

# A Generalization to the $q$ -Convex Case of a Theorem of Fornæss and Narasimhan

ANCA POPA-FISCHER

## 1. Introduction

Fornæss and Narasimhan proved (in [8, Thm. 5.3.1]) that, for any complex space  $X$ , the identity  $\text{WPSH}(X) = \text{PSH}(X)$  holds, where  $\text{WPSH}(X)$  denotes the weakly plurisubharmonic functions on  $X$  and  $\text{PSH}(X)$  denotes, as usual, the plurisubharmonic functions on  $X$ .

When  $X$  has no singularities, this identity is clear. For the singular case, however, the inclusion  $\text{WPSH}(X) \subseteq \text{PSH}(X)$  is no longer trivial; one must find locally a plurisubharmonic extension to the ambient space of an embedding of  $X$ .

In this paper we give another proof for this identity (Theorem 3.3). It is shorter and easier and has the advantage that it can be generalized to  $q$ -plurisubharmonic functions (Theorem 4.16). However it has the disadvantage that it works only for continuous functions. The  $q$ -plurisubharmonic functions were introduced by Hunt and Murray in [10] (see also [9]), but we will call here  $q$ -plurisubharmonic what they call  $(q - 1)$ -plurisubharmonic.

We also obtain a generalization of a theorem of Siu [16]; namely, we show (Lemma 4.18) that every  $q$ -complete subspace with corners of a complex space  $X$  admits a neighborhood in  $X$  that is  $q$ -complete with corners. This will be needed in the proof of our main result.

The results and proofs of this paper have been announced in [13]. This paper is part of the author's doctoral thesis written in Wuppertal. I thank Prof. M. Colţoiu and Prof. K. Diederich for many helpful discussions during the whole time of preparing my thesis. I thank the Department of Mathematics of the University of Wuppertal for providing me a nice working atmosphere.

## 2. Preliminaries

Let  $X$  be a complex space (with singularities). We denote by  $\text{PSH}(X)$  the plurisubharmonic functions on  $X$ . We use  $\text{SPSH}(X)$  to denote the *strongly plurisubharmonic functions* on  $X$ , that is, those  $\text{PSH}$  functions for which we have: for every  $\theta \in \mathcal{C}_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\varphi + \varepsilon\theta \in \text{PSH}(X)$  for  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ .

We will denote by  $\text{WPSH}(X)$  the class of *weakly plurisubharmonic functions* on  $X$  (as they are defined in [8]), that is, the class of upper semicontinuous functions  $\varphi: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that, for any holomorphic function  $f: \Delta \rightarrow X$

(where  $\Delta$  denotes the unit disc in  $\mathbb{C}$ ), the composition  $\varphi \circ f$  is subharmonic on  $\Delta$ . We use  $\text{SWPSH}(X)$  to denote the *strongly weakly plurisubharmonic functions* on  $X$ , that is, those  $\text{WPSH}(X)$  functions for which we have: for every  $\theta \in C_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$ , there exists an  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$  such that  $\varphi + \varepsilon\theta$  is in  $\text{WPSH}(X)$  for  $0 \leq \varepsilon \leq \varepsilon_0$ .

In our alternative proof of Fornæss–Narasimhan’s theorem, we will use an extension theorem of Richberg (see [15, Satz 3.3]).

**THEOREM 2.1 (Richberg).** *Let  $X$  be a complex space and  $Y$  a closed complex subspace of  $X$ . Then, for every function  $\psi$  on  $Y$  that is continuous (resp. smooth) and strongly plurisubharmonic, there exist a neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y$  and a function  $\tilde{\psi}$  on  $V$  that is continuous (resp. smooth), strongly plurisubharmonic, and such that  $\tilde{\psi}|_Y = \psi$ .*

We also shall need a theorem by Coltoiu in [3].

**THEOREM 2.2 (Coltoiu).** *Let  $X$  be a complex space that admits a strongly plurisubharmonic exhaustion function  $\varphi: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ . Then  $X$  is 1-convex.*

**REMARK 2.3.** If  $\varphi$  in Theorem 2.2 is supposed to be real-valued, as remarked in [3], then it follows that the exceptional set of  $X$  (i.e., the maximal compact analytic subset) is empty, hence  $X$  is Stein. This had been proved before by Fornæss and Narasimhan in [8, Thm. 6.1].

### 3. Another Proof of Fornæss–Narasimhan’s Theorem

We first prove a lemma that shows the interplay between SWPSH and SPSH functions on a complex space under certain conditions.

