ON NORMS OF TRIGONOMETRIC POLYNOMIALS ON SU(2)

A. DOOLEY AND S. K. GUPTA

A conjecture about the L^4 -norms of trigonometric polynomials on SU(2) is discussed and some partial results are proved.

1. Introduction.

If G is a compact abelian group, an elementary argument shows that $M_p(G) = M_q(G)$ where $M_p(G)$ denotes the space of L^p -multipliers on G and p and q are conjugate indices. Oberlin [7] found a nonabelian totally disconnected compact group G for which $M_p(G) \neq M_q(G)$. Herz [4] conjectured that inequality holds for all those infinite nonabelian compact groups G whose degrees of the irreducible representations are unbounded. However, for compact connected groups, the situation is still unresolved, even for SU(2).

The present paper arose from an attempt to study the Herz conjecture for SU(2). In his unpublished M.Sc. thesis [8], S. Roberts formulated a conjecture for SU(2) which, if proved, would settle the Herz conjecture for all compact connected groups. we believe that Robert's conjecture is interesting in its own right as it makes a rather delicate statement connecting the L^{p} norms of noncentral trigonometric polynomials with the growth of the Clesh-Gordon coefficients.

We have pursued this interesting conjecture and make some partial progress towards settling it. Our results open the way to a detailed study of some new aspects of L^p analysis on compact Lie groups.

In Section 2, we establish our notation. We state the conjecture in Section 3 and proove some partial results (Theorem 3.2). In Section 4, we show the relevance of the conjecture to Herz's conjecture.

2. Notation and remarks.

2.1. Irreducible representations of SU(2). We summarise some notation and definitions from [6] concerning the irreducible representation of SU(2).

Let n be a rational number of the form k/2, where $k \in \mathbb{N}$ and H_n be the space of homogeneous polynomials on \mathbb{C}^2 of degree 2n; i.e. of functions of the form

(2.1)
$$f(z_1, z_2) = \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} a_i z_1^{n-i} z_2^{n+i}.$$

Let (f,g) be the inner product on H_n given by the formula

(2.2)
$$\left(\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} a_i z_1^{n-i} z_2^{n+i}, \sum_{j=-n}^{+n} b_j z_1^{n-j} z_2^{n+j}\right)$$
$$= \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} (n-i)! (n+i)! a_i \bar{b_i}.$$

Let $U(H_n)$ denote the set of unitary operators on H_n with respect to the inner product (2.2). The mapping $T_n: SU(2) \to U(H_n)$ given by

(2.3)
$$\left(T_n \begin{pmatrix} \alpha & \beta \\ -\bar{\beta} & \bar{\alpha} \end{pmatrix} f \right) (z_1, z_2) = f(\alpha z_1 - \bar{\beta} z_2, \beta z_1 + \bar{\alpha} z_2)$$

is an irreducible representation of SU(2) and in fact the set $\{T_n : n = 0, 1/2, \ldots\}$ forms a complete set of inequivalent irreducible representations of SU(2).

To each operator $T_n(x)$, $x \in SU(2)$, there corresponds a unitary matrix (relative to the natural orthonormal basis of H_n) whose elements will be denoted by t_{jk}^n $(-n \leq j, k \leq n)$. These matrix elements are continuous functions on SU(2). We shall be estimating their norms as convolution operators on L^p .

There are many results on the L^p multiplier norms of central trigonometric polynomials - see for example [2], or the more recent optimal results of Sogge on Riesz kernels on arbitrary compact manifolds (c.f. also [9], [10]). However, the t_{ik}^n 's considered here are non-central.

A word about the geometric significance of the matrix coefficients t_{jk}^n is in order. By the Peter-Weyl theorem, $L^2(G)$ decomposes as a direct sum of the irreducible representations of G, each one occuring with multiplicity equal to its dimension. These isotypic components represent the eigenspaces of the Laplace-Beltrami operator, and convolution by $(2n + 1)\chi_n$, where χ_n is the character of the *n*th irreducible representation, is the projection onto this space.

For each j $(-n \leq j \leq n)$, the functions $\{t_{jk}^n : -n \leq k \leq n\}$ span one of the above copies of the representation space of degree 2n + 1. Convolution

on the left by $(2n+1)t_{jj}^n$ is a projection of $L^2(G)$ onto this copy. Convolution by the function $(2n+1)t_{jk}^n$ are the natural isometries between the various copies of the *n*th irreducible representation inside the isotypic component.

