# LOCAL BEHAVIOUR OF AREA FUNCTIONS OF CONVEX BODIES 
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The area function of a convex body $K$ in Euclidean $n$-space is a particular measure over the field $\mathscr{B}$ of Borel sets of the unit spherical surface. The value of such a function at a Borel set $\omega$ is the area of that part of the boundary of $K$ touched by support planes whose outer normal directions fall in $\omega$. In particular the area function of the vector sum $K+t E$, where $t$ is nonnegative and $E$ is the unit ball, is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in $t$ whose coefficients are also measures over $\mathscr{B}$. To within a binomial coefficient, the coefficient of $t^{n-p-1}$ in this polynomial is called the area function of order $p$. For $p=1$ and $p=n-1$ necessary and sufficient conditions for a measure over $\mathscr{B}$ to be an area function of order $p$ are known, but for intermediate values of $p$ only certain necessary conditions are known. Here a new necessary condition is established. It is a bound on those functional values of an area function of order $p$ which correspond to special sets of $\mathscr{B}$. These special sets are closed, small circles of geodesic radius $\alpha$ less than $\pi / 2$; the bound depends on $\alpha, p$ and the diameter of $K$. This necessary condition amplifies an old observation: area functions of order less than $n-1$ vanish at Borel sets consisting of single points.

To examine area functions in detail, we write $\Pi(u)$ for the support plane to $K$ whose outer normal direction corresponds to the point $u$ on the unit spherical surface $\Omega$. For $\omega$ in $\mathscr{B}$ set

$$
B(\omega)=\bigcup_{u \in \omega}(\Pi(u) \cap K)
$$

The area function of $K$ at $\omega$ is the $(n-1)$-dimensional measure of $B(\omega)$; we denote this by $S(K, \omega) . S(K+t E, \omega)$ is a polynomial of degree $n-1$ in $t$; the coefficient of

$$
\binom{n-1}{p} t^{n-p-1}, \text { where }\binom{n-1}{p}=\frac{(n-1)!}{p!(n-p-1)!}
$$

is the area function of order $p$ at $\omega$ and is written $S_{p}(K, \omega)$. In particular

$$
S_{n-1}(K, \omega)=S(K, \omega), S_{0}(K, \omega)=S(E, \omega) .
$$

If at each boundary point of $K$ there is a unique outer normal
$u$ and principal radii of curvature $R_{1}(u), \cdots, R_{n-1}(u)$ and if $\left\{R_{1}, \cdots, R_{p}\right\}$ signifies the $p^{\text {th }}$ elementary symmetric function of these radii, then

$$
S_{p}(K, \omega)=\int_{\omega}\left\{R_{1}, \cdots, R_{p}\right\} d \omega /\binom{n-1}{p}
$$

For general convex bodies the total area of order $p$ is a special mixed volume; in detail

$$
S_{p}(K, \Omega)=n V(\underbrace{K, \cdots, K}_{p}, \underbrace{E, \cdots, E}_{n-p}) .
$$

Let $v$ be any fixed point on $\Omega$ and let $\omega_{\alpha}$ be the set of $u$ on $\Omega$ for which

$$
(u, v) \geqq \cos \alpha, 0<\alpha<\pi / 2,
$$

where $(u, v)$ denotes the inner product of $u$ and $v$. We shall prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}\left(K, \omega_{\alpha}\right) \leqq A D^{p} \sin ^{n-p-1} \alpha \sec \alpha=A D^{p} f_{p}(\alpha) \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $p=1,2, \cdots, n-1$, where $D$ is the diameter of $K$ and $A$ depends neither on $\alpha$ nor on $K$.
A. D. Aleksandrov [1] and W. Fenchel and B. Jessen [3] introduced such area functions. They showed that for a measure $\Phi$ over $\mathscr{B}$ to be an area function of order $n-1$, it is necessary and sufficient that, for any $u^{\prime}$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\Omega}\left(u^{\prime}, u\right) \Phi(d \omega(u))=0, \int_{\Omega}\left|\left(u^{\prime}, u\right)\right| \Phi(d \omega(u))>0 \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where these are Radon integrals. Aleksandrov showed also that (2), while necessary for $\Phi$ to be a $p^{\text {th }}$ order area function when $p<n-1$, are not sufficient. In part this depended on the observation that

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}(K,\{v\})=0 \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $v$ on $\Omega$ and $p<n-1$. By letting $\alpha$ tend to zero, we see that (3) is a consequence of (1).