**LEMMA 3.1.** *Let  $\Omega$  be an open subset of a reduced Stein space  $X$  with  $\dim X < +\infty$  and such that  $\Omega$  admits a SWPSH exhaustion function  $\varphi: \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $\Omega$  is Stein.*

*Proof.* Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\varphi > 0$ . The proof is by induction on  $n = \dim X$ .

If  $n = 0$  then  $X$  has only isolated points and is therefore a manifold, so there is nothing to prove.

Suppose now that the lemma is true for all complex spaces  $Y$  with  $\dim Y \leq n - 1$ , and let  $\dim X = n$ . Consider  $Y = \text{Sing}(X)$ , the singular locus of  $X$ . We have  $\dim Y \leq n - 1$  and, since  $\varphi|_{Y \cap \Omega} \in \text{SWPSH}(Y \cap \Omega)$  is an exhaustion function for  $Y \cap \Omega$ , by the induction hypothesis it follows that  $Y \cap \Omega$  is Stein. So  $Y \cap \Omega$  admits a smooth SPSH exhaustion function, which we shall denote by  $\psi_1$ .

Now Theorem 2.1 yields a SPSH and smooth extension of  $\psi_1$  to an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y \cap \Omega$  in  $\Omega$ , denoted by  $\tilde{\psi}: V \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . By shrinking  $V$ , if necessary, we can suppose that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is defined in a neighborhood of  $\bar{V}$  (the closure being in  $\Omega$ ) and that  $\{x \in \bar{V} \mid \tilde{\psi}(x) \leq c\}$  is compact in  $\bar{V}$  for all real numbers  $c$ .

However, since  $Y$  is a closed analytic subset of a Stein space  $X$ , there exist  $f_1, \dots, f_m \in \mathcal{O}(X)$  such that  $Y = \{x \in X \mid f_1(x) = \dots = f_m(x) = 0\}$ . If we define  $p := \log(|f_1|^2 + \dots + |f_m|^2)$  then  $Y = \{x \in X \mid p(x) = -\infty\}$ .

Let now  $\chi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  be a smooth, convex, rapidly increasing function (to be made precise later), and define

$$\psi = \begin{cases} \max(\tilde{\psi}, \chi \circ \varphi + p) & \text{on } V, \\ \chi \circ \varphi + p & \text{on } \Omega \setminus V. \end{cases}$$

We choose  $\chi$  such that:

- (1)  $\chi \circ \varphi + p > \tilde{\psi}$  on  $\partial V$  (the border being considered in  $\Omega$ ); and
- (2)  $\psi$  is an exhaustion function of  $\Omega$ .

These two conditions can be achieved for a suitable choice of  $\chi$ , for example, in the following way.

Consider a sufficiently small open neighborhood  $W$  of  $Y \cap \Omega$  in  $\Omega$  such that  $\bar{W} \subset V$  and such that  $\tilde{\psi} > \chi \circ \varphi + p$  on  $\bar{W}$ . Let  $(c_n)_n$  be a strictly increasing sequence of nonnegative numbers with  $c_0 = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} c_n = +\infty$ , and consider the relatively compact sets given by

$$A_i := \{x \in \Omega \mid c_i \leq \varphi(x) < c_{i+1}\}, \quad i \in \mathbb{N}.$$

All we need in order to satisfy our conditions (1) and (2) is the existence of a convex, smooth, and strictly increasing function  $\chi : (0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that satisfies

$$\chi|_{[c_i, c_{i+1})} > \max(M_i, c_{i+1} + L_i),$$

where the positive constants  $M_i$  and  $L_i$  are chosen so that  $|p| < L_i$  on  $A_i \setminus W$  and  $M_i \geq \tilde{\psi} - p$  on  $A_i \cap \partial V$ . The existence of such a  $\chi$  is a well-known fact.

Now, to finish the proof of Lemma 3.1 we observe that, by the definition of  $\psi$  and our choice of  $\chi$ , obviously  $\psi \in \text{PSH}(\Omega)$ . If now  $\tau > 0$  is a smooth strongly plurisubharmonic function on  $X$ , then  $\psi + \tau|_\Omega \in \text{SPSH}(\Omega)$  and  $\psi + \tau|_\Omega$  is exhaustive. By Theorem 2.2,  $\Omega$  is Stein and the proof of our Lemma 3.1 is complete.  $\square$

The next result needed is due to Siu [16].

