MONOTONE MAPPINGS OF A TWO-DISK ONTO ITSELF WHICH FIX THE DISK'S BOUNDARY CAN BE CANONICALLY APPROXIMATED BY HOMEOMORPHISMS

WILLIAM E. HAVER

The theorem stated in the title is proven. As a corollary it is shown that the space of all such monotone mappings is an absolute retract.

1. Introduction. Let D^* denote the standard *n*-ball of radius one in E^* and $H(D^*)$ the space of all homeomorphisms of D^* onto itself which equal the identity on the boundary of D^* . Let $\overline{H(D^*)}$ denote the space of all mappings of D^* onto itself which can be approximated arbitrarily closely by elements of $H(D^*)$. Under the supremum topology, $H(D^*)$ and $\overline{H(D^*)}$ are separable metric spaces; $\overline{H(D^*)}$ is complete under the supremum metric. It is known that $\overline{H(D^*)}$ is locally contractible $[7], \overline{H(D^*)} \times l_2 \approx \overline{H(D^*)}$ [4], $\overline{H(D^*)}$ is homogeneous [7], and that $\overline{H(D^1)} \approx l_2$ [3]. In this paper we shall be concerned with the case n = 2, and to simplify notation we shall write D for D^* . It is wellknown (cf. [8]) that $\overline{H(D)}$ is the space of all monotone mappings of D onto itself which equal the identity when restricted to the boundary of D.

We shall show [Theorem 1] that the elements of H(D) can be "canonically approximated" by elements of H(D) and [Theorem 2] that $\overline{H(D)}$ is an absolute retract. The work of this paper depends heavily on W. K. Mason's paper, "The space of all self-homeomorphisms of a two-cell which fix the cell's boundary is an absolute retract", [9]. The crux of Mason's paper is the definition of a basis for H(D) which can be shown to possess some particularly nice properties. We shall review the definition of this basis in the following section and then define a basis for $\overline{H(D)}$. Familiarity will be assumed with the notation and basic definitions of [9].

2. Mason's basis for H(D). Consider D to be a rectangle in \mathbb{R}^2 with horizontal and vertical sides. A grating, P, on D consists of a finite number of spanning segments (crosscuts) across D, parallel to its sides, with the same number of horizontal and vertical crosscuts. Let P_1, P_2, \cdots be a sequence of gratings on D such that (a) the mesh of P_i approaches 0 as i increases and (b) if l is a crosscut of P_i and $j \geq i$, then l is a crosscut of P_j .

Let \mathcal{H} be the collection of all polyhedral disks H contained in D

such that Bd (H) is the union of a vertical segment in the left side of Bd (D), a vertical segment in the right side of Bd (D), a polygonal spanning arc of D, H^{T} , that is contained in the closure of the same component of H(D) - H as the top of Bd (D), and a polygonal spanning arc of D, H^{s} , that is contained in the closure of the same component of H(D) - H as the bottom of Bd (D). Let \mathscr{V} be the collection of all polyhedral disks V contained in D such that Bd (V) is the union of a horizontal segment in the top of Bd (D), a horizontal segment in the bottom of Bd (D), a polygonal spanning arc of D, V^{L} , that is contained in the closure of the same component of H(D) - V as the left side of Bd (D) and a polygonal spanning arc of D, V^{R} , that is contained in the closure of the same component of H(D) - V as the right side of Bd (D).

Let P_j be a grating from the sequence P_1, P_2, \cdots . Let $\{l_1, \cdots, l_n\}$ be the set of horizontal crosscuts of P_j and $\{m_1, \cdots, m_n\}$ the set of vertical crosscuts. Let $\{H_1, \cdots, H_n\} \subset \mathscr{H}$ satisfy $H_i \cap H_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$ and $\{V_1, \cdots, V_n\} \subset \mathscr{V}$ satisfy $V_i \cap V_j = \emptyset$ if $i \neq j$. Then define

$$egin{aligned} O(P_j; H_1, \ \cdots, \ H_n; \ V_1, \ \cdots, \ V_n) \ &= \{f \in H(D) \mid f(l_i) \subset H_i - \{\operatorname{Cl} (D - H_i)\} ext{ and } \ f(m_i) \subset V_i - \{\operatorname{Cl} (D - V_i)\} ext{ for } 1 \leq i \leq n\} \ . \end{aligned}$$

Then the basis for H(D), which Mason denotes HVT, is the collection of all such open sets.

