

BRANCHED IMMERSIONS BETWEEN 2-MANIFOLDS OF HIGHER TOPOLOGICAL TYPE

JOHN D. ELWIN AND DONALD R. SHORT

In this paper, branched immersions between compact orientable 2-manifolds are considered. Branched immersions are smooth maps whose only singularities are branch points, i.e., points of the domain where the map is locally topologically equivalent to $z \rightarrow z^r$ ($r = 2, 3, \dots$). Originally these maps were studied in connection with Douglas' solution to Plateau's problem.

The maps considered here are required to satisfy natural boundary hypothesis which have been motivated by minimal surface studies. The main result completely decides the existence question for a branched immersion between compact orientable 2-manifolds with or without boundary.

I. It has been shown [2] that for a suitable collection of boundary curves, the minimal surface solutions include surfaces of positive genus. It is of interest then to study the existence question for branched immersions between surfaces of higher topological type. Throughout this paper all manifolds considered will be compact orientable 2-manifolds with or without boundary.

The organization of the paper is as follows: §II contains basic definitions and previous results obtained by the authors; §III is devoted to constructions utilized in the proof of the main theorem which appears in §IV. Let us now state this theorem under the boundary hypothesis restriction of §II.

Suppose M and N are manifolds of genus g_1 and g_2 with m_1 and m_2 discs removed respectively. Then

THEOREM 3. *There exists a branched immersion $M \rightarrow N$ satisfying boundary conditions (1) if and only if*

Case I. ($m_1 = 0$): $g_1 = g_2$ or $g_1 \geq 2g_2 - 1$

Case IIa. ($m_1 \neq 0, g_1 \leq g_2$): $m_1 \geq 2(g_2 - g_1) + m_2$

Case IIb. ($m_1 \neq 0, g_1 > g_2$): $m_1 > m_2$.

This result extends those which appear in [4].

II. Basic definitions and previous results necessary for the main theorem are presented in this section. Suppose $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a smooth map between compact orientable 2-manifolds with or without boundaries ∂M and ∂N . $p \in M$ is called a branch point

of order $r - 1$ if f is locally topologically equivalent to $z \rightarrow z^r$ at p . In this work we will assume the following additional boundary conditions:

- (1) (i) $\partial N \subset f(\partial M)$
- (ii) $f|_{\partial M}$ is a homeomorphism.

Since M is a compact 2-manifold, ∂M is a disjoint union of 1-spheres and by the above assumptions $f(\partial M)$ is a disjoint union of the same number of 1-spheres. Let B denote the set of branch point images of f and let $\gamma(q)$ represent the cardinality of the fiber over $q \in N$. From the local product structure of these maps γ is constant on the components $\{A_i\}$ of $N - f(\partial M) \cup B$ and changes by exactly one across any boundary image. The value of γ on a boundary image point q is the largest value γ attains on the adjacent components $\{A_i\}$ which meet every neighborhood of q .

If we denote each boundary image 1-sphere with all of its branch point images removed by C_j , then $\gamma(A_i)$ and $\gamma(C_j)$ are defined as the constant value γ assumes at every point of A_i or C_j . Using this notation we may now state the basic Euler characteristic formula whose proof may be found in [4].

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a branched immersion between compact orientable 2-manifolds. Assume that $f|_{\partial M}$ is a homeomorphism and in addition that $\partial N \subset f(\partial M)$. Then*

$$(2) \quad \chi(M) = \sum_i \gamma(A_i)\chi(A_i) - \sum_j \gamma(C_j)\chi(C_j) + \sum_{b \in B} \gamma(b).$$

The most useful form of the above formula may be stated as a corollary which eliminates the need to distinguish between interior and boundary branch point images. An equivalent version of this formula appears in Ahlfors [1]. However, to state this corollary another multiplicity function which incorporates the branching order must be defined. If $q \in N$, define $o(q)$ to be the sum of the orders of the branch points in $f^{-1}(q)$ or zero if $f^{-1}(q)$ contains only regular points. Define μ at each point $q \in N$ by $\mu(q) = \gamma(q) + o(q)$. From its definition it is immediate that μ is constant on the topological components $\{N_i\}$ of $N - f(\partial M)$ and on each boundary image 1-sphere.

COROLLARY 1. *Under the hypothesis of Theorem 1*

$$\chi(M) = \sum_i \mu(N_i)\chi(N_i) - V$$

where V denotes the total branching order $\sum_{b \in B} o(b)$.

