## LOCAL BEHAVIOUR OF AREA FUNCTIONS OF CONVEX BODIES

## WILLIAM J. FIREY

The area function of a convex body K in Euclidean *n*-space is a particular measure over the field  $\mathscr{B}$  of Borel sets of the unit spherical surface. The value of such a function at a Borel set  $\omega$  is the area of that part of the boundary of K touched by support planes whose outer normal directions fall in  $\omega$ . In particular the area function of the vector sum K + tE, where t is nonnegative and E is the unit ball, is a polynomial of degree n-1 in t whose coefficients are also measures over  $\mathscr{B}$ . To within a binomial coefficient, the coefficient of  $t^{n-p-1}$  in this polynomial is called the area function of order p. For p = 1 and p = n - 1 necessary and sufficient conditions for a measure over *B* to be an area function of order p are known, but for intermediate values of ponly certain necessary conditions are known. Here a new necessary condition is established. It is a bound on those functional values of an area function of order p which correspond to special sets of  $\mathcal{B}$ . These special sets are closed, small circles of geodesic radius  $\alpha$  less than  $\pi/2$ ; the bound depends on  $\alpha$ , p and the diameter of K. This necessary condition amplifies an old observation; area functions of order less than n-1 vanish at Borel sets consisting of single points.

To examine area functions in detail, we write  $\Pi(u)$  for the support plane to K whose outer normal direction corresponds to the point u on the unit spherical surface  $\Omega$ . For  $\omega$  in  $\mathscr{B}$  set

$$B(\omega) = \bigcup_{u \in \omega} (\Pi(u) \cap K)$$
.

The area function of K at  $\omega$  is the (n-1)-dimensional measure of  $B(\omega)$ ; we denote this by  $S(K, \omega)$ .  $S(K + tE, \omega)$  is a polynomial of degree n-1 in t; the coefficient of

$$\binom{n-1}{p}t^{n-p-1}$$
, where  $\binom{n-1}{p} = rac{(n-1)!}{p!(n-p-1)!}$ ,

is the area function of order p at  $\omega$  and is written  $S_p(K,\omega).$  In particular

$$S_{n-1}(K, \omega) = S(K, \omega), S_0(K, \omega) = S(E, \omega)$$
.

If at each boundary point of K there is a unique outer normal

*u* and principal radii of curvature  $R_1(u), \dots, R_{n-1}(u)$  and if  $\{R_1, \dots, R_p\}$  signifies the  $p^{\text{th}}$  elementary symmetric function of these radii, then

$$S_p(K, \omega) = \int_{\omega} \{R_1, \cdots, R_p\} d\omega / {n-1 \choose p}$$
.

For general convex bodies the total area of order p is a special mixed volume; in detail

$$S_p(K, \Omega) = n V(\underbrace{K, \cdots, K}_{p}, \underbrace{E, \cdots, E}_{n-p})$$
.

Let v be any fixed point on  $\Omega$  and let  $\omega_{\alpha}$  be the set of u on  $\Omega$  for which

$$(u, v) \geq \cos lpha, 0 < lpha < \pi/2$$
 ,

where (u, v) denotes the inner product of u and v. We shall prove that

(1) 
$$S_p(K, \omega_{\alpha}) \leq AD^p \sin^{n-p-1} \alpha \sec \alpha = AD^p f_p(\alpha)$$
,

for  $p = 1, 2, \dots, n - 1$ , where D is the diameter of K and A depends neither on  $\alpha$  nor on K.

A. D. Aleksandrov [1] and W. Fenchel and B. Jessen [3] introduced such area functions. They showed that for a measure  $\Phi$  over  $\mathscr{B}$  to be an area function of order n-1, it is necessary and sufficient that, for any u'

(2) 
$$\int_{a} (u', u) \Phi(d\omega(u)) = 0, \int_{a} |(u', u)| \Phi(d\omega(u)) > 0,$$

where these are Radon integrals. Aleksandrov showed also that (2), while necessary for  $\Phi$  to be a  $p^{\text{th}}$  order area function when p < n - 1, are not sufficient. In part this depended on the observation that

(3) 
$$S_{p}(K, \{v\}) = 0$$

for each v on  $\Omega$  and p < n - 1. By letting  $\alpha$  tend to zero, we see that (3) is a consequence of (1).

