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The author discusses the multiple positive solutions for an infinite boundary value problem of first-order impulsive superlinear integrodifferential equations on the half line in a Banach space by means of the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression with norm type.

## 1. Introduction

Let $E$ be a real Banach space and $P$ a cone in $E$ which defines a partial ordering in $E$ by $x \leq y$ if and only if $y-x \in P . P$ is said to be normal if there exists a positive constant $N$ such that $\theta \leq x \leq y$ implies $\|x\| \leq N\|y\|$, where $\theta$ denotes the zero element of $E$ and the smallest $N$ is called the normal constant of $P$. If $x \leq y$ and $x \neq y$, we write $x<y$. For details on cone theory, see [1].

In paper [2], we considered the infinite boundary value problem (IBVP) for first-order impulsive nonlinear integrodifferential equation of mixed type on the half line in $E$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t),(T u)(t),(S u)(t)), \quad \forall t \in J^{\prime} \\
\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots)  \tag{1.1}\\
u(\infty)=\beta u(0),
\end{gather*}
$$

where $J=[0, \infty), 0<t_{1}<\cdots<t_{k}<\ldots, t_{k} \rightarrow \infty, J^{\prime}=J \backslash\left\{t_{1}, \ldots, t_{k}, \ldots\right\}, f \in C[J \times P \times P \times P, P]$, $I_{k} \in C[P, P](k=1,2,3, \ldots), \beta>1, u(\infty)=\lim _{t \rightarrow \infty} u(t)$, and

$$
\begin{equation*}
(T u)(t)=\int_{0}^{t} K(t, s) u(s) d s, \quad(S u)(t)=\int_{0}^{\infty} H(t, s) u(s) d s, \tag{1.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$K \in C\left[D, R_{+}\right], D=\{(t, s) \in J \times J: t \geq s\}, H \in C\left[J \times J, R_{+}\right], R_{+}$denotes the set of all nonnegative numbers. $\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}$ denotes the jump of $u(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, that is,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right), \tag{1.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$and $u\left(t_{k}^{-}\right)$represent the right and left limits of $u(t)$ at $t=t_{k}$, respectively. By using the fixed point index theory, we discussed the multiple positive solutions of IBVP(1.1). But the discussion dealt with sublinear equations, that is, we assume that there exists $c \in$ $C\left[J, R_{+}\right] \cap L\left[J, R_{+}\right]$such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\|f(t, u, v, w)\|}{c(t)(\|u\|+\|v\|+\|w\|)} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } u, v, w \in P,\|u\|+\|v\|+\|w\| \longrightarrow \infty \tag{1.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

uniformly for $t \in J$ (see condition $\left(H_{5}\right)$ in [2]).
Now, in this paper, we discuss the multiple positive solutions of an infinite three-point boundary value problem (which includes IBVP(1.1) as a special case) for superlinear case by means of different method, that is, by using the fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression with norm type, which was established by the author in [3] (see also [1]), and the key point is to introduce a new cone $Q$.

Consider the infinite three-point boundary value problem for first-order impulsive nonlinear integrodifferential equation of mixed type on the half line in $E$ :

$$
\begin{gather*}
u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t),(T u)(t),(S u)(t)), \quad \forall t \in J^{\prime} \\
\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots)  \tag{1.5}\\
u(\infty)=\gamma u(\eta)+\beta u(0)
\end{gather*}
$$

where $0 \leq \gamma<1, \beta+\gamma>1$, and $t_{m-1}<\eta \leq t_{m}$ (for some $m$ ). It is clear that IBVP(1.5) includes $\operatorname{IBVP}(1.1)$ as a special case when $\gamma=0$.

Let $\operatorname{PC}[J, E]=\left\{u: u\right.$ is a map from $J$ into $E$ such that $u(t)$ is continuous at $t \neq t_{k}$, left continuous at $t=t_{k}$, and $u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)$exists, $\left.k=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$ and $\operatorname{BPC}[J, E]=\{u \in \operatorname{PC}[J, E]$ : $\left.\sup _{t \in J}\|u(t)\|<\infty\right\}$. It is clear that BPC $[J, E]$ is a Banach space with norm

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u\|_{B}=\sup _{t \in J}\|u(t)\| \tag{1.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\mathrm{BPC}[J, P]=\{u \in \mathrm{BPC}[J, E]: u(t) \geq \theta, \forall t \in J\}$ and $Q=\{u \in \mathrm{BPC}[J, P]: u(t) \geq$ $\left.\beta^{-1}(1-\gamma) u(s), \forall t, s \in J\right\}$. Obviously, BPC[J,P] and $Q$ are two cones in space BPC[J,E] and $Q \subset \mathrm{BPC}[J, P] . u \in \operatorname{BPC}[J, P] \cap C^{1}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ is called a positive solution of $\operatorname{IBVP}(1.5)$ if $u(t)>\theta$ for $t \in J$ and $u(t)$ satisfies (1.5).

## 2. Several Lemmas

Let us list some conditions.

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left(H_{1}\right) \sup _{t \in J} \int_{0}^{t} K(t, s) d s<\infty, \sup _{t \in J} \int_{0}^{\infty} H(t, s) d s<\infty, \text { and } \\
& \qquad \lim _{t^{\prime} \rightarrow t} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left|H\left(t^{\prime}, s\right)-H(t, s)\right| d s=0, \quad \forall t \in J \tag{2.1}
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
k^{*}=\sup _{t \in J} \int_{0}^{t} K(t, s) d s, \quad h^{*}=\sup _{t \in J} \int_{0}^{\infty} H(t, s) d s \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\left(H_{2}\right)$ There exist $a \in C\left[J, R_{+}\right]$and $g \in C\left[R_{+} \times R_{+} \times R_{+}, R_{+}\right]$such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|f(t, u, v, w)\| \leq a(t) g(\|u\|,\|v\|,\|w\|), \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P \\
a^{*}=\int_{0}^{\infty} a(t) d t<\infty \tag{2.3}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\left(H_{3}\right)$ There exist $\gamma_{k} \geq 0(k=1,2,3, \ldots)$ and $F \in C\left[R_{+}, R_{+}\right]$such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\left\|I_{k}(u)\right\| \leq r_{k} F(\|u\|), \quad \forall u \in P(k=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
r^{*}=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_{k}<\infty . \tag{2.4}
\end{gather*}
$$

$\left(H_{4}\right)$ For any $t \in J$ and $r>0, f\left(t, P_{r}, P_{r}, P_{r}\right)=\left\{f(t, u, v, w): u, v, w \in P_{r}\right\}$ and $I_{k}\left(P_{r}\right)=$ $\left\{I_{k}(u): u \in P_{r}\right\}(k=1,2,3, \ldots)$ are relatively compact in $E$, where $P_{r}=\{u \in P:$ $\|u\| \leq r\}$.