Necessary and sufficient conditions for $\Phi$ to be an area function of order one are given in [4] and [5]. Inequality (1) for $p=1$ was proved in the latter paper and plays a significant part. Items of background are in these papers and [2] and [3].

1. We first show that if (1) holds for convex polyhedra, then it is true for all convex bodies.

Given any convex body $K$ we can find convex polyhedra $K_{m}, m=$
$1,2, \cdots$, which approximate $K$ to within $1 / m$ in the sense of the metric

$$
\delta\left(K, K_{m}\right)=\max _{u \in \Omega}\left|H(u)-H_{m}(u)\right|
$$

where $H$ and $H_{m}$ are the support functions of $K$ and $K_{m}$. For the diameters $D$ and $D_{m}$ of these bodies we have

$$
\lim _{m \rightarrow \infty} D_{m}=D
$$

Let $\varepsilon>0$ be such that $\alpha+\varepsilon<\pi / 2$; denote by $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ the open set of $u$ on $\Omega$ for which

$$
(u, v)>\cos (\alpha+\varepsilon) .
$$

Clearly

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{\alpha} \subset \eta_{\varepsilon} \subset \omega_{\alpha+\varepsilon} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

By Theorem IX of [3], $S_{p}\left(K_{m}, \omega\right)$ converges weakly to $S_{p}(K, \omega)$ as $m$ tends to infinity. This implies [3, p. 8] that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\liminf _{m \rightarrow \infty} S_{p}\left(K_{m}, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \geqq S_{p}\left(K, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \geqq S_{p}\left(K, \omega_{\alpha}\right) \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

since $\eta_{\varepsilon}$ is open. We have used (4) and the monotonicity of $S_{p}(K, \omega)$ in $\omega$ for the final inequality.

Also from (4), the monotonicity of $S_{p}$, and the assumption of (1) for polyhedra, we get

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}\left(K_{m}, \eta_{\varepsilon}\right) \leqq A D_{m}^{p} f_{p}(\alpha+\varepsilon) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Hence, because $D_{m}$ tends to $D$, (5) and (6) yield

$$
S_{p}\left(K, \omega_{\alpha}\right) \leqq A D^{p} f_{p}(\alpha+\varepsilon)
$$

The left side does not depend on $\varepsilon$ and so inequality (1) holds for $K$.
2. To prove (1) for convex polyhedra $K$ we form, from a given $K$, four convex bodies $K_{1}, K_{2}, K_{3}, K_{4}$ for which

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}\left(K_{j}, \omega_{\alpha}\right) \leqq S_{p}\left(K_{j+1}, \omega_{\alpha}\right), j=1,2,3 \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{align*}
& S_{p}\left(K_{1}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)=S_{p}\left(K, \omega_{\alpha}\right)  \tag{8}\\
& S_{p}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)=A D^{p} f_{p}(\alpha) \tag{9}
\end{align*}
$$

As a matter of notation $\Pi_{j}(u)$ signifies the support plane to $K_{j}$ with outer unit normal $u$. We write $\partial P$ for the boundary of any set $P$.

The body $K_{1}$ is to be the convex closure of $B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right)$. Since

$$
\bigcup_{u \in \omega_{\alpha}}\left(K_{1} \cap \Pi_{1}(u)\right)=B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right)
$$

(8) holds. Also $K_{1}$ is polyhedral.

