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#### Abstract

In this note the spectra of certain endomorphisms of the disc algebra $A$ are determined. Those endomorphisms $T$ of $A$ given by $T f=f \circ \varphi$ for some $\varphi \in A$ with $\varphi$ having a fixed point $z_{0}$ in the open unit disc are considered and it is shown that either the spectrum $\sigma(T)$ of $T$ is the closed unit disc, or else $\sigma(T)$ is the closure of $\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{n} \mid n\right.$ is a positive integer $\} \cup$ \{1\}.


By an endomorphism of an algebra $B$ we mean a linear map $T$ of $B$ into itself satisfying $T(f g)=(T f)(T g)$ for all $f, g \in B$. We denote by $\sigma(T)$ those complex numbers $\lambda$ for which $(\lambda-T)^{-1}$ does not exist.

Throughout this note $A$ will denote the sup-norm algebra of functions continuous on the closed unit disc and analytic on the open unit disc. If $T(\neq 0)$ is a endomorphism of $A$, then there is a function $\varphi$ in the unit ball of $A$ for which $T f=f \circ \rho$ for all $f \in A$. Indeed, if $z$ is the identity function in $A$, then $\varphi=T z$. We call $T$ the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi$. Clearly $\sigma(T)$ depends on $\varphi$.

We remark that it follows from Schwarz's Lemma that if a function $\varphi \in A,\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$ has more than one fixed point in the open unit disc, then $\varphi(z)=z$ for all $z,|z| \leqq 1$. It is well-known, however, that such $\varphi \in A$ can have infinitely many points on the unit circle with $\varphi(z)=z$ and yet $\varphi$ need not be equal to the identity function $z$.

We begin by showing that if $\varphi$ has a fixed point $z_{0}$ in the open unit disc, it is no restriction to assume that $z_{0}=0$.

Lemma 1. Let $\varphi \in A,\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$ and $T$ be the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi$. Suppose $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$ and $\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$. Let $g$ be the linear fractional transformation $g(z)=\left(z_{0}-z\right) /\left(1-\bar{z}_{0} z\right)$ and $T^{\prime \prime}$ the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\psi=g \circ \varphi \circ g$. Then $\psi(0)=0, \psi^{\prime}(0)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$ and $\sigma\left(T^{\prime}\right)=\sigma(T)$.

Proof. The map $\mathscr{G}: f(z) \rightarrow f\left(\left(z_{0}-z\right) /\left(1-\bar{z}_{0} z\right)\right)$ is an isometry of $A$ onto itself, and so $\sigma(T)=\sigma\left(\mathscr{U}^{-1} T \mathscr{U}\right)=\sigma\left(T^{\prime \prime}\right)$. It is a routine verification that $\psi(0)=0$ and $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)$.

When $T$ is an automorphism (a $1-1$ onto endomorphism) of $A$, then the spectrum of $T$ is easy to determine. Indeed, if $\varphi$ is the function which induces $T$, then $\varphi$ is a schlicht mapping of the disc onto itself. By Lemma 1 we may assume that $\varphi(0)=0$. Then $\varphi$
has the form $\varphi(z)=c z$ for some $c,|c|=1$. Now, for each positive integer $k, c^{k}$ is an eigenvalue of $T$ because $T\left(z^{k}\right)=(\varphi(z))^{k}=(c z)^{k}=$ $c^{k} z^{k}$, and so either $c^{n}=1$ for some positive integer $n$ in which case $T^{n}=I$ and $\sigma(T)=\left\{1, c, \cdots, c^{n-1}\right\}$ or else, if $c$ is not a root of unity, then $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid=1\}$.

Definition. Let $\varphi \in A$ with $\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$. If $k$ is a nonnegative integer, we denote the $k^{\text {th }}$ iterate of $\varphi$ by $\varphi_{k}$. That is, $\varphi_{0}(z)=z$ and $\varphi_{k}(z)=\varphi\left(\varphi_{k-1}(z)\right),|z| \leqq 1$. Furthermore, we will call $\bigcap_{k}$ range $\left(\varphi_{k}\right)$ the fixed set of $\varphi$.