Remark 2.1. Obviously, condition $\left(H_{4}\right)$ is satisfied automatically when $E$ is finite dimensional.
Remark 2.2. It is clear that if condition $\left(H_{1}\right)$ is satisfied, then the operators $T$ and $S$ defined by (1.2) are bounded linear operators from $\mathrm{BPC}[J, E]$ into $\mathrm{BPC}[J, E]$ and $\|T\| \leq k^{*},\|S\| \leq h^{*}$; moreover, we have $T(\mathrm{BPC}[J, P]) \subset \mathrm{BPC}[J, P]$ and $S(\mathrm{BPC}[J, P]) \subset \mathrm{BPC}[J, P]$.

We shall reduce $\operatorname{IBVP}(1.5)$ to an impulsive integral equation. To this end, we consider the operator $A$ defined by

$$
\begin{align*}
(A u)(t)= & \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma)\right. \\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad \forall t \in J . \tag{2.5}
\end{align*}
$$

In what follows, we write $J_{1}=\left[0, t_{1}\right], J_{k}=\left(t_{k-1}, t_{k}\right](k=2,3,4, \ldots)$.
Lemma 2.3. If conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ are satisfied, then operator $A$ defined by $(2.5)$ is a completely continuous (i.e., continuous and compact) operator from $B P C[J, P]$ into $Q$.

Proof. Let $r>0$ be given. Let

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{r}=\max \left\{g(x, y, z): 0 \leq x \leq r, 0 \leq y \leq k^{*} r, 0 \leq z \leq h^{*} r\right\},  \tag{2.6}\\
N_{r}=\max \{F(x): 0 \leq x \leq r\} . \tag{2.7}
\end{gather*}
$$

For $u \in \operatorname{BPC}[J, P],\|u\|_{B} \leq r$, we see that by virtue of condition $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and (2.6),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|f(t, u(t),(T u)(t),(S u)(t))\| \leq M_{r} a(t), \quad \forall t \in J, \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies the convergence of the infinite integral

$$
\begin{gather*}
\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t, u(t),(T u)(t),(S u)(t)) d t  \tag{2.9}\\
\left\|\int_{0}^{\infty} f(t, u(t),(T u)(t),(S u)(t)) d t\right\| \leq \int_{0}^{\infty}\|f(t, u(t),(T u)(t),(S u)(t))\| d t \leq M_{r} a^{*} \tag{2.10}
\end{gather*}
$$

On the other hand, condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and (2.7) imply the convergence of the infinite series

$$
\begin{gather*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right),  \tag{2.11}\\
\left\|\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\| \leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\| \leq N_{r} \gamma^{*} . \tag{2.12}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (2.5), (2.10), and (2.12) that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\|(A u)(t)\| \leq \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\{ & \int_{\eta}^{\infty}\|f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s))\| d s+(1-\gamma) \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\eta}\|f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s))\| d s \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty}\left\|I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1}\left\|I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& +\int_{0}^{t}\|f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s))\| d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left\|I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\| \\
\leq & \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\|f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s))\| d s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|\right\} \\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty}\|f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s))\| d s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\| \\
= & \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{0}^{\infty}\|f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s))\| d s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|\right\} \\
\leq & \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(M_{r} a^{*}+N_{r} \gamma^{*}\right), \quad \forall t \in J, \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that $A u \in \operatorname{BPC}[J, P]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A u\|_{B} \leq \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(M_{r} a^{*}+N_{r} \gamma^{*}\right) . \tag{2.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by (2.5), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
&(A u)(t) \geq \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma)\right. \\
&\left.\times \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\}, \\
& \forall t \in J, \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
(A u)(t) \leq & \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma)\right. \\
& \times \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\}  \tag{2.16}\\
& +\int_{0}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad \forall t \in J .
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \int_{0}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{1-\gamma}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma) \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{2.17}
\end{align*}
$$

so, (2.16) and (2.17) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
(A u)(t) \leq & \left\{\frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}+\frac{1}{1-\gamma}\right\} \\
& \times\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma) \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\}, \quad \forall t \in J \tag{2.18}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.15) and (2.18) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A u)(t) \geq \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(\frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}+\frac{1}{1-\gamma}\right)^{-1}(A u)(s)=\beta^{-1}(1-\gamma)(A u)(s), \quad \forall t, s \in J \tag{2.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $A u \in Q$. That is, $A$ maps $\mathrm{BPC}[J, P]$ into $Q$.
Now, we are going to show that $A$ is continuous. Let $u_{n}, \bar{u} \in \mathrm{BPC}[J, P],\left\|u_{n}-\bar{u}\right\|_{B} \rightarrow$ $0(n \rightarrow \infty)$. Then $r=\sup _{n}\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{B}<\infty$ and $\|\bar{u}\|_{B} \leq r$. Similar to (2.14), it is easy to get

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|A u_{n}-A \bar{u}\right\|_{B} \\
& \qquad \begin{array}{l}
\leq \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\{ \\
\int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|f\left(s, u_{n}(s),\left(T u_{n}\right)(s),\left(S u_{n}\right)(s)\right)-f(s, \bar{u}(s),(T \bar{u})(s),(S \bar{u})(s)) d s\right\| \\
\\
\left.\quad+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|I_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-I_{k}\left(\bar{u}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|\right\} \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots) .
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
f\left(t, u_{n}(t),\left(T u_{n}\right)(t),\left(S u_{n}\right)(t)\right) \longrightarrow f(t, \bar{u}(t),(T \bar{u})(t),(S \bar{u})(t)) \quad \text { as } n \longrightarrow \infty, \forall t \in J \tag{2.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, we see from (2.8) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& \left\|f\left(t, u_{n}(t),\left(T u_{n}\right)(t),\left(S u_{n}\right)(t)\right)-f(t, \bar{u}(t),(T \bar{u})(t),(S \bar{u})(t))\right\|  \tag{2.22}\\
& \quad \leq 2 M_{r} a(t)=\sigma(t), \quad \forall t \in J \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots) ; \sigma \in L\left[J, R_{+}\right]
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.21), (2.22) and the dominated convergence theorem that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \int_{0}^{\infty}\left\|f\left(t, u_{n}(t),\left(T u_{n}\right)(t),\left(S u_{n}\right)(t)\right)-f(t, \bar{u}(t),(T \bar{u})(t),(S \bar{u})(t))\right\| d t=0 \tag{2.23}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for any $\epsilon>0$, we can choose a positive integer $j$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{r} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} \gamma_{k}<\epsilon \tag{2.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

And then, choose a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{j}\left\|I_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-I_{k}\left(\bar{u}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|<\epsilon, \quad \forall n>n_{0} \tag{2.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (2.24) and (2.25), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|I_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-I_{k}\left(\bar{u}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|<\epsilon+2 N_{r} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} r_{k}<3 \epsilon, \quad \forall n>n_{0} \tag{2.26}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty}\left\|I_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)-I_{k}\left(\bar{u}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\|=0 \tag{2.27}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.20), (2.23), and (2.51) that $\left\|A u_{n}-A \bar{u}\right\|_{B} \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, and the continuity of $A$ is proved.

