-THE FINITE BASIS THEOREMS

D. G. HOFFMAN AND D. A. KLARNER

This paper is a continuation of investigations of sets T of integers closed under operations f of the form $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = m_1x_1 + \dots + m_rx_r + c$, where r, m_1, \dots, m_r, c are integers satisfying $r \geq 2, 0 \notin \{m_1, \dots, m_r\}$, and $\gcd(m_1, \dots, m_r) = 1$. We have two goals here:

(1) **to prove that $T = \langle f | A \rangle$ for some finite set A , where $\langle f | A \rangle$ denotes the "smallest" set containing A and closed under f , and**

(2) **to show that unless $|T| = 1$, T is a finite union of infinite arithmetic progressions, either all bounded below, or all bounded above, or all doubly infinite.**

We shall lean heavily on the notation, definitions, and results of [1].

DEFINITION 1. Let $r \in \mathbf{P}$. An r -ary affine operator f on \mathbf{Z} is an operator of the form

$$f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = m_1x_1 + \dots + m_rx_r + c,$$

where $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, and $c \in \mathbf{Z}$. Let $\sigma(f) = m_1 + \dots + m_r$, let $\rho(f) = r$.

We call f a *positive* operator if each $m_i \in \mathbf{P}$, a *prime* operator if $r \geq 2$ and $\gcd(m_1, \dots, m_r) = 1$, and a *linear* operator if $c = 0$. Denote by \mathcal{P} the set of all positive, prime, linear operators, and by \mathcal{H} the set of all prime linear operators that are not positive. For each $f \in \mathcal{P}$, $\langle f + 1 | 0 \rangle$ is a periodic set by Theorem 12 of [1]; let $\delta(f)$ be its smallest eventual period.

LEMMA 1. Let $f \in \mathcal{P}$, let $a, s, t \in \mathbf{Z}$, with $(\sigma(f) - 1)a + s \in \mathbf{N}$, and $(\sigma(f) - 1)a + t \in \mathbf{P}$. Then $T = \langle f + \{s, t\} | a \rangle$ has an eventual period $\delta(f)\gcd(t - s, (\sigma(f) - 1)a + t) = \delta(f)\gcd((\sigma(f) - 1)a + s, (\sigma(f) - 1)a + t)$.

Proof. Define a sequence $(T_n | n \in \mathbf{P})$ of subsets of \mathbf{Z} as follows: let $T_1 = \langle f + t | a \rangle$, and for $k \in \mathbf{P}$, let $T_{2k} = \langle f + s | T_{2k-1} \rangle$ and $T_{2k+1} = \langle f + t | T_{2k} \rangle$. Then certainly each T_n has an eventual period $\delta(f)((\sigma(f) - 1)a + t)$, and further $T = \bigcup_{n \in \mathbf{P}} T_n$. Thus T has an eventual period $\delta(f)((\sigma(f) - 1)a + t)$. If $(\sigma(f) - 1)a + s = 0$, we are done. Otherwise, we may interchange the roles of s and t in the argument above to conclude that T also has an eventual period of $\delta(f)((\sigma(f) - 1)a + s)$.

THEOREM 1. *Let $f \in \mathcal{P}$. Then there exists $v \in \mathbf{P}$ such that for all $a \in \mathbf{N}$, $b \in \mathbf{P}$, $T = \langle f | a, b \rangle$ has an eventual period $v \cdot \gcd(a, b)$.*

Proof. We may assume $\gcd(a, b) = 1$. If $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = m_1x_1 + \dots + m_rx_r$, then T is closed under the two operators $g + k\{a, b\}$, where $g(x_1, \dots, x_r) = m_1^2x_1 + m_2x_2 + \dots + m_rx_r$, and $k = m_1(m_2 + \dots + m_r)$. Let $v = \delta(g)k(\sigma(g) - 1 + k)$. By Lemma 1, the set $T_a = \langle g + k\{a, b\} | a \rangle$ has an eventual period $\delta(g)\gcd(k(b - a), (\sigma(g) - 1 + k)a)$, which divides v . Similarly, $T_b = \langle g + k\{a, b\} | b \rangle$ has an eventual period v , thus $T = \langle f | T_a \cup T_b \rangle$ does also.