3. A Basis for $\overline{H(D)}$. In this section we define a basis, β , for $\overline{H(D)}$ and demonstrate that it possesses some nice properties. Let P_j , $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ and $\{V_1, \dots, V_n\}$ be as in the definition of HVT. The basis, β , will consist of all sets of the following form:

$$egin{aligned} &B(P_i;\,H_1,\,\cdots,\,H_n;\,V_1,\,\cdots,\,V_n)\ &=\{f\in\overline{H(D)}\mid f^{-1}(l_i)\subset H_i-\{\operatorname{Cl}\,(D\,-\,H_i)\}\,\, ext{and}\ &f^{-1}(m_i)\subset V_i-\{\operatorname{Cl}\,(D\,-\,V_i)\},\,\, ext{for}\,\,1\leq i\leq n\}\;. \end{aligned}$$

We note that $f \in B(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n) \cap H(D)$ if and only if $f^{-1} \in O(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n)$. To see that the elements of β are open subsets of $\overline{H(D)}$, let f be an arbitrary element of $B(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n)$. Then let

$$arepsilon = \min_{1 \leq i \leq n} \left\{ d(f(H_i^{ \mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle T}} \cup H_i^{ \mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle B}}), igcup_{j=1}^n l_j), \, d(f(V_i^{ \mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle L}} \cup V_i^{ \mathrm{\scriptscriptstyle R}}), igcup_{j=1}^n m_j)
ight\} \, .$$

Let g be an arbitrary element of $\overline{H(D)}$ satisfying $d(f, g) < \varepsilon$. Suppose that $g \notin B(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n)$. Then, without loss of generality, we can assume that there exists an integer i such that $g^{-1}(l_i)$

is not contained in $H_i - \operatorname{Cl}(D - H_i)$. Since (i) $g^{-1}(l_i) \cap (\operatorname{Bd} D) = f^{-1}(l_i) \cap (\operatorname{Bd} D) \subset H_i - \operatorname{Cl}(D - H_i)$, (ii) $g^{-1}(l_i)$ is a connected set and (iii) $H_i^T \cup H_i^B$ separates $H_i - \operatorname{Cl}(D - H_i)$ from $D - H_i$, there is an $x \in H_i^T \cup H_i^B$ such that $g(x) \in l_i$. But this implies that $d(f(x), g(x)) \geq d(f(H_i^T \cup H_i^B), l_i) \geq \varepsilon$ and hence $d(f, g) \geq \varepsilon$.

LEMMA 1. β is a basis for $\overline{H(D)}$.

Proof. Let $f \in \overline{H(D)}$ and $\varepsilon > 0$ be given. We wish to find $B \in \beta$ such that $f \in B$ and $d(f, g) < \varepsilon$ for all $x \in B$. Pick a grating, P_j , such that diam $|st(x, P_j)| < \varepsilon$ for every $x \in D$. Let l_i be the *i*th crosscut from the top of D. Choose H_1^T to be a polygonal spanning arc of D with one endpoint in each side of D that separates the top of D from $f^{-1}(l_1)$. Choose H_1^B to be a polygonal spanning arc of D that separates $f^{-1}(l_1)$ from $f^{-1}(l_2)$. The polyhedral disk H_1 is thus uniquely defined. Assume inductively that disks H_1, \dots, H_{i-1} have been defined in such a manner that $H_i \cap H_k = \oslash$ if $1 \leq j \leq i-1, 1 \leq k \leq i-1$, and $j \neq k$ and that for each $j, 1 \leq j \leq i-1$, H_j^{T} separates H_{j-1}^{B} from $f^{-1}(l_j)$ and $H_i^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ separates $f^{-1}(l_j)$ from $f^{-1}(l_{j+1})$. Choose $H_i^{\scriptscriptstyle T}$ to be a polygonal spanning arc of D that separates $H_{i-1}^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ from $f^{-1}(l_i)$. Finally choose $H_i^{\scriptscriptstyle B}$ to be a pylygonal spanning arc of D that separates $f^{-1}(l_i)$ from $f^{-1}(l_{i+1})$ (or from the bottom of D if i = n). We have thus uniquely defined H_i in such a way that the inductive hypothesis is satisfied. Define V_1, \dots, V_n in a similar manner. Now, by construction $f \in B(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n)$ and if $g \in B(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n)$ V_n) then $d(f, g) < \varepsilon$.