Finally, we prove that $A$ is compact. Let $V=\left\{u_{n}\right\} \subset B P C[J, P]$ be bounded and $\left\|u_{n}\right\|_{B} \leq$ $r(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$. Consider $J_{i}=\left(t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right]$ for any fixed $i$. By (2.5) and (2.8), we have

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(A u_{n}\right)\left(t^{\prime}\right)-\left(A u_{n}\right)(t)\right\| & \leq \int_{t}^{t^{\prime}}\left\|f\left(s, u_{n}(s),\left(T u_{n}\right)(s),\left(S u_{n}\right)(s)\right)\right\| d s \\
& \leq M_{r} \int_{t}^{t^{\prime}} a(s) d s, \quad \forall t, t^{\prime} \in J_{i}, t^{\prime}>t(n=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{2.28}
\end{align*}
$$

which implies that the functions $\left\{w_{n}(t)\right\}(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ defined by

$$
w_{n}(t)=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\left(A u_{n}\right)(t), & \forall t \in J_{i}=\left(t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right],  \tag{2.29}\\
\left(A u_{n}\right)\left(t_{i-1}^{+}\right), & \forall t=t_{i-1}
\end{array} \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots)\right.
$$

$\left(\left(A u_{n}\right)\left(t_{i-1}^{+}\right)\right.$denotes the right limit of $\left(A u_{n}\right)(t)$ at $\left.t=t_{i-1}\right)$ are equicontinuous on $\bar{J}_{i}=\left[t_{i-1}, t_{i}\right]$. On the other hand, for any $\epsilon>0$, choose a sufficiently large $\tau>\eta$ and a sufficiently large positive integer $j>m$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} a(s) d s<\epsilon, \quad N_{r} \sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} r_{k}<\epsilon \tag{2.30}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have, by (2.29), (2.5), (2.8), (2.30), and condition $\left(\mathrm{H}_{3}\right)$,

$$
\begin{align*}
& w_{n}(t) \\
& \begin{aligned}
&=\frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\tau} f\left(s, u_{n}(s),\left(T u_{n}\right)(s),\left(S u_{n}\right)(s)\right) d s\right. \\
& \quad \int_{\tau}^{\infty} f\left(s, u_{n}(s),\left(T u_{n}\right)(s),\left(S u_{n}\right)(s) d s\right)+(1-\gamma) \\
& \quad \int_{0}^{\eta} f\left(s, u_{n}(s),\left(T u_{n}\right)(s),\left(S u_{n}\right)(s)\right) d s+\sum_{k=m}^{j} I_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+\sum_{k=j+1}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \\
&\left.+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\} \\
&+\int_{0}^{t} f\left(s, u_{n}(s),\left(T u_{n}\right)(s),\left(S u_{n}\right)(s)\right) d s \\
& \quad+\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} I_{k}\left(u_{n}\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad \forall t \in \bar{J}_{i}(n=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
& \\
&\left\|\int_{\tau}^{\infty} f\left(s, u_{n}(s),\left(T u_{n}\right)(s),\left(S u_{n}\right)(s)\right) d s\right\|<\epsilon \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
&
\end{aligned}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.31), (2.32), (2.33), (2.8), and [4, Theorem 1.2.3] that

$$
\begin{align*}
\alpha(W(t)) \leq \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\{ & 2 \int_{\eta}^{\tau} \alpha(f(s, V(s),(T V)(s),(S V)(s))) d s+2 \epsilon \\
& +2(1-\gamma) \int_{0}^{\eta} \alpha(f(s, V(s),(T V)(s),(S V)(s))) d s \\
& \left.+\sum_{k=m}^{j} \alpha\left(I_{k}\left(V\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)+2 \epsilon+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} \alpha\left(I_{k}\left(V\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)\right\}  \tag{2.34}\\
& +2 \int_{0}^{t} \alpha(f(s, V(s),(T V)(s),(S V)(s))) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{i-1} \alpha\left(I_{k}\left(V\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right), \quad \forall t \in \bar{J}_{i},
\end{align*}
$$

where $W(t)=\left\{w_{n}(t): n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}, V(s)=\left\{u_{n}(s): n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\},(T V)(s)=\left\{\left(T u_{n}\right)(s)\right.$ : $n=1,2,3, \ldots\},(S V)(s)=\left\{\left(S u_{n}\right)(s): n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$ and $\alpha(U)$ denotes the Kuratowski measure of noncompactness of bounded set $U \subset E$ (see [4, Section 1.2]). Since $V(s),(T V)(s),(S V)(s) \subset$ $P_{r^{*}}$ for $s \in J$, where $r^{*}=\max \left\{r, k^{*} r, h^{*} r\right\}$, we see that, by condition $\left(H_{4}\right)$,

$$
\begin{gather*}
\alpha(f(s, V(s),(T V)(s),(S V)(s)))=0, \quad \forall t \in J,  \tag{2.35}\\
\alpha\left(I_{k}\left(V\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right)=0 \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots) . \tag{2.36}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (2.34)-(2.36) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\alpha(W(t)) \leq \frac{4 \epsilon}{\beta+\gamma-1}, \quad \forall t \in \bar{J}_{i} \tag{2.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies by virtue of the arbitrariness of $\epsilon$ that $\alpha(W(t))=0$ for $t \in \bar{J}_{i}$.
By Ascoli-Arzela theorem (see [4, Theorem 1.2.5]), we conclude that $W=\left\{w_{n}\right.$ : $n=1,2,3, \ldots\}$ is relatively compact in $C\left[\bar{J}_{i}, E\right]$; hence, $\left\{w_{n}(t)\right\}$ has a subsequence which is convergent uniformly on $\bar{J}_{i}$, so, $\left\{\left(A u_{n}(t)\right\}\right.$ has a subsequence which is convergent uniformly on $J_{i}$. Since $i$ may be any positive integer, so, by diagonal method, we can choose a subsequence $\left\{\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)\right\}$ of $\left\{\left(A u_{n}\right)(t)\right\}$ such that $\left\{\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)\right\}$ is convergent uniformly on each $J_{k}(k=1,2,3, \ldots)$. Let

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lim _{i \rightarrow \infty}\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)=v(t), \quad \forall t \in J \tag{2.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

It is clear that $v \in \mathrm{PC}[J, P]$. By (2.14), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A u_{n_{i}}\right\|_{B} \leq \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(M_{r} a^{*}+N_{r} \gamma^{*}\right), \quad(i=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{2.39}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies that $v \in \mathrm{BPC}[J, P]$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v\|_{B} \leq \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(M_{r} a^{*}+N_{r} \gamma^{*}\right) \tag{2.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $\epsilon>0$ be arbitrarily given and choose a sufficiently large positive number $\tau$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{r} \int_{\tau}^{\infty} a(s) d s+N_{r} \sum_{t_{k} \geq \tau} \gamma_{k}<\epsilon . \tag{2.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