Let $\mathfrak{S}_{1}(u)$ signify the half-space with outer normal $u$ which is bounded by $\Pi_{1}(u)$. Of course, for $u$ in $\omega_{\alpha}, \mathfrak{K}_{1}(u)$ is the half-space with outer normal $u$ bounded by $\Pi(u)$. Since $\alpha<\pi / 2$, the intersection of those $\mathscr{K}_{1}(u)$ for which

$$
(u, v) \leqq \cos \alpha
$$

is a convex polyhedron $K_{2} \supseteq K_{1}$. Here $v$, as before, is the centre of $\omega_{\alpha}$; we write $\omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}$ for those $u$ on $\Omega$ which satisfy the last inequality. Clearly

$$
\bigcup_{u \in \omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}}\left(K_{1} \cap \Pi_{1}(u)\right)=\bigcup_{u \in \omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}}\left(K_{2} \cap \Pi_{2}(u)\right)
$$

and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}\left(K_{1}, \omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right)=S_{p}\left(K_{2}, \omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}\right) \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

On the other hand $K_{1} \subseteq K_{2}$ implies that

$$
S_{p}\left(K_{1}, \Omega\right) \leqq S_{p}\left(K_{2}, \Omega\right)
$$

This is a consequence of the representation of these total area functions as mixed volumes and the known monotonicity of mixed volumes $V(K, \cdots, K, E, \cdots, E)$ in $K$, cf. [2]. The additinity of area functions, our last inequality and (10) yield (7) for $j=1$.

The rest of the proof is treated in separate sections. In §3 we describe a plane $\Pi_{0}$ normal to $v$, which cuts $K$ so that $B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right)$, and hence $K_{2}$, lies in one of the half-spaces determined by $\Pi_{0}$. Call this half-space $\mathscr{S}_{0}$. We take $K_{3}$ to be the intersection of $\mathfrak{S}_{0}$ with

$$
\cap \mathfrak{F}(u)=\cap \mathscr{S}_{1}(u)
$$

where these intersections are taken over those $u$ in the common boundary of $\omega_{\alpha}$ and $\omega_{\alpha}^{\prime}$, i.e., those $u$ for which

$$
(u, v)=\cos \alpha
$$

The body $K_{3}$ contains $K_{2}$. To determine $\Pi_{0}$ it is necessary to consider circular cones of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(v, x-x_{0}\right)+\left\|x-x_{0}\right\| \sin \alpha \leqq 0 \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

The norm is Euclidean. The vertex of such a cone is $x_{0}$; the axial ray within the cone has the direction $-v$; these cones are translates
of one another. We choose $x_{0}$ so that the resulting cone contains $K$ and the distance from $K$ to the plane

$$
\left(v, x-x_{0}\right)=0
$$

is as small as possible. We call this tangent cone $C$.
In $\S 4$ (7) is proved for $j=2$.
$K_{4}$ is $C \cap \mathfrak{S}_{0}$. This intersection is clearly a convex body which contains $K_{3}$. In §5 we prove (7) for $j=3$. Finally (9) follows from a direct calculation sketched in $\S 6$.
3. Let us introduce a Cartesian coordinate system with origin at the vertex $x_{0}$ of $C$ and such that $v=(-1,0, \cdots, 0)$. The description of $C$ takes the form

$$
x_{1} \geqq \tan \alpha\left(x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and the distance from $K$, which is in $C$, to the plane $x_{1}=0$ is minimal.
This means that each half-space

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+u_{n} x_{n} \geqq 0 \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

must contain a point of $B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \cap \partial C$ for the following reason. If $\partial K \cap \partial C$ had no points in (12), a small translation of $K$ in the direction $u$ would cause $\partial K \cap \partial C$ to be empty; a subsequent small translation in the direction $v$ would reduce the distance from $K$ to $x_{1}=0$. Hence (12) contains a point $x$ of $\partial C \cap \partial K$. The tangent plane to $\partial C$ at $x$ is a support plane of $\partial K$ and the outer normal to this support plane makes an angle of measure $\alpha$ with $v$, i.e., falls in $\omega_{\alpha}$. Thus $x$ is also in $B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right)$ as asserted.