LEMMA 2. Let B_1, \dots, B_j be elements of β . Then $B = \bigcap_{k=1}^{j} B_k$ is an element of β .

Proof. Assume $B \neq \emptyset$ and that P_k is the grating associated with $B_k, 1 \leq k \leq j$. Hence for any $k, 1 \leq k \leq j$, every crosscut of P_k is a crosscut of P_j . Let l_1 be the first horizontal crosscut of P_j . For each $k, 1 \leq k \leq j$, let $H_{1,k}$ be the element of \mathscr{H} associated with l_1 and B_k (if there is one). Let H_1 be the component of $D - (\bigcup_{k=1}^j H_{1,k}^T \cup \bigcup_{k=1}^j H_{1,k}^B)$ that contains $f^{-1}(l_1)$. Define in an analogous manner H_2, \dots, H_n and V_1, \dots, V_n . It is clear that $B(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n) = \bigcap_{k=1}^j B_k$. The elements of $\{H_1, \dots, H_n\}$ are pairwise disjoint since each H_i is contained in $H_{i,n}$ and the elements of $\{H_{1,j}, \dots, H_{n,j}\}$ are pairwise disjoint.

Lemma 3 will follow as a corollary to the following theorem of Mason. (The proof of this theorem constitutes the bulk of [9].)

THEOREM (Mason). Let U be an element of HVT and K a finite

dimensional compact subset of U. Then there is an embedding ψ of the cone over K into U such that $\psi(f, 0) = f$, for all $f \in K$.

LEMMA 3. Let $B = B(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n)$ be an element of β and K a finite dimensional compact subset of $B \cap H(D)$. Then there is an embedding λ of the cone over K into $B \cap H(D)$ such that $\psi(f, 0) = f$, for all $f \in K$.

Proof. Since H(D) is a topological group, the function $G: H(D) \rightarrow H(D)$ defined by $G(f) = f^{-1}$ is a homeomorphism. Therefore, by the note following the definition of β , G(K) is a finite dimensional compact subset of $U(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n)$. Hence by Mason's theorem there is an embedding ψ of the cone over G(K) into $U(P_j; H_1, \dots, H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n)$, $H_n; V_1, \dots, V_n$ such that $\psi(f, 0) = f$, for all $f \in G(K)$. Define $\lambda: K \times I \rightarrow B \cap H(D)$ by $\lambda(k, t) = G^{-1}(\psi(G(k), t))$.

4. The main results. The following theorem shows that the elements of $\overline{H(D)}$ can be canonically approximated by elements of H(D).

THEOREM 1. Let α be an open cover of $\overline{H(D)}$. Then there exists a locally finite polyhedron, \mathscr{P} , and maps $b: \overline{H(D)} \to \mathscr{P}, \psi: \mathscr{P} \to H(D)$, and $\theta: \overline{H(D)} \times I \to \overline{H(D)}$ such that

(a) for each $f \in \overline{H(D)}$, there is an element, U_f , of α such that $\theta(f, t) \in U_f$, for each $t \in I$,

- (b) $\theta(f, 1) = f$, for each $f \in \overline{H(D)}$,
- (c) $\theta(f, 0) = \psi b(f)$, for each $f \in \overline{H(D)}$,
- (d) $\theta(f, t) \in H(D)$ for each $f \in \overline{H(D)}$ and $t \in [0, 1)$.

Proof. Let α' be an open barycentric refinement α (i.e., if $f \in \overline{H(D)}$, $|st(f, \alpha')|$ is contained in some element of α). For each positive integer, k, let α_k be an open cover of $\overline{H(D)}$ such that

- (i) α_k is a refinement of α' ,
- (ii) if $V \in \alpha_k$, diam V < 1/k,
- (iii) if $V \in \alpha_k$, then $V \in \beta$.