For any $\tau<t<\infty$, we have, by (2.5),

$$
\begin{align*}
\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)-\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(\tau)= & \int_{\tau}^{t} f\left(s, u_{n_{i}}(s),\left(T u_{n_{i}}\right)(s),\left(S u_{n_{i}}\right)(s)\right) d s  \tag{2.42}\\
& +\sum_{\tau \leq t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(u_{n_{i}}(t)\right), \quad(i=1,2,3, \ldots)
\end{align*}
$$

which implies by virtue of (2.8), condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ and (2.41) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)-\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(\tau)\right\| \leq M_{r} \int_{\tau}^{t} a(s) d s+N_{r} \sum_{\tau \leq t_{k}<t} \gamma_{k}<\epsilon, \quad(i=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{2.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

Letting $i \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.43), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|v(t)-v(\tau)\| \leq \epsilon, \quad \forall t>\tau \tag{2.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, since $\left\{\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)\right\}$ converges uniformly to $v(t)$ on $[0, \tau]$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, there exists a positive integer $i_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)-v(t)\right\|<\epsilon, \quad \forall t \in[0, \tau], i>i_{0} \tag{2.45}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (2.43)-(2.45) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)-v(t)\right\| & \leq\left\|\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(t)-\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(\tau)\right\|+\left\|\left(A u_{n_{i}}\right)(\tau)-v(\tau)\right\|+\|v(\tau)-v(t)\|  \tag{2.46}\\
& <3 \epsilon, \quad \forall t>\tau, i>i_{0}
\end{align*}
$$

By (2.45) and (2.46), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left\|A u_{n_{i}}-v\right\|_{B} \leq 3 \epsilon, \quad \forall i>i_{0} \tag{2.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

hence $\left\|A u_{n_{i}}-v\right\|_{B} \rightarrow 0$ as $i \rightarrow \infty$, and the compactness of $A$ is proved.

Lemma 2.4. Let conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{4}\right)$ be satisfied. Then $u \in B P C[J, P] \cap C^{1}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ is a solution of $\operatorname{IBVP}(1.5)$ if and only if $u \in Q$ is a solution of the following impulsive integral equation:

$$
\begin{align*}
u(t)= & \frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma)\right. \\
& \left.\times \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& +\int_{0}^{t} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad \forall t \in J . \tag{2.48}
\end{align*}
$$

that is, $u$ is a fixed point of operator $A$ defined by (2.5) in $Q$.
Proof. For $u \in \mathrm{PC}[J, E] \cap C^{1}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$, it is easy to get the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} u^{\prime}(s) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t}\left[u\left(t_{k}^{+}\right)-u\left(t_{k}\right)\right], \quad \forall t \in J . \tag{2.49}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $u \in \operatorname{BPC}[J, P] \cap C^{1}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ be a solution of $\operatorname{IBVP}(1.5)$. By (1.5) and (2.49), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t)=u(0)+\int_{0}^{t} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{0<t_{k}<t} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right), \quad \forall t \in J \tag{2.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

We have shown in the proof of Lemma 2.3 that the infinite integral (2.9) and the infinite series (2.11) are convergent, so, by taking limits as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in both sides of (2.50), we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\infty)=u(0)+\int_{0}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, by (1.5) and (2.50), we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
u(\infty)=\gamma u(\eta)+\beta u(0)  \tag{2.52}\\
u(\eta)=u(0)+\int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.53}
\end{gather*}
$$

It follows from (2.51)-(2.53) that

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(0)=\frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma) \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\} \tag{2.54}
\end{align*}
$$

and, substituting it into (2.50), we see that $u(t)$ satisfies (2.48), that is, $u=A u$. Since $A u \in Q$ by virtue of Lemma 2.3, we conclude that $u \in Q$.

Conversely, assume that $u \in Q$ is a solution of (2.48). We have, by (2.48),

$$
\begin{aligned}
& u(0)=\frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma) \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s\right. \\
&\left.+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(\eta)=\frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma)\right. \tag{2.55}
\end{equation*}
$$

$$
\left.\times \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
+\int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.56}
\end{equation*}
$$

Moreover, by taking limits as $t \rightarrow \infty$ in (2.33), we see that $u(\infty)$ exists and

$$
\begin{align*}
& u(\infty)=\frac{1}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\int_{\eta}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+(1-\gamma) \int_{0}^{\eta} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\sum_{k=m}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)+(1-\gamma) \sum_{k=1}^{m-1} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\} \\
& \quad+\int_{0}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \tag{2.57}
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (2.55)-(2.57) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma u(\eta)+\beta u(0)=u(\infty) \tag{2.58}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, direct differentiation of (2.48) gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
u^{\prime}(t)=f(t, u(t),(T u)(t),(S u)(t)), \quad \forall t \in J^{\prime} \tag{2.59}
\end{equation*}
$$

and, it is clear, by (2.48),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\Delta u\right|_{t=t_{k}}=I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right) \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots) . \tag{2.60}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, $u \in C^{1}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ and $u(t)$ satisfies (1.5).
Corollary 2.5. Let cone $P$ be normal. If $u$ is a fixed point of operator $A$ defined by (1.5) in $Q$ and $\|u\|_{B}>0$, then $u(t)>\theta$ for $t \in J$, so, $u$ is a positive solution of $\operatorname{IBVP}(1.5)$.

Proof. For $u \in Q$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
u(t) \geq \beta^{-1}(1-\gamma) u(s) \geq \theta, \quad \forall t, s \in J \tag{2.61}
\end{equation*}
$$

so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\| \geq N^{-1} \beta^{-1}(1-\gamma)\|u\|_{B}, \quad \forall t \in J \tag{2.62}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ denotes the normal constant of $P$. Since $\|u\|_{B}>0,(2.61)$ and (2.62) imply that $u(t)>\theta$ for $t \in J$.

Lemma 2.6 (Fixed point theorem of cone expansion and compression with norm type, see [3, Theorem 3] or [1, Theorem 2.3.4]). Let $P$ be a cone in real Banach space $E$ and $\Omega_{1}, \Omega_{2}$ two bounded open sets in $E$ such that $\theta \in \Omega_{1}, \bar{\Omega}_{1} \subset \Omega_{2}$, where $\theta$ denotes the zero element of $E$ and $\bar{\Omega}_{2}$ denotes the closure of $\Omega_{2}$. Let operator $A: P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right) \rightarrow P$ be completely continuous. Suppose that one of the following two conditions is satisfied:
(a)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A x\| \leq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1} ; \quad\|A x\| \geq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2} \tag{2.63}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\partial \Omega_{i}$ denotes the boundary of $\Omega_{i}(i=1,2)$.
(b)

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A x\| \geq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{1}, \quad\|A x\| \leq\|x\|, \quad \forall x \in P \cap \partial \Omega_{2} . \tag{2.64}
\end{equation*}
$$

Then $A$ has at least one fixed point in $P \cap\left(\bar{\Omega}_{2} \backslash \Omega_{1}\right)$.