We define conical bodies $C_{1}$ and $C_{2}$ to be the intersection of $C$ with the half-spaces

$$
x_{1} \leqq D \tan \alpha, x_{1} \leqq 2 D \tan \alpha
$$

respectively.
We first prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \cap \partial C \cong C_{1} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose to the contrary that there is a $y$ in $B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \cap \partial C$ for which $y_{1}>D \tan \alpha$. Since the radius of the intersection of $C$ with

$$
x_{1}=D \tan \alpha
$$

is $D$, a ball of radius $D$, centred at $y$, lies in a half-space of the form

$$
\begin{equation*}
u_{2} x_{2}+\cdots+u_{n} x_{n}<0 \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for some $u$. As noted in the previous paragraph, there is a point $x$
in the complement of (14) which is in $B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \cap \partial C$. This would give two points $x$ and $y$ in $K$ separated by a distance greater than the diameter $D$ of $K$. The contradiction establishes (13).

Next we demonstrate

$$
\begin{equation*}
B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \subseteq C_{2} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Again the proof is by contradiction. Imagine $z$ to be a point in $B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right)$ for which $z_{1}>2 D$ tan $\alpha, \quad z$ cannot be on the $x_{1}$-axis for the following reason. Let $\Pi$ be a support plane to $K$ which contains $z$. There must be a half-space of the form (12) in which the points of $\Pi \cap \partial C$ lie in the half-space

$$
x_{1}>2 D \tan \alpha
$$

This implies that the points of $\partial K \cap \partial C$ which lie in (12) are at a distance exceeding $2 D$ from $z$ which, again, contradicts the fact that $D$ is the diameter of $K$.

Let $z^{\prime}$ be the point nearest to $z$ on the $x_{1}$-axis. Set

$$
u=\left(z-z^{\prime}\right) /\left\|z-z^{\prime}\right\| ;
$$

$u$ is orthogonal to $v$ and $z^{\prime}$ and so

$$
0<\left(u, z^{\prime}-z\right)=-(u, z) .
$$

Thus $z$ satisfies

$$
u_{2} z_{2}+\cdots+u_{n} z_{n}<0
$$

There is also a point $x$ of

$$
B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \cap \partial C_{1}=B\left(\omega_{\alpha}\right) \cap \partial C_{2}
$$

in the complementary half-space. Therefore the distance $\|z-x\|$ must exceed the distance between $(2 D \tan \alpha, 0, \cdots, 0)$ and the intersection of $\partial C_{1}$ with the plane

$$
x_{1}=D \tan \alpha
$$

That is to say

$$
\|z-x\|>\left(D^{2}+D^{2} \tan ^{2} \alpha\right)^{1 / 2}>D
$$

This is impossible for $x$ and $z$ in $K$ which completes the proof of (15).
The plane

$$
x_{1}=2 D \tan \alpha
$$

is the cutting plane $\Pi_{0}$ of $\S 2$; the conical convex body $C_{2}$ is $K_{4}$.
4. From the definitions of $K_{2}$ and $K_{3}$ we see that their support planes $\Pi_{2}(u)$ and $\Pi_{3}(u)$ coincide whenever their outer normal directions $u$ are in $\omega_{\alpha}$. Hence for such $u$, since $K_{2} \subseteq K_{3}$,

$$
K_{2} \cap \Pi_{2}(u) \subseteq K_{3} \cap \Pi_{3}(u) ;
$$

there is certainly equality when $u$ is in the interior of $\omega_{\alpha}$. Inequality (7) for $j=2$ follows from the next lemma, to the proof of which this section is devoted.

Lemma. Let $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ be two convex polyhedral bodies whose support planes with outer normal direction $u$ are denoted by $\Pi(u)$ and $\Pi^{\prime}(u)$. If

$$
\begin{equation*}
K \cap \Pi(u) \subseteq K^{\prime} \cap \Pi^{\prime}(u) \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

for each $u$ in some Borel set $\omega$ of $\Omega$, then

$$
S_{p}(K, \omega) \leqq S_{p}\left(K^{\prime}, \omega\right), \text { for } p=1,2, \cdots, n-1
$$

We first require a description of $S_{p}(K, \omega)$ where $K$ is polyhedral. In this we follow work, as yet unpublished, of J. Zelver.