Necessary and sufficient conditions for  $\Phi$  to be an area function of order one are given in [4] and [5]. Inequality (1) for p = 1 was proved in the latter paper and plays a significant part. Items of background are in these papers and [2] and [3].

1. We first show that if (1) holds for convex polyhedra, then it is true for all convex bodies.

Given any convex body K we can find convex polyhedra  $K_m$ , m =

1, 2,  $\cdots$ , which approximate K to within 1/m in the sense of the metric

$$\delta(K, K_m) = \max_{u \in \mathcal{Q}} |H(u) - H_m(u)|,$$

where H and  $H_m$  are the support functions of K and  $K_m$ . For the diameters D and  $D_m$  of these bodies we have

$$\lim_{m\to\infty}D_m=D.$$

Let  $\varepsilon > 0$  be such that  $\alpha + \varepsilon < \pi/2$ ; denote by  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  the open set of u on  $\Omega$  for which

$$(u, v) > \cos (\alpha + \varepsilon)$$
.

Clearly

$$(4) \qquad \qquad \omega_{\alpha} \subset \eta_{\varepsilon} \subset \omega_{\alpha+\varepsilon} .$$

By Theorem IX of [3],  $S_p(K_m, \omega)$  converges weakly to  $S_p(K, \omega)$  as m tends to infinity. This implies [3, p. 8] that

(5) 
$$\liminf_{m \to \infty} S_p(K_m, \eta_{\epsilon}) \ge S_p(K, \eta_{\epsilon}) \ge S_p(K, \omega_{\alpha})$$

since  $\eta_{\varepsilon}$  is open. We have used (4) and the monotonicity of  $S_{p}(K, \omega)$  in  $\omega$  for the final inequality.

Also from (4), the monotonicity of  $S_p$ , and the assumption of (1) for polyhedra, we get

(6) 
$$S_p(K_m, \eta_{\varepsilon}) \leq AD_m^p f_p(\alpha + \varepsilon)$$
.

Hence, because  $D_m$  tends to D, (5) and (6) yield

$$S_p(K, \omega_{lpha}) \leq A D^p f_p(lpha + arepsilon)$$
 .

The left side does not depend on  $\varepsilon$  and so inequality (1) holds for K.

2. To prove (1) for convex polyhedra K we form, from a given K, four convex bodies  $K_1, K_2, K_3, K_4$  for which

$$(\,7\,) \hspace{1.5cm} S_{p}(K_{j},\,\omega_{lpha}) \leq S_{p}(K_{j+1},\,\omega_{lpha}),\,j=1,\,2,\,3$$
 ,

and

(8) 
$$S_p(K_1, \omega_{\alpha}) = S_p(K, \omega_{\alpha})$$
 ,

(9) 
$$S_p(K_4, \omega_{\alpha}) = AD^p f_p(\alpha) .$$

As a matter of notation  $\Pi_j(u)$  signifies the support plane to  $K_j$  with outer unit normal u. We write  $\partial P$  for the boundary of any set P.

The body  $K_1$  is to be the convex closure of  $B(\omega_{\alpha})$ . Since

$$\bigcup_{u \in \omega_{\alpha}} (K_1 \cap \Pi_1(u)) = B(\omega_{\alpha})$$

(8) holds. Also  $K_1$  is polyhedral.