**THEOREM 3.2.** *Let  $Y$  be a closed Stein subspace in a complex space  $X$ . Then  $Y$  has a Stein open neighborhood in  $X$ .*

Now we are ready to give our proof of Fornæss-Narasimhan’s theorem for the case of continuous functions.

**THEOREM 3.3.** *On any reduced complex space  $X$ , any continuous  $\text{WPSH}(X)$  function is a  $\text{PSH}(X)$  function.*

*Proof.* Because the problem is local, we may assume that  $X$  is a closed analytic subset in some Stein open subset  $U$  of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

Let  $\varphi \in \text{WPSH}(X)$  be continuous. Consider  $\tilde{X} := X \times \mathbb{C}$ , which is Stein, and

$$\Omega := \{(z, w) \in \tilde{X} \mid \varphi(z) + \log|w| < 0\}.$$

We notice that  $\Omega$  is itself Stein. Indeed, to see this, choose  $g > 0$  a smooth, SPSH exhaustion function for  $X \times \mathbb{C}$  and define

$$h(z, w) = g(z, w) - \frac{1}{\varphi(z) + \log|w|},$$

which is in SWPSH( $\Omega$ ) and exhausts  $\Omega$  (here we need the continuity of  $\varphi$ ). By Lemma 3.1,  $\Omega$  is Stein. We have  $\Omega \subset X \times \mathbb{C} \subset U \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ . Consider now an open set  $W$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with the property that  $W \cap (X \times \mathbb{C}) = \Omega$ . By [16, Thm. 3.2] applied to  $\Omega \subset W$ , it follows that there exists an open Stein set  $V$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with  $V \cap (X \times \mathbb{C}) = \Omega$ . Since  $V$  is Stein, we have that  $-\log \delta_w$  is plurisubharmonic on  $V$ , where  $\delta_w$  denotes the boundary distance of  $V$  in the  $w$ -direction (or the Hartogs radius of  $V$  with respect to  $w$ ). To define  $\delta_w$ , fix a point  $(z^0, w^0) \in V$  and look at all polydiscs of the form  $|z_i - z_i^0| < r_i, i \in \{1, \dots, n\}, |w - w^0| < r_{n+1}$ , that are subsets of  $V$ . Then  $\delta_w(z^0, w^0)$  is the supremum over all such  $r_{n+1}$ . Identifying  $X$  with  $X \times \{0\}$ , it follows at once from the definition of  $\Omega = V \cap (X \times \mathbb{C})$  that  $-\log \delta_w|_X = \varphi$  and so we have the required plurisubharmonic extension of  $\varphi$ .  $\square$

### 4. A Generalization to the $q$ -Convex Case

#### 4.1. General Setup

In generalizing Fornæss-Narasimhan’s theorem to the  $q$ -plurisubharmonic case (but for continuous functions only) we will follow the general ideas of the proof in Section 3. But first of all we will give the precise definitions of  $q$ -plurisubharmonic (in notation,  $q$ -PSH) and weakly  $q$ -plurisubharmonic ( $q$ -WPSH) functions on complex spaces. We recall the definitions for open sets in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ .

DEFINITION 4.1 (see e.g. [9]). An upper semicontinuous function  $\varphi: D \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$ , where  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is an open subset, is called *subpluriharmonic* if, for every relatively compact subset  $G \subset\subset D$  and for every pluriharmonic function  $u$  defined on a neighborhood of  $\bar{G}$ , the inequality  $\varphi|_{\partial G} \leq u|_{\partial G}$  implies  $\varphi \leq u$  on  $\bar{G}$ .

REMARK 4.2. One may verify that a function  $\varphi \in C^2(U, \mathbb{R})$ , where  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  is an open subset, is subpluriharmonic if and only if its Levi form has at least one nonnegative ( $\geq 0$ ) eigenvalue at every point of  $U$ .

DEFINITION 4.3 [10]. A function defined on  $D \subset \mathbb{C}^n$  and with values in  $[-\infty, \infty)$  is called  $q$ -plurisubharmonic ( $1 \leq q \leq n$ ) in  $D$  if it is upper semicontinuous and if it is subpluriharmonic on the intersection of every  $q$ -dimensional complex plane with  $D$ .

REMARK 4.4. The notion  $n$ -plurisubharmonic means subpluriharmonic, and 1-plurisubharmonic means plurisubharmonic.

Now we define the  $q$ -plurisubharmonic functions on an arbitrary complex space.

