## MATRICES WITH PRESCRIBED CHARACTERISTIC POLYNOMIAL AND A PRESCRIBED SUBMATRIX

GRACIANO N. DE OLIVEIRA

Let A be an arbitrary (complex)  $n \times n$  matrix and let  $f(\lambda)$  be a polynomial (with complex coefficients) of degree n+1 with leading coefficient  $(-1)^{n+1}$ . In this paper we solve the problem: under what conditions does there exist an  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  (complex) matrix B of which A is the submatrix standing in the top left-hand corner and such that  $f(\lambda)$  is its characteristic polynomial?

In [1] Farahat and Ledermann proved that if A is a nonderogatory matrix over a field  $\Phi$  and  $f(\lambda)$  is a monic polynomial over  $\Phi$ , then there exists an  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  matrix B over  $\Phi$  with A standing in its top left-hand corner and such that  $f(\lambda) = \det (\lambda E_{n+1} - B)$ . Now, our main results are:

THEOREM 1. Let A be an  $n \times n$  complex matrix whose distinct characteristic roots are  $w_{\alpha} (\alpha = 1, \dots, t)$ . Let us suppose that in the Jordan normal form of A,  $w_{\alpha}$  appears in  $r_{\alpha}$  distinct diagonal blocks of orders  $v_1^{(\alpha)}, \dots, v_{r_{\alpha}}^{(\alpha)}$  respectively. We assume that

$$v_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}^{\scriptscriptstyle(lpha)} \leqq \cdots \leqq v_{r_{lpha}}^{\scriptscriptstyle(lpha)}$$
 .

Let  $\theta_{\alpha} = \sum_{j=1}^{r_{\alpha}-1} v_{j}^{(\alpha)}$ . There exists an  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  complex matrix B having A in the top left-hand corner and with  $f(\lambda)$  as characteristic polynomial (i.e.,  $f(\lambda) = \det (B - \lambda E_{n+1})$ ) if and only if  $f(\lambda)$  is divisible by  $\prod_{\alpha=1}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda)^{\theta_{\alpha}}$ .

THEOREM 2. Let A be a real  $n \times n$  symmetric matrix whose distinct characteristic roots are  $w_{\alpha} (\alpha = 1, \dots, t)$ . Let  $r_{\alpha}$  be the multiplicity of  $w_{\alpha}$ . There exists a real  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  symmetric matrix B having A in the top left-hand corner and with  $f(\lambda)$  (now with real coefficients) as characteristic polynomial if and only if

(a) 
$$f(\lambda)$$
 is divisible by  $\prod_{\alpha=1}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda)^{r_{\alpha}-1}$ 

and

(b) 
$$\left[\frac{f(\lambda)}{(w_{\beta}-\lambda)^{r_{\beta}-1}}\right]_{\lambda=\lambda_{\beta}}$$
,  $\prod_{\substack{\alpha=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta}}^{t} (w_{\alpha}-w_{\beta})^{r_{\alpha}} (\beta=1, \cdots, t)$ 

is real and nonpositive.

REMARK. There is no difficulty in seeing that the conditions (a)

and (b) imposed on  $f(\lambda)$  are equivalent to the following:  $f(\lambda)$  has only real roots wich are interlaced by the *n* characteristic roots of *A*.

## 2. We start with the following

LEMMA. Let A be any  $n \times n$  complex matrix with normal Jordan form J. In order that the matrix B referred in Theorem 1 exists, it is necessary and sufficient that there should exist a column  $X_1$  (with n elements), a row  $Y_1$  (with n elements) and a number  $q_1$  such that

$$egin{bmatrix} J & X_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \ Y_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} & q_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \end{bmatrix}$$

has  $f(\lambda)$  as characteristic polynomial.

*Proof.* Let T be an  $n \times n$  nonsingular matrix such that  $TAT^{-1} = J$ . Suppose B exists and is given by

$$B = egin{bmatrix} A & X \ Y & q \end{bmatrix}$$
 .

Let

$$S = \begin{bmatrix} T & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{bmatrix}.$$

We have

$$SBS^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} = egin{bmatrix} J & TX \ YT^{\scriptscriptstyle -1} & q \end{bmatrix} egin{bmatrix}$$

and so we can take  $Y_1 = YT^{-1}$ ,  $X_1 = TX$  and  $q_1 = q$ .

The converse is easily proved in a similar way.