Let  $\mathfrak{H}_1(u)$  signify the half-space with outer normal u which is bounded by  $\Pi_1(u)$ . Of course, for u in  $\omega_{\alpha}$ ,  $\mathfrak{H}_1(u)$  is the half-space with outer normal u bounded by  $\Pi(u)$ . Since  $\alpha < \pi/2$ , the intersection of those  $\mathfrak{H}_1(u)$  for which

$$(u, v) \leq \cos \alpha$$

is a convex polyhedron  $K_2 \supseteq K_1$ . Here v, as before, is the centre of  $\omega_{\alpha}$ ; we write  $\omega'_{\alpha}$  for those u on  $\Omega$  which satisfy the last inequality. Clearly

$$\bigcup_{u \in \omega'_{\alpha}} (K_1 \cap \Pi_1(u)) = \bigcup_{u \in \omega'_{\alpha}} (K_2 \cap \Pi_2(u))$$

and so

(10) 
$$S_p(K_1, \omega'_{\alpha}) = S_p(K_2, \omega'_{\alpha}) .$$

On the other hand  $K_1 \subseteq K_2$  implies that

$${S}_p(K_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}, arOmega) \leq {S}_p(K_{\scriptscriptstyle 2}, arOmega)$$
 .

This is a consequence of the representation of these total area functions as mixed volumes and the known monotonicity of mixed volumes  $V(K, \dots, K, E, \dots, E)$  in K, cf. [2]. The additinity of area functions, our last inequality and (10) yield (7) for j = 1.

The rest of the proof is treated in separate sections. In §3 we describe a plane  $\Pi_0$  normal to v, which cuts K so that  $B(\omega_{\alpha})$ , and hence  $K_2$ , lies in one of the half-spaces determined by  $\Pi_0$ . Call this half-space  $\mathfrak{F}_0$ . We take  $K_3$  to be the intersection of  $\mathfrak{F}_0$  with

$$\cap \mathfrak{H}(u) = \cap \mathfrak{H}_{1}(u)$$

where these intersections are taken over those u in the common boundary of  $\omega_{\alpha}$  and  $\omega'_{\alpha}$ , i.e., those u for which

$$(u, v) = \cos \alpha$$
.

The body  $K_3$  contains  $K_2$ . To determine  $\Pi_0$  it is necessary to consider circular cones of the form

(11) 
$$(v, x - x_0) + ||x - x_0|| \sin \alpha \leq 0$$
.

The norm is Euclidean. The vertex of such a cone is  $x_0$ ; the axial ray within the cone has the direction -v; these cones are translates

of one another. We choose  $x_0$  so that the resulting cone contains K and the distance from K to the plane

$$(v, x - x_0) = 0$$

is as small as possible. We call this tangent cone C.

In §4 (7) is proved for j = 2.

 $K_4$  is  $C \cap \mathfrak{H}_0$ . This intersection is clearly a convex body which contains  $K_3$ . In §5 we prove (7) for j = 3. Finally (9) follows from a direct calculation sketched in §6.

3. Let us introduce a Cartesian coordinate system with origin at the vertex  $x_0$  of C and such that  $v = (-1, 0, \dots, 0)$ . The description of C takes the form

$$x_1 \geq \tan \alpha (x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$$

and the distance from K, which is in C, to the plane  $x_1 = 0$  is minimal. This means that each half-space

$$(12) u_2 x_2 + \cdots + u_n x_n \ge 0$$

must contain a point of  $B(\omega_{\alpha}) \cap \partial C$  for the following reason. If  $\partial K \cap \partial C$  had no points in (12), a small translation of K in the direction u would cause  $\partial K \cap \partial C$  to be empty; a subsequent small translation in the direction v would reduce the distance from K to  $x_1 = 0$ . Hence (12) contains a point x of  $\partial C \cap \partial K$ . The tangent plane to  $\partial C$  at x is a support plane of  $\partial K$  and the outer normal to this support plane makes an angle of measure  $\alpha$  with v, i.e., falls in  $\omega_{\alpha}$ . Thus x is also in  $B(\omega_{\alpha})$  as asserted.

We define conical bodies  $C_1$  and  $C_2$  to be the intersection of C with the half-spaces

$$x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \leqq D an lpha, x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} \leqq 2D an lpha$$

respectively.