Consider a set of the form $K \cap \Pi(u)$; this is a $p$-face $e_{p}$ when $e_{p}$ lies in a $p$-dimensional flat but not in a $(p-1)$-dimensional flat. The outer unit normals to support planes of $K$ which contain $e_{p}$ sweep out a closed, geodesically convex set $\omega\left(e_{p}\right)$ on $\Omega$ which is in $\mathscr{B}$ and is $(n-p-1)$-dimensional. Throughout $\omega\left(e_{p}\right)$ we distribute mass with constant density $\lambda_{p}\left(e_{p}\right)$ equal to the $p$-dimensional volume of $e_{p}$. Thus if $\omega$ is any subset of $\omega\left(e_{p}\right)$ which is in $\mathscr{B}$ and if $\mu_{n-p-1}(\omega)$ is its $(n-p-1)$-dimensional volume, then the mass falling in $\omega$ is $\lambda_{p}\left(e_{p}\right) \mu_{n-p-1}(\omega)$. The representation we seek is

$$
\begin{equation*}
S_{p}(K, \omega)=\sum_{*} \lambda_{p}\left(e_{p}\right) \mu_{n-p-1}\left(\omega \cap \omega\left(e_{p}\right)\right) /\binom{n-1}{p} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the starred summation is taken over all $e_{p}$ in $\partial K$.
Consider the vector sum $K+t E$ and let $\Pi^{*}(u)$ signify its support plane with outer normal $u$. If $x^{\prime}$ is a point of

$$
(K+t E) \cap I^{*}(u),
$$

then there is a unique point $x$ in $K \cap I(u)$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
x^{\prime}-x=t u . \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose $e_{p}$ to be the face of lowest dimension which contains $x$ and let $\left\{\Pi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right\}$ be the set of support planes of $K$ which contain $e_{p}$ where $u^{\prime}$ ranges over $\omega\left(e_{p}\right)$. We form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bigcup_{*}\left\{(K+t E) \cap \Pi^{*}\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right\}, \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the starred union is taken over those $u^{\prime}$ in $\omega \cap \omega\left(e_{p}\right)$. If (20) is not empty, it is made up of points $x^{\prime}$ to each of which corresponds a unique $x$ on

$$
\bigcup_{*}\left(K \cap \Pi\left(u^{\prime}\right)\right)=e_{p}
$$

for which (19) holds. Thus (20) is the Cartesian product of $e_{p}$ with that part of the boundary of $t E$ which is swept out by rays whose directions are in $\omega \cap \omega\left(e_{p}\right)$. Therefore, empty or not, the ( $n-1$ )dimensional measure of (20) is

$$
t^{n-p-1} \lambda_{p}\left(e_{p}\right) \mu_{n-p-1}\left(\omega \cap \omega\left(e_{p}\right)\right) .
$$

We add up all such contributions to $S_{n-1}(K+t E, \omega)$ ard obtain the sum

$$
\sum_{p=1}^{n} t^{n-p-1} \sum_{*} \lambda_{p}\left(e_{p}\right) \mu_{n-p-1}\left(\omega \cap \omega\left(e_{p}\right)\right) .
$$

On the other hand, from the generalized Steiner formula [3, p. 31], we have

$$
S_{n-1}(K+t E, \omega)=\sum_{p=1}^{n} t^{n-p-1}\binom{n-1}{p} S_{p}(K, \omega)
$$

The comparison of coefficients of like powers of $t$ in these two representations of $S_{n-1}(K+t E, \omega)$ yields (18).