DEFINITION 2. For each $f \in \mathcal{P}$, we denote by $\nu(f)$ the smallest positive integer such that for all $a \in \mathbf{N}$, $b \in \mathbf{P}$, $\langle f | a, b \rangle$ has an eventual period $\nu(f)(\sigma(f) - 1)\gcd(a, b)$.

Theorem 12 of [1] considered sets $\langle f + c | A \rangle$, where $(\sigma(f) - 1)A + c \subseteq \mathbf{P}$. We remark that Theorem 1 above can be used to extend Theorem 12 of [1] to the case $\{0\} \neq (\sigma(f) - 1)A + c \subseteq \mathbf{N}$.

THEOREM 2. *Let $f \in \mathcal{P}$, let $c \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$, with $\{0\} \neq (\sigma(f) - 1)A + c \subseteq \mathbf{N}$. Then $\langle f + c | A \rangle$ is a periodic set with an eventual period $\nu(f)\gcd((\sigma(f) - 1)A + c)$.*

Proof. By Theorem 1 of [1], we may assume $c = 0$. Let $a \in A \cap \mathbf{P}$. For each $b \in \mathbf{N}$, $T_b = \langle f | a, b \rangle$ has an eventual period $\nu(f)(\sigma(f) - 1)\gcd(a, b)$, thus $T = \bigcup_{b \in A} T_b$ has an eventual period $\nu(f)(\sigma(f) - 1)a$, and so does $\langle f + c | A \rangle = \langle f + c | T \rangle$.

LEMMA 2. *Let f be a prime operator, let $t \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then there is a positive, prime operator g such that for any $T \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ with $t \in T$, if T is closed under f , then T is closed under g .*

Proof. If f is the operator $m_1x_1 + \dots + m_rx_r + c$, then let $g = m_1^2x_1 + \dots + m_r^2x_r + 2t \sum_{i < j} m_i m_j + (\sigma(f) + 1)c$.

THEOREM 3. *Let $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$, let f be a prime operator. Then $\langle f | A \rangle = \langle f | B \rangle$ for some finite subset $B \subseteq A$.*

Proof. Let $t \in A$, produce g as in Lemma 2. Let $\alpha = g(0)/(1 - \sigma(g))$, let $P = \{n \in \mathbf{Z} | n \geq \alpha\}$. By Theorem 12 of [1], and its extension noted above, there are finite sets B_1 and B_2 such that $\langle f | A \rangle \cap P = \langle g | B_1 \rangle$ and $(-\langle f | A \rangle) \cap P = \langle g | B_2 \rangle$. But then $\langle f | A \rangle = \langle g | B_1 \cup (-B_2) \rangle$, and clearly $\langle f | B_1 \cup (-B_2) \cup \{t\} \rangle = \langle f | A \rangle$. Finally, we need only choose a finite $B \subseteq A$ so that $B_1 \cup (-B_2) \cup \{t\} \subseteq \langle f | B \rangle$.

With Theorem 3, we have achieved goal (1).

We now turn our attention to sets of residue classes in the ring \mathbf{Z}_d . We make the convention that any integer divides 0; hence $a \equiv b \pmod{0}$ if and only if $a = b$, and $\gcd \phi = \gcd \{0\} = 0$. Further, if $d \in N$, and $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$, define $A \subseteq B \pmod{d}$ if for all $a \in A$, there is some $b \in B$ with $a \equiv b \pmod{d}$, and $A \equiv B \pmod{d}$ if $A \subseteq B \subseteq A \pmod{d}$. Finally, define $\gamma(A) = \gcd(A - A)$; and if C is a set of residue classes, define $\gamma(C) = \gamma(\bigcup_{A \in C} A)$.

The following theorem is essentially Theorem 10 of [1].