We first prove that

(13)  $B(\omega_{\alpha}) \cap \partial C \subseteq C_1.$ 

Suppose to the contrary that there is a y in  $B(\omega_{\alpha}) \cap \partial C$  for which  $y_1 > D \tan \alpha$ . Since the radius of the intersection of C with

$$x_1 = D \tan \alpha$$

is D, a ball of radius D, centred at y, lies in a half-space of the form

$$(14) u_2 x_2 + \cdots + u_n x_n < 0$$

for some u. As noted in the previous paragraph, there is a point x

in the complement of (14) which is in  $B(\omega_{\alpha}) \cap \partial C$ . This would give two points x and y in K separated by a distance greater than the diameter D of K. The contradiction establishes (13).

Next we demonstrate

$$(15) B(\omega_{\alpha}) \subseteq C_2$$

Again the proof is by contradiction. Imagine z to be a point in  $B(\omega_{\alpha})$  for which  $z_1 > 2D \tan \alpha$ . z cannot be on the  $x_1$ -axis for the following reason. Let  $\Pi$  be a support plane to K which contains z. There must be a half-space of the form (12) in which the points of  $\Pi \cap \partial C$  lie in the half-space

$$x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1}>2D anlpha$$
 .

This implies that the points of  $\partial K \cap \partial C$  which lie in (12) are at a distance exceeding 2D from z which, again, contradicts the fact that D is the diameter of K.

Let z' be the point nearest to z on the  $x_1$ -axis. Set

$$u = (z - z')/||z - z'||;$$

u is orthogonal to v and z' and so

$$0 < (u, z' - z) = -(u, z)$$
.

Thus z satisfies

$$u_2 z_2 + \cdots + u_n z_n < 0$$
.

There is also a point x of

$$B(\omega_{a})\cap\partial C_{1}=B(\omega_{a})\cap\partial C_{2}$$

in the complementary half-space. Therefore the distance ||z - x|| must exceed the distance between  $(2D \tan \alpha, 0, \dots, 0)$  and the intersection of  $\partial C_1$  with the plane

$$x_{\scriptscriptstyle 1} = D an lpha$$
 .

That is to say

$$||z - x|| > (D^2 + D^2 \tan^2 \alpha)^{1/2} > D$$
.

This is impossible for x and z in K which completes the proof of (15). The plane

$$x_1 = 2D \tan lpha$$

is the cutting plane  $\Pi_0$  of §2; the conical convex body  $C_2$  is  $K_4$ .

4. From the definitions of  $K_2$  and  $K_3$  we see that their support planes  $\Pi_2(u)$  and  $\Pi_3(u)$  coincide whenever their outer normal directions u are in  $\omega_{\alpha}$ . Hence for such u, since  $K_2 \subseteq K_3$ ,

$$K_2 \cap \Pi_2(u) \subseteq K_3 \cap \Pi_3(u)$$
;

there is certainly equality when u is in the interior of  $\omega_{\alpha}$ . Inequality (7) for j = 2 follows from the next lemma, to the proof of which this section is devoted.

LEMMA. Let K and K' be two convex polyhedral bodies whose support planes with outer normal direction u are denoted by  $\Pi(u)$ and  $\Pi'(u)$ . If

(16) 
$$K \cap \Pi(u) \subseteq K' \cap \Pi'(u)$$

for each u in some Borel set  $\omega$  of  $\Omega$ , then

$$S_p(K,\,\omega) \leqq S_p(K',\,\omega), \; for \; \; p=1,\,2,\,\cdots,\,n-1$$
 .

We first require a description of  $S_p(K, \omega)$  where K is polyhedral. In this we follow work, as yet unpublished, of J. Zelver.