2.2. Expressions of products of functions t_{jk}^n : The tensor product of any two nontrivial irreducible unitary representations of SU(2) is always reducible. If one decomposes such a tensor product into its irreducible components, then the coefficients which appear in the decomposition are known as the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients.

In the case of SU(2) the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients $C(n_1, n_2, n_3, j_1, j_2, j_3)$ make their appearance in this way in the formula

(2.4)
$$t_{j_1j_2}^{n_1} t_{k_1k_2}^{n_2} = \sum_{m=|n_1-n_2|}^{n_1+n_2} C(n_1, n_2, m, j_1, k_1, k_1 + j_1) \cdot C(n_1, n_2, m, j_2, k_2, k_2 + j_2) t_{j_1+k_1j_2+k_2}^m$$

While the Clebsch-Gordan coefficients are, in general, very complicated [8], there are simple formulas for them in certain situations. Two such cases are given below. they will be of interest in Section 3.

$$(2.5) C(n, n, 2n, j, k, j+k) = \left(\frac{(2n+j+k)!(2n-j-k)!2n!2n!}{(n-j)!(n+j)!(n-k)!(n+k)!4n!}\right)^{1/2}$$

(2.6)

$$C(n, n, 2m, j, j, 2j) = (-1)^{n-m} \left(\frac{(4m+1)(2j+2m)!2n-2m!2m-2j!}{(2n+2m+1)!} \right)^{1/2} \times \frac{(m+n)!}{(m+j)!(m-j)!(n-m)!}$$

if $n \ge m \ge |j|$ and 0 otherwise

(2.7)
$$C(n, n, 2m + 1, j, j, 2j) = 0.$$

We denote by C_{ik}^{2m} the Clebsch-Gordan coefficient C(n, n, 2m, i, k, i + k) where n will considered be fixed throughout the argument.

In Section 4, we use the following convolution identity ([5], 27.20)

$$t_{jk}^n * t_{pq}^m = \frac{1}{2n+1} \delta_{nm} \delta_{kp} t_{jq}^n.$$

By $A_n \approx B_n$, n > 1 we mean that there exist positive constants α, β such that

$$\beta B_n \le A_n \le \alpha B_n, \ \forall n \ge 1.$$

The same symbol C may denote two different constants in two different lines.

3. The conjecture.

In this section we state the conjecture and prove some partial results.

Conjecture. Denote by $\underline{z}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ the vector with components $\{z_i^{(n)}\}_{i=-n}^{+n}$. Let

$$A_{n} = \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \sup_{\substack{\underline{z}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+1} \\ \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} |z_{i}^{(n)}| = 1}} \frac{\left\| \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_{i}^{(n)} t_{0i}^{n} \right\|_{4}}{\left\| \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_{i}^{(n)} t_{ni}^{n} \right\|_{4}}.$$

Then $A_n \to 0$ as $n \to \infty$.

Remark 3.1. For the motivation of the conjecture, see Section 4.

We will prove the following theorem which is a weaker version of the conjecture:

Theorem 3.2.

(A) Let $\underline{z}^{(n)} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$ and $\underline{z}^{(n)}_i \ge 0$, $\forall i = -n, ..., n$. Define $F_n(\underline{z}^{(n)}) = \{i | z_i^{(n)} \neq 0\}$. Suppose that $\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} |z_i^{(n)}| = 1$.

If
$$|F_n(\underline{z}^{(n)})| \leq \frac{Cn^{1/3}}{(\log n)^{2/3}} \quad \forall n \geq 2, \quad then$$

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i^{(n)} t_{0i}^n\right\|_4}{\left\|\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i^{(n)} t_{ni}^n\right\|_4} \leq \frac{C}{(\log n)^{1/4}}.$$

(B) Let $\{p_n\}_{n=1}^{\infty}$ be a sequence of natural numbers such that $p_n \ge 2 \forall n$. Define $j_n = \left\lfloor \frac{\log n}{\log p_n} \right\rfloor$. Then

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \sup_{\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} |z_i^n| = 1} \frac{\left\| \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i^{(n)} t_{0p_n^i}^n \right\|_4}{\left\| \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i^{(n)} t_{np_n^i}^n \right\|_4} \le C \frac{\log n}{n^{1/4}}.$$

To prove Theorem 3.2, we first obtain an expression for $\left\|\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i t_{ji}^n\right\|_4$ in terms of Clebsch-Gordan coefficients. Let $\underline{z} \in \mathbb{C}^{2n+1}$.