Straightforward topological arguments show that the fixed set of $\varphi$ is a compact, connected subset of the unit disc and that $\varphi$ maps its fixed set onto itself.

For the endomorphisms we are considering, the spectra will depend on the fixed set of the inducing maps.

Lemma 2. Let $\varphi \in A,\|\varphi\| \leqq 1, \varphi(0)=0$ and $T$ be the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi$. Then $\sigma(T) \supset\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n} \mid n\right.$ is a positive integer $\}$.

Proof. The assertion is clearly true if $T$ is an automorphism or if $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=0$. For the case $0<\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$, we show that for each positive integer $k,\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)^{k}-T\right) f \neq z^{k}\right.$ for all $f \in A$.

For, suppose $f \in A$ and $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f-f(\varphi)=z^{k}$. Then

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f^{\prime}(z)-f^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) \varphi^{\prime}(z)=k z^{k-1} \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

At $z=0,\left(^{*}\right)$ becomes $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f^{\prime}(0)-f^{\prime}(0) \varphi^{\prime}(0)=0$, or $f^{\prime}(0)=0$.
Further,
$\left({ }^{* *}\right) \quad\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f^{\prime \prime}(z)-f^{\prime \prime}(\varphi(z))\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right)^{2}-f^{\prime}(\varphi(z)) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(z)=k(k-1) z^{k-2}$.
At $z=0,\left({ }^{* *}\right)$ becomes $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f^{\prime \prime}(0)-f^{\prime \prime}(0)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}-f^{\prime}(0) \varphi^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$, and since we already have $f^{\prime}(0)=0$, we obtain $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f^{\prime \prime}(0)-f^{\prime \prime}(0)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2}=$ 0 , or $f^{\prime \prime}(0)=0$.

Continuing, we obtain for $j<k$,

$$
\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f^{(j)}(z)-f^{(j)}(z)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right)^{j}
$$

- (terms of derivatives of $f$ of degree $<j$ )

$$
\begin{equation*}
=k(k-1) \cdots(k-j+1) z^{k-j} \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

so that at $z=0,\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f^{(j)}(0)-f^{(j)}(0)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{j}=0$, or $f^{(j)}(0)=0$.
For $j=k$,
$\left({ }^{* * * *)} \quad\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} f^{(k)}(0)-f^{(k)}(z)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(z)\right)^{k}\right.$

- (terms of derivatives of $f$ of degree $<k)=k$ !.

The left side of $\left({ }^{* * * *}\right)$ equals 0 at $z=0$, while the right side equals $k$ !. Thus $z^{k} \notin$ range $\left(\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k}-T\right.$ ) so that $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k} \in \sigma(T)$ for all positive integers $k$.

Lemma 3. Let $\varphi \in A,\|\varphi\| \leqq 1, \varphi(0)=0$ and $T$ be the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi$. Assume $\lambda \neq\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}$ for all positive integers $n$, and $\lambda \neq 0$, 1. If $\nu$ is a positive integer, $f, g \in A$ with $(\lambda-T) f=g$ and $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=g^{(\nu)}(0)=0$, then $f(0)=f^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=f^{(\nu)}(0)=0$.

Proof. Assume $\lambda f-f(\varphi)=g$ and $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=g^{(\nu)}(0)=0$. Evaluating at $z=0$ gives $\lambda f(0)-f(0)=g(0)=0$, so that $f(0)=0$ since $\lambda \neq 1$.

Further, $\lambda f^{\prime}-f^{\prime}(\varphi) \varphi^{\prime}=g^{\prime}$. At $z=0$, this becomes $\lambda f^{\prime}(0)-$ $f^{\prime}(0) \varphi^{\prime}(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=0$, so that $f^{\prime}(0)=0$ since $\lambda \neq \varphi^{\prime}(0)$.