Consider a set of the form  $K \cap \Pi(u)$ ; this is a *p*-face  $e_p$  when  $e_p$ lies in a *p*-dimensional flat but not in a (p-1)-dimensional flat. The outer unit normals to support planes of K which contain  $e_p$  sweep out a closed, geodesically convex set  $\omega(e_p)$  on  $\Omega$  which is in  $\mathscr{B}$  and is (n-p-1)-dimensional. Throughout  $\omega(e_p)$  we distribute mass with constant density  $\lambda_p(e_p)$  equal to the *p*-dimensional volume of  $e_p$ . Thus if  $\omega$  is any subset of  $\omega(e_p)$  which is in  $\mathscr{B}$  and if  $\mu_{n-p-1}(\omega)$  is its (n-p-1)-dimensional volume, then the mass falling in  $\omega$  is  $\lambda_p(e_p)\mu_{n-p-1}(\omega)$ . The representation we seek is

(18) 
$$S_p(K, \omega) = \sum_{*} \lambda_p(e_p) \mu_{n-p-1}(\omega \cap \omega(e_p)) / \binom{n-1}{p},$$

where the starred summation is taken over all  $e_p$  in  $\partial K$ .

Consider the vector sum K + tE and let  $\Pi^*(u)$  signify its support plane with outer normal u. If x' is a point of

$$(K + tE) \cap H^*(u)$$
,

then there is a unique point x in  $K \cap H(u)$  such that

$$(19) x' - x = tu.$$

Suppose  $e_p$  to be the face of lowest dimension which contains x and let  $\{\Pi(u')\}$  be the set of support planes of K which contain  $e_p$  where u' ranges over  $\omega(e_p)$ . We form

(20) 
$$\bigcup \{(K + tE) \cap \Pi^*(u')\},\$$

where the starred union is taken over those u' in  $\omega \cap \omega(e_p)$ . If (20) is not empty, it is made up of points x' to each of which corresponds a unique x on

$$\bigcup_{u} (K \cap \Pi(u')) = e_p$$

for which (19) holds. Thus (20) is the Cartesian product of  $e_p$  with that part of the boundary of tE which is swept out by rays whose directions are in  $\omega \cap \omega(e_p)$ . Therefore, empty or not, the (n-1)-dimensional measure of (20) is

$$t^{n-p-1}\lambda_p(e_p)\mu_{n-p-1}(\omega\cap\omega(e_p))$$
 .

We add up all such contributions to  $S_{n-1}(K+tE,\omega)$  and obtain the sum

$$\sum\limits_{p=1}^n t^{n-p-1} \sum\limits_* \lambda_p(e_p) \mu_{n-p-1}(oldsymbol{\omega} \cap oldsymbol{\omega}(e_p))$$
 .

On the other hand, from the generalized Steiner formula [3, p. 31], we have

$$S_{n-1}(K+tE,\,\omega)=\sum\limits_{p=1}^nt^{n-p-1}\binom{n-1}{p}S_p(K,\,\omega)\;.$$

The comparison of coefficients of like powers of t in these two representations of  $S_{n-1}(K + tE, \omega)$  yields (18).

Choose u in  $\omega$ ; neither set in (16) is empty and so  $\Pi(u)$  and  $\Pi'(u)$  share a common point, have the same normal direction and so coincide. We have

$$K' \cap \Pi(u) = e'_p$$

for some p. By (16) either  $K \cap \Pi(u)$  is a face  $e_p$  of the same dimension p or this intersection is a face of lower dimension. In the latter case there is no contribution to the sum in (18), i.e., the left side of (17), whereas there would be a positive contribution to the right side of (17). In the former case, from (16) it follows that

(21) 
$$\lambda_p(e'_p) \ge \lambda_p(e_p) .$$

Also

(22) 
$$\mu_{n-p-1}(\omega \cap \omega(e'_p)) = \mu_{n-p-1}(\omega \cap \omega(e_p)) .$$

To see this, we prove that the two argument sets in (22) coincide by showing that, for any u in  $\Omega$ , we have  $K \cap \Pi(u) \supseteq e_p$  if and only if  $K' \cap \Pi(u) \supseteq e'_p$ .