Our next step is to deduce the characteristic polynomial of the matrix:

(2.1) 
$$C_i = \begin{bmatrix} J_i & 0 & \cdots & 0 & X_i \\ 0 & J_{i+1} & \cdots & 0 & X_{i+1} \\ \cdot & \cdot & \cdots & \cdot & \cdot \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & J_m & X_m \\ Y_i & Y_{i+1} & \cdots & Y_m & q \end{bmatrix}$$

where, with obvious notation,

655

and q is a complex number.

We expand det  $(C_i - \lambda E_i)$  (where  $E_i$  is the identity matrix of the same order as  $C_i$ ) by Laplace Theorem in terms of its first  $s_i$  rows. In order to do this let us find all the nonzero minors contained in these rows. They are:  $J_i \lambda E^{(i)} (E^{(i)})$  denotes the identity matrix of the same order as  $J_i$ ) and the  $s_i$  minors formed with  $s_i - 1$  columns of  $J_i - \lambda E^{(i)}$  and the column  $X_i$ . These  $s_i$  minors are given by

We have

$$H_
ho=(-1)^{s_i-
ho}\,(\lambda_i\,-\,\lambda)^{
ho-1}\,P_
ho$$

with

$$P_{
ho} = egin{pmatrix} x^i_{
ho} & 1 & 0 & \cdots & 0 \ x^i_{
ho+1} & \lambda_i - \lambda & 1 & \cdots & 0 \ x^i_{
ho+2} & 0 & \lambda_i - \lambda & \cdots & 0 \ \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ x^i_{s_i} & 0 & 0 & \cdots & \lambda_i - \lambda \end{bmatrix}$$

Expanding  $P_{\rho}$  in terms of the first row we get

$$P_
ho=x^i_
ho(\lambda_i-\lambda)^{s_i-
ho}-P_{
ho+1}$$

and by induction it can be easily seen that

$$P_{
ho} = \sum\limits_{ au=0}^{s_i - 
ho} (-1)^{ au} x^i_{
ho+ au} (\lambda_i - \lambda)^{s_i - 
ho - au};$$

so we can write

$$H_{
ho}=\sum\limits_{ au=0}^{s_{i}-
ho}(-1)^{ au+s_{i}-
ho}x^{i}_{
ho+ au}(\lambda_{i}-\lambda)^{s_{i}- au-1}$$
 .

Let us now calculate the complementary minor  $\tilde{H}_{\rho}$  of  $H_{\rho}$  in  $C_i - \lambda E_i$ . There is no difficulty in seeing that

We have

$$\widetilde{H}_{
ho}=(-1)^{\sigma}y_{
ho}^{i}\prod_{j=i+1}^{m}(\lambda_{j}-\lambda)^{s_{j}}$$
 ,

with

$$\sigma = \sum_{k=i+1}^m s_k$$
 .

Bearing in mind that  $H_{\rho}$  was formed from the rows  $1, \dots, s_i$  and columns  $1, \dots, \rho - 1, \rho + 1, \dots, s_i, \sum_{k=i}^{m} s_k + 1$ , we have

$$\det \left(C_i - \lambda E_i
ight) = \sum\limits_{
ho=1}^{s_i} \sum\limits_{ au=0}^{s_i-
ho} (-1)^{ au+1} \mathcal{Y}^i_{
ho} x^i_{
ho+ au} (\lambda_i - \lambda)^{s_i- au-1} \prod\limits_{j=i+1}^m (\lambda_j - \lambda)^{s_j} \ + \det \left(J_i - \lambda E^{(i)}
ight) \det \left[ \operatorname{comp} \left(J_i - \lambda E^{(i)}
ight) 
ight],$$

where the symbol comp  $(J_i - \lambda E^{(i)})$  means the complementary minor of  $J_i - \lambda E^{(i)}$  in the matrix  $C_i - \lambda E_i$ . Interchanging the order of the first two sums, noting that det  $(J_i - \lambda E^{(i)}) = (\lambda_i - \lambda)^{s_i}$  and that comp  $(J_i - \lambda E^{(i)}) = \det (C_{i+1} - \lambda E_{i+1})$  we get

$$\begin{split} \det\left(C_i-\lambda E_i\right) &= \sum_{\tau=0}^{s_i-1}\sum_{\rho=1}^{s_i-\tau} (-1)^{\tau+1} y_{\rho}^i x_{\rho+\tau}^i (\lambda_i-\lambda)^{s_i-\tau-1} \prod_{j=i+1}^m (\lambda_j-\lambda)^{s_j} \\ &+ (\lambda_i-\lambda)^{s_i} \det\left(C_{i+1}-\lambda E_{i+1}\right) \,. \end{split}$$

Putting here successively  $i = 1, 2, \dots, m$  and writing for the sake of simplicity