In general, for $k \leqq \nu$,

$$
\lambda f^{(k)}-f^{(k)}(\varphi)\left(\varphi^{\prime}\right)^{k}=g^{(k)}+\left(\text { terms in } f^{(j)}(\varphi), j<k\right) .
$$

Again evaluating at $z=0$ gives $\lambda f^{(k)}(0)-f^{(k)}(0)\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k}=0$, so that $f^{(k)}(0)=0$ for $k=0,1, \cdots, \nu$, since $\lambda \neq\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{k}$, for all positive integers $k$.

When $g=0$, Lemma 3 can be restated as
Corollary 4. If $\lambda \neq\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}$ for all positive integers $n$ and $\lambda \neq$ 0,1 , then $\lambda$ is not an eigenvalue.

Lemma 5. Let $\varphi \in A,\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$ and $T$ be the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi$. If $g \in A$ and $(\lambda-T) f=g$, then
(') $\quad \lambda^{n} f=f\left(\varphi_{n}\right)+\lambda^{n-1} g+\lambda^{n-2} g(\varphi)+\cdots+\lambda g\left(\varphi_{n-2}\right)+g\left(\varphi_{n-1}\right)$.
Proof. By induction on $n$. (') is true for $n=1$.
Assume (') is true for $n$. Then

$$
\lambda^{n} f=f\left(\mathscr{\varphi}_{n}\right)+\lambda^{n-1} g+\lambda^{n-2} g(\mathscr{\rho})+\cdots+\lambda g\left(\varphi_{n-2}\right)+g\left(\varphi_{n-1}\right)
$$

Also $\lambda f\left(\varphi_{n}\right)=f\left(\varphi_{n+1}\right)+g\left(\varphi_{n}\right)$ by hypothesis. Hence

$$
\lambda^{n+1} f=f\left(\varphi_{n+1}\right)+\lambda^{n} g+\lambda^{n-1} g(\varphi)+\cdots+\lambda g\left(\varphi_{n-1}\right)+g\left(\varphi_{n}\right)
$$

as needed.
Lemma 6. Let $\varphi \in A, \varphi(0)=0$ and $\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$. If $|\boldsymbol{z}|<1$ (or, in fact, if $\left|\varphi_{j}(z)\right|<1$ for some positive integer $j$ ), then $\varlimsup_{k}\left|\varphi_{k}(z)\right|^{1 / k} \leqq$ $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|$. Furthermore, (1) if $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=0$, then given $\varepsilon>0$, and $r \in[0,1)$, there exists $B>0$ so that for each positive integer $m,\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right| \leqq B \varepsilon^{m}$ for all $z,|z| \leqq r$; (2) if $0<\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$, then given $\varepsilon>0$ and $r \in[0,1$ ),
there exists $B>0$ so that for each positive integer $m,\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right| \leqq$ $B\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{m}$ for all $z,|z| \leqq r$.

## Proof.

(i) $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=0$.

By the definition of derivative, given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ so that $|\varphi(w)| \leqq \varepsilon|w|$ for $|w|<\delta$. Using the fact that Schwarz's lemma implies $|\varphi(w)|<\delta$ when $|w|<\delta$, an induction argument shows that $\left|\varphi_{n}(w)\right| \leqq \varepsilon^{n}|w|$ for all positive integers $n$ and all $w,|w|<\delta$.

Now if $r \in[0,1)$, there is a positive integer $N$ with $\left|\varphi_{N}(z)\right|<\delta$ for all $z,|z| \leqq r$. Thus $\left|\varphi_{n+N}(z)\right|=\left|\varphi_{n}\left(\varphi_{N}(z)\right)\right| \leqq \varepsilon^{n}\left|\varphi_{N}(z)\right|$ for $|z| \leqq$ $r$, and so $\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right| \leqq \varepsilon^{m}\left|\varphi_{N}(z) \varepsilon^{-N}\right|, m \geqq N$ when $|z| \leqq r$. Letting $B=$ $(r / \varepsilon)^{N}$ proves (1).