We next define an open cover, η , of $\overline{H(D)} \times [0, 1)$.

Let
$$\eta = \{V imes [0, 1/2) \mid V \in lpha_1\} \cup igcup_{k=2}^{\infty} \Big\{ V imes \Big(rac{2^k-3}{2^k}, rac{2^k-1}{2^k} \Big) \Big| V \in lpha_k \Big\}$$
 .

Let γ be a countable refinement of η such that

(a) if $h \in \gamma$, st(h, r) is a finite set,

(b) if $h \in \gamma$, then there is an element of η , $V \times J$, such that $|st(h, \gamma)| \subset V \times J$.

Let \mathscr{P} be the nerve of γ and $B: \overline{H(D)} \times [0, 1) \to \mathscr{P}$ be the standard

barycentric map. Order the element of γ , and for each $h_i \in \gamma$, let $V_i \times J_i$ be an element of η such that $|st(h_i, \gamma)| \subset V_i \times J_i$. Note that $V_i \in \beta$.

We shall define a map $\psi: \mathscr{P} \to H(D)$ by induction on the skeletons of \mathscr{P} . For each vertex (h_i) of \mathscr{P} , let $\psi^{\circ}((h_i))$ be an arbitrary element of H(D) intersected with the projection of h_i onto $\overline{H(D)}$.

Now assume that for $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we have defined $\psi^m : \mathscr{I}^{\mathfrak{m}} \to H(D)$ such that ψ^m extends ψ^{m-1} and for each simplex $\sigma^m = (h_{\lambda_0}, \dots, h_{\lambda_m})$:

(a) $\psi^{m}(\sigma^{m})$ is finite dimensional,

(b) $\psi^{m}(\sigma^{m}) \subset H(D) \cap \{V_{i} \mid h_{i} \subset \bigcap_{j=0}^{m} st(h_{\lambda_{j}}, \gamma)\}.$

Now let $\sigma^{n+1} = \langle h_0, \cdots, h_{n+1} \rangle$ be any simplex of \mathscr{P}^{n+1} . Let

$$U = igcap \left\{ V_i \, | \, h_i \subset igcap_{j=0}^{n+1} st(h_j, \, \gamma)
ight\} \, .$$

Since each V_i is an element of β , by Lemma 2, $U \in \beta$. By the inductive hypothesis the image under ψ^n of the boundary of σ^{n+1} is a finite dimensional compact subset of $U \cap H(D)$, denoted K. By Lemma 3 there is an embedding

$$\lambda : \subset (K) \to U \cap H(D)$$

such that $\lambda(f, 0) = f$ for all $f \in K$. We consider σ^{n+1} to be the cone over its boundary, and so for $(x, t) \in \sigma^{n+1}$, let $\psi^{n+1}(x, t) = \lambda(\psi^n(x), t)$.

Extending over each n+1 simplex in this manner gives $\psi^{n+1}: \mathscr{P}^{n+1} \to H(D)$ and completes the induction. Hence $\lim_{n\to\infty} \psi^n = \psi: \mathscr{P} \to H(D)$ is continuous by the continuity of each ψ^n and the local finiteness of \mathscr{P} .

Let $b: \overline{H(D)} \to \mathscr{P}$ be defined by b(f) = B((f, 0)).

We next define the homotopy $\theta: \overline{H(D)} \times I \to \overline{H(D)}$ in the following manner:

$$heta(f,\,t) = egin{cases} \psi(B(f,\,t)), & t
eq 1 \ f,\,t=1 \;. \end{cases}$$

Conditions (b), (c), and (d) are obviously satisfied. We show simultaneously that θ is continuous and that for each $f \in \overline{H(D)}$ there is an element U_f of α such that for each $t \in I$, $\theta(f, t) \in U_f$.