Choose $u$ in $\omega$; neither set in (16) is empty and so $\Pi(u)$ and $\Pi^{\prime}(u)$ share a common point, have the same normal direction and so coincide. We have

$$
K^{\prime} \cap \Pi(u)=e_{p}^{\prime}
$$

for some $p$. By (16) either $K \cap I(u)$ is a face $e_{p}$ of the same dimension $p$ or this intersection is a face of lower dimension. In the latter case there is no contribution to the sum in (18), i.e., the left side of (17), whereas there would be a positive contribution to the right side of (17). In the former case, from (16) it follows that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda_{p}\left(e_{p}^{\prime}\right) \geqq \lambda_{p}\left(e_{p}\right) \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mu_{n-p-1}\left(\omega \cap \omega\left(e_{p}^{\prime}\right)\right)=\mu_{n-p-1}\left(\omega \cap \omega\left(e_{p}\right)\right) \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

To see this, we prove that the two argument sets in (22) coincide by showing that, for any $u$ in $\Omega$, we have $K \cap \Pi(u) \supseteqq e_{p}$ if and only if $K^{\prime} \cap \Pi(u) \supseteqq e_{p}^{\prime}$.

If $K^{\prime} \cap \Pi(u) \supseteqq e_{p}^{\prime}$, then $e_{p} \subseteq e_{p}^{\prime} \subseteq \Pi(u)$ and $e_{p}$ also lies in $\partial K$. Hence $e_{p}$ lies in $K \cap \Pi(u)$. Suppose $e_{p} \subseteq K \cap \Pi(u)$; then $e_{p}$ lies in $\Pi(u)$. Since $e_{p} \subseteq e_{p}^{\prime}$ by (16) and these two sets have the same dimensionality, any point $x$ in $e_{p}^{\prime}$ is a linear combination of $p+1$ suitable points in $e_{p}$. But, being such a combination of points in $\Pi(u), x$ must be in $\Pi(u)$. Thus $e_{p}^{\prime}$ is in both $\Pi(u)$ and $K^{\prime}$ and so in their intersection.

Substitution from (21) and (22) into the representation (18) as it applies to $K$ and $K^{\prime}$ proves (17).
5. Our next step is to prove (7) for $j=3$. We first settle the simplest case: $p=n-1$. It is clear from the construction of $K_{3}$ and $K_{4}$ that, for $i=3,4$ :

$$
\begin{gathered}
S_{n-1}\left(K_{i}, \Omega-\omega_{\alpha}\right)=S_{n-1}\left(K_{i},\{-v\}\right) \\
S_{n-1}\left(K_{i}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)=S_{n-1}\left(K_{i}, \partial \omega_{\alpha}\right)
\end{gathered}
$$

and

$$
S_{n-1}\left(K_{i}, \partial \omega_{\alpha}\right) \cos \alpha=S_{n-1}\left(K_{i},\{-v\}\right)
$$

Consequently

$$
S_{n-1}\left(K_{i}, \Omega\right)=(1+\cos \alpha) S_{n-1}\left(K_{i}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)
$$

Since $K_{3} \cong K_{4}$ and $S_{n-1}(K, \Omega)$ is increasing in $K$, it follows that (7) holds for $j=3, p=n-1$. For the cases $1 \leqq p<n-1$ a more elaborate argument is needed.

We shall examine the behaviour of $S_{p}\left(K_{i}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ in $K_{i}$ by studying that of

$$
Q_{i}=\int_{\Omega-\omega_{\alpha}}(v, u) S_{p}\left(K_{i}, d \omega(u)\right), i=3,4
$$

These integrals will be reduced to iterated integrals. For this purpose we let $\Omega_{n-1}$ denote the set of $u$ on $\Omega$ which are orthogonal to $v$ and we form, for each $u$ in $\Omega_{n-1}$, the vectors

$$
u_{\lambda}=[(1-\lambda) u+\lambda(-v)] /\|(1-\lambda) u+\lambda(-v)\| .
$$

As before, $v$ is the centre of $\omega_{\alpha}$. We have

$$
\left(u_{\lambda}, v\right)=-\lambda /(\phi(\lambda))^{1 / 2},
$$

where

$$
\phi(\lambda)=1-2 \lambda+2 \lambda^{2}
$$

Also, if $s$ signifies arc length along the circle through $v$ and $u$,

$$
d s / d \lambda=1 / \phi(\lambda)
$$

Define $\lambda_{\jmath}<0$ by

$$
-\lambda_{0}=\cos \alpha\left(\phi\left(\lambda_{0}\right)\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