Furthermore, since $\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right|^{1 / m} \leqq \varepsilon B^{1 / m}$, we find $\overline{\lim }_{m}\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right|^{1 / m} \leqq \varepsilon$. Since $\varepsilon$ is an arbitrary positive number, we conclude that $\lim _{m}\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right|^{1 / m}=$ 0 .
(ii) $0<\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$.

Given $\varepsilon>0$, there exists $\delta>0$ so that $|\varphi(w)| \leqq(1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right||w|$ for $|w|<\delta$. Again using Schwarz's lemma to show that $|\varphi(w)|<$ $\delta$ if $|w|<\delta$, we can show by induction that $\left|\varphi_{n}(w)\right| \leqq\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{n}|w|$ for all positive integers $n$ and all $w,|w|<\delta$.

As before, if $r \in[0,1)$, there exists a positive integer $N$ for which $\left|\varphi_{N}(z)\right|<\delta$ for all $z,|z| \leqq r$. Thus $\left|\varphi_{n+N}(z)\right| \leqq\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{n}\left|\varphi_{N}(z)\right|$ for $|z| \leqq r$, so that $\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right| \leqq\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{m}\left|\varphi_{N}(z)\right|\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{-N}$ for $m \geqq N,|z| \leqq r$. Letting $B=\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{-N}$ proves (2).

Also, since $\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right|^{1 / m} \leqq B^{1 / m}(1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|$, we find that $\overline{\lim }_{m}\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right|^{1 / m} \leqq$ $(1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|$. Since $\varepsilon>0$ is arbitrary, we have $\overline{\lim }_{m}\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right|^{1 / m} \leqq$ $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|$.
(iii) If $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|=1$, then $\varphi(z)=c z$ for some $c,|c|=1$, and for $z \neq$ $0,\left|\varphi_{k}(z)\right|=|z| \neq 0$, for all positive integers $k$. Clearly, $\lim _{k}\left|\varphi_{k}(z)\right|^{1 / k}=$ $1=\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|,|z| \neq 0$.

Theorem 7. Let $\varphi \in A,\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$ and $T$ be the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi$. Suppose $\varphi$ has a fixed point in the open unit disc and that the fixed set of $\varphi$ is infinite. If $T$ is not an automorphism, then $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid \leqq 1\}$.

Proof. We may assume that 0 is the fixed point of $\varphi$ and since $T$ is not an automorphism we have $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$.

Now fix a positive integer $\nu$. We show that $\sigma(T) \supset\left\{\lambda\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}<|\lambda|<1\right\}$.
Assume there exists $\lambda_{0} \notin \sigma(T)$ with $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}<\left|\lambda_{0}\right|<1$. Then $(\lambda-T)^{-1}$ exists for $\lambda$ in a neighborhood of $\lambda_{0}$ which we assume small enough so that each $\lambda$ in this neighborhood satisfies $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}<|\lambda|<1$.

Let $g(z)=z^{\nu}$ and let $f=(\lambda-T)^{-1} g$. By Lemma 5, for each positive integer $n$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
f(z)=f\left(\varphi_{n}(z)\right) \lambda^{-n}+\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{n-1} g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k} \tag{}
\end{equation*}
$$

Since $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=g^{(\nu-1)}(0)=0$, Lemma 3 implies that $f(0)=$ $f^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=f^{(\nu-1)}(0)=0$, and so $\left|f\left(\varphi_{n}(z)\right)\right| \leqq\left|\left|f \|\left|\varphi_{n}(z)\right|^{\nu}\right.\right.$ for all positive integers $n$. Of course, $\left|g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right)\right|=\left|\varphi_{k}(z)\right|^{\nu}$ for all positive integers $k$.