353

If  $K' \cap \Pi(u) \supseteq e'_p$ , then  $e_p \subseteq e'_p \subseteq \Pi(u)$  and  $e_p$  also lies in  $\partial K$ . Hence  $e_p$  lies in  $K \cap \Pi(u)$ . Suppose  $e_p \subseteq K \cap \Pi(u)$ ; then  $e_p$  lies in  $\Pi(u)$ . Since  $e_p \subseteq e'_p$  by (16) and these two sets have the same dimensionality, any point x in  $e'_p$  is a linear combination of p + 1 suitable points in  $e_p$ . But, being such a combination of points in  $\Pi(u)$ , x must be in  $\Pi(u)$ . Thus  $e'_p$  is in both  $\Pi(u)$  and K' and so in their intersection.

Substitution from (21) and (22) into the representation (18) as it applies to K and K' proves (17).

5. Our next step is to prove (7) for j = 3. We first settle the simplest case: p = n - 1. It is clear from the construction of  $K_3$  and  $K_4$  that, for i = 3, 4:

$$egin{aligned} S_{n-1}(K_i,\,arOmega\,-\,\omega_lpha) &= \,S_{n-1}(K_i,\,\{-v\}) \;, \ S_{n-1}(K_i,\,\omega_lpha) &= \,S_{n-1}(K_i,\,\partial\omega_lpha) \;, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$S_{{n-1}}(K_i,\,\partial \omega_lpha)\coslpha\,=\,S_{{n-1}}(K_i,\,\{-v\})$$
 .

Consequently

$$S_{n-1}(K_i, arOmega) = (1 + \cos lpha) S_{n-1}(K_i, arOmega_lpha)$$
 .

Since  $K_3 \subseteq K_4$  and  $S_{n-1}(K, \Omega)$  is increasing in K, it follows that (7) holds for j = 3, p = n - 1. For the cases  $1 \leq p < n - 1$  a more elaborate argument is needed.

We shall examine the behaviour of  $S_p(K_i, \omega_a)$  in  $K_i$  by studying that of

$$Q_i = \int_{arrho_{-\omega_{lpha}}} (v, u) S_p(K_i, d\omega(u)), \, i = 3, 4$$
.

These integrals will be reduced to iterated integrals. For this purpose we let  $\Omega_{n-1}$  denote the set of u on  $\Omega$  which are orthogonal to v and we form, for each u in  $\Omega_{n-1}$ , the vectors

$$u_{\lambda} = \left[ (1-\lambda)u + \lambda(-v) \right] / \left| \left| (1-\lambda)u + \lambda(-v) \right| \right|$$
 .

As before, v is the centre of  $\omega_{\alpha}$ . We have

$$(u_{\lambda}, v) = -\lambda/(\phi(\lambda))^{1/2}$$

where

$$\phi(\lambda) = 1 - 2\lambda + 2\lambda^2$$
 .

Also, if s signifies arc length along the circle through v and u,

$$ds/d\lambda = 1/\phi(\lambda)$$
 .

Define  $\lambda_0 < 0$  by

$$-\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle 0} = \cos lpha (\phi(\lambda_{\scriptscriptstyle 0}))^{\scriptscriptstyle 1/2}$$
 .

As u passes over  $\Omega_{n-1}$  and  $\lambda$  over the interval  $\lambda_0 < \lambda < 1$ ,  $u_{\lambda}$  sweeps out

$$\Omega - \omega_{\alpha} - \{-v\}$$
.

For such u and  $\lambda$ :

$$\Pi_i(u_{\mathfrak{d}}) \cap K_i = \Pi_i(u) \cap \Pi_{\mathfrak{d}} \cap K_i = \Pi_i(u) \cap k_i$$

where we have set

$$k_i = K_i \cap \Pi_0$$
,

and we recall that  $\Pi_0$  is the support plane of  $K_i$  with outer normal -v. If we view each  $k_i$  as a nondegenerate convex body in the (n-1)-dimensional space  $\Pi_0$ , then the outer normals u to  $k_i$  fall in  $\Omega_{n-1}$  and  $k_i$  has area functions

$$s_1(k_i, \eta), \ldots, s_{n-2}(k_i, \eta)$$

defined over the Borel sets  $\eta$  of  $\Omega_{n-1}$ .