## 3. Main Theorems

Let us list more conditions.
$\left(H_{5}\right)$ There exist $u_{0} \in P \backslash\{\theta\}, b \in C\left[J, R_{+}\right]$, and $\tau \in C\left[P, R_{+}\right]$such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(t, u, v, w) \geq b(t) \tau(u) u_{0}, \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P \\
\frac{\tau(u)}{\|u\|} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } u \in P,\|u\| \longrightarrow \infty  \tag{3.1}\\
b^{*}=\int_{0}^{\infty} b(t) d t<\infty
\end{gather*}
$$

Remark 3.1. Condition $\left(H_{5}\right)$ means that $f(t, u, v, w)$ is superlinear with respect to $u$.
$\left(H_{6}\right)$ There exist $u_{1} \in P \backslash\{\theta\}, c \in C\left[J, R_{+}\right]$, and $\sigma \in C\left[P, R_{+}\right]$such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
f(t, u, v, w) \geq c(t) \sigma(u) u_{1}, \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P, \\
\frac{\sigma(u)}{\|u\|} \longrightarrow \infty \quad \text { as } u \in P,\|u\| \longrightarrow 0,  \tag{3.2}\\
c^{*}=\int_{0}^{\infty} c(t) d t<\infty .
\end{gather*}
$$

Theorem 3.2. Let cone $P$ be normal and conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{6}\right)$ satisfied. Assume that there exists a $\xi>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{N(\beta+\gamma)}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(M_{\xi} a^{*}+N_{\xi} \gamma^{*}\right)<\xi \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{gather*}
M_{\xi}=\max \left\{g(x, y, z): 0 \leq x \leq \xi, 0 \leq y \leq k^{*} \xi, 0 \leq z \leq h^{*} \xi\right\},  \tag{3.4}\\
N_{\xi}=\max \{F(x): 0 \leq x \leq \xi\} .
\end{gather*}
$$

(for $g(x, y, z), F(x), a^{*}$ and $r^{*}$, see conditions $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ ). Then $\operatorname{IBVP}(1.5)$ has at least two positive solutions $u^{*}, u^{* *} \in Q \cap C^{1}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$ such that $0<\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{B}<\xi<\left\|u^{* *}\right\|_{B}$.

Proof. By Lemmas 2.3, 2.4, and Corollary 2.5, operator $A$ defined by (2.5) is completely continuous from $Q$ into $Q$, and we need to prove that $A$ has two fixed points $u^{*}$ and $u^{* *}$ in $Q$ such that $0<\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{B}<\xi<\left\|u^{* *}\right\|_{B}$.

By condition $\left(H_{5}\right)$, there exists an $r_{1}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau(u) \geq \frac{\beta(\beta+\gamma-1) N^{2}}{(1-\gamma)^{2} b^{*}\left\|u_{0}\right\|}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in P, \quad\|u\| \geq r_{1} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $N$ denotes the normal constant of $P$, so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, v, w) \geq \frac{\beta(\beta+\gamma-1) N^{2}\|u\|}{(1-\gamma)^{2} b^{*}\left\|u_{0}\right\|} b(t) u_{0}, \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P,\|u\| \geq r_{1} \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{2}>\max \left\{N \beta(1-\gamma)^{-1} r_{1}, \xi\right\} \tag{3.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in Q,\|u\|_{B}=r_{2}$; we have by (2.62) and (3.7),

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|u(t)\| \geq N^{-1} \beta^{-1}(1-\gamma)\|u\|_{B}=N^{-1} \beta^{-1}(1-\gamma) r_{2}>r_{1}, \quad \forall t \in J, \tag{3.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, (2.5), (3.8), (3.6), and (2.62) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
(A u)(t) & \geq \frac{1-\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\beta N^{2}}{(1-\gamma) b^{*}\left\|u_{0}\right\|}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\|u(s)\| b(s) d s\right) u_{0}  \tag{3.9}\\
& \geq \frac{N\|u\|_{B}}{b^{*}\left\|u_{0}\right\|}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} b(s) d s\right) u_{0}=\frac{N\|u\|_{B}}{\left\|u_{0}\right\|} u_{0}, \quad \forall t \in J,
\end{align*}
$$

and consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A u\|_{B} \geq\|u\|_{B}, \quad \forall u \in Q,\|u\|_{B}=r_{2} . \tag{3.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Similarly, by condition $\left(H_{6}\right)$, there exists $r_{3}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma(u) \geq \frac{\beta(\beta+\gamma-1) N^{2}}{(1-\gamma)^{2} c^{*}\left\|u_{1}\right\|}\|u\|, \quad \forall u \in P, 0<\|u\|<r_{3} \tag{3.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

so,

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(t, u, v, w) \geq \frac{\beta(\beta+\gamma-1) N^{2}\|u\|}{(1-\gamma)^{2} c^{*}\left\|u_{1}\right\|} c(t) u_{1}, \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P, 0<\|u\|<r_{3} . \tag{3.12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r_{4}<\min \left\{r_{3}, \xi\right\} . \tag{3.13}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in Q,\|u\|_{B}=r_{4}$, we have by (3.13) and (2.62),

$$
\begin{equation*}
r_{3}>\|u(t)\| \geq N^{-1} \beta^{-1}(1-\gamma)\|u\|_{B}=N^{-1} \beta^{-1}(1-\gamma) r_{4}>0, \tag{3.14}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, similar to (3.9), we get by (2.5), (3.12), and (3.14)

$$
\begin{align*}
(A u)(t) & \geq \frac{1-\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s\right) \\
& \geq \frac{\beta N^{2}}{(1-\gamma) c^{*}\left\|u_{1}\right\|}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty}\|u(s)\| c(s) d s\right) u_{1}  \tag{3.15}\\
& \geq \frac{N\|u\|_{B}}{c^{*}\left\|u_{1}\right\|}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} c(s) d s\right) u_{1}=\frac{N\|u\|_{B}}{\left\|u_{1}\right\|} u_{1}, \quad \forall t \in J
\end{align*}
$$

hence

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A u\|_{B} \geq\|u\|_{B}, \quad \forall u \in Q, \quad\|u\|=r_{4} . \tag{3.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, for $u \in Q,\|u\|_{B}=\xi$, by condition $\left(H_{2}\right)$, condition $\left(H_{3}\right),(3.4)$, we have

$$
\begin{gather*}
\|f(t, u(t),(T u)(t),(S u)(t))\| \leq M_{\xi} a(t), \quad \forall t \in J,  \tag{3.17}\\
\left\|I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right\| \leq N_{\xi} \gamma_{k} \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{3.18}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is clear that

$$
\begin{equation*}
(A u)(t) \leq \frac{\beta+\gamma}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} f(s, u(s),(T u)(s),(S u)(s)) d s+\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} I_{k}\left(u\left(t_{k}\right)\right)\right) \quad \forall t \in J \tag{3.19}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.17)-(3.19) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A u\|_{B} \leq \frac{N(\beta+\gamma)}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left(M_{\xi} a^{*}+N_{\xi} \gamma^{*}\right) \tag{3.20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Thus, (3.20) and (3.3) imply

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A u\|_{B}<\|u\|_{B}, \quad \forall u \in Q, \quad\|u\|_{B}=\xi . \tag{3.21}
\end{equation*}
$$

From (3.7) and (3.13), we know $0<r_{4}<\xi<r_{2}$; hence, (3.10), (3.16), (3.21), and Lemma 2.6 imply that $A$ has two fixed points $u^{*}, u^{* *} \in Q$ such that $r_{4}<\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{B}<\xi<\left\|u^{* *}\right\|_{B}<r_{2}$. The proof is complete.