Suppose that $(f, t) \in \overline{H(D)} \times [0, 1)$ and that $(2^k - 3)/2^k < t < (2^k - 1)/2^k$. Let h_0 be any element of γ which contains (f, t). By the definition of ψ , $\psi B(f, t) \in V_0$. But $V_0 \in \alpha_{k-1} \cup \alpha_k \cup \alpha_{k+1}$ and therefore the diameter of V_0 is less than 1/(k-1) which implies that $d(\psi B(f, t), f) < 1/(k-1)$ and thereby that θ is continuous. Since each α_k is a refinement of α' , there exists an element of α' , $U_{(f,t)}$, such that $\{f\} \cup \{\psi B(f, t)\} \subset V_0 \subset U_{(f,t)}$. Since α' is a barycentric refinement of α , there is some element, U_f , of α such that $\bigcup_{t \in [0,1)} U_{(f,t)} \subset U_f$ and hence $\theta(f, t) \in U_f$, for each $t \in I$.

The following result is an immediate corollary of Theorem 1 and a theorem of Hanner [5] which states that a metric space X is an ANR if given an arbitrary cover, α , of X there exists a locally finite polyhedron \mathscr{P} , maps $b: X \to \mathscr{P}, \psi: \mathscr{P} \to X$, and $\theta: X \times I \to X$ such that $\theta(x, 0) = \psi b(x)$ for all $x \in X, \theta(x, 1) = x$ for all $x \in X$ and for each $x \in X$ there is an element U of α such that $\theta(x, t) \in U$ for all $t \in [0, 1]$.

THEOREM 2. $\overline{H(D)}$ is an absolute retract.

Proof. By the preceding comments, $\overline{H(D)}$ is an ANR. But $\overline{H(D)}$ is contractible by the Alexander isotopy [1] applied to $\overline{H(D)}$. The theorem follows since every contractible absolute neighborhood retract is an absolute retract.

5. Applications. (a) The author has shown [6] that $\overline{H(M)}$, the space of all mappings of a compact manifold onto itself which can be approximated arbitrarily closely by homeomorphisms, is weakly locally contractible. Theorem 1 can be used [7] to show that for any compact 2-manifold, M^2 , $\overline{H(M^2)}$ is locally contractible.

(b) A problem of current interest is whether H(D) is homeomorphic to l_2 ; it can easily by shown using a result of Anderson [2] that if $\overline{H(D)}$ is homeomorphic to l_2 , then H(D) is homeomorphic to l_2 . Perhaps the results of this paper and the fact that $\overline{H(D)}$ is complete under the usual metric will be helpful in showing that $\overline{H(D)}$ is homeomorphic to l_2 .

(c) L. C. Siebenmann [10] has asked whether the inclusion map $i: H(M) \to \overline{H(M)}$ is a homotopy equivalence. Theorem 1 provides an affirmative answer to the question for the special case $i: H(D) \to \overline{H(D)}$.

Added in proof. Recent work of Torunczyk ("Absolute retracts as factors of normed linear spaces," Fund. Math., to appear) implies that since $\overline{H(D)}$ is an AR and $H(D) \times l_2 \approx \overline{H(D)}, \overline{H(D)}$ is in fact homeomorphic to l_2 .

References

^{1.} J. W. Alexander, On the deformation of an n-cell, Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci., U.S.A., 9 (1923), 406-407.

^{2.} R. D. Anderson, Strongly negligible sets in Frechet manifolds, Bull. Amer. Math. Soc., 75 (1969), 64-67.

^{3.} R. Geoghegan, On spaces of homeomorphisms, embeddings, and functions I, Topology, 11 (1972), 159-177.

4. R. Geoghegan and D. W. Henderson, Stable function spaces, to appear.

5. O. Hanner, Some Theorems on Absolute Neighborhood Retracts, Arkiv Fur Matematik, 1 (1951), 389-408.

6. W. Haver, Homeomorphisms and UV^{∞} maps, Proc. of SUNY Bingh. Conf. on Monotone Mappings and Open Mappings, (1970), 112-121.

7. ____, The Closure of the Space of Homeomorphisms on a Manifold, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., to appear.

8. J. Hocking, Approximations to monotone mappings on noncompact two-dimensional manifolds, Duke Math. J., **21** (1954), 639-651.

9. W. K. Mason, The space of all self-homeomorphisms of a 2-cell which fix the cell's boundary is an absolute retract, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., 161 (1971), 185-206.

10. L. C. Siebenmann, Approximating cellular maps by homeomorphisms, Topology, **11** (1972), 271-294.

Received September 6, 1972. Research partially supported by NSF Grant GP. 33872.

UNIVERSITY OF TENNESSEE