We write  $Q_i$  as an iterated integral

$$\int_{\lambda_0}^1 \frac{-\lambda}{(\phi(\lambda))^{1/2}} \Big( \int_{\mathscr{Q}_{n-1}} s_p(k_i, \, d\eta(u)) \Big) \frac{d\lambda}{\phi(\lambda)} = g S_p(k_i, \, \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}) \,\,,$$

where

$$g=\int_{\lambda_0}^1 rac{-\lambda d\lambda}{(\phi(\lambda))^{3/2}} < 0$$
 .

Here we have used the fact that the point -v can be deleted from  $\Omega - \omega_{\alpha}$  without affecting  $Q_i$  in virtue of (3) and the assumption that p < n - 1. Since  $k_3 \subseteq k_4$ 

$$s_p(k_3, \mathcal{Q}_{n-1}) \leq s_p(k_4, \mathcal{Q}_{n-1})$$

and, from the negativity of g, it follows that

$$Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 3} \geqq Q_{\scriptscriptstyle 4}$$
 .

The first condition in (2), which is satisfied by any area function, shows that

$$Q_i + \int_{{}^{\omega_lpha}} (v,\,u_{\scriptscriptstyle\lambda}) S_{\scriptscriptstyle p}(K_i,\,d\omega(u_{\scriptscriptstyle\lambda})) = 0$$
 .

Hence, from our last inequality, we obtain

(23) 
$$\int_{\omega_{\alpha}} (v, u_{\lambda}) S_{p}(K_{3}, d\omega(u_{\lambda})) \leq \int_{\omega_{\alpha}} (v, u_{\lambda}) S_{p}(K_{4}, d\omega(u_{\lambda})) .$$

Let  $x_0$  signify the vertex of the cone  $K_4$  and denote by  $\omega_{\alpha}^0$  the interior of  $\omega_{\alpha}$ . Then for all u in  $\omega_{\alpha}^0$ 

$$K_{4} \cap \Pi_{4}(u) = x_{0}$$

and, because  $p \geq 1$ ,

$$S_p(K, \omega_{\alpha}^0) = 0$$
.

Therefore on the right side of (23) the integration needs to be extended only over  $\partial \omega_{\alpha}$  throughout which  $(v, u_{\lambda})$  is  $\cos \alpha$ . This yields for the right side of (23)

 $\cos \alpha S_p(K_4, \omega_{\alpha})$ .

Consider the left side of (23). For  $u_{\lambda}$  in  $\omega_{\alpha}$  we have

$$(v, u_{\lambda}) \geq \cos \alpha$$

and so we may strengthen inequality (23) by replacing the left side by

$$\cos \alpha S_p(K_3, \omega_{\alpha})$$
.

After division by  $\cos \alpha$  the strengthened inequality is just (7) for  $j = 3, 1 \leq p < n - 1$ .

6. It remains to prove (9). In the Cartesian coordinate system of section three,  $K_4$  is the set of points x for which

$$an lpha (x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{1/2} \leqq x_1 \leqq 2D an lpha$$
 .

Let  $tE^*$  be the convex body formed by the intersection of the ball tE with the reflected polar cone to C, i.e.,

$$x_1 \leq -ctn\alpha (x_2^2 + \cdots + x_n^2)^{1/2}$$
.