Lemma 6 asserts that $\varlimsup_{n}\left|\varphi_{n}(z)\right|^{1 / n} \leqq\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|$ for all $z,|z|<1$, so that for such $z$,

$$
\varlimsup_{n}\left|f\left(\varphi_{n}(z)\right) \lambda^{-1 / n} \leqq \varlimsup_{n}\left(\|f\|\left|\varphi_{n}(z)^{\nu} \lambda^{-n}\right|\right)^{1 / n}\right|\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{\nu} \lambda^{-1} \mid<1
$$

Hence the first term of the right hand side of (*) approaches 0 as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

Furthermore, $\varlimsup_{k}\left|g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k}\right|^{1 / k}=\varlimsup_{k}\left|\varphi_{k}(z)^{\nu} \lambda^{-k}\right|^{1 / k} \leqq\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}|\lambda|^{-1}<$ 1 so that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k}$ converges for all $z,|z|<1$. Thus for $\lambda$ in some neighborhood of $\lambda_{0}$ with $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}<|\lambda|<1$,

$$
f(z)=(\lambda-T)^{-1} g(z)=\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k} \text { for all } z,|z|<1
$$

Now let $S$ be the fixed set of $\varphi$. Since $\varphi$ is analytic on the open unit disc, $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$ and $\varphi$ maps $S$ onto itself, we can construct a sequence $\left\{x_{n}\right\}_{-\infty}^{\infty}$ in $S$ satisfying
(i) $0<\left|x_{0}\right|<1$, (ii) $\varphi\left(x_{n}\right)=x_{n+1}$, and (iii) the $x_{n}$ 's are distinct. If $x_{0}$ is fixed, then $x_{n}=\varphi_{n}\left(x_{0}\right)$ are uniquely determined for $n>0$, but unless $\varphi$ is $1-1$ on $S$, there may be many choices for $x_{-1}, x_{-2}, \cdots$.

Let $B$ be the Banach algebra of bounded functions on $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ with component-wise addition and multiplication and sup-norm. The map $\varphi$ induces an isometric automorphism $\widetilde{T}$, say, on $B$, by $\widetilde{T} h\left(x_{n}\right)=h\left(\varphi\left(x_{n}\right)\right)=$ $h\left(x_{n+1}\right)$, for $h \in B$. For convenience, define $\varphi_{-k}$ on $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ by $\varphi_{-k}\left(x_{n}\right)=$ $x_{n-k}$ 。

Now, $\sigma(\widetilde{T})=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid=1\}$ so that if $|\lambda|<1$, then $(\lambda-\widetilde{T})^{-1}$ exists on $B$ and $F=(\lambda-\widetilde{T})^{-1} g$ (on $\left\{x_{n}\right\}$ ) satisfies

$$
\begin{aligned}
F\left(x_{0}\right)=-\widetilde{T}^{-1}\left[\left(I-\lambda \widetilde{T}^{-1}\right)^{-1} g\right]\left(x_{0}\right) & =-\widetilde{T}^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} \widetilde{T}^{-k} g\left(x_{0}\right)=-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} \widetilde{T}^{-(k+1)} g\left(x_{0}\right) \\
& =-\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} g\left(\varphi_{-(k+1)}\left(x_{0}\right)=-\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \lambda^{k} g\left(\varphi_{-k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right. \\
& =-\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} g\left(\varphi_{k}(x)\right) \lambda^{-k}
\end{aligned}
$$