THEOREM 4. *Let $d \in P$, let f be a prime operator, let $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ with $f(A) \subseteq A \pmod{d}$. Then $f(A) \equiv A \pmod{d}$.*

DEFINITION 3. Let R be a family of finitary operators on a set X , let $A \subseteq X$. We denote by $[R, A]$ the following family of operators: let $f \in R$ be an r -ary operator, let K, L be a partition of $[1, r]$ with $K \neq \phi$, let $\tau: L \rightarrow \langle R | A \rangle$; define a $|K|$ -ary operator g on X as follows:

$$g(x_i \mid i \in K) = f(y_1, \dots, y_r),$$

where

$$y_i = \begin{cases} x_i & \text{if } i \in K \\ \tau(i) & \text{if } i \in L. \end{cases}$$

Let $[R, A]$ be the set of all such operators g . Thus $T = \langle [R, A] | B \rangle$ is the smallest set containing B , and with the property that if f is an r -ary operator in R , and $x_1, x_2, \dots, x_r \in \langle R | A \rangle \cup T$, and at least one $x_i \in T$, then $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) \in T$. In particular, $\langle R | A \rangle \cup \langle [R, A] | B \rangle = \langle R | A \cup B \rangle$.

THEOREM 5. *Let $f \in \mathcal{P} \cup \mathcal{H}$, let $c \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $d \in P$, let $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$. Then, if $B \neq \phi$,*

$$\langle [f + c, A] | B \rangle \equiv \langle f + c | A \cup B \rangle \pmod{d}.$$

Proof. We need only show, for all $a, b \in \mathbf{Z}$, that $a \equiv a_1 \pmod{d}$ for some $a_1 \in \langle [f + c, a] | b \rangle$. We may further assume $f \in \mathcal{P}$, and $(\sigma(f) - 1)a + c, (\sigma(f) - 1)b + c \in P$. Let $s = d\nu(f)\gcd((\sigma(f) - 1)a + c, (\sigma(f) - 1)b + c)$, let $t = \delta(f)((\sigma(f) - 1)a + c)$, and suppose first $s < t$. By Theorem 2, $a + sN \subseteq \langle f + c | a, b \rangle$. (Recall that for sets X and Y , $X \subseteq Y$ means $X \setminus Y$ is finite, and $X \doteq Y$ means $X \subseteq Y \subseteq X$.) Thus we need only show

$$a + sN \cap \langle [f + c, a] | b \rangle \neq \phi.$$

But if the above intersection is empty, then $a + sN \subseteq \langle f +$

$c|a\rangle = T$ and so T has an eventual period s by Theorem 4 of [3]. But T has smallest eventual period t , so t divides s , contradicting $s < t$.

In the general case, let $a' = a + kd((\sigma(f)-1)b + c)$, where $k \in P$ is chosen so large that $\delta(f)((\sigma(f)-1)a' + c) > s$. Since

$$s = d\nu(f)\gcd((\sigma(f)-1)a' + c, (\sigma(f)-1)b + c),$$

the special case above shows $a' \equiv a_1 \pmod{d}$ for some $a_1 \in \langle [f + c, a'] | b \rangle$. But $a' \equiv a_1 \pmod{d}$.

The innocent Lemma 3 lead to the fundamental Theorem 3 on closed subsets of Z . The following lemma, with analogous hypotheses, will lead to the fundamental Theorem 6 below on closed subsets of Z_d , $d \in P$.

LEMMA 3. *Let $d \in P$, let $a, b \in Z$, let $A \subseteq z$, let f be a prime operator with*

$$f(A) + \{a, b\} \subseteq A \pmod{d}.$$

Then $A + (a - b) \equiv A \pmod{d}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4, $A - a \equiv f(A) \equiv A - b \pmod{d}$.