 \mathbf{Set}

$$\begin{split} \varphi_j^n(\underline{z}) &= \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i t_{ji}^n \\ \left(\varphi_j^n(\underline{z})\right)^2 &= \left(\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i t_{ji}^n\right) \left(\sum_{k=-n}^{+n} z_k t_{jk}^n\right) \\ &= \sum_{-n \leq i,k \leq n} z_i z_k t_{ji}^n t_{jk}^n \\ &= \sum_{-n \leq i,k \leq n} z_i z_k \left(\sum_{m=0}^{2n} C(n,n,m,j,j,2j) C(n,n,m,i,k,i+k) t_{2ji+k}^m\right). \end{split}$$

Using (2.6)-(2.7), we get

$$\left(\varphi_{j}^{n}\left(\underline{z}\right)\right)^{2} = \sum_{\substack{-n \leq i,k \leq n \\ m = |j|}} z_{i} z_{k} \sum_{\substack{m = |j|}}^{n} C(n,n,2m,j,j,2j) C(n,n,2m,i,k,i+k) t_{2j\,i+k}^{2m}$$

$$= \sum_{\substack{m = |j|}}^{n} C_{j\,j}^{2m} \sum_{\substack{r = -2m \\ r = -2m}}^{+2m} \left(\sum_{\substack{i+k=r \\ -n \leq i,k \leq n}} z_{i} z_{k} C_{i\,k}^{2m}\right) t_{2j\,r}^{2m}.$$

Since $\left\{\left\{\sqrt{2n+1} t_{ij}^n\right\}_{-n \le i, j \le n}\right\}_{n=0,1/2,\dots}$ is an orthonormal set in $L^2(SU(2))$, we get

$$\left\|\left(\varphi_{j}^{n}\left(\underline{z}\right)\right)^{2}\right\|_{2}^{2} = \sum_{m=|j|}^{n} \frac{\left(C_{jj}^{2m}\right)^{2}}{\left(4m+1\right)} \sum_{r=-2m}^{+2m} \left|\sum_{\substack{i+k=r\\-n\leq i,k\leq n}} z_{i}z_{k}C_{ik}^{2m}\right|^{2}.$$

In particular,

(3.3)
$$\|\varphi_0^n(\underline{z})\|_4 = \left[\sum_{m=-n}^n \frac{(C_{00}^{2m})^2}{4m+1} \sum_{r=-2m}^{+2m} \left|\sum_{\substack{i+k=r\\-n\leq i,k\leq n}} z_i z_k C_{ik}^{2m}\right|^2\right]^{1/4}$$

$$(3.4) \|\varphi_n^n(\underline{z})\|_4 = \left[\frac{(C_{nn}^{2n})^2}{(4n+1)} \sum_{r=-2n}^{+2n} \left| \sum_{\substack{i+k=r\\-n \le i, k \le n}} z_i z_k C_{ik}^{2n} \right|^2 \right]^{1/4} \\ = \left[\frac{1}{(4n+1)} \sum_{r=-2n}^{+2n} \left| \sum_{\substack{i+k=r\\-n \le i, k \le n}} z_i z_k C_{ik}^{2n} \right|^2 \right]^{1/4} \text{ as } C_{nn}^{2n} = 1.$$

Next we prove two Lemmas.

Lemma 3.5. There exist constants $C_1, C_2 > 0$ satisfying: $(n \ge 2)$

 $\begin{array}{ll} (\mathrm{i}) & \frac{C_2}{n^{1/4}} \leq |C_{0\,0}^{2n}| \leq \frac{C_1}{n^{1/4}}.\\ (\mathrm{ii}) & \frac{C_2}{\sqrt{2n+1}} \leq |C_{0\,0}^0| \leq \frac{C_1}{\sqrt{2n+1}}.\\ (\mathrm{iii}) & Let \; 0 \leq |j| \leq n-1. \ Then \end{array}$

$$\frac{C_2 n^{1/4}}{(n+j)^{1/4} (n-j)^{1/4}} \le \left| C_{jj}^{2n} \right| \le \frac{C_1 n^{1/4}}{(n+j)^{1/4} (n-j)^{1/4}}.$$