The vector sum  $K_4 + tE^*$  is a convex body of revolution whose radial distance  $r(\xi)$  in the plane  $x_1 = \xi$  has the representation

$$r(\xi) = (t^2 - \xi^2)^{1/2}, -t \leq \xi \leq -t \cos \alpha;$$

$$(24) \qquad = \xi ctn\alpha + tcsc\alpha, -t \cos \alpha \leq \xi \leq 2D \tan \alpha - t \cos \alpha;$$

$$= 2D \sec^2 \alpha - \xi \tan \alpha, 2D \tan \alpha - t \cos \alpha \leq \xi \leq 2D \tan \alpha.$$

The volume  $V(K_4 + tE^*)$  is

(25) 
$$\omega_{n-1} \int_{-t}^{2D \tan \alpha} r^{n-1}(\xi) d\xi / (n-1) .$$

Here  $\omega_{n-1}$  is the area of the unit spherical surface in Euclidean (n-1)-dimensional space and is given by

$$\omega_{n-1} = 2\pi^{(n-1)/2}/\Gamma((n-1)/2)$$
,

where  $\Gamma$  is the usual gamma function.

We equate (25) with the Steiner polynomial

$$V(K_{*} + tE^{*}) = \sum_{p=0}^{n} {n \choose p} t^{n-p} V_{p}(K_{*}, E^{*})$$
 ,

where  $V_{p}(K_{4}, E^{*})$  is the mixed volume

$$V(\underbrace{K_4, \cdots, K_4}_{p}, \underbrace{E^*, \cdots, E^*}_{n-p})$$
.

Substitution from (24) into (25) and a comparison of coefficients of like powers of t yields

(26) 
$$V_p(K_4, E^*) = \omega_{n-1} (2D)^p (\sin \alpha)^{n-p-1} \sec \alpha / n(n-1)$$

We consider next the brush set (Bürstenmenge)  $B_i(K_4, \omega_{\alpha})$  which is formed from  $K_4$  in the following manner. At each point x of

$$\bigcup_{u \in \omega_{\alpha}} (K_{\bullet} \cap \Pi_{\bullet}(u))$$

we draw all segments  $x + \theta u, 0 < \theta \leq t$ , corresponding to u in  $\omega_{\alpha}$ . The union of these segments is  $B_t(K_t, \omega_{\alpha})$ . Clearly this is

$$(K_4 + tE^*) - K_4$$

and so the volume  $V_t(K_4, \omega_{\alpha})$  of  $B_t(K_4, \omega_{\alpha})$  is

$$V(K_4 + tE^*) - V(K_4) = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} {n \choose p} t^{n-p} V_p(K_4, E^*)$$

On the other hand, cf. [3, p. 31],

$$V_t(K_4, \omega_{lpha}) = \sum_{p=0}^{n-1} {n \choose p} t^{n-p} S_p(K_4, \omega_{lpha})/n$$
.

A comparison of coefficients of like powers of t in these two representations of  $V_t(K_4, \omega_a)$  yields

$$S_p(K_4,\,\omega_{\alpha}) = n \, V_p(K_4,\,E^*)$$

and this, together with (26), gives (9) with

$$A = 2^p \omega_{n-1}/(n-1)$$
.

This completes the proof of (1).

## References

1. A. D. Aleksandrov, Zur Theorie der gemischten Volumina von konvexen Körper III, Die Erweiterung zweier Lehrsätze Minkowskis über die konvexen Polyeder auf beliebige konvexe Flächen, Mat. Sbornik, N.S. 3 (1938), 27-46; (Russian with German summary).

2. H. Busemann, Convex Surfaces, New York, 1958.

3. W. Fenchel and B. Jessen, Mengenfunktionen und konvexe Körper, Det Kgl. Danske Videnskab, Selskab, Math-fys. Medd. 16 (1938), 3.

4. W.J. Firey, The determination of convex bodies from their mean radius of curvature functions, Mathematika, 14 (1967), 1-13.

5. W.J. Firey, Christoffel's problem for general convex bodies, Mathematika 15 (1968), 7-21.

Received June 6, 1968, and in revised form December 30, 1969.

OREGON STATE UNIVERSITY CORVALLIS, OREGON