COROLLARY 1. *Let $d \in P$, let f be a prime operator, let $A, B \subseteq Z$. If $f(A) + B \subseteq A \pmod{d}$, then $A + \gamma(B) \equiv A \pmod{d}$.*

DEFINITION 4. If f is the r -ary affine operator $m_1x_1 + \dots + m_rx_r + c$, let

$$\theta_1(f) = \gcd(m_1, \dots, m_r),$$

and let

$$\theta_2(f) = \gcd(m_im_j \mid 1 \leq i < j \leq r).$$

LEMMA 4. *Let f be a linear operator, let $A \subseteq Z$. Then $\gamma(f(A)) = \theta_1(f)\gamma(A)$.*

Proof. Certainly $\theta_1(f)\gamma(A)$ divides each element of $f(A) - f(A) = f(A - A)$; thus $\theta_1(f)\gamma(A)$ divides $\gamma(f(A))$.

For the converse, let f be the operator $m_1x_1 + \dots + m_rx_r$; let $a, b \in A$, as we may suppose $A \neq \phi$.

Then, for each $1 \leq i \leq r$,

$$\begin{aligned} m_i(a - b) &= (m_1a + \dots + m_ra) \\ &\quad - (m_1a + \dots + m_{i-1}a + m_ib + m_{i+1}a + \dots + m_ra), \end{aligned}$$

so $m_i(a - b) \in f(A) - f(A)$. Thus $\gamma(f(A))$ divides each $m_i(a - b)$, and

hence divides $\theta_1(f)(a - b)$. This holds for all $a, b \in A$, thus $\gamma(f(A))$ divides $\theta_1(f)\gamma(A)$.

THEOREM 6. *Let f be a prime operator, let $A \subseteq Z$, let $d \in P$. If $f(A) \subseteq A \pmod{d}$, then $A + \theta_2(f)\gamma(A) \equiv A \pmod{d}$.*

Proof. Let f be the r -ray operator $m_1x_1 + \dots + x_r x_r + c$, let $R = [1, r]$. For each $K \subseteq R$, with $K \neq \phi$, define an r -ary, linear prime operator f_K , a $|K| (r - 1)$ -ary linear operator g_K , and an integer c_K as follows:

$$f_K(x_1, \dots, x_r) = \sum_{i \in K} m_i^2 x_i + \sum_{i \in R \setminus K} m_i x_i,$$

$$g_K(x_{i,j} \mid i \in K, j \in R, i \neq j) = \sum_{\substack{i \in K \\ j \in R \\ i \neq j}} m_i m_j x_{i,j},$$

$$c_K = c(1 + \sum_{i \in K} m_i).$$

Thus any set closed under f is closed under the $r + |K| (r - 1)$ -ary operator $f_K + g_K + c_K$, so $A \subseteq \langle f_K + g_K(A) + c_K \mid A \rangle \subseteq \langle f + c \mid A \rangle$. By Lemmas 3 and 4, and by Theorem 2 of [1], (we may assume the hypotheses there apply), $A + \theta_1(g_K)\gamma(A) \equiv A \pmod{d}$. As this holds for all $K \neq \phi$, the theorem is proved, since $\gcd(\theta_1(g_K) \mid \phi \neq K \subseteq R) = \theta_2(f)$.

By virtue of the above theorem, and Theorem 1 of [1], the calculation of $\langle f \mid A \rangle \pmod{d}$, where f is a prime operator, and $d \in P$ can be reduced to the special case $d = \theta_2(f)$. We are thus lead to considering sets closed $\pmod{\theta_2(f)}$; before we do so, we briefly investigate unary operators in the residue class rings.

Let $m, M \in Z$, with $\gcd(m, M) = 1$.

DEFINITION 5. For each $a \in N$ let $m^{[a]} = \sum_{j=0}^{a-1} m^j$. Thus $m^{[0]} = 0$, and $m^{[1]} = 1$.

LEMMA 5. *Let $a, b \in N$. Then*

- (i) $m^a = (m - 1)m^{[a]} + 1$.
- (ii) $m^{[a]} = \begin{cases} a & \text{if } m = 1 \\ \frac{m^a - 1}{m - 1} & \text{if } m \neq 1. \end{cases}$
- (iii) $m^{[a+b]} = m^a m^{[b]} + m^{[a]}$.
- (iv) $m^{[ab]} = (m^b)^{[a]} m^{[b]}$.