(iv) Let $|j| + 1 \le m \le n - 1$. Then

$$\frac{C_2\sqrt{m}}{(m+n)^{1/4}(m+j)^{1/4}(m-j)^{1/4}(n-m)^{1/4}} \le \left|C_{jj}^{2m}\right|$$
$$\left|C_{jj}^{2m}\right| \le \frac{C_1\sqrt{m}}{(m+n)^{1/4}(m+j)^{1/4}(m-j)^{1/4}(n-m)^{1/4}}.$$

(v) Let $1 \le j \le n-1$. Then

$$\frac{C_2 j^{1/4}}{(n+j)^{1/4} (n-j)^{1/4}} \le \left| C_{jj}^{2j} \right| \le \frac{C_1 j^{1/4}}{(n+j)^{1/4} (n-j)^{1/4}}$$

(vi) Let
$$1 \le j \le n-1$$
. Then

$$\frac{C_2}{(n+j)^{1/4}(n-j)^{1/4}} \le \left|C_{00}^{2j}\right| \le \frac{C_1}{(n+j)^{1/4}(n-j)^{1/4}}.$$

Proof. The easy proof using the following inequality

$$e^{7/8} \le \frac{n!}{(n/e)^n n^{1/2}} \le e \text{ for } n = 1, 2, 3, \dots$$

is left to the reader.

Lemma 3.6. Let $n \ge 2$ be a natural number and $-n \le i \le n$. Then there exists a positive constant C such that

(3.7)
$$\frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_{0\,i}^n\|_4}{\|t_{n\,i}^n\|_4} \le C \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4}.$$

Also for every ϵ , $0 < \epsilon < 1$, there exists a $C_{\epsilon} > 0$ such that for $0 \le |i| \le n\epsilon$, we have

(3.8)
$$C_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4} \le \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_{0\,i}^n\|_4}{\|t_{n\,i}^n\|_4} \le C \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4}.$$

Proof. Using (3.3)-(3.4), we get

$$(3.9) \ \|t_{0i}^{n}\|_{4} = \left[\sum_{m=0}^{n} \frac{\left(C_{00}^{2m}\right)^{2}}{\left(4m+1\right)} \left(C_{ii}^{2m}\right)^{2}\right]^{1/4} = \left[\sum_{m=|i|}^{n} \frac{\left(C_{00}^{2m}\right)^{2} \left(C_{|i||i|}^{2m}\right)^{2}}{4m+1}\right]^{1/4}$$

as $C_{i\,i}^{2m}=C_{|i|\,|i|}^{2m}$ and $C_{|i|\,|i|}^{2m}=0$ for m<|i| and

(3.10)
$$\|t_{n\,i}^n\|_4 = \frac{\sqrt{C_{|i||i|}^{2n}}}{(4n+1)^{1/4}}.$$

From (3.9)-(3.10) we see that $||t_{0i}^n||_4 = ||t_{0-i}^n||_4$ and $||t_{ni}^n||_4 = ||t_{n-i}^n||_4$. Therefore we assume that $0 \le i \le n$. We divide the rest of the proof in

Therefore we assume that $0 \le i \le n$. We divide the rest of the proof in four steps:

Step 1. i = 0

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_{0\,0}^n\|_4}{\|t_{n\,0}^n\|_4} &= \left[\sum_{m=0}^n \frac{(C_{0\,0}^{2m})^4}{4m+1} \frac{(4n+1)}{\sqrt{n}} \left(C_{0\,0}^{2n}\right)^2\right]^{1/4} \\ &\approx \left[\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n+m)(n-m)(4m+1)} \frac{(4n+1)}{\sqrt{n}} \sqrt{n} + \frac{1}{n}\right]^{1/4} \\ &\approx \left[\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{m(n-m)}\right]^{1/4} \\ &\approx \left[\frac{1}{n} + \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n} \left[\frac{1}{m} + \frac{1}{n-m}\right]\right]^{1/4} \\ &\approx \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n}\right]^{1/4} \\ &\approx \left[\frac{1}{n} + \frac{1}{n} \sum_{m=1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{n}\right]^{1/4} \end{split}$$

Step 2. i = n

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_{0\,n}^n\|_4}{\|t_{n\,n}^n\|_4} = & \left[\frac{4n+1}{\sqrt{n}} \frac{\left(C_{0\,0}^{2n}\right)^2}{\left(4n+1\right)}\right]^{1/4} \\ \approx & \frac{1}{n^{1/4}}. \end{split}$$

