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§ 1. Introduction. Let $S$ be a compact complex surface which admits a surjective holomorphic map $g: S \rightarrow \Delta$ onto a compact Riemann surface $\Delta$. We suppose that the general fibres are smooth curves of genus 2. Then $S$ is birationally equivalent to a branched double covering $S^{\prime}$ over a $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ bundle $W$ over $\Delta$ whose branch locus $B$ intersects a general $\boldsymbol{P}^{1}$ at 6 points. Though there are infinitely many choices of $W$, we can choose one, by applying elementary transformations to $W$, such that the branch locus $B$ is, in some sense, canonical. After this is done, the singular fibres of $g$ are classified into six types (0), ( $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ ), ( $\mathrm{II}_{k}$ ), ( $\mathrm{III}_{k}$ ), ( $\mathrm{IV}_{k}$ ) and (V) (see [4]). Recall that the singular fibres of type (0) are obtained by resolving only rational double points on the singular model $S^{\prime}$, and that the most general singular fibres of type ( $I_{1}$ ) are composed of two elliptic curves with selfintersection number -1 which intersect transversally at one point (they will be called general ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) type).

In this paper we study deformations of surfaces with such fibration, but only locally at each singular fibre. More precisely, let $g^{-1}(P), P \in \Delta$ be a singular fibre of $S$ and let $U$ be a small neighborhood of $P$ and $X=g^{-1}(U)$. Then we shall prove the following theorem.

Theorem. Assume $g^{-1}(P)$ is a singular fibre of type (T) other than type (0). Then there exists a family $\left\{X_{t}\right\}_{t \in M}$ of deformations of $X=X_{0}$, $0 \in M$ such that
i) each $X_{t}$ admits a holomorphic map $g_{t}: X_{t} \rightarrow U$ whose general fibre is of genus 2, and $g_{t}$ depends holomorphically on $t$,
ii) for general $t \in M, g_{t}: X_{t} \rightarrow U$ has only singular fibres of general $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$ type and type (0),
iii) the number $\delta(\mathrm{T})$ of these singular fibres of general $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$ type in $g_{t}$ is given by

$$
\delta\left(\mathrm{I}_{k}\right)=\delta\left(\mathrm{III}_{k}\right)=2 k-1, \quad \delta\left(\mathrm{II}_{k}\right)=\delta\left(\mathrm{IV}_{k}\right)=2 k, \quad \delta(\mathrm{~V})=1
$$

This theorem states that each singular fibre of type (T) is, in some sense, "equivalent" to $\delta(T)$ singular fibres of general $\left(\mathrm{I}_{1}\right)$ type modulo those of type ( 0 ). Recall that the value $\delta(\mathrm{T})$ equals the contribution of the singular fibre of type ( T ) to the difference $c_{1}^{2}-\left(2 \chi+6(\pi-1)\right.$ ), where $\chi=\chi\left(\mathcal{O}_{S}\right)$, $\pi$ is the genus of $\Delta$ and the Chern number $c_{1}^{2}$ is the value for relatively minimal $S$ [4, Theorem 3].

The result is related to the construction of a family of deformations of elliptic double points which admits simultaneous resolution. To conclude

Introduction we want to pose the question if the same holds globally for $S$. Namely: Can one deform $g: S \rightarrow \Delta$ to $g_{t}: S_{t} \rightarrow \Delta_{t}$ whose singular fibres are all type (0) or general ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) type?
§ 2. Fibres of type I and II. We refer to [4] for the basic terminology about infinitely near triple points and the construction of the singular fibres as double coverings over the $P^{1}$-bundles. In particular, $B$ denotes the corresponding branch locus on a $P^{1}$-bundle $W$ and $B_{0}=B$-(fibres). To construct a deformation that we want, it is more convenient to pass to a slightly different model. First suppose $B$ has singularities of type ( $\mathrm{I}_{k}$ ). In this case, we apply elementary transformation successively ( $2 k-1$ ) times at one of the triple points of $B_{0}$. Then $B$ is transformed into a divisor with ( $4 k-2$ ) or ( $4 k-1$ )-fold triple point $Q$, not containing the fibre $\Gamma_{0}$ through $Q$, and the other singularities are, if any, at most simple triple points. If $B$ is of type $\left(\mathrm{III}_{k}\right)$, we can similarly transform it to the one with $4 k$ or $(4 k+1)$-fold triple point $Q$. We set $l=2 k-1$ or $2 k$.