LEMMA 6. *There is a unique $t \in N$ such that for all $a, b \in N$, $m^{[a]} \equiv m^{[b]} \pmod{M}$ if and only if $a \equiv b \pmod{t}$. In fact,*

$$t = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } M = 0, m = 1 \\ 2 & \text{if } M = 0, m = -1 \\ \frac{s |M|}{\gcd(M, m^{[s]})} & \text{if } M \neq 0, \end{cases}$$

where s is the order of m modulo M . Thus s divides t ; and $t = 0$ if and only if $M = 0, m = 1$. Also, if $t \neq 0, m^{[t-1]} \equiv -m^{s-1} \pmod{M}$.

Proof. We can assume $M \neq 0$. Let $a, b \in N$, with $a \leq b$; let $t = s |M| / \gcd(M, m^{[s]})$. Then $m^{[b]} - m^{[a]} = m^a m^{[b-a]}$, so $m^{[a]} \equiv m^{[b]} \pmod{M}$ if and only if $m^{[b-a]} \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$.

If $m^{[b-a]} \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$, then $m^{b-a} \equiv (m-1)m^{[b-a]} + 1 \equiv 1 \pmod{M}$, so $b-a = ks$ for some $k \in N$. Then

$$0 \equiv m^{[b-a]} \equiv m^{[ks]} \equiv (m^s)^{[k]} m^{[s]} \equiv km^{[s]} \pmod{M},$$

so $k \equiv 0 \pmod{M/\gcd(M, m^{[s]})}$, so $a \equiv b \pmod{t}$.

Conversely, if $b-a = kt$ for some $k \in N$, then

$$\begin{aligned} m^{[b-a]} &= m^{[kt]} = m^{[sk|M|/\gcd(M, m^{[s]})]} = (m^s)^{[k|M|/\gcd(M, m^{[s]})]} m^{[s]} \\ &= k |M| \frac{m^{[s]}}{\gcd(M, m^{[s]})} \equiv 0 \pmod{M}. \end{aligned}$$

Finally, $m \cdot m^{[t-1]} + 1 \equiv 0 \pmod{M}$, thus $m^{[t-1]} \equiv -m^{s-1} \pmod{M}$, since the map $x \rightarrow mx + 1$ is a bijection on Z_M .

Let $T = \{m^{[n]} \mid 0 \leq n < t\}$.

LEMMA 7. T contains t elements, all distinct modulo M . For each $a \in N, m^a T \equiv T - m^{[a]} \pmod{M}$.

Proof. The first statement is a direct consequence of Lemma 6. Also, $m^a T = \{m^a m^{[n]} \mid 0 \leq n < t\} = \{m^{[n+a]} \mid 0 \leq n < t\} - m^{[a]} \equiv T - m^{[a]} \pmod{M}$ by Lemma 6.

THEOREM 7. $T \equiv \langle mx + 1 \mid 0 \rangle \pmod{M}$.

Proof. By Lemma 7, $mT + 1 \equiv T \pmod{M}$, so T is closed under $mx + 1, \pmod{M}$. A simple induction on n shows $m^{[n]} \in \langle mx + 1 \mid 0 \rangle$ for each $0 \leq n < t$.

COROLLARY 2. For each $a, c \in Z$,

$$\langle mx + c \mid a \rangle \equiv ((m-1)a + c)T + a \pmod{M}.$$

Proof. $\langle mx + c \mid a \rangle = ((m-1)a + c)\langle mx + 1 \mid 0 \rangle + a$.

COROLLARY 3. For each $c \in \mathbf{Z}$, and $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$,

$$\langle mx + c | A \rangle \equiv \bigcup_{a \in A} [(m-1)a + c]T + a \pmod{M}.$$

Proof. If f is any unary operator, $\langle f | A \rangle = \bigcup_{a \in A} \langle f | a \rangle$.