Step 3. $1 \leq i \leq n-1$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_{0\,i}^{n}\|_{4}}{\|t_{n\,i}^{n}\|_{4}} &= \left[\frac{4n+1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=i}^{n} \frac{\left(C_{0\,0}^{2m}\right)^{2} \left(C_{i\,i}^{2m}\right)^{2}}{\left(4m+1\right) \left(C_{i\,i}^{2n}\right)^{2}}\right]^{1/4} \\ &= \left[\frac{4n+1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{\frac{\left(C_{0\,0}^{2i}\right)^{2} \left(C_{i\,i}^{2i}\right)^{2}}{\left(4i+1\right) \left(C_{i\,i}^{2n}\right)^{2}} + \sum_{m=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{\left(C_{0\,0}^{2m}\right)^{2} \left(C_{i\,i}^{2m}\right)^{2}}{\left(4m+1\right) \left(C_{i\,i}^{2n}\right)^{2}} + \frac{\left(C_{0\,0}^{2n}\right)^{2}}{\left(4n+1\right)}\right\}\right]^{2} \\ &= \left[\frac{4n+1}{\sqrt{n}} \left\{A_{n} + Z_{n} + B_{n}\right\}\right]^{1/4} \text{ (say)} \\ A_{n} &= \frac{\left(C_{0\,0}^{2i}\right)^{2} \left(C_{i\,i}^{2i}\right)^{2}}{\left(4i+1\right) \left(C_{i\,i}^{2n}\right)^{2}} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{\left(n+i\right)^{1/2} \left(n-i\right)^{1/2}} \frac{i^{1/2}}{\left(n+i\right)^{1/2} \left(n-i\right)^{1/2}} \frac{1}{\left(4i+1\right)} \frac{\left(n+i\right)^{1/2} \left(n-i\right)^{1/2}}{n^{1/2}} \\ &\approx \frac{1}{n\sqrt{n-i}\sqrt{i}}. \end{split}$$

Hence $A_n \leq \frac{C}{n^{2/3}}$.

$$B_n = \frac{\left(C_{0\,0}^{2n}\right)^2}{\left(4n+1\right)} \approx \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}$$

$$Z_{n} = \sum_{\substack{m=i+1 \ m=i+1}}^{n-1} \frac{\left(C_{00}^{2m}\right)^{2} \left(C_{ii}^{2m}\right)^{2}}{(4m+1) \left(C_{ii}^{2n}\right)^{2}}$$

$$\approx \sum_{\substack{m=i+1 \ m=i+1}}^{n-1} \frac{m(n+i)^{1/2}(n-i)^{1/2}}{(n+m)^{1/2}(n-m)^{1/2}(n-m)^{1/2}(m+i)^{1/2}(m-i)^{1/2}(4m+1)n^{1/2}}$$

$$\approx \frac{1}{n} \sum_{\substack{m=i+1 \ m=i+1}}^{n-1} \frac{(n-i)^{1/2}}{(n-m)(m+i)^{1/2}(m-i)^{1/2}}.$$

Now we further divide Step 3 into three cases: a) i = n - 1. Then

$$A_n \leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}}, \ B_n \approx \frac{1}{n^{3/2}}, \ Z_n = 0.$$

Therefore $\frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_{0,1}^n\|_4}{\|t_{n,1}^n\|_4} \approx \frac{1}{n^{1/4}}$.

b) $1 \le i \le \frac{n}{2}$. Then

$$Z_n \leq \frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-m)(m-i)}$$

= $\frac{C}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{m=i+1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{n-m} + \frac{1}{m-i} \right] \frac{1}{(n-i)}$
= $\frac{2C}{\sqrt{n}} \left[\sum_{j=1}^{n-i-1} \frac{1}{j} \right] \frac{1}{(n-i)}$
 $\leq \frac{4C}{n^{3/2}} \left[1 + \log(n-i-1) \right] \leq \frac{8C(\log n)}{n^{3/2}}.$