Theorem 3.3. Let cone $P$ be normal and conditions $\left(H_{1}\right)-\left(H_{5}\right)$ satisfied. Assume that

$$
\begin{gather*}
\frac{g(x, y, z)}{x+y+z} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x+y+z \longrightarrow 0^{+}  \tag{3.22}\\
\frac{F(x)}{x} \longrightarrow 0 \quad \text { as } x \longrightarrow 0^{+}
\end{gather*}
$$

(for $g(x, y, z)$ and $F(x)$, see conditions $\left(H_{2}\right)$ and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ ). Then $\operatorname{IBVP}(1.5)$ has at least one positive solution $u^{*} \in Q \cap C^{1}\left[J^{\prime}, E\right]$.

Proof. As in the proof of Theorem 3.2, we can choose $r_{2}>0$ such that (3.10) holds (in this case, we only choose $r_{2}>N \beta(1-\gamma)^{-1} r_{1}$ instead of (3.7)). On the other hand, by (3.22), there exists $r_{5}>0$ such that

$$
\begin{gather*}
g(x, y, z) \leq \epsilon_{0}(x+y+z), \quad \forall 0<x+y+z<r_{5}  \tag{3.23}\\
F(x) \leq \epsilon_{0} x, \quad \forall 0<x<r_{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\epsilon_{0}=\frac{\beta+\gamma-1}{N(\beta+\gamma)\left[\left(1+k^{*}+h^{*}\right) a^{*}+\gamma^{*}\right]} \tag{3.24}
\end{equation*}
$$

Choose

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<r_{6}<\min \left\{\frac{r_{5}}{1+k^{*}+h^{*}}, r_{2}\right\} \tag{3.25}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $u \in Q,\|u\|_{B}=r_{6}$, we have by (2.62) and (3.25),

$$
\begin{gather*}
0<N^{-1} \beta^{-1}(1-\gamma) r_{6} \leq\|u(t)\| \leq r_{6}<r_{5}, \quad \forall t \in J \\
0<N^{-1} \beta^{-1}(1-\gamma) r_{6} \leq\|u(t)\|+\|(T u)(t)\|+\|(S u)(t)\| \leq\left(1+k^{*}+h^{*}\right) r_{6}<r_{5}, \quad \forall t \in J, \tag{3.26}
\end{gather*}
$$

so, (3.23) imply

$$
\begin{align*}
g(\|u(t)\|,\|(T u)(t)\|,\|(S u)(t)\|) & \leq \epsilon_{0}(\|u(t)\|+\|(T u)(t)\|+\|(S u)(t)\|) \\
& \leq \epsilon_{0}\left(1+k^{*}+h^{*}\right) r_{6}, \quad \forall t \in J,  \tag{3.27}\\
F\left(\left\|u\left(t_{k}\right)\right\|\right) & \leq \epsilon_{0}\left\|u\left(t_{k}\right)\right\| \leq \epsilon_{0} r_{6}, \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots) .
\end{align*}
$$

It follows from (3.19), condition $\left(H_{2}\right)$, condition $\left(H_{3}\right),(3.27)$, and (3.24) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\|(A u)(t)\| & \leq \frac{N(\beta+\gamma)}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\epsilon_{0}\left(1+k^{*}+h^{*}\right) r_{6} \int_{0}^{\infty} a(s) d s+\epsilon_{0} r_{6} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} r_{k}\right\}  \tag{3.28}\\
& =\frac{N(\beta+\gamma) \epsilon_{0} r_{6}}{\beta+\gamma-1}\left\{\left(1+k^{*}+h^{*}\right) a^{*}+\gamma^{*}\right\}=r_{6}, \quad \forall t \in J
\end{align*}
$$

and consequently,

$$
\begin{equation*}
\|A u\|_{B} \leq\|u\|_{B}, \quad \forall u \in Q,\|u\|_{B}=r_{6} \tag{3.29}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $0<r_{6}<r_{2}$ by virtue of (3.25), we conclude from (3.10), (3.29), and Lemma 2.6 that $A$ has a fixed point $u^{*} \in Q$ such that $r_{6} \leq\left\|u^{*}\right\|_{B} \leq r_{2}$. The theorem is proved.

Example 3.4. Consider the infinite system of scalar first-order impulsive integrodifferential equations of mixed type on the half line:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
u_{n}^{\prime}(t)= \\
\frac{1}{8 n^{2}} e^{-5 t}\left(\left[u_{n+1}(t)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m}(t)\right]^{2}+\sqrt{3 u_{2 n}(t)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m}(t)}\right) \\
+\frac{1}{9 n^{3}} e^{-6 t}\left\{\left(\int_{0}^{t} e^{-(t+1) s} u_{n}(s) d s\right)^{2}+\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} \frac{u_{n+2}(s) d s}{(1+t+s)^{2}}\right)^{3}\right\}, \\
\forall 0 \leq t<\infty, t \neq k(k=1,2,3, \ldots ; n=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
\left.\Delta u_{n}\right|_{t=k}=\frac{1}{6 n^{2}} 3^{-k}\left(\left[u_{n}(k)\right]^{2}+\left[u_{n+2}(k)\right]^{2}\right), \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots ; n=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
u(\infty)=\frac{1}{2} u_{n}\left(\frac{9}{2}\right)+6 u_{n}(0), \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots) .
\end{array} .
\end{align*}
$$