We now turn our attention to r -ary operators on \mathbf{Z}_d .

Let $r \in N + 2$, let $R = [1, r]$. Let $m_1, \dots, m_r \in \mathbf{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, with $\gcd(m_1, \dots, m_r) = 1$. Let f be the operator $m_1x_1 + \dots + m_rx_r$, let $\theta = \theta_2(f)$. For each $i \in R$, let

$$M_i = \gcd\{m_j \mid j \in R, j \neq i\}.$$

The proof of the following lemma is straightforward.

LEMMA 8. For each $i \in R$, $\gcd(m_i, M_i) = 1$, and $\theta = \gcd(\theta, m_i)M_i$. For each $i, j \in R$, with $i \neq j$, M_i divides m_j , but $\gcd(M_i, M_j) = 1$. Finally, θ is the product of the M_i 's.

For each $i \in R$, let s_i be the order of m_i modulo M_i , let $t_i = s_i M_i / \gcd(M_i, m_i^{s_i})$.

LEMMA 9. Let $x, k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbf{P}$. Then $k_1 m_1^{a_1} + \dots + k_r m_r^{a_r} \equiv x \pmod{\theta}$ if and only if, for all $i \in R$, $k_i \equiv x m_i^{a_i(s_i-1)} \pmod{M_i}$.

Proof. This is a chain of equivalent statements:

$$\begin{aligned} k_1 m_1^{a_1} + \dots + k_r m_r^{a_r} &\equiv x \pmod{\theta} \\ k_1 m_1^{a_1} + \dots + k_r m_r^{a_r} &\equiv x \pmod{M_i} \quad \text{for all } i \in R \\ k_i m_i^{a_i} &\equiv x \pmod{M_i} \quad \text{for all } i \in R \\ k_i &\equiv x m_i^{a_i(s_i-1)} \pmod{M_i} \quad \text{for all } i \in R. \end{aligned}$$

COROLLARY 4. Let $k_1, \dots, k_r \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $a_1, \dots, a_r \in \mathbf{P}$. Then $k_1 m_1^{a_1} + \dots + k_r m_r^{a_r} \equiv 0 \pmod{\theta}$ if and only if $k_i \equiv 0 \pmod{M_i}$ for all $i \in R$.

COROLLARY 5. $m_1^{s_1} + \dots + m_r^{s_r} \equiv 1 \pmod{\theta}$.

COROLLARY 6. Let $a_1, \dots, a_r, b_1, \dots, b_r \in N$. Then $m_1 m_1^{[a_1]} + \dots + m_r m_r^{[a_r]} \equiv m_1 m_1^{[b_1]} + \dots + m_r m_r^{[b_r]} \pmod{\theta}$ if and only if $a_i \equiv b_i \pmod{t_i}$ for each $i \in R$.

Proof. Note that $m_1 m_1^{[a_1]} + \dots + m_r m_r^{[a_r]} \equiv m_1 m_1^{[b_1]} + \dots + m_r m_r^{[b_r]} \pmod{\theta}$

(mod θ) if and only if $m_i^{\lceil b_i - a_i \rceil} \equiv 0 \pmod{M_i}$ for each $i \in R$, and the rest follows from Lemma 6.

For each $i \in R$, let $T_i = \{m_i^{\lceil n \rceil} \mid 0 \leq n < t_i\}$. Let $T = m_1 T_1 + \cdots + m_r T_r + 1$. Note that T contains $\prod_{i \in R} t_i$ elements, all distinct modulo θ .

THEOREM 8. $T \equiv \langle f + 1 \mid 0 \rangle \pmod{\theta}$.