DEFINITION 4.5. Let  $X$  be a complex space and let  $\varphi: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  be an upper semicontinuous function on  $X$ . Then  $\varphi$  is called  $q$ -plurisubharmonic on

$X$  if for every point  $x \in X$  there exists a local embedding  $i: U \hookrightarrow \tilde{U} \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ , where  $U$  is a neighborhood of  $x$ ,  $\tilde{U}$  an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , and there exists a  $q$ -plurisubharmonic function  $\tilde{\varphi}$  on  $\tilde{U}$  such that  $\tilde{\varphi} \circ i = \varphi$ .

REMARK 4.6. Even if  $\varphi$  in Definition 4.5 happens to be continuous, we do not require  $\tilde{\varphi}$  to be continuous; it is always only assumed to be upper semicontinuous.

We also define the weakly  $q$ -plurisubharmonic functions on complex spaces as follows.

DEFINITION 4.7. Let  $X$  be a complex space. An upper semicontinuous function  $\varphi: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is called *weakly  $q$ -plurisubharmonic on  $X$*  if for every holomorphic function  $f: G \rightarrow X$ , where  $G$  is open in  $\mathbb{C}^q$ , the function  $\varphi \circ f$  is subpluriharmonic on  $G$ .

REMARK 4.8. 1. If a function is weakly  $q$ -plurisubharmonic, then it also is weakly  $q'$ -plurisubharmonic for every  $q' \geq q$ .

2. A real-valued  $\mathcal{C}^2$ -function defined on an open set  $D$  in  $\mathbb{C}^n$  is weakly  $q$ -plurisubharmonic ( $1 \leq q \leq n$ ) if and only if the Levi form of  $\varphi$  has at least  $n - q + 1$  nonnegative eigenvalues at every point of  $D$ . Note that each  $q$ -convex function, in the sense of Andreotti and Grauert [1], is weakly  $q$ -plurisubharmonic.

3. It is known that, on a complex manifold, the two classes  $q$ -WPSH and  $q$ -PSH coincide (see a remark in [11] about a preprint of Fujita). In the manifold case, the nontrivial inclusion is  $q$ -PSH  $\subseteq$   $q$ -WPSH. This inclusion generalizes at once to the singular case. However, in the singular case the other inclusion,  $q$ -WPSH  $\subseteq$   $q$ -PSH, becomes nontrivial. This is because we must now find locally a  $q$ -plurisubharmonic extension of the respective function to the ambient space of an embedding.

4. For  $D$  open in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ , weakly  $q$ -plurisubharmonic functions on  $D$  are what Fujita [9] called “pseudoconvex functions of order  $n - q$ ”.

We may define the  $q$ -SPSH and  $q$ -SWPSH functions on a complex space  $X$  in a similar way to that in Section 2.

We denote by  $F_q(X)$  the set of the  $q$ -convex functions with corners on  $X$ , as they were introduced by Diederich and Fornæss [6; 7]. Theorem 2.1, which was needed in Section 3, must in the  $q$ -convex case be replaced by the following.

THEOREM 4.9 [4]. *Let  $X$  be a complex space,  $A \subset X$  a closed analytic subset,  $f \in F_q(A)$ , and  $\eta > 0$  a continuous function on  $A$ . Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $A$  in  $X$  and  $\tilde{f} \in F_q(V)$  such that  $|\tilde{f} - f| < \eta$  on  $A$ .*

We will also need the following approximation result due to Bungart [2].

THEOREM 4.10 (Bungart). *Let  $X$  be a complex manifold and  $\varphi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a continuous  $q$ -SPSH( $X$ ) function. Then, for any continuous function  $\eta: X \rightarrow (0, \infty)$ , there exists a function  $\tilde{\varphi} \in F_q(X)$  such that  $|\tilde{\varphi} - \varphi| < \eta$  on  $X$ .*

REMARK 4.11. In fact, Bungart proved this result only when  $X$  is an open subset of some Euclidian space  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . But as Matsumoto [11] remarked, this result still

holds when  $X$  is a complex manifold. For the sake of completeness we give here a proof for the manifold case, using Bungart’s theorem.

*Proof of Theorem 4.10.* Fix three locally finite open coverings  $(U_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (V_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}, (W_i)_{i \in \mathbb{N}}$  of  $X$  such that  $U_i \subset\subset V_i \subset\subset W_i \subset\subset X$  for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$  and such that each  $W_i$  is the domain of a biholomorphic map  $i: W_i \rightarrow \tilde{W}_i$ , where  $\tilde{W}_i$  is an open set in  $\mathbb{C}^{n_i}$ .