Proof. Let $B_i = N_i \cap B$ and let $|B_i|$ denote its cardinality. From these definitions we have $N_i = A_i \cup B_i$ and

$$\chi(N_i) = \chi(A_i) + |B_i|.$$

Since A_i contains only images of regular points

$$\mu(N_i) = \mu(A_i) = \gamma(A_i).$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} (3) \quad \mu(N_i)\chi(N_i) &= \gamma(A_i)\chi(A_i) + \sum_{b \in B_i} \mu(b) \\ &= \gamma(A_i)\chi(A_i) + \sum_{b \in B_i} \gamma(b) + \sum_{b \in B_i} o(b). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly denoting the j th image 1-sphere by S_j^1 and $B_j = S_j^1 \cap B$ we have $S_j^1 = C_j \cup B_j$. Since B_j is finite

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \chi(S_j^1) = \chi(C_j) - |B_j| \\ \mu(S_j^1) &= \mu(C_j) = \gamma(C_j) \end{aligned}$$

and thus

$$\begin{aligned} 0 &= \gamma(C_j)\chi(C_j) - \sum_{b \in B_j} \mu(b) \\ (4) \quad 0 &= \gamma(C_j)\chi(C_j) - \sum_{b \in B_j} \gamma(b) - \sum_{b \in B_j} o(b). \end{aligned}$$

Substitution of equations (3) and (4) into Theorem 1 completes the proof.

If $\partial M = \partial N = \phi$ we may express the formula in Theorem 1 in terms of the genera of M and N . The resulting equation is a generalization of the classical Riemann-Hurwitz formula [5].

COROLLARY 2. *Suppose $f: M \rightarrow N$ is a n -sheeted branched covering between compact orientable 2-manifolds without boundary of genus g_1 and g_2 respectively. Then*

$$2[n(1 - g_2) + g_1 - 1] = V.$$

In [4], Theorem 1 was applied to the question of existence or nonexistence of a branched immersion from the 2-sphere with m discs removed onto a compact orientable 2-manifold N of genus g . Letting S_m^2 represent the above sphere the main result of the paper is the following:

THEOREM 2. *There exists a surjective branched immersion $f: S_m^2 \rightarrow N$ satisfying boundary conditions (1) if and only if $m \geq 2g$.*

The goal of the present paper is to completely decide the existence question for branched immersions between compact orientable 2-manifolds each having any number of discs removed subject to the boundary restrictions (1).

III. This section contains three constructions which will be utilized in the proof of the existence theorem in §IV. Construction 1 is equivalent to the classical Riemann surface construction of cutting p slits in two branches and identifying opposite sides.

Construction 1. Suppose N is a compact 2-manifold with or without boundary. Let X be a submanifold formed by removing from N the interiors of p disjoint homeomorphic images of the disc.

$$X = N - \bigvee_{i=1}^p (\text{int } D).$$

Now form the disjoint union of p copies of the 2-sphere with each parameterized by geodesic co-ordinates. From this disjoint union construct the manifold Y by removing the interior of n identical disjoint discs centered at $(2\pi j/n, 0)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$) from each sphere.

$$Y = \bigvee_{i=1}^p \left(S^2 - \bigvee_{j=1}^n (\text{int } D) \right).$$

Attach X to Y via a homeomorphism of the boundaries of the removed discs of N with the removed discs centered at $(0, 0)$ from each sphere in Y . Adjust this homeomorphism by adding $2\pi/n$ to the longitude. Then using this new map attach another copy of X to Y . Proceed in this fashion until n copies of X are attached. Denote the resulting manifold by M .

EXAMPLE 1. Let N be the torus and set $p = 3$ and $n = 2$. M becomes the compact orientable 2-manifold of genus 4.




FIGURE 1

The Euler characteristic of M is related to that of N by the formula

$$\chi(M) = n\chi(N) - 2p(n - 1).$$

LEMMA 1. *There exists a surjective branched covering $f: M \rightarrow N$ having $2p$ branch points each of order $n - 1$ and satisfying $\mu(f) = n$. The total branching order is $V = 2p(n - 1)$.*

Proof. Define f by the formula

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \text{incl}(x) & x \in X \\ h_i(n\theta_x, \phi_x) & x \in Y \text{ with geodetic co-ordinates} \\ & (\theta_x, \phi_x) \text{ in the } i\text{th sphere} \end{cases}$$

where h_i is a homeomorphism of the 2-sphere with the disc at $(0, 0)$ removed onto the i th disc removed from N .