Proof. By Theorem 4,

$$\langle f + 1 \mid 0 \rangle \equiv m_1 \langle f + 1 \mid 0 \rangle + \cdots + m_r \langle f + 1 \mid 0 \rangle + 1 \pmod{\theta}.$$

But for each $i \in R$,

$$m_i \langle f + 1 \mid 0 \rangle \equiv m_i \langle m_i x + 1 \mid 0 \rangle \equiv m_i T \pmod{\theta}.$$

COROLLARY 7. Let $a, c \in \mathbf{Z}$. Then, modulo θ ,

$$\begin{aligned} \langle f + c \mid a \rangle &\equiv ((\sigma(f) - 1)a + c)T + a \\ &\equiv c + \sum_{i \in R} [((m_i - 1)a + c)T_i + a]. \end{aligned}$$

THEOREM 9. Let $c \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$. Then

$$\langle f + c \mid A \rangle \equiv c + \sum_{i \in R} m_i \bigcup_{a \in A} [((m_i - 1)a + c)T_i + a] \pmod{\theta}.$$

Proof. This is a consequence of Corollary 3.

This concludes our investigation of sets of residue classes closed under a prime operator. We now apply these results to closed sets of integers.

DEFINITION 6. A set $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$ is *doubly periodic*, with a *double period* $d \in \mathbf{P}$ if A is a union of residue classes modulo d . The following analogue of Theorem 2 of [1] is proved in an analogous fashion:

THEOREM 10. Let f be a prime operator, let A be a doubly periodic set with double period d . Then $\langle f \mid A \rangle$ has double period d .

THEOREM 11. Let A and B nonempty periodic sets with eventual period d , let f be a positive, prime operator. Then $T = \langle f \mid A \cup (-B) \rangle$ is a doubly periodic set with double period d .

Proof. We may assume $f \in \mathbf{P}$. Further we may assume $A, B \subseteq \mathbf{P}$; for if that special base be true, it can be applied, for general A ,

B , to the set $T' = \langle f | (A \cap P) \cup (-(B \cap P)) \rangle$, thus $A, B \subseteq T'$, so $T = T'$.

Let $D = \{t \in \mathbf{Z}_d \mid t \cap T \neq \phi\}$,
 let $D^+ = \{t \in \mathbf{Z}_d \mid t \cap P \subseteq T\}$,
 let $D^- = \{t \in \mathbf{Z}_d \mid t \cap (-P) \subseteq T\}$,
 let $D^0 = \{t \in \mathbf{Z}_d \mid t \subseteq T\}$.

Thus $D^0 \subseteq D^+ \cap D^-$, and $D = D^+ \cup D^-$. Moreover, if T is closed under any positive operator h , then D, D^+, D^- and D^0 are all closed under h . In particular, $f(D^+ \cap D^-) \subseteq D^0$, thus $D^+ \cap D^- = f(D^+ \cap D^-) \subseteq D^0 \subseteq D^+ \cap D^-$, so $D^0 = D^+ \cap D^-$. By hypothesis, $D^+ \neq \phi \neq D^-$; let $s \in D^+$, let $t \in D^-$. Note that $\langle [f, s] \mid t \rangle \subseteq D^- \pmod{d}$. But $\langle [f, s] \mid t \rangle \equiv \langle f \mid s, t \rangle \pmod{d}$ by Theorem 5, thus $s \in D^-$, and $D^+ \subseteq D^-$. Similarly, $D^- \subseteq D^+$, thus $D = D^0 = D^+ = D^-$.

THEOREM 12. *Let $f \in P$, let $c \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$, with $((\sigma(f)-1)A + c) \cap P \neq \phi \neq ((\sigma(f)-1)A + c) \cap (-P)$. Then $T = \langle f + c \mid A \rangle$ is a doubly periodic set.*

Proof. We may assume $c = 0$. Since both $T \cap P$ and $(-T) \cap N$ are nonempty periodic sets, $T = \langle f \mid (T \cap P) \cup (T \cap (-N)) \rangle$ is a doubly periodic set by Theorem 11.