As $u$ passes over $\Omega_{n-1}$ and $\lambda$ over the interval $\lambda_{0}<\lambda<1, u_{\lambda}$ sweeps out

$$
\Omega-\omega_{\alpha}-\{-v\} .
$$

For such $u$ and $\lambda$ :

$$
\Pi_{i}\left(u_{2}\right) \cap K_{i}=\Pi_{i}(u) \cap \Pi_{0} \cap K_{i}=\Pi_{i}(u) \cap k_{i}
$$

where we have set

$$
k_{i}=K_{i} \cap \Pi_{0},
$$

and we recall that $\Pi_{0}$ is the support plane of $K_{i}$ with outer normal $-v$. If we view each $k_{i}$ as a nondegenerate convex body in the ( $n-1$ )-dimensional space $\Pi_{0}$, then the outer normals $u$ to $k_{i}$ fall in $\Omega_{n-1}$ and $k_{i}$ has area functions

$$
s_{1}\left(k_{i}, \eta\right), \cdots, s_{n-2}\left(k_{i}, \eta\right)
$$

defined over the Borel sets $\eta$ of $\Omega_{n-1}$.
We write $Q_{i}$ as an iterated integral

$$
\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{1} \frac{-\lambda}{(\phi(\lambda))^{1 / 2}}\left(\int_{\Omega_{n-1}} s_{p}\left(k_{i}, d \eta(u)\right)\right) \frac{d \lambda}{\phi(\lambda)}=g S_{p}\left(k_{i}, \Omega_{n-1}\right),
$$

where

$$
g=\int_{\lambda_{0}}^{1} \frac{-\lambda d \lambda}{(\phi(\lambda))^{3 / 2}}<0 .
$$

Here we have used the fact that the point $-v$ can be deleted from $\Omega-\omega_{\alpha}$ without affecting $Q_{i}$ in virtue of (3) and the assumption that $p<n-1$. Since $k_{3} \cong k_{4}$

$$
s_{p}\left(k_{3}, \Omega_{n-1}\right) \leqq s_{p}\left(k_{4}, \Omega_{n-1}\right)
$$

and, from the negativity of $g$, it follows that

$$
Q_{3} \geqq Q_{!} .
$$

The first condition in (2), which is satisfied by any area function, shows that

$$
Q_{i}+\int_{\omega_{\alpha}}\left(v, u_{\lambda}\right) S_{p}\left(K_{i}, d \omega\left(u_{2}\right)\right)=0
$$

Hence, from our last inequality, we obtain

$$
\begin{equation*}
\int_{\omega_{\alpha}}\left(v, u_{\lambda}\right) S_{p}\left(K_{3}, d \omega\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\right) \leqq \int_{\omega_{\alpha}}\left(v, u_{\lambda}\right) S_{p}\left(K_{4}, d \omega\left(u_{\lambda}\right)\right) \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

Let $x_{0}$ signify the vertex of the cone $K_{4}$ and denote by $\omega_{\alpha}^{0}$ the interior of $\omega_{\alpha}$. Then for all $u$ in $\omega_{\alpha}^{0}$

$$
K_{4} \cap \Pi_{4}(u)=x_{0}
$$

and, because $p \geqq 1$,

$$
S_{p}\left(K, \omega_{\alpha}^{0}\right)=0
$$

Therefore on the right side of (23) the integration needs to be extended only over $\partial \omega_{\alpha}$ throughout which $\left(v, u_{k}\right)$ is $\cos \alpha$. This yields for the right side of (23)

$$
\cos \alpha S_{p}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)
$$

Consider the left side of (23). For $u_{\lambda}$ in $\omega_{\alpha}$ we have

$$
\left(v, u_{\lambda}\right) \geqq \cos \alpha
$$

and so we may strengthen inequality (23) by replacing the left side by

$$
\cos \alpha S_{p}\left(K_{3}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)
$$