For each index  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider a function  $\theta_i \in C_0^\infty(X, \mathbb{R})$  such that  $\theta_i \equiv -1$  on  $\partial V_i$ ,  $\theta_i \equiv 1$  on  $\tilde{U}_i$ , and  $\theta_i \equiv 0$  on  $X \setminus W_i$ . Let  $\varepsilon_i > 0$  be small enough so that  $2\varepsilon_i\theta_i \leq \eta$  and  $\varphi + \varepsilon_i\theta_i$  is still  $q$ -SPSH.

Since  $\tilde{V}_i \subset W_i \simeq \tilde{W}_i$ , we can apply Bungart’s theorem to obtain, for all  $i \in \mathbb{N}$ , a function  $\varphi_i \in F_q(W_i)$  with the property that

$$|\varphi(x) + \varepsilon_i\theta_i(x) - \varphi_i(x)| < \min\left(\varepsilon_i, \frac{\eta(x)}{2}\right)$$

on a neighborhood of  $\tilde{V}_i$ .

It follows that we have  $\varphi_i < \varphi$  on  $\partial V_i$  and  $\varphi_i > \varphi$  on  $\tilde{U}_i$ . Hence we may define  $\tilde{\varphi}: X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  by  $\tilde{\varphi}(x) := \max\{\varphi_i(x) \mid x \in V_i\}$ . Clearly  $\tilde{\varphi} \in F_q(X)$ ,  $\varphi \leq \tilde{\varphi}$ , and  $\tilde{\varphi} < \varphi + \eta$  as desired. □

We shall also use the following result due to Fujita [9, Thm. 1].

**THEOREM 4.12 (Fujita).** *Let  $D$  be an open subset of  $\mathbb{C}^n$  that is  $q$ -complete with corners, let  $w \in \mathbb{C}^n$  ( $\|w\| = 1$ ), and denote by  $\delta_w$  the boundary distance function of  $D$  along the  $w$ -direction. Then  $-\log \delta_w$  is weakly  $q$ -plurisubharmonic on  $D$  and thus also  $q$ -plurisubharmonic.*

**REMARK 4.13.** In fact, Fujita proves this result for the more general case of “pseudoconvex domains of order  $(n - q)$ ”.

Finally, we also will use a theorem of Peternell ([12, Lemma 5]; see also [5]). For this we need the following.

**DEFINITION 4.14.** Let  $X$  be a manifold. A function  $v: X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  is called *almost plurisubharmonic* if it can be written locally as a sum of a plurisubharmonic and a smooth function. If  $X$  is a complex space, we require that  $v$  can be locally extended as an almost plurisubharmonic function in the ambient space of an embedding.

**THEOREM 4.15 (Peternell).** *If  $Y$  is a closed analytic subset in a complex space  $X$ , then there exists an almost plurisubharmonic function  $v$  on  $X$  such that  $v \in C^\infty(X \setminus Y)$  and  $Y = \{x \in X \mid v(x) = -\infty\}$ .*

#### 4.2. The Equivalence of $q$ -WPSH and $q$ -PSH Functions

We can now state our main result as follows.

**THEOREM 4.16.** *Every continuous  $q$ -WPSH function on a reduced complex space  $X$  is a  $q$ -PSH function on  $X$ .*

In order to prove this theorem, we first show the following two lemmas.

LEMMA 4.17. *Let  $X$  be a reduced complex space of finite dimension for which there exists a continuous exhaustion function  $\varphi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is in  $q$ -SWPSH( $X$ ). Then there exists a  $q$ -convex function with corners  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , exhausting  $X$ .*

*Proof.* We may assume that  $\varphi > 0$ . In the regular case (i.e., if  $X$  is a complex manifold) then this lemma is a direct consequence of Bungart’s approximation theorem, because in the manifold case the inclusion  $q$ -SWPSH  $\subseteq$   $q$ -SPSH is trivial. In the singular case, the proof is by induction on  $n = \dim(X)$ .

The case  $n = 0$  is obvious. Now suppose that Lemma 4.17 holds for all complex spaces  $Y$  with  $\dim Y \leq n - 1$ , and let  $\dim X = n$ .

Consider  $Y = \text{Sing}(X)$ , the singular locus of  $X$ . Because  $\dim Y \leq n - 1$  and  $\varphi|_Y$  satisfies the conditions of our lemma, we conclude that there exists an exhaustion function  $\psi_1 : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is  $q$ -convex with corners. By Theorem 4.9, we can find a neighborhood  $V$  of  $Y$  in  $X$  and a  $\tilde{\psi}_1 \in F_q(V)$  such that  $|\tilde{\psi}_1 - \psi_1| < 1$  on  $Y$ .