Therefore, for each $\lambda$ in some ball about $\lambda_{0}$, with $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}<|\lambda|<$ 1, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g\left(\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \lambda^{-k}=-\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=-\infty}^{-1} g\left(\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \lambda^{-k}, \tag{**}
\end{equation*}
$$

since both expressions represent $\left((\lambda-\widetilde{T})^{-1} g\right)\left(x_{0}\right)$.
On the other hand, $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g\left(\mathcal{P}_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) w^{-k}$ is the Laurent expansion of a function analytic in the annulus $\left\{w\left|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}<|w|<1\right\}\right.$ since $\varlimsup_{\lim _{k}}\left|g\left(\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{1 / k}=\varlimsup_{\lim }^{k}\left|\left(\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)^{\nu}\right|^{1 / k} \leqq\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}$ and $\varlimsup_{k}\left|g\left(\varphi_{-k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)\right|^{1 / k} \leqq 1$. But (**) implies that $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g\left(\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) \lambda^{-k}=0$ in a ball about $\lambda_{0}$ and so the analytic function $\sum_{k=-\infty}^{\infty} g\left(\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right) w^{-k}$ is identically zero in the entire annulus $\left\{w\left|\left|\phi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{*}<|w|<1\right\}\right.$. Thus $g\left(\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right)=0$ for all integers $k$. Since $\left\{\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right)\right\}$ is infinite and $\varphi_{k}\left(x_{0}\right) \rightarrow 0$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$, the analytic function $g$ vanishes on an infinite set with 0 as a limit point. Hence $g=0$. But this contradicts the assumption that $g(z)=z^{\nu}$.

Therefore, the assumption that there exists $\lambda_{0} \notin \sigma(T)$ with $\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{2}<$ $\left|\lambda_{0}\right|<1$ is false. Hence $\sigma(T) \supset\left\{\lambda\left|\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|^{\nu}<|\lambda|<1\right\}\right.$. Since $\nu$ is arbitrary, $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid \leqq 1\}$.

Lemma 8. Let $\varphi \in A,\|\Phi\| \leqq 1, \varphi(0)=0$ and $T$ be the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi$. Let $\nu$ be a positive integer. Suppose every function in $A$ with a zero of order at least $(\nu+1)$ at 0 is in the range of $(\lambda-T)$, where $\lambda \neq 0,1,\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}, n$ a positive integer. Then $1, z, z^{2}, \cdots, z^{\nu}$ are in the range of $\lambda-T$.

Proof. Let $g$ be defined on the unit disc by $g(z)=(\varphi(z))^{\nu}-$ $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{2} z^{\nu}$. Then $g \in A$ and has a zero of order at least $(\nu+1)$ at 0 . By hypothesis we can find $h \in A$ with $(\lambda-T) h=g$. Let $f=$ $\left(\lambda-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{\nu}\right)^{-1}\left(h+z^{\nu}\right)$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
(\lambda-T) f & =\left(\lambda-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{\nu}\right)^{-1}\left[(\lambda-T) h+(\lambda-T) z^{\nu}\right] \\
& =\left(\lambda-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{\nu}\right)^{-1}\left[g+\lambda z^{\nu}-(\varphi(z))^{2}\right] \\
& =\left(\lambda-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{\nu}\right)^{-1}\left[(\varphi(z))^{2}-\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{\nu} z^{\nu}+\lambda z^{\nu}-(\varphi(z))^{\nu}\right]=z^{\nu} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Thus if range $(\lambda-T)$ contains all functions with a zero of order at least $(\nu+1)$ at 0 , and if $\lambda \neq 0,1,\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}$ for all positive integers $n$, then $z^{\nu} \in$ range $(\lambda-T)$.

In the same way we can conclude, successively, that $z^{\mu-1}, z^{\nu-2}, \cdots$, $z \in$ range $(\lambda-T)$. Also $(\lambda-T)(\lambda-1)^{-1}=1$ showing that the constants are in range ( $\lambda-T)$.

Theorem 9. Let $\varphi \in A,\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$ and $T$ be the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi$. Let $z_{0}$ be a fixed point of $\varphi$ in the open unit disc and suppose $\left\{z_{0}\right\}$ is the fixed set of $\varphi$. Then $\sigma(T)=\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{n} \mid n\right.$ is a positive integer $\} \cup\{0,1\}$.