In the both cases, $B$ has contact of order 3 with $\Gamma_{0}$ at $Q$, and hence the second infinitely near triple point $Q_{1}$ is not on the proper transform of $\Gamma_{0}$. For an appropriately chosen inhomogeneous coordinate $y$ on $\Gamma_{0}$, we may assume that all the infinitely near triple points are on the proper transform of $y=0$. Then the local equation for $B$ at $Q$ is

$$
y^{3}+b(x) x^{4 l} y+c(x) x^{6 l}=0,
$$

where $b(x), c(x)$ are holomorphic with
ord $b(x)<4$ or ord $c(x)<6$.
As a parameter space we choose a neighborhood of the origin in $C^{t}$ with coordinate ( $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}, \cdots, \alpha_{l}$ ) and set $h(x)=\prod_{i=1}^{l}\left(x-\alpha_{i}\right)$. Then we define a family $\left\{B_{\alpha}\right\}$, in a neighborhood of $Q$, by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
y^{3}+b(x) h(x)^{4} y+c(x) h(x)^{6}=0 . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma. Let $\left\{X_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ be the family of surfaces in ( $x, y, w$ )-space defined by

$$
\begin{equation*}
w^{2}=y^{3}+b(x) h(x)^{4} y+c(x) h(x)^{6} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $4 b(0)^{3}+27 c(0)^{2} \neq 0$, then we can simultaneously resolve the singularities of $\left\{X_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ (without base change).

Proof. We first blow up the ideal generated by $w, y$ and $h(x)^{2}$. Let ( $z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}$ ) be the homogeneous coordinates on $P^{2}$ and consider the graph of $(x, y, w) \rightarrow\left(z_{0}, z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left(w, y, h(x)^{2}\right)$. We only need to consider two affine pieces $V_{1}=\left\{z_{1} \neq 0\right\}$ and $V_{2}=\left\{z_{2} \neq 0\right\}$. If we set $\xi_{0}=z_{0} / z_{1}, \xi_{2}=z_{2} / z_{1}$ on $V_{1}$, then

$$
w=\xi_{0} y, \quad h(x)^{2}=\xi_{2} y, \quad \xi_{0}^{2}=y\left(1+b \xi_{2}^{2}+c \xi_{2}^{3}\right) .
$$

These equations define a double curve along $h(x)=\xi_{0}=0$.
On $V_{2}$, we set $\eta_{0}=z_{0} / z_{2}, \eta_{1}=z_{1} / z_{2}$. Then

$$
w=\eta_{0} h(x)^{2}, \quad y=\eta_{1} h(x)^{2}, \quad \eta_{0}^{2}=h(x)^{2}\left(\eta_{1}^{3}+b \eta_{1}+c\right)
$$

On the intersection $V_{1} \cap V_{2}$, one has $\eta_{0}=\xi_{0} / \xi_{2}, \eta_{1}=1 / \xi_{2}$. So we blow up the ideal $\left(h(x), \xi_{0}\right)$ on $V_{1}$ and $\left(h(x), \eta_{0}\right)$ on $V_{2}$. Then $V_{2}$ is desingularized (modulo rational double points). As to $V_{1}$, since $\xi_{2} \neq 0$ is contained in $V_{2}$, we only consider a neighborhood of $\xi_{2}=0$. We set $\left(\zeta_{0}, \zeta_{1}\right)=\left(\xi_{0}, h(x)\right)$. Since we only
need to consider the affine piece $\zeta_{0} \neq 0$, we set $u_{1}=\zeta_{1} / \zeta_{0}$. Then

$$
w=\xi_{0} y, \quad h(x)=u_{1} \xi_{0}, \quad u_{1}^{2} \xi_{0}^{2}=\xi_{2} y, \quad \xi_{0}^{2}=y\left(1+b \xi_{2}^{2}+c \xi_{2}^{3}\right)
$$

These equations reduce to $u_{1} \xi_{0}=h(x)$ in $\left(x, u_{1}, \xi_{0}\right)$-space. This is simultaneously desingularized without base change (see [5], [1]).

Similarly, we can prove:
Corollary. Let $\left\{X_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ be defined by (2) with ord $b(x)<4$ or ord $c(x)<6$. Then $\left\{X_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right\}$ can be simultaneously desingularized after an appropriate base change.

To prove our theorem for singular fibres of type $\left(\mathrm{I}_{k}\right)$ or $\left(\mathrm{II}_{k}\right)$, we construct a family $\left\{B_{\alpha}\right\}$ by (2), the remaining component being unchanged, and resolve the singularities. Thus we obtain a family $\left\{X_{\alpha}\right\}$ of smooth surfaces. If the $\alpha_{i}$ are distinct one another, then $X_{\alpha}$ is obtained from $X_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ by resolving $l$ singular points of the form $w^{2}=2$-fold triple point. Therefore, for general $\alpha, X_{\alpha}$ has $l$ singular fibres of type ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) at $x=\alpha_{i}$.