By shrinking  $V$  if necessary, we can suppose that  $\tilde{\psi}_1$  is defined on a neighborhood of  $\bar{V}$  and that  $\{x \in \bar{V} \mid \tilde{\psi}_1(x) < c\}$  is relatively compact in  $\bar{V}$  for all real numbers  $c$ . By Peternell’s theorem, there exists an almost plurisubharmonic function  $\theta : X \rightarrow [-\infty, \infty)$  such that  $\theta|_{\text{Reg}(X)}$  is smooth and such that  $Y = \{x \in X \mid \theta(x) = -\infty\}$ .

Now let  $\chi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}_+$  be a continuous, convex, increasing function that is linear on segments. This means that there is a division  $0 = a_0 < a_1 < \dots < a_n < \dots$  of  $[0, \infty)$  such that, on  $[a_i, a_{i+1}]$ , we have  $\chi(t) = A_i t + B_i$  with  $A_i > 0$ , and the convexity of  $\chi$  gives  $A_{i+1} \geq A_i$ .

If  $\chi$  increases rapidly at infinity then  $(\chi \circ \varphi + \theta)|_{\text{Reg}(X)}$  is in  $q$ -SWPSH( $\text{Reg}(X)$ ). This can be seen as follows. Take a locally finite open covering  $(U_j)_{j \in \mathbb{N}}$ ,  $U_j \subset\subset X$ , of  $X$  such that, for each  $j$  on a neighborhood of  $\bar{U}_j$ , one has  $\theta = \theta_{1,j} + \theta_{2,j}$  with  $\theta_{1,j}$  smooth and  $\theta_{2,j}$  plurisubharmonic. Then, if the constants  $A_i > 0$  in the definition of  $\chi$  are chosen large enough,  $\chi \circ \varphi + \theta_{1,j}$  is  $q$ -SWPSH on  $U_j$ . We can thus find  $\chi$  as before so that  $(\chi \circ \varphi + \theta)|_{\text{Reg}(X)}$  is in  $q$ -SWPSH( $\text{Reg}(X)$ )  $\subset$   $q$ -SPSH( $\text{Reg}(X)$ ). Also, if  $\chi$  increases rapidly then we may assume that  $(\chi \circ \varphi + \theta)|_{\partial V} > \tilde{\psi}_1|_{\partial V}$  and that  $(\chi \circ \varphi + \theta)|_{X \setminus V}$  exhausts  $X \setminus V$ .

By Bungart’s approximation theorem, there is a function  $u : \text{Reg}(X) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  that is  $q$ -convex with corners and such that:

- (1)  $|u - (\chi \circ \varphi + \theta)| < 1$  on  $\text{Reg}(X)$ ;
- (2)  $u|_{\partial V} > \tilde{\psi}_1|_{\partial V}$ .

We define now  $\psi : X \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  as follows:

$$\psi = \begin{cases} \max(\tilde{\psi}_1, u) & \text{on } V \setminus Y, \\ \tilde{\psi}_1 & \text{on } Y, \\ u & \text{on } X \setminus V. \end{cases}$$

Then clearly  $\psi$  is an exhaustion function on  $X$  and  $\psi$  is  $q$ -convex with corners. Hence our lemma is proved. □

The second needed statement is the following generalization of Siu’s theorem (previously formulated as Theorem 3.2).

LEMMA 4.18. *Let  $S$  be a closed analytic subset of a complex space  $X$ , and assume that  $S$  is  $q$ -complete with corners. Then there exists an open neighborhood  $V$  of  $S$  in  $X$  such that  $V$  is  $q$ -complete with corners.*

*Proof.* Since  $S \subset X$  is a closed complex subspace, by Peternell’s theorem there exists an almost plurisubharmonic function  $\lambda$  on  $X$  such that  $S = \{x \in X \mid \lambda(x) = -\infty\}$  and such that  $\lambda|_{X \setminus S} \in C^\infty(X \setminus S)$ .

Denote by  $\psi : S \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a positive,  $q$ -convex exhaustion function with corners. Applying Theorem 4.9, we deduce that there exists a  $q$ -convex function with corners,  $\tilde{\psi}$ , in a neighborhood  $U$  of  $S$  such that  $|\tilde{\psi} - \psi| < 1$  on  $S$ . We can assume that  $\tilde{\psi} > 0$ . We may suppose, by eventually shrinking  $U$ , that  $\tilde{\psi}$  is defined on a neighborhood of  $\bar{U}$  and that  $\tilde{\psi}$  exhausts  $\bar{U}$ .