COROLLARY 8. *Let $f \in \mathcal{L}$, let $c \in \mathbf{Z}$, let $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$, with $((\sigma(f)-1)A + c) \not\subseteq \{0\}$. Then $T = \langle f + c \mid A \rangle$ is a doubly periodic set.*

Proof. By Lemma 2, T is a closed under a positive, prime operator g . Clearly, T is neither bounded below, nor bounded above; thus $T = \langle g \mid T \rangle$ is doubly periodic by Theorem 12.

DEFINITION 7. Let $A \subset \mathbf{Z}$, let $d \in P$. We say that A is a *regular set*, with *regular period* d , if either

- Type 1. A is a periodic set with eventual period d , or
- Type 2. $-A$ is a set of type 1, or
- Type 3. A is a doubly periodic set with double period d .

THEOREM 13. *Let $T \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$, let f be a prime operator, with $f(T) \subseteq T$. Then either $|T| \leq 1$, or T is a regular set with regular period $\theta_2(f)\gamma(T)$.*

Proof. If $|T| > 1$, then T is a regular set by Theorem 2, Theorem 12, or Corollary 8. By Theorem 6, T has a regular period $\theta_2(f)\gamma(T)$.

With Theorem 13, we have achieved goal (2).

Now let f be the prime operator $f(x_1, \dots, x_r) = m_1x_1 + \dots + m_rx_r + c$, and let $A \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$. How can we calculate $\langle f | A \rangle = T$?

First, let the reader show that for any $a \in A$, $\gamma(T) = \gcd(A - f(a))$. Hence we may use Theorem 1 of [1] to reduce to the case $\gamma(T) = 1$; we simply replace T by $1/\gamma(T)(T - a) \subseteq \mathbf{Z}$. (Note $\gamma(T) = 0$ if and only if $((\sigma(f) - 1)A + c) \subseteq \{0\}$, if and only if $|T| \leq 1$; in this case $T = A$. Thus we assume $\gamma(T) \neq 0$.) By Theorem 13, T has a regular period $\theta = \theta_2(f)$. The next step is to calculate the set $T_\theta = \{t \in \mathbf{Z}_\theta \mid t \cap T \neq \emptyset\}$; this finite calculation can be readily carried out with the aid of Theorem 9.

The type of T can be found as follows. If f is not positive, T is of type 3. If f is positive, then, $\sigma(f) > 1$; let $\alpha = c/1 - \sigma(f)$, let $J = \{u \in A \mid u < \alpha\}$, let $K = \{u \in A \mid u > \alpha\}$. If $J \neq \emptyset \neq K$, then f is again of type 3. If $J = \emptyset$, f is of type 1, and if $K = \emptyset$, f is of type 2.

If T is of type 3, our troubles are over, as $T = \bigcup_{t \in T_\theta} t$. If T is not of type 3, we may assume, (by replacing T with $-T$ if necessary), that T is of type 1. In this case, let

$$S = \{u \in \mathbf{Z} \mid u > \alpha, u \in t \text{ for some } t \in T_\theta\} \cup \begin{cases} \{\alpha\} & \text{if } \alpha \in A \\ \emptyset & \text{if } \alpha \notin A \end{cases}$$

then clearly S is a periodic set with $A \cup f(S) \subseteq S$, and $T \subseteq S \subseteq T$. Thus S is only a "little bit too big"; for many applications, this is sufficient information.

We have a method for producing T from S , details will appear elsewhere.

REFERENCES

1. D. G. Hoffman and D. A. Klarner, *Sets of integers closed under affine operators—the closure of finite sets*, to appear.
2. D. G. Hoffman, *Sets of integers closed under affine operators*, Ph. D. thesis, University of Waterloo, 1976.
3. D. A. Klarner and R. Rado, *Arithmetic properties of certain recursively defined sets*, *Pacific J. Math.*, **53** (1974), 445–463.
4. D. A. Klarner, *Sets generated by iteration of a linear operation*, Starr—CS—72—275, Computer Science Department, Stanford University, March 1972.

Received October 12, 1977 and in revised form November 1, 1978.

AUBURN UNIVERSITY
 AUBURN, AL 36830
 AND
 SUNY
 BINGHAMTON, NY 13901