Therefore
$$\frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_0^n\|_4}{\|t_n^n\|_4} \leq C\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4}$$
.
c) $\frac{n}{2} \leq i \leq n-2$. Then

$$\begin{split} Z_n &\leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{m=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{\sqrt{n-i}}{(n-m)\sqrt{m-i}} \\ &= \frac{C}{n^{3/2}\sqrt{n-i}} \sum_{m=i+1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{\sqrt{m-i}}{(n-m)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{m-i}} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C}{n^{3/2}} \left[\sum_{m=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-m)} + \frac{1}{\sqrt{n-i}} \sum_{m=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{\sqrt{m-i}} \right] \\ &\leq \frac{C\log n}{n^{3/2}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore $\frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_0^n,\|_4}{\|t_n^n,\|_4} \leq C\left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4}$. Step 4. Let $0 < \epsilon < 1$ and $0 \leq i \leq n\epsilon$. In this case,

$$Z_n \approx \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-l)(l+i)^{1/2}(l-i)^{1/2}}.$$

Therefore

$$Z_n \ge \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{l=i+1}^{n-1} \frac{1}{(n-l)(l+i)}$$

= $\frac{1}{\sqrt{n}(n+i)} \sum_{l=i+1}^{n-1} \left[\frac{1}{(n-l)} + \frac{1}{(l+i)} \right]$
 $\ge \frac{1}{n^{3/2}} \sum_{j=1}^{n-i-1} \frac{1}{j} \ge \frac{\log(n-i)}{n^{3/2}}$
 $\ge C_{\epsilon} \frac{\log n}{n^{3/2}}.$

 $\begin{array}{l} \text{Hence } \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_0^n\|_4}{\|t_n^n\|_4} \geq C_\epsilon \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4}.\\ \text{Now by Step 1 and Step 3 we have} \end{array}$

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_{0\,i}^n\|_4}{\|t_{n\,i}^n\|_4} \le C \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4} \text{ for all } 0 \le i \le n-1.$$

Therefore
$$C_{\epsilon} \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4} \leq \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\|t_0^n\|_4}{\|t_n^n\|_4} \leq C \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4}$$
.

Proof of Theorem 3.2. (A) Let $\underline{z}^{(n)}$ be as in the hypothesis of Theorem 3.2(A). Consider

$$\begin{split} \left\|\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_{i}^{(n)} t_{n\,i}^{n}\right\|_{4} &= \left[\frac{1}{(4n+1)} \sum_{r=-2n}^{+2n} \left|\sum_{\substack{i+k=r\\-n\leq i,k\leq n}} z_{i}^{(n)} z_{k}^{(n)} C_{i\,k}^{2n}\right|^{2}\right]^{1/4} \\ &\geq \left[\frac{1}{(4n+1)} \sum_{i=-n}^{+n} \left(C_{i\,i}^{2n}\right)^{2} \left|z_{i}^{(n)}\right|^{4}\right]^{1/4} \text{ as } C_{i\,k}^{2n} \geq 0, \,\forall i,k \\ &= \left[\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} \left(z_{i}^{(n)}\right)^{4} \left\|t_{n\,i}^{n}\right\|_{4}^{4}\right]^{1/4}. \end{split}$$

$$\begin{split} \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i^{(n)} t_{0\,i}^n\right\|_4}{\left\|\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i^{(n)} t_{n\,i}^n\right\|_4} &\leq \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_i^{(n)} \left\|t_{0\,i}^n\right\|_4}{\left[\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} \left(z_i^{(n)}\right)^4 \left\|t_{n\,i}^n\right\|_4^4\right]^{1/4}} \\ &\leq \frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\left(\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} \left(z_i^{(n)}\right)^4 \left\|t_{0\,i}^n\right\|_4^4\right)^{1/4} \left|F_n\left(\underline{z}^{(n)}\right)\right|^{3/4}}{\left(\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} \left(z_i^{(n)}\right)^4 \left\|t_{n\,i}^n\right\|_4^4\right)^{1/4}} \\ &\leq C \left(\frac{\log n}{n}\right)^{1/4} \left(\frac{n^{1/3}}{(\log n)^{2/3}}\right)^{3/4} \leq \frac{C}{(\log n)^{1/4}}, \end{split}$$

(by Lemma 3.6).