Consider  $\chi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ , a continuous, convex, increasing function that is linear on segments and such that:

- (1) if  $V = \{x \in U \mid \chi \circ \tilde{\psi}(x) + \lambda(x) < 0\}$ , then  $\partial V \cap \partial U = \emptyset$ ; and
- (2) the function  $\varphi := \max(-1/(\chi \circ \tilde{\psi} + \lambda), \tilde{\psi})$  defined on  $V$  is  $q$ -convex with corners.

The choice of  $\chi$  satisfying (2) is possible as in Lemma 4.17. We also can realize condition (1) by choosing a sequence of real numbers  $(\lambda_n)_n \searrow -\infty$  such that  $\{x \in U \mid \tilde{\psi}(x) < n, \lambda(x) < \lambda_n\}$  is relatively compact in  $U$  and requiring that  $\chi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  additionally satisfy  $\chi|_{[n-1, n]} \geq -\lambda_n$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

It then follows that the set  $V = \{x \in U \mid \chi \circ \tilde{\psi}(x) + \lambda(x) < 0\}$  is an open  $q$ -complete with corners neighborhood of  $S$ , where  $\varphi$  is the exhaustion function; hence, Lemma 4.18 is proved. □

We are now in a position to prove Theorem 4.16.

*Proof of Theorem 4.16.* Because the problem is local, we can assume (without loss of generality) that  $X$  is a closed analytic subset in a Stein open set  $U \subset \mathbb{C}^n$ . Let  $\varphi \in q$ -WPSH( $X$ ) be continuous.

We have  $X \times \mathbb{C} \subset U \times \mathbb{C} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  and consider  $\Omega \subset X \times \mathbb{C}$ , the open set given by

$$\Omega = \{(z, w) \in X \times \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < e^{-\varphi(z)}\}.$$

On  $\Omega$  there exists a continuous  $q$ -SWPSH exhaustion function. Indeed, denote by  $s : X \times \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$  a smooth, SPSH( $X \times \mathbb{C}$ ), positive exhaustion function and consider

$$s(z, w) - \frac{1}{\varphi(z) + \log|w|} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}.$$

This function has the desired properties, so that for  $\Omega$  we can apply Lemma 4.17 and thus obtain a  $q$ -convex with corners exhaustion function  $\psi : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ . But this means that  $\Omega$  is  $q$ -complete with corners.

Consider now an open set  $W$  in  $\mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  with the property that  $W \cap (X \times \mathbb{C}) = \Omega$ . Then Lemma 4.18 can be applied for the situation  $\Omega \subset W$ . We conclude with the existence of an open set  $\tilde{\Omega} \subset \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$  that is  $q$ -complete with corners and for which  $\tilde{\Omega} \cap (X \times \mathbb{C}) = \Omega$  holds.

Now it is enough to consider  $\delta_w$ , the distance to the boundary of  $\tilde{\Omega}$  along the  $w$ -direction. By Theorem 4.12,  $-\log \delta_w$  is a  $q$ -PSH( $\tilde{\Omega}$ ) function (not necessarily continuous). By the definition of  $\Omega$ , it follows that  $-\log \delta_w|_X = \varphi$  and so we have the desired conclusion that  $\varphi$  is a  $q$ -PSH( $X$ ) function. □

REMARK 4.19. In the manifold case, the standard proof for the inclusion  $\text{PSH} \subseteq \text{WPSH}$  can not be used to prove that  $q\text{-PSH} \subseteq q\text{-WPSH}$  for  $q > 1$  because the class of  $q$ -plurisubharmonic functions is not additive for  $q > 1$ .

However, using the methods just described, one can show for a manifold that the inclusion  $q\text{-PSH} \subseteq q\text{-WPSH}$  holds for continuous functions. Then we can also get rid of the continuity condition by using an approximation result of Slodkowski [17].

More precisely, to prove  $q\text{-PSH}(M) \subseteq q\text{-WPSH}(M)$  for continuous functions when  $M$  is a manifold, let  $\varphi \in q\text{-PSH}(M)$ . Because the problem is local, we can suppose (without loss of generality) that  $M = U$  is an open Stein set in  $\mathbb{C}^n$ . As in the proof of Theorem 4.16, we introduce the set

$$\Omega = \{(z, w) \in U \times \mathbb{C} \mid |w| < e^{-\varphi(z)}\}$$

and observe that now the function

$$s(z, w) = \frac{1}{\varphi(z) + \log|w|} : \Omega \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$$

is continuous, exhaustive, and  $q$ -SPSH. Applying Theorem 4.10, it follows that  $\Omega$  is  $q$ -complete with corners. Using Theorem 4.12, as before it follows that  $-\log \delta_w$  is  $q$ -WPSH on  $\tilde{\Omega}$ . Its restriction to  $U$ , which coincides with  $\varphi$ , is therefore also  $q$ -WPSH, as desired.