Construction 2. Suppose \bar{M} is a compact 2-manifold with or without boundary. Let X be a submanifold formed by removing from \bar{M} the interior of a homeomorphic image of a disc

$$X = \bar{M} - \text{int } D.$$

Now suppose T is a torus parameterized by toriodal co-ordinates. Let $\{D_j\}$ be identical disjoint homeomorphic images of the unit disc centered at $(2\pi j/n, 0)$ ($j = 1, \dots, n$). Define N to be the manifold formed by attaching $T - \text{int } D_n$ to X via a homeomorphism of the boundaries of the removed discs. Now remove the interiors of the remaining discs $\{D_j\}$ ($j = 1, \dots, n - 1$) from N . Attach X to $N - \bigvee_{j=1}^{n-1} \text{int } D_j$ via the homeomorphism used to form N adjusted by $2\pi/n$ in the longitudinal co-ordinate. Proceed as in Construction I, attaching copies of X to the

remaining boundary images of the removed discs. Denote this manifold by M .

EXAMPLE 2. Let \bar{M} be the double torus and set $n = 3$. M is then the 2-manifold of genus 7, and N the manifold of genus 3.




FIGURE 2

The Euler characteristic of M is given by the formula

$$\chi(M) = n(\chi(\bar{M}) - 2) = n\chi(N).$$

LEMMA 2. *There exists a covering projection $f: M \rightarrow N$ having multiplicity n .*

Proof. Define f by the formula

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} \text{incl}(x) & x \in X \\ (n\theta_x, \phi_x) & x \in T - \bigvee_{j=1}^n (\text{int } D_j) \text{ with} \\ & x = (\theta_x, \phi_x) \text{ in toroidal} \\ & \text{co-ordinates.} \end{cases}$$

Construction 3. This construction is a combination of Constructions 1 and 2. Again, let \bar{M} be a compact 2-manifold with or without boundary. Suppose $\{D_i\}(i = 0, 1, \dots, p)$ is a set of disjoint homeomorphic images of the disc in \bar{M} . As in Construction 2 form N by attaching a handle to the boundary of D_0 . Then proceeding as in 2, attach $n - 1$ copies of $M - \text{int } D_0$ around the handle on N . Denote the resulting manifold by \hat{M} . Now form the disjoint union of p copies of the 2-sphere each having 2 discs removed. As in construction 1 attach

$\hat{M} - \bigvee_{i=1}^p (\text{int } D_i)$ to one side of these spheres and $N - \bigvee_{i=1}^p (\text{int } D_i)$ to the other. Denote this manifold by M .

EXAMPLE 3. Suppose \bar{M} is the torus and set $p = 3$ and $n = 3$. M is then the 2-manifold of genus 8, and N the manifold of genus 2.




FIGURE 3

The Euler characteristic of M is given by

$$\chi(M) = n\chi(N) - 2p + \chi(N)\epsilon$$

where

$$\epsilon = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } p = 0 \\ 1 & \text{if } p > 0. \end{cases}$$

LEMMA 3. *There exists a surjective branched covering $f: M \rightarrow N$ such that $\mu(f) = n + \epsilon$. f has $2p$ branch points each of order 1 giving a total branching order $V = 2p$.*

This lemma follows by combining the proofs of Lemmas 1 and 2.

A slight modification of Construction 1 gives the following technical lemma:

LEMMA 4. *If D is the homeomorphic image of a disc in a 2-manifold M' then there is a branched covering $f: M' - \text{int } D \rightarrow M'$*

Proof. Construction 1 with $p = 1$, $n = 2$ and $N = M'$ gives a branched covering $f: M \rightarrow M'$. Now let W denote the submanifold of M obtained by attaching just one copy of $M' - \text{int } D$ to $S^2 - \bigvee_{j=1}^2 \text{int } D_j$ instead of two. Thus we may define $g: W \rightarrow M'$ by $g = f \circ \text{incl}$. From the definition of f we know that g is a surjective branched covering. However, W is just $M' - \text{int } D$ with an attached collar and hence W is homeomorphic to $M' - \text{int } D$. Thus the composite of g with this homeomorphism is the desired map.

IV. Let M and N denote compact orientable 2-manifolds of genus g_1 and g_2 with m_1 and m_2 discs removed respectively. In addition we will require any branched immersion $M \rightarrow N$ to satisfy boundary restrictions (1). Consider the following cases: *I* ($m_1 = 0$), *Ia* ($m_1 \neq 0$, $g_1 \leq g_2$), and *Iib* ($m_1 \neq 0$, $g_1 > g_2$).

THEOREM 3. *There exists a branched immersion $M \rightarrow N$ satisfying boundary conditions (1) if and only if*

(Case I). $g_1 = g_2$ or $g_1 \geq 2g_2 - 1$,

(Case Ia). $m_1 \geq 2(g_2 - g_1) + m_2$,

(Case Iib). $m_1 > m_2$.