This completes the proof of part (A). (B) Consider

$$\begin{split} \left\|\sum_{i=0}^{j_n} z_i^{(n)} t_{n p_n^i}^n\right\|_4 &= \left[\frac{1}{(4n+1)} \sum_{r=0}^{+2n} \left|\sum_{\substack{p_n^i + p_n^k = r\\ 0 \le i, k \le j_n}} z_i^{(n)} z_k^{(n)} C_{p_n^i p_n^k}^{2n}\right|^2\right]^{1/4} \\ &\geq \left[\frac{1}{(4n+1)} \sum_{i=0}^{j_n} \left|z_i^{(n)}\right|^4 \left(C_{p_n^i p_n^i}^{2n}\right)^2\right]^{1/4} \text{ as } \\ \\ \left|\sum_{p_n^i + p_n^k = r} z_i^{(n)} z_k^{(n)} C_{p_n^i p_n^k}^{2n}\right|^2 &= \left|z_i^{(n)}\right|^4 \left(C_{p_n^i p_n^i}^{2n}\right)^2 \text{ if } r = 2p_n^i. \end{split}$$

Therefore $\left\|\sum_{i=0}^{j_n} z_i^{(n)} t_{n p_n^i}^n\right\|_4 \ge \left[\sum_{i=0}^{j_n} \left|z_l^{(n)}\right|^4 \left\|t_{n p_n^i}^n\right\|_4^4\right]^{1/4}$.

Hence

This completes the proof of the Theorem.

Remark 3.11. The following inequality can be proved by using the ideas of the proof of Theorem 3.2(B):

$$\frac{1}{n^{1/8}} \frac{\left\| z_1 t_{0\,p}^n + z_2 t_{0\,q}^n \right\|_4}{\left\| z_1 t_{n\,p}^n + z_2 t_{n\,q}^n \right\|_4} \le C \left(\frac{\log n}{n} \right)^{1/4}$$

for $-n \le p, q \le n.$

4.

Let G be a compact group and let Γ be the dual object of G, the set of equivalence classes of irreducible unitary representations of G. For each $\sigma \in \Gamma$, select a representation $U_{\sigma} \in \sigma$, let H_{σ} be the Hilbert space on which U_{σ} acts, and let d_{σ} be the dimension of H_{σ} . Let $B(H_{\sigma})$ denote the space of linear operators on H_{σ} and $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$ denote the space $\prod_{\sigma \in \Gamma} B(H_{\sigma})$.

Definition. Fix $p \in [1, \infty]$. Let *m* be an element of $\mathcal{C}(\Gamma)$, so that for each σ , $m(\sigma) \in B(H_{\sigma})$. The function *m* is a (left) multiplier of $L^{p}(=L^{p}(G))$ if for each $f \in L^{p}$, the series

$$\sum_{\sigma\in\Gamma} d_{\sigma} \operatorname{tr}\left[m(\sigma)\hat{f}(\sigma)U_{\sigma}(x)
ight]$$

502

is the Fourier series of some function $L_m f \in L^p$. The collection of all such m is denoted by $M_p(G)$ or simply M_p .

For each $m \in M_p$, the map $f \to L_m f$ defines a bounded linear operator on L^p , an operator which commutes with left translations by the elements of G. we regard M_p as a Banach space under the operator norm.

When G is abelian, an easy argument shows that if $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$, then $M_p = M_q$. It is known that for $1 , <math>M_p \neq M_q$ $(\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1)$ for many nonabelian groups G (see [1, 2, 3, 4, 6]).

For connected compact non-abelian group G and for $1 , it is an open problem whether <math>M_p = M_q$, $\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. S.G. Roberts has shown in [8] that if the conjecture is true then $M_p(G) \neq$

S.G. Roberts has shown in [8] that if the conjecture is true then $M_p(G) \neq M_q(G)$ for every connected compact non-abelian group and $1 , <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$.

We give an easy proof that if the conjecture is true then $M_p(SU(2)) \neq M_q(SU(2))$ for $1 , <math>\frac{1}{p} + \frac{1}{q} = 1$. This proof is essentially due to Roberts [8], but has never to the best of our knoledge been published. We present it here for completeness. The result will follow if we show that

(4.1)
$$\frac{\|t_{0n}^n\|_p}{\|t_{0n}^n\|_q} \to \infty \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

where $|||t_{0n}^n|||_p$ denotes the norm of t_{0n}^n as an element in M_p .