(2.3) 
$$b_{k\mu} = \sum_{\rho=1}^{\mu+1} (-1)^{s_k-\mu} y_{\rho}^k x_{\rho+s_k-1-\mu}^k \qquad (\mu = 0, \dots, s_k - 1) ,$$

we get after some manipulation

(2.4) 
$$\det \left(C_1 - \lambda E_1\right) = \sum_{k=1}^m \left\{ \left[\sum_{\mu=0}^{s_k-1} b_{k\mu} (\lambda_k - \lambda)^{\mu} \right] \left[\prod_{\substack{j=1\\j \neq k}}^m (\lambda_j - \lambda)^{s_j} \right] \right\} \\ + (q - \lambda) \prod_{j=1}^m (\lambda_j - \lambda)^{s_j} .$$

We are now ready for the proof of Theorem 1. Because of the lemma it is sufficient to prove the theorem assuming that A is in the Jordan normal form  $J = \text{diag}(J_1, \dots, J_m)$  with  $J_j$   $(j = 1, \dots, m)$  given by (2.2). So what we have to do is to find out under what conditions it is possible to find columns  $X_1, \dots, X_m$ , rows  $Y_1, \dots, Y_m$  and a number q such that the characteristic polynomial (2.4) of the matrix  $C_1$  be  $f(\lambda)$ .

As in the Jordan normal form the order in which the diagonal blocks occur is arbitrary, we can suppose without loss of generality that

$$egin{array}{lll} \lambda_1 &= \cdots &= \lambda_{u_1} & (=w_1) \ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ \lambda_{u_{lpha-1}+1} &= \cdots &= \lambda_{u_lpha} & (=w_lpha) \ & & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots \ \lambda_{u_{t-1}+1} &= \cdots &= \lambda_{u_t} & (=w_t) \ & & & & & (u_lpha) &= \sum_{eta=1}^{lpha} r_eta; r_eta & ext{defined in Theorem 1} \end{array}$$

with  $w_{\alpha} \neq w_{\beta}$  if  $\alpha \neq \beta$ . With this notation, in J the characteristic root  $w_{\alpha}$  appears in the diagonal blocks  $J_{u_{\alpha-1}+1} \cdots, J_{u_{\alpha}}$  which are of orders  $s_{u_{\alpha-1}+1} \cdots, s_{u_{\alpha}}$  respectively. We will assume that

$$s_{u_{\alpha-1}+1} \leq \cdots \leq s_u$$

for every  $\alpha$ .

Let

$$heta_lpha = \sum_{\mu=u_{lpha-1}^{u_{lpha}-1}}^{u_{lpha}-1} s_\mu$$
 .

From (2.4) we have

$$\det \left(C_{1}-\lambda E_{1}\right)=(w_{\alpha}-\lambda)^{\theta_{\alpha}}\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda)$$

where  $\varphi_{\alpha}(\lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$  which is not necessarily divisible by  $w_{\alpha} - \lambda$ . As  $\alpha \neq \beta$  implies  $w_{\alpha} \neq w_{\beta}$  we will have

(2.5) 
$$\det (C_1 - \lambda E_1) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^t (w_\alpha - \lambda)^{\theta_\alpha} \psi(\lambda)$$

where  $\psi(\lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $\lambda$  not necessarily divisible by any factor of  $h(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda)^{\theta_{\alpha}}$ . Therefore, if  $f(\lambda)$  is not divisible by  $h(\lambda)$ it is impossible to find  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, m)$  and q such that  $f(\lambda) =$ det  $(C_1 - \lambda E_1)$ . Let us now suppose that  $f(\lambda) = h(\lambda)f_1(\lambda)$ . All we have to prove is that it is possible to find  $X_i$ ,  $Y_i$   $(i = 1, \dots, m)$  and q such that  $\psi(\lambda) = f_1(\lambda)$ .