Proof. By Lemma 1 we may assume that $z_{0}=0$ and Lemma 2
implies that $\sigma(T) \supset\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n} \mid n\right.$ is a positive integer $\}$. Certainly 0 and 1 are in $\sigma(T)$.

We prove that $\sigma(T)=\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n} \mid n\right.$ is a positive integer $\} \cup\{0,1\}$ for the case $0<\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$. The case $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=0$ is entirely similar.

Since the fixed set of $\varphi$ is $\{0\}$, given $r \in(0,1)$, there exists a positive integer $m$ with $\left|\varphi_{m}(z)\right|<r$ for all $z,|z| \leqq 1$. Choose $\varepsilon>0$ so that $(1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|<1$. Let $\nu$ be an arbitrary positive integer and consider $\lambda$ satisfying $\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{\nu+1}<|\lambda|$.

By Lemma 6, there exists $B_{1}>0$ so that
$\left|\varphi_{k}\left(\varphi_{m}(z)\right)\right|<B_{1}\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{k}$ for all $z,|z| \leqq 1$, and all positive integers $k$. Hence
$\left|\varphi_{k}(z)\right|<B\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{k}$ for all $z,|z| \leqq 1$, and all positive integers $k$, where $B=B_{1}\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\phi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{-m}$.

Now let $g \in A$ with $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=g^{(\nu)}(0)=0$. We claim that $g \in$ range $(\lambda-T)$. To see this, we observe first that $\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k}$ converges uniformly in $z$. Indeed, $|g(z)| \leqq\|g\||z|^{\nu+1}$ and
(*) $\quad\left|\sum_{k=N}^{M} g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k}\right| \leqq\|g\| \sum_{k=N}^{M}\left|\varphi_{k}(z)\right|^{\nu+1}|\lambda|^{-k}$

$$
\leqq\|g\| B^{\nu+1} \sum_{k=N}^{M}\left[\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{\nu+1}|\lambda|^{-1}\right]^{k} .
$$

Since $\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{\nu+1}<|\lambda|$, the right most term of (*) goes to 0 as $N, M \rightarrow \infty$.

Define $f$ on the closed unit disc by $f(z)=\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k}$. Then $f \in A$ and $\lambda f(z)-f(\varphi(z))=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g\left(\varphi_{k}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k}-\lambda^{-1} \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} g\left(_{k+1}(z)\right) \lambda^{-k}=$ $g(z)$.

Hence, if $\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{\nu+1}<|\lambda|<1$ and $g$ has a zero of order at least $(\nu+1)$ at 0 , then $g \in$ range $(\lambda-T)$. By the preceding lemma, if $\lambda$ also is not equal to $0,1,\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}$ for positive integers $n$, then $1, z, \cdots, z^{\nu}$ also belong to range $(\lambda-T)$.

Now, every $h \in A$ may be written as

$$
h(z)=\left(h(0)+h^{\prime}(0) z+\cdots+\frac{h^{(\nu)}(0}{\nu!} z^{\nu}\right)+g(z)
$$

where

$$
g(z)=\left(h(z)-h(0)-h^{\prime}(0) z-\cdots-\frac{h^{(\nu)}(0)}{\nu!} z^{\nu}\right)
$$

Clearly, $g(0)=g^{\prime}(0)=\cdots=g^{(\nu)}(0)$. As we have shown, $g \in$ range $(\lambda-T)$ when $|\lambda|>\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{2+1}$. Also, if $\lambda \neq 0,1,\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}, n$ a positive integer, then $1, z, \cdots, z^{\nu} \in$ range $(\lambda-T)$. Thus, for these $\lambda$, every $h$ in $A$ is in the range of $(\lambda-T)$, so $(\lambda-T)$ is onto. Also, by Corollary $4,(\lambda-T)$ is $1-1$ if $\lambda \neq 0,1,\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}, n$ a positive integer.