Evidently, $u_{n}(t) \equiv 0 \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ is the trivial solution of infinite system (3.30).
Conclusion. Infinite system (3.30) has at least two positive solutions $\left\{u_{n}^{*}(t)\right\}$ ( $n=1,2,3, \ldots$ ) and $\left\{u_{n}^{* *}(t)\right\}(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0<\inf _{0 \leq t<\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}^{*}(t) \leq \sup _{0 \leq t<\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}^{*}(t)<1<\sup _{0 \leq t<\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}^{* *}(t), \quad \inf _{0 \leq t<\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}^{* *}(t)>0 \tag{3.31}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $E=l^{1}=\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \ldots\right): \sum_{n=1} \infty\left|u_{n}\right|<\infty\right\}$ with norm $\|u\|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|u_{n}\right|$ and $\left.P=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \ldots\right): u_{n} \geq 0, n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$. Then $P$ is a normal cone in $E$ with normal constant $N=1$, and infinite system (3.30) can be regarded as an infinite three-point boundary value problem of form (1.5). In this situation, $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \ldots\right), v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, \ldots\right)$,

$$
w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}, \ldots\right), t_{k}=k(k=1,2,3, \ldots), K(t, s)=e^{-(t+1) s}, H(t, s)=(1+t+s)^{-2}, \eta=9 / 2
$$ $\gamma=1 / 2, \beta=6, f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, \ldots\right)$, and $I_{k}=\left(I_{k 1}, \ldots, I_{k n} \ldots\right)$, in which

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{n}(t, u, v, w)=\frac{1}{8 n^{2}} e^{-5 t}\left(\left[u_{n+1}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m}\right]^{2}+\sqrt{3 u_{2 n}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m}}\right)+\frac{1}{9 n^{3}} e^{-6 t}\left(v_{n}^{2}+w_{n+2}^{3}\right),  \tag{3.32}\\
\forall t \in J=[0, \infty), u, v, w \in P(n=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
I_{k n}(u)=\frac{1}{6 n^{2}} 3^{-k}\left(u_{n}^{2}+u_{2 n+1}^{2}\right), \quad \forall u \in P(k=1,2,3, \ldots ; n=1,2,3, \ldots) . \tag{3.33}
\end{gather*}
$$

It is easy to see that $f \in C[J \times P \times P \times P, P], I_{k} \in C[P, P](k=1,2,3, \ldots)$, and condition $\left(H_{1}\right)$ is satisfied and $k^{*} \leq 1, \quad h^{*} \leq 1$. We have, by (3.32),

$$
\begin{align*}
0 & \leq f_{n}(t, u, v, w) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{8 n^{2}} e^{-5 t}\left([2\|u\|]^{2}+\sqrt{4\|u\|}\right)+\frac{1}{9 n^{3}} e^{-6 t}\left(\|v\|^{2}+\|w\|^{3}\right) \\
& \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} e^{-5 t}\left(\frac{1}{2}\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{\|u\|}+\frac{1}{9}\|v\|^{2}+\frac{1}{9}\|w\|^{3}\right), \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots), \tag{3.34}
\end{align*}
$$

so, observing the inequality $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left(1 / n^{2}\right)<2$, we get

$$
\begin{array}{r}
\|f(t, u, v, w)\|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} f_{n}(t, u, v, w) \leq e^{-5 t}\left(\|u\|^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{\|u\|}+\frac{2}{9}\|v\|^{2}+\frac{2}{9}\|w\|^{3}\right)  \tag{3.35}\\
\forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P
\end{array}
$$

which implies that condition $\left(H_{2}\right)$ is satisfied for $a(t)=e^{-5 t}\left({ }^{*}=1 / 5\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y, z)=x^{2}+\frac{1}{2} \sqrt{x}+\frac{2}{9} y^{2}+\frac{2}{9} z^{3} \tag{3.36}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.33), we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq I_{k n}(u) \leq \frac{1}{6 n^{2}} 3^{-k}\|u\|^{2}, \quad \forall u \in P(k=1,2,3, \ldots ; n=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{3.37}
\end{equation*}
$$

so, condition $\left(H_{3}\right)$ is satisfied for $\gamma_{k}=3^{-k-1}\left(\gamma^{*}=1 / 6\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=x^{2} \tag{3.38}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand, (3.32) implies

$$
\begin{align*}
& f_{n}(t, u, v, w) \geq \frac{1}{8 n^{2}} e^{-5 t}\|u\|^{2}, \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P(n=1,2,3, \ldots),  \tag{3.39}\\
& f_{n}(t, u, v, w) \geq \frac{1}{8 n^{2}} e^{-5 t} \sqrt{\|u\|}, \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P(n=1,2,3, \ldots),
\end{align*}
$$

so, we see that condition $\left(H_{5}\right)$ is satisfied for $b(t)=(1 / 8) e^{-5 t}\left(b^{*}=1 / 40\right), \tau(u)=\|u\|^{2}$ and $u_{0}=$ $\left(1, \ldots, 1 / n^{2}, \ldots\right)$, and condition $\left(H_{6}\right)$ is satisfied for $c(t)=(1 / 8) e^{-5 t}\left(c^{*}=1 / 40\right), \sigma(u)=\sqrt{\|u\|}$, and let $u_{1}=\left(1, \ldots, 1 / n^{2}, \ldots\right)$. Now, we check that condition $\left(H_{4}\right)$ is satisfied. Let $t \in J$ and $r>0$ be fixed, and $\left\{z^{(m)}\right\}$ be any sequence in $f\left(t, P_{r}, P_{r}, P_{r}\right)$, where $z^{(m)}=\left(z_{1}^{(m)}, \ldots, z_{n}^{(m)}, \ldots\right)$. Then, we have, by (3.34),

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq z_{n}^{(m)} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{11}{18} r^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{r}+\frac{1}{9} r^{3}\right), \quad(n, m=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{3.40}
\end{equation*}
$$

So, $\left\{z_{n}^{(m)}\right\}$ is bounded, and, by diagonal method, we can choose a subsequence $\left\{m_{i}\right\} \subset\{m\}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
z^{\left(m_{i}\right)} \longrightarrow \bar{z}_{n} \quad \text { as } i \longrightarrow \infty \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots), \tag{3.41}
\end{equation*}
$$

which implies by virtue of (3.40) that

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \leq \bar{z}_{n} \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}}\left(\frac{11}{18} r^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{r}+\frac{1}{9} r^{3}\right), \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{3.42}
\end{equation*}
$$

Consequently, $\bar{z}=\left(\bar{z}_{1}, \ldots, \bar{z}_{n}, \ldots\right) \in l^{1}=E$. Let $\epsilon>0$ be given. Choose a positive integer $n_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{n^{2}}\right)\left(\frac{11}{18} r^{2}+\frac{1}{4} \sqrt{r}+\frac{1}{9} r^{3}\right)<\frac{\epsilon}{3} \tag{3.43}
\end{equation*}
$$

By (3.41), we see that there exists a positive integer $i_{0}$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z_{n}^{\left(m_{i}\right)}-\bar{z}_{n}\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{3 n_{0}}, \quad \forall i>i_{0}\left(n=1,2, \ldots, n_{0}\right) . \tag{3.44}
\end{equation*}
$$

It follows from (3.40)-(3.44) that

$$
\begin{align*}
\left\|z^{\left(m_{i}\right)}-\bar{z}\right\|= & \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|z_{n}^{\left(m_{i}\right)}-\bar{z}_{n}\right| \leq \sum_{n=1}^{n_{0}}\left|z_{n}^{\left(m_{i}\right)}-\bar{z}_{n}\right|+\sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left|z_{n}^{\left(m_{i}\right)}\right| \\
& +\sum_{n=n_{0}+1}^{\infty}\left|\bar{z}_{n}\right|<\frac{\epsilon}{3}+\frac{\epsilon}{3}+\frac{\epsilon}{3}=\epsilon, \quad \forall i>i_{0} \tag{3.45}
\end{align*}
$$

Thus, we have proved that $f\left(t, P_{r}, P_{r}, P_{r}\right)$ is relatively compact in $E$. Similarly, by using (3.37), we can prove that $I_{k}\left(P_{r}\right)$ is relatively compact in $E$. Hence, condition $\left(H_{4}\right)$ is satisfied. Finally, it is easy to check that inequality (3.3) is satisfied for $\xi=1$ (in this case, $M_{\xi} \leq 17 / 36$ and $N_{\xi}=1$ ). Hence, our conclusion follows from Theorem 3.2.