Setting

(2.6) 
$$S_k(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{s_k-1} b_{k\mu} (\lambda_k - \lambda)^{\mu}$$

and

$$\xi_lpha = \sum\limits_{\mu=u_{lpha-1}+1}^{u_lpha} s_\mu$$
 ,

(2.4) gives

(2.7) 
$$\det (C_1 - \lambda E_1) = \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} \sum_{k=u_{\beta+1}}^{u_{\beta+1}} S_k(\lambda) \frac{\prod_{\alpha=1}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda)^{\epsilon_{\alpha}}}{(w_{\beta+1} - \lambda)^{s_k}} + (q - \lambda) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda)^{\epsilon_{\alpha}} \qquad (u_0 = 0).$$

Let us choose  $b_{k\mu} = 0$  for every  $k \neq u_{\beta+1}$  ( $\beta = 0, \dots, t-1; \mu = 0, \dots, s_k - 1$ ). With this choice (2.7) gives

$$\det \left(C_{1} - \lambda E_{1}\right) = \prod_{\gamma=1}^{t} \left(w_{\gamma} - \lambda\right)^{\theta_{\gamma}} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} S_{u_{\beta+1}}(\lambda) \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1\\ \alpha \neq \beta+1}}^{t} \left(w_{\alpha} - \lambda\right)^{s_{u_{\alpha}}} + (q - \lambda) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{t} \left(w_{\alpha} - \lambda\right)^{\varepsilon_{\alpha} - \theta_{\alpha}}\right]$$

and so by (2.5)

$$\psi(\lambda) = \sum_{eta=0}^{t-1} S_{u_{eta+1}}(\lambda) \prod_{\substack{lpha=1\lpha
eq eta+1}}^t (w_lpha-\lambda)^{s_{u_lpha}} + (q-\lambda) \prod_{lpha=1}^t (w_lpha-\lambda)^{s_{u_lpha}} \, .$$

By (2.6)  $S_{u_{\beta+1}}(\lambda)$  is a polynomial in  $(w_{\beta+1} - \lambda)$  of degree  $s_{u_{\beta+1}} - 1$ . For the sake of simplicity we now change the notation (in an obvious way) writing

$$\psi(\lambda) = \sum_{eta=0}^{t-1} R_{eta}(\lambda) \prod_{\substack{lpha=1\lpha
eq eta+1}}^t (w_{lpha}-\lambda)^{t_{lpha}} + (q-\lambda) \prod_{lpha=1}^t (w_{lpha}-\lambda)^{t_{lpha}}$$
 .

Let

$$R_{\scriptscriptstyleeta}(\lambda) = \sum_{\mu=0}^{t_{eta+1}- extsf{t}} \delta_{\scriptscriptstyleeta\mu} (w_{\scriptscriptstyleeta+1}-\lambda)^{\mu}$$
 .

We can write

(2.8) 
$$\frac{\psi(\lambda)}{\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda)^{t_{\alpha}}} = \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{t_{\beta+1}-1} \frac{\delta_{\beta\mu}}{(w_{\beta+1} - \lambda)^{t_{\beta+1}-\mu}} + q - \lambda .$$

Let us resolve  $f_1(\lambda)/\prod_{\alpha=1}^t (w_\alpha - \lambda)^{t_\alpha}$  into partial fractions. We will get

$$\frac{f_i(\lambda)}{\prod\limits_{\alpha=1}^t (w_\alpha - \lambda)^{t_\alpha}} = \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} \sum_{\mu=0}^{t_{\beta+1}-1} \frac{A_{\beta\mu}}{(w_{\beta+1} - \lambda)^{t_{\beta+1}-\mu}} + Q - \lambda \ .$$

If now in (2.8) we take  $\partial_{\beta\mu} = A_{\beta\mu}$  and q = Q we will have  $\psi(\lambda) = f_1(\lambda)$  as required. So we have given a process to choose all the  $b_{k\mu}$  appearing in (2.6). To conclude the proof we show that it is always possible to find values  $x_{\sigma}^i, y_{\sigma}^i$  satisfying (2.3), no matter what values we have given to the  $b_{k\mu}$ . In fact, let us give to the  $x_{\sigma}^i$  arbitrary nonzero values  $(x_{\sigma}^i = 1, \text{ for example})$ . Then, for each k, (2.3) becomes a system of linear equations in the  $y_{\rho}^k$  with a triangular matrix whose principal elements are different from zero. This means that the system is compatible. The proof of Theorem 1 is now complete.

COROLLARY. If A is a complex nonderogatory matrix, then the matrix B of Theorem 1 always exists.

*Proof.* If A is nonderogatory in its Jordan normal form there are no two diagonal blocks corresponding to the same characteristic root. So in Theorem 1 we have  $r_{\alpha} = 1$  and so  $\theta_{\alpha} = 0$ . This means that B exists.