Hence, $(\lambda-T)^{-1}$ exists for all $\lambda,|\lambda|>\left((1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|\right)^{\nu+1}$ and $\lambda \neq 0,1$, $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}, n$ a positive integer. Since $\nu$ is arbitrary, we conclude that if $\lambda \neq 0,1,\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}, n$ a positive integer, then $\lambda \notin \sigma(T)$.

As we noted, Lemma 2 shows that for each positive integer $n$, $\left(\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n}$ is in $\sigma(T)$. Since 0 and 1 are in $\sigma(T), \sigma(T)=\left\{\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right)^{n} \mid n$ is a positive integer $\} \cup\{0,1\}$.

The case when $\varphi^{\prime}(0)=0$ is similar. We just replace $(1+\varepsilon)\left|\varphi^{\prime}(0)\right|$ by $\varepsilon$.

To summarize, we have shown that if $T$ is the endomorphism of $A$ induced by $\varphi \in A,\|\varphi\| \leqq 1$, and if there is a fixed point $z_{0}$ of $\varphi$ in the open unit disc, then the spectrum of $T$ is determined as follows.
(1) If $\varphi$ is schlicht and onto, then $T$ is an automorphisms and $\sigma(T)$ is the closure of $\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{n} \mid n\right.$ is a positive integer $\}$. We have seen that $\sigma(T)$ is contained in the unit circle and that $\sigma(T)$ may be finite.
(2) If $T$ is not an automorphism, but the fixed set of $\varphi$ is infinite, then Theorem 7 shows that $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid \leqq 1\}$.
(3) If the fixed set of $\varphi$ consists of the single point $z_{0}$ in the open unit disc, then Theorem 9 shows that $\sigma(\sigma)=\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{n} \mid n\right.$ is a positive integer $\} \cup\{0,1\}$ 。

Some simple examples of the various types of endomorphisms we have discussed are (i) $T$ is induced by a linear fractional transformation $\varphi$ of the unit disc onto itself. Then $T$ is an automorphism and $\sigma(T)=$ closure $\left\{\left(\varphi^{\prime}\left(z_{0}\right)\right)^{n} \mid n\right.$ is a positive integer $\}$ where $\left|z_{0}\right|<1$ and $\varphi\left(z_{0}\right)=z_{0}$. If $\varphi$ is normalized to have $z_{0}=0$, then $\varphi$ has the form $\varphi(z)=c z,|c|=1$. Here, $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid=1\}$ if $c$ is not a root of unity; otherwise, if $c^{n}=1, \sigma(T)=\left\{1, c, \cdots c^{n-1}\right\}$.
(ii) $T$ is induced by $\varphi(z)=\left(z+z^{2}\right) / 2$. Here one can show that the fixed set is infinite and hence $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid \leqq 1\}$.
(iii) $T$ is induced by $\varphi(z)=\left(z+z^{2}\right) / 4$. Here the fixed set of $\varphi$ is $\{0\}, \varphi^{\prime}(0)=1 / 4$, and so $\sigma(T)=\left\{4^{-n} \mid n\right.$ is a nonnegative integer $\} \cup$ $\{0\}$.
(iv) $T$ is induced by $\varphi(z)=c z^{k}, k$ a positve integer $>1$. If $|c|=$ 1 , then the fixed set of $\varphi$ is the entire disc and $\sigma(T)=\{\lambda| | \lambda \mid \leqq 1\}$, while if $|c|<1$, then the fixed set of $\varphi$ is $\{0\}$ and $\sigma(T)=\{0,1\}$.

As a final remark, the question of determining the spectra of endomorphisms induced by $\varphi \in A$ with fixed points only on the unit circle is still open. Again the spectra seem to depend on the fixed set of $\varphi$, but only partial results have been obtained.
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