After division by $\cos \alpha$ the strengthened inequality is just (7) for $j=3,1 \leqq p<n-1$.
6. It remains to prove (9). In the Cartesian coordinate system of section three, $K_{4}$ is the set of points $x$ for which

$$
\tan \alpha\left(x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2} \leqq x_{1} \leqq 2 D \tan \alpha
$$

Let $t E^{*}$ be the convex body formed by the intersection of the wall $t E$ with the reflected polar cone to $C$, i.e.,

$$
x_{1} \leqq-\operatorname{ctn} \alpha\left(x_{2}^{2}+\cdots+x_{n}^{2}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

The vector sum $K_{4}+t E^{*}$ is a convex body of revolution whose radial distance $r(\xi)$ in the plane $x_{1}=\xi$ has the representation

$$
\begin{align*}
r(\xi) & =\left(t^{2}-\xi^{2}\right)^{1 / 2},-t \leqq \xi \leqq-t \cos \alpha ; \\
& =\xi \operatorname{ctn} \alpha+t c s c \alpha,-t \cos \alpha \leqq \xi \leqq 2 D \tan \alpha-t \cos ^{-} \alpha ;  \tag{24}\\
& =2 D \sec ^{2} \alpha-\xi \tan \alpha, 2 D \tan \alpha-t \cos \alpha \leqq \xi \leqq 2 D \tan \alpha
\end{align*}
$$

The volume $V\left(K_{4}+t E^{*}\right)$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\omega_{n-1} \int_{-t}^{2 D \tan \alpha} r^{n-1}(\xi) d \xi /(n-1) \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

Here $\omega_{n-1}$ is the area of the unit spherical surface in Euclidean ( $n-1$ )-dimensional space and is given by

$$
\omega_{n-1}=2 \pi^{(n-1) / 2} / \Gamma((n-1) / 2),
$$

where $\Gamma$ is the usual gamma function.
We equate (25) with the Steiner polynomial

$$
V\left(K_{4}+t E^{*}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{n}\binom{n}{p} t^{n-p} V_{p}\left(K_{4}, E^{*}\right)
$$

where $V_{p}\left(K_{4}, E^{*}\right)$ is the mixed volume

$$
V(\underbrace{K_{4}, \cdots, K_{4}}_{p}, \underbrace{E^{*}, \cdots, E^{*}}_{n-p}) .
$$

Substitution from (24) into (25) and a comparison of coefficients of like powers of $t$ yields

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{p}\left(K_{4}, E^{*}\right)=\omega_{n-1}(2 D)^{p}(\sin \alpha)^{n-p-1} \sec \alpha / n(n-1) \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

We consider next the brush set (Bürstenmenge) $B_{t}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ which is formed from $K_{4}$ in the following manner. At each point $x$ of

$$
\bigcup_{u \in \omega_{\alpha}}\left(K_{4} \cap \Pi_{4}(u)\right)
$$

we draw all segments $x+\theta u, 0<\theta \leqq t$, corresponding to $u$ in $\omega_{\alpha}$. The union of these segments is $B_{t}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$. Clearly this is

$$
\left(K_{4}+t E^{*}\right)-K_{4}
$$

and so the volume $V_{t}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ of $B_{t}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ is

$$
V\left(K_{4}+t E^{*}\right)-V\left(K_{4}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{p} t^{n-p} V_{p}\left(K_{4}, E^{*}\right)
$$

On the other hand, cf. [3, p. 31],

$$
V_{t}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)=\sum_{p=0}^{n-1}\binom{n}{p} t^{n-p} S_{p}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right) / n
$$

A comparison of coefficients of like powers of $t$ in these two representations of $V_{t}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)$ yields

$$
S_{p}\left(K_{4}, \omega_{\alpha}\right)=n V_{p}\left(K_{4}, E^{*}\right)
$$

and this, together with (26), gives (9) with

$$
A=2^{p} \omega_{n-1} /(n-1)
$$

This completes the proof of (1).
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