Proof of Theorem 2. If A is real and symmetric, the matrix T such that  $TAT^{-1} = J$  can be chosen orthogonal and J will be a diagonal matrix. So using Theorem 1 we have  $v_1^{(\alpha)} = \cdots = v_{r_\alpha}^{(\alpha)} = 1$ and  $\theta_\alpha = r_\alpha - 1$ . It follows that (a) is necessary and sufficient for the existence of a matrix B (not necessarily real and symmetric) of type  $(n + 1) \times (n + 1)$  having A in the top left-hand corner and with  $f(\lambda)$  as characteristic polynomial. Let us now find out the conditions for B to be real and symmetric. Choosing T orthogonal for B to fulfill this condition it is necessary and sufficient that there exist real  $X_j, Y_j, q (j = 1, \dots, m)$  with  $X_j = Y_j$ . Let us write  $x_{\rho}^i = y_{\rho}^i$ . We have now  $\xi_{\alpha} = r_{\alpha}, \theta_{\alpha} = \xi_{\alpha} - 1$  and  $S_k(\lambda) = b_{k0}$ . Let

(2.9) 
$$c_{\beta 0} = \sum_{k=u_{\beta}+1}^{u_{\beta}+1} b_{k0}$$

The formula (2.7) gives

$$\det \left(C_1 - \lambda E_1\right) = \prod_{\gamma=1}^t (w_\gamma - \lambda)^{r_\gamma - 1} \left[\sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} c_{\beta 0} \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1 \\ \alpha \neq \beta+1}}^t (w_\alpha - \lambda) + (q - \lambda) \prod_{\alpha=1}^t (w_\alpha - \lambda)\right]$$

and so

(2.10) 
$$\psi(\lambda) = \sum_{\beta=0}^{t-1} c_{\beta 0} \prod_{\substack{\alpha=1\\ \alpha\neq\beta+1}}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda) + (q - \lambda) \prod_{\alpha=1}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda) .$$

We are assuming that  $f(\lambda)$  is divisible by

$$h(\lambda) = \prod_{\alpha=1}^{t} (w_{\alpha} - \lambda)^{r_{\alpha}-1}$$

Let  $f(\lambda)/h(\lambda) = f_1(\lambda)$ . Resolving  $f_1(\lambda)/\prod_{\alpha=1}^t (w_\alpha - \lambda)$  into partial fractions we get

$$rac{f_1(\lambda)}{\prod\limits_{lpha=1}^t (w_lpha-\lambda)} = \sum\limits_{eta=0}^{t-1} rac{B_eta}{w_{eta+1}-\lambda} + Q_1 - \lambda$$

with

$$B_{\scriptscriptstyleeta} = rac{f_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}(w_{\scriptscriptstyleeta+1})}{\prod\limits_{\substack{lpha = 1 \ lpha 
eq eta + 1}} (w_{lpha} - w_{\scriptscriptstyleeta+1})}$$

٠

From (2.10) we have

$$rac{\psi(\lambda)}{\prod\limits_{lpha=1}^t (w_lpha-\lambda)} = \sum\limits_{eta=0}^{t-1} rac{c_{eta_0}}{w_{eta+1}-\lambda} + q - \lambda \; .$$

So we must take

$$c_{_{eta 0}} = rac{f_{_1}(w_{_{eta + 1}})}{\prod\limits_{\substack{lpha = 1 \ lpha 
eq eta + 1}} (w_{_lpha} - w_{_{eta + 1}})}$$
 ,  $q = Q_{_1}$  .

The equations (2.3) now take the form

$$b_{k0} = -[x_1^k]^2$$

or, by (2.9)

$$c_{eta_0} = -\sum_{k=u_{eta+1}}^{u_{eta+1}} [x_1^k]^2$$
 .

So B can be real and symmetric if and only if  $c_{\beta 0} \leq 0$  and  $Q_1$  is real. The condition  $c_{\beta 0} \leq 0$  is equivalent to (b). Bearing in mind that  $\sum_{\alpha=1}^{t} w_{\alpha}$  is real we can see easily that  $Q_1$  is always real. With this the proof is complete.

In a similar way we could prove a theorem analogous to Theorem 2 but with 'real symmetric' substituted by 'hermitian'.

Note. After I had written this paper I noticed that Theorem 2 is not new. It is essentially equivalent to Theorem 1 in Fan and Pall, *Imbedding Conditions for Hermitian and Normal Matrices*, Canad. J. Math. 9 (1957), 298-304. However, the proof I have given here is a bit different from the proof of Fan and Pall. For further details see my forthcoming paper *Matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomial and a prescribed submatrix*-II (submitted to Pacific J. Math.).

I wish to thank the referee for his comments.

## Reference

1. Farahat and Ledermann, Matrices with prescribed characteristic polynomial, Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2) 11 (1959), 143-146.

Received March 5, 1968.

UNIVERSIDADE DE COIMBRA COIMBRA, PORTUGAL