Now, if  $\varphi$  is no longer continuous then we apply a result of Slodkowski [17, Rem. 2.10]. Namely, every  $q$ -PSH function  $\varphi$  on an open set  $U \subseteq \mathbb{C}^n$  can be approximated (on a compact set  $K$ ) by a pointwise convergent and nonincreasing sequence  $(\varphi_n)$  of continuous  $q$ -PSH functions (defined on a neighborhood of  $K$ ).

Now, since the  $(\varphi_n)$  are continuous and  $q$ -PSH functions, they are also  $q$ -WPSH functions. But it is known (see e.g. [9]) that the pointwise limit function of a non-increasing sequence of  $q$ -WPSH functions is itself  $q$ -WPSH.

Note that the reverse inclusion,  $q\text{-WPSH} \subseteq q\text{-PSH}$ , is trivial in the manifold case. We thus have the equality  $q\text{-WPSH}(M) = q\text{-PSH}(M)$  on each manifold  $M$ .

### References

- [1] A. Andreotti and H. Grauert, *Théorèmes de finitude pour la cohomologie des espaces complexes*, Bull. Soc. Math. France 90 (1962), 193–259.
- [2] L. Bungart, *Piecewise smooth approximations to  $q$ -plurisubharmonic functions*, Pacific J. Math. 142 (1990), 227–244.

- [3] M. Colţoiu, *A note on Levi's problem with discontinuous functions*, Enseign. Math. (2) 31 (1985), 299–304.
- [4] ———, *n-Concavity of n-dimensional complex spaces*, Math. Z. 210 (1992), 203–206.
- [5] J. P. Demailly, *Cohomology of q-convex spaces in top degrees*, Math. Z. 204 (1990), 283–295.
- [6] K. Diederich and J. E. Fornæss, *Smoothing q-convex functions and vanishing theorems*, Invent. Math. 82 (1985), 291–305.
- [7] ———, *Smoothing q-convex functions in the singular case*, Math. Ann. 273 (1986), 665–671.
- [8] J. E. Fornæss and R. Narasimhan, *The Levi problem on complex spaces with singularities*, Math. Ann. 248 (1980), 47–72.
- [9] O. Fujita, *Domaines pseudoconvexes d'ordre général et fonctions pseudoconvexes d'ordre général*, J. Math. Kyoto Univ. 30 (1990), 637–649.
- [10] L. R. Hunt and J. J. Murray, *q-Plurisubharmonic functions and a generalized Dirichlet problem*, Michigan Math. J. 25 (1978), 299–316.
- [11] K. Matsumoto, *Boundary distance functions and q-convexity of pseudoconvex domains of general order in Kähler manifolds*, J. Math. Soc. Japan 48 (1996), 85–107.
- [12] M. Peternell, *Continuous q-convex exhaustion functions*, Invent. Math. 85 (1986), 249–262.
- [13] A. Popa, *Sur un théorème de Fornæss et Narasimhan*, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris Sér. I Math. 329 (1999), 11–14.
- [14] A. Popa-Fischer, *Generalized Kähler metrics on complex spaces and a supplement to a theorem of Fornæss and Narasimhan*, Ph.D. dissertation, Bergische Universität Wuppertal, 2000.
- [15] R. Richberg, *Stetige streng pseudokonvexe Funktionen*, Math. Ann. 175 (1968), 257–286.
- [16] Y.-T. Siu, *Every Stein subvariety admits a Stein neighborhood*, Invent. Math. 38 (1976/77), 89–100.
- [17] Z. Slodkowski, *The Bremmermann–Dirichlet problem for q-plurisubharmonic functions*, Ann. Scuola Norm. Sup. Pisa Cl. Sci. (4) 11 (1984), 303–326.

Bergische Universität Wuppertal  
FB 7 Mathematik  
Gauss-Str. 20  
D-42097 Wuppertal  
Germany

apopa@wmka8.math.uni-wuppertal.de

Institute of Mathematics of the Romanian Academy  
P.O. Box 1-764  
Ro-70700 Bucharest  
Romania