To prove (4.1), we use the following norm estimates for t_{0n}^n and t_{nn}^n which are easy to establish (see [8]).

$$\begin{split} \|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{p} \approx &\frac{1}{n^{1/4+1/2p}}, \ \|t_{nn}^{n}\|_{p} = \frac{1}{(np+1)^{1/p}}\\ \|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{1} = \|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{1} \approx &\frac{1}{n^{3/4}}, \ \|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{2} = &\frac{1}{2n+1}. \end{split}$$

Now by Riesz convexity theorem, we get

$$\|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{p} \leq \|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{1}^{\alpha} \|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{2}^{1-\alpha}$$

where

$$\alpha = \frac{2-p}{p}$$

Hence

$$\| t_{0n}^n \| \|_p \le \frac{C}{n^{(5/4) - (1/2p)}}.$$

Also

$$\begin{split} \|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{p} \geq \frac{\|t_{0n}^{n} * t_{nn}^{n}\|_{p}}{\|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{p}} = & \frac{1}{(2n+1)} \frac{\|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{p}}{\|t_{nn}^{n}\|_{p}} \\ \geq & \frac{C}{n^{(5/4) - (1/2p)}}. \end{split}$$

Therefore (4.1) is true if

(4.2)
$$n^{(5/4)-(1/2p)} |||t_{0n}^n|||_q \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

A routine argument using Riesz convexity theorem shows that (4.2) is true if

(4.3)
$$n^{7/8} |||t_{0n}^n|||_4 \to 0 \text{ as } n \to \infty.$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_{0n}^{n}\|\|_{4} &= \sup_{\substack{f \in L^{4} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\|t_{0n}^{n} * f\|_{4}}{\|f\|_{4}} \\ &= \sup_{\substack{f \in L^{4} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\|t_{0n}^{n} * t_{nn}^{n} * f\|_{4}}{\|f\|_{4}} (2n+1) \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{split} (2n+1) \, \|t_{n\,n}^n * f\|_4 &\leq (2n+1) \, \|t_{n\,n}^n\|_1 \, \|f\|_4 \\ &= \frac{(2n+1)}{(n+1)} \, \|f\|_4 \leq 2 \, \|f\|_4 \, . \end{split}$$

So

$$\begin{aligned} \|t_{0n}^{n}\|_{4} &\leq 2 \sup_{\substack{f \in L^{4} \\ f \neq 0}} \frac{\|t_{0n}^{n} * t_{nn}^{n} * f\|_{4}}{\|t_{nn}^{n} * f\|_{4}} \\ &= \frac{2}{(2n+1)} \sup_{\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} |z_{i}^{(n)}| \neq 0} \frac{\left\|\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_{i}^{(n)} t_{0i}^{n}\right\|_{4}}{\left\|\sum_{i=-n}^{+n} z_{i}^{(n)} t_{ni}^{n}\right\|_{4}}. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore (4.3) is true if the conjecture is true. Hence (4.1) is true if the conjecture is true.

References

 M. Baronti and G. Foresti, An example of assymetry of convolution operators, Rend. Circ. Mat. Palermo (2), **31** (1982), 342-350.

- [2] A.H. Dooley, Norms of characters and lacunarity for compact Lie groups, J. Functional Anal., 32 (1979), 254-267.
- [3] S. Guilini, A remark on the assymetry of convolution operators, Atti Accad. Naz. Lincei Rend. Cl. Sci. Fis. Mat. Natur. (8), 83 (1989), 85-88.
- [4] C. Herz, On the assymetry of norms of convolution operators I, J. Functional Analysis, 23 (1976), 11-22.
- [5] C. Herz, Assymetry of norms of convolution operators II, Nilpotent Lie groups, Symposia Mathematica, 22 (1977), 223-230.
- [6] E. Hewitt and K. Ross, Abstract Harmonic Analysis, II, Springer-Verlag 1970.
- [7] D.M. Oberlin, $Mp(G) \neq Mq(G)(p^{-1}+q^{-1}=1)$, Israel J. Math., **22** (1975), 175-179.
- [8] S.G. Roberts, A^p spaces and assymetry of L^p -operator norms for convolution operators, M.Sc. Thesis, Flinders University of South Australia, 1982.
- [9] A. Seeger, Endpoint estimates for multilier transformation on compact manifolds, Indiana Univ. Math. J., 40 (1991), 471-533.
- C.D. Sogge, On the convergence of Riesz means on compact manifolds, Ann. Math., 126 (1987), 439-447.
- [11] N.Ja. Vilenkin, Special functions and the theory of group representations, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 22, AMS, 1968.

Received October 24, 1994 and revised November 29, 1995.

THE UNIVERSITY OF SOUTH PACIFIC SUVA, FIJI *E-mail address*: GUPTA S@usp.ac.fj