Example 3.5. Consider the infinite system of scalar first-order impulsive integrodifferential equations of mixed type on the half line:

$$
\begin{align*}
& \begin{array}{l}
u_{n}^{\prime}(t)=\frac{1}{n^{3}(1+t)^{3}}\left(u_{n}(t)+2 u_{n+1}(t)+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m}(t)\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{n^{4}(1+t)^{4}}\left(\int_{0}^{t} \frac{u_{2 n}(s) d s}{1+t s+s^{2}}\right)^{4} \\
+\frac{1}{n^{5}(1+t)^{5}}\left(\int_{0}^{\infty} e^{-s} \sin ^{2}(t-s) u_{3 n}(s) d s\right)^{5} \\
\forall 0 \leq t<\infty, t \neq 2 k(k=1,2,3, \ldots ; n=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
\left.\Delta u_{n}\right|_{t=2 k}=\frac{1}{n^{2}} e^{-k}\left[u_{n}(2 k)\right]^{3}+\frac{1}{n^{3}} 2^{-k}\left[u_{2 n+1}(2 k)\right]^{4}, \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots ; n=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
4 u_{n}(\infty)=3 u_{n}(7)+2 u_{n}(0), \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots) .
\end{array}
\end{align*}
$$

Evidently, $u_{n}(t) \equiv 0 \quad(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ is the trivial solution of infinite system (3.46).
Conclusion. Infinite system (3.46) has at least one positive solution $\left\{u_{n}^{*}(t)\right\}(n=1,2,3, \ldots)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\inf _{0 \leq t<\infty} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} u_{n}^{*}(t)>0 \tag{3.47}
\end{equation*}
$$

Proof. Let $E=l^{1}=\left(\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \ldots\right): \sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|u_{n}\right|<\infty\right\}\right.$ with norm $\|u\|=\sum_{n=1}^{\infty}\left|u_{n}\right|$ and $P=$ $\left\{u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \ldots\right) \in l^{1}: u_{n} \geq 0, n=1,2,3, \ldots\right\}$. Then $P$ is a normal cone in $E$ with normal constant $N=1$, and infinite system (3.46) can be regarded as an infinite three-point boundary value problem of form (1.5) in $E$. In this situation, $u=\left(u_{1}, \ldots, u_{n}, \ldots\right), v=\left(v_{1}, \ldots, v_{n}, \ldots\right)$, $w=\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}, \ldots\right), t_{k}=2 k(k=1,2,3, \ldots), K(t, s)=\left(1+t s+s^{2}\right)^{-1}, H(t, s)=e^{-s} \sin ^{2}(t-s)$, $\eta=7, \gamma=3 / 4, \beta=1 / 2, f=\left(f_{1}, \ldots, f_{n}, \ldots\right)$, and $I_{k}=\left(I_{k 1}, \ldots, I_{k n}, \ldots\right)$, in which

$$
\begin{gather*}
f_{n}(t, u, v, w)=\frac{1}{n^{3}}(1+t)^{-3}\left(u_{n}+2 u_{n+1}+\sum_{m=1}^{\infty} u_{m}\right)^{3}+\frac{1}{n^{4}}(1+t)^{-4} v_{2 n}^{4}+\frac{1}{n^{5}}(1+t)^{-5} w_{3 n^{\prime}}^{5} \\
\forall t \in J=[0, \infty), u, v, w \in P(n=1,2,3, \ldots),  \tag{3.48}\\
I_{k n}(u)=\frac{1}{n^{2}} e^{-k} u_{n}^{3}+\frac{1}{n^{3}} 2^{-k} u_{2 n+1}^{4}, \quad(k=1,2,3, \ldots ; n=1,2,3, \ldots)
\end{gather*}
$$

It is clear that $f \in C[J \times P \times P \times P, P], I_{k} \in C[P, P](k=1,2,3, \ldots)$, and condition $\left(H_{1}\right)$ is satisfied and $k^{*} \leq \pi / 2, h^{*} \leq 1$. We have

$$
\begin{gather*}
0 \leq f_{n}(t, u, v, w) \leq \frac{1}{n^{3}}(1+t)^{-3}\left((3\|u\|)^{3}+\|v\|^{4}+\|w\|^{5}\right), \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P(n=1,2,3, \ldots), \\
0 \leq I_{k n}(u) \leq \frac{1}{n^{2}} 2^{-k}\left(\|u\|^{3}+\|u\|^{4}\right), \quad \forall u \in P(k=1,2,3, \ldots, n=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{3.49}
\end{gather*}
$$

so, condition $\left(H_{2}\right)$ is satisfied for $a(t)=(1+t)^{-3}\left(a^{*}=(1 / 2)\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
g(x, y, z)=54 x^{3}+2 y^{4}+2 z^{5} \tag{3.50}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $\left(H_{3}\right)$ is satisfied for $\gamma_{k}=2^{-k}\left(\gamma^{*}=1\right)$ and

$$
\begin{equation*}
F(x)=2 x^{3}+2 x^{4} \tag{3.51}
\end{equation*}
$$

From

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{n}(t, u, v, w) \geq \frac{1}{n^{3}}(1+t)^{-3}\|u\|^{3}, \quad \forall t \in J, u, v, w \in P(n=1,2,3, \ldots) \tag{3.52}
\end{equation*}
$$

we see that condition $\left(H_{5}\right)$ is satisfied for $b(t)=(1+t)^{-3}\left(b^{*}=1 / 2\right), \quad \tau(u)=\|u\|^{3}$, and $u_{0}=\left(1, \ldots, 1 / n^{3}, \ldots\right)$. Moreover, it is clear that (3.22) are satisfied. Similar to the discussion in Example 3.4, we can prove that $f\left(t, P_{r}, P_{r}, P_{r}\right)$ and $I_{k}\left(P_{r}\right)$ (for fixed $t \in J$ and $r>0$; $k=1,2,3, \ldots$ ) are relatively compact in $E=l^{1}$; so, condition $\left(H_{4}\right)$ is satisfied. Hence, our conclusion follows from Theorem 3.3.
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