To get the fibres of general ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ) type, we regard the constant terms $b_{0}, c_{0}$ of $b(x)$ and $c(x)$ as additional parameters. Then, for general values of $\alpha, b_{0}$ and $c_{0}$, the discriminants $4 b\left(\alpha_{i}\right)^{3}+27 c\left(\alpha_{i}\right)^{2}$ are all non-zero. We further deform the components which are away from $Q$, if necessary.
§3. Fibres of type III, IV and V. Let $B$ be the branch locus for the singular fibre of type $\left(\mathrm{III}_{k}\right)$. By elementary transformation at the triple point of $B_{0}, B$ is transformed to a ( $4 k-2$ )-fold triple point without containing $\Gamma_{0}$. Since the singular fibre of type $\left(\mathrm{IV}_{k}\right)$ comes from a $4 k$-fold triple point of $B$, these two cases may be handled at one time, by setting $l=2 k-1$ or $2 k$.

Let $y$ be a coordinate on $\Gamma_{0}$ and $x$ a coordinate on $U$. Since the second infinitely near triple point lies on the proper transform of $\Gamma_{0}$, we may assume that all the infinitely near triple points are on the proper transform of the curve $y^{2}-x=0$.

Now the local equation for $B$ is of the form

$$
\left(y^{2}-x\right)^{3}+a x^{l}\left(y^{2}-x\right)^{2}+b x^{2 l}\left(y^{2}-x\right)+c x^{3 l}=0
$$

where $a, b, c$ are holomorphic in $(x, y)$ and of degree $\leqq 1$ in $y$. We take $\beta=\left(\beta_{1}, \beta_{2}, \cdots, \beta_{l}\right)$ as a parameter and let

$$
f(y)^{2}=\prod_{j=1}^{i}\left(y-\beta_{j}\right)^{2}=P\left(y^{2}\right)+y Q\left(y^{2}\right)
$$

We set $h(x, y)=P(x)+y Q(x)$ and define a deformation by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(y^{2}-x\right)^{3}+a h(x, y)\left(y^{2}-x\right)^{2}+b h(x, y)^{2}\left(y^{2}-x\right)+\operatorname{ch}(x, y)^{3}=0 \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $h(x, y)-f(y)^{2}$ is divisible by $y^{2}-x$, we can set

$$
h(x, y)-f(y)^{2}=\left(y^{2}-x\right) G, \quad G=G(x, y, \beta)
$$

For $\beta=0$ we have $G(x, y, 0)=\left(x^{l}-y^{2 l}\right) /\left(y^{2}-x\right)=-\left(x^{l-1}+\cdots+y^{2 l-2}\right)$. Now (4) is written as

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(1+a G+b G^{2}+c G^{3}\right)\left(y^{2}-x\right)^{3}+\left(a+2 b G+3 c G^{2}\right) f(y)^{2}\left(y^{2}-x\right)^{2} \\
& \quad+(b+3 c G) f(y)^{4}\left(y^{2}-x\right)+c f(y)^{6}=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

We can use $z=y^{2}-x$ and $y$ as local coordinates and the above equation shows that, for general $\beta, B$ has 2 -fold triple points at $(z, y)=\left(0, \beta_{i}\right)$, that
is, at $(x, y)=\left(\beta_{i}^{2}, \beta_{i}\right),(i=1,2, \cdots, l)$.
Let $\left\{X_{\beta}^{\prime}\right\}$ be the family of double coverings with branch loci $\left\{B_{\beta}\right\}$. Then the singularities can be simultaneously desingularized to $\left\{X_{\beta}\right\}$. For general $\beta, X_{\beta}$ has $l$ singular fibres of type ( $\mathrm{I}_{1}$ ).

For a singular fibre of type (V), the branch locus is defined by the equation $x\left(y^{6}+a x y^{4}+b x^{2} y^{2}+c x^{4}\right)=0$. If we apply elementary transformation at $(x, y)=(0,0)$, this is transformed into

$$
x\left(x^{2}+a x y^{2}+b y^{4}+c y^{6}\right)=0 .
$$

We define a family with three parameters $(t, s, \alpha)$ by

$$
\left(x-t y^{2}\right)^{3}+a\left(x-t y^{2}\right)^{2}(y-\alpha)^{2}+b\left(x-t y^{2}\right)(y-\alpha)^{4}+(c x+s)(y-\alpha)^{6}=0 .
$$

For $t \neq 0$, this has a 2-fold triple point at $(x, y)=\left(t \alpha^{2}, \alpha\right)$, and determines a singular fibre of type $\left(I_{1}\right)$. The double coverings with these branch loci can be simultaneously desingularized by canonical resolution as in [3, §2].

This completes the proof of the theorem.
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