Proof. Case I ($m_1 = 0$). Note that boundary conditions (1) imply $m_2 = 0$. Hence if a branched immersion $M \rightarrow N$ exists it must satisfy Corollary 2 of Theorem 1 which states

$$V = 2(n(1 - g_2) + g_1 - 1).$$

If $n = 1$ the total branching order must be zero and hence $g_1 = g_2$. If $n \geq 2$ we have

$$n(1 - g_2) + g_1 - 1 \geq 0$$

or

$$g_1 \geq 1 - n(1 - g_2) \geq 2g_2 - 1.$$

Thus the only possibilities are $g_1 = g_2$ and $g_1 \geq 2g_2 - 1$. $g_1 = g_2$ is immediate and thus we need only show a branched immersion exists whenever $g_1 \geq 2g_2 - 1$.

Assume $g_1 > 2g_2 - 1$. Choose N of Construction 1 to be the compact orientable surface of genus g_2 and let $n = 2$. Under the above assumptions, $p = g_1 - 2g_2 + 1$, and upon rotation the desired map is obtained. If $g_1 = 2g_2 - 1$ ($g_2 = 0$ is impossible) we may use Construction 2 with \bar{M} the compact orientable surface of genus $g_2 - 1$. In this case $V = 0$.

Case Ia. ($m_1 \geq 2(g_2 - g_1) + m_2$). If $g_1 < g_2$ we can map M onto surfaces of genera $g_1 + 1, \dots, g_2$ by successively stretching and identifying with overlap, pairs of boundaries from M . We then have sufficient boundaries remaining to map onto those of N . Additional holes in the domain may be mapped into the boundary overlap region. If $g_1 = g_2$ consider the identity map $id: N \rightarrow N$. Let N_k denote the manifold

obtained by removing k discs from N . Note that $M = N_{m_1 - m_2}$ and that $N_0 = N$. Lemma 4 of §II provides a branched immersion $f_k: N_k \rightarrow N_{k-1}$. By successively composing the maps $\{f_k\}$ with id , we obtain a branched immersion $M \rightarrow N$.

Assume $m_1 < 2(g_2 - g_1) + m_2$. The above procedure for $g_1 < g_2$ describes a map $M_k \rightarrow M$ where M_k has genus $g_1 - k$ and has $m_1 + 2k$ discs removed. Note that M_{g_1} is the 2-sphere S^2 with $m_1 + 2g_1$ discs removed. If there exists a map from $M \rightarrow N$ then by composition there must also exist a map from $M_{g_1} \rightarrow N$. Theorem 2 states that there exists a branched immersion from the 2-sphere with m discs removed onto a compact orientable 2-manifold without boundary of genus g if and only if $m \geq 2g$. We may attach m_2 discs to the boundary of N and m_2 discs to the corresponding portion of the boundary in M_{g_1} , to obtain a map from the 2-sphere with $m_1 - m_2 + 2g_1$ discs removed onto a 2-manifold without boundary. Thus from Theorem 2

$$m_1 - m_2 + 2g_1 \geq 2g_2$$

or

$$m_1 \geq 2g_2 - 2g_1 + m_2.$$

By assumption the reverse inequality is true and hence no branched immersion $M \rightarrow N$ exists.

Case IIb ($m_1 \neq 0, g_1 > g_2$). For existence, boundary conditions (1) require $m_1 \geq m_2$. If $m_1 = m_2 \neq 0$ we may attach m_1 discs to both M and N to obtain a covering projection with covering multiplicity one. This implies that $V = 0$ and hence $g_1 = g_2$. Therefore, if a branched immersion exists when $g_1 > g_2$ it follows that $m_1 > m_2$.

Initially let us assume $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 0$. As in the proof of Lemma 4 we will use a slight modification of Construction 1. In the range $g_1 \geq 2g_2$ choose $n = 2$, $p = g_1 + 1 - 2g_2$ and let one copy of N be the target manifold of this theorem and the other copy the target manifold with a disc removed. Note that the image of the above map is a manifold of genus g_2 covered twice by the map with the exception of the interior of a contractible disc which is covered once. Additional holes may be added simultaneously to the domain and the target by using the portion covered once. Lemma 4 of §II allows additional holes in the domain and hence for $g_1 \geq 2g_2$ the result is proved.

For $g_1 < 2g_2$ we will again use a modification of Construction 1 and initially assume $m_1 = 1$ and $m_2 = 0$. Choose $n = 2$, $p = 1$ and let one copy of N in Construction 1 have genus g_2 . Let the other copy have genus $g_1 - g_2$ and have a single disc removed. Upon rotation the

surface of genus $g_1 - g_2$ will form a "collar" on the surface of genus g_2 . Additional holes in the target or domain may be added in the same fashion as in the case $g_1 \geq 2g_2$. An example will clearly illustrate the process.

EXAMPLE 4. Assume M has genus 5 with 3 discs removed and N has genus 3 with 1 disc removed.




FIGURE 4

The following corollary may be obtained as a consequence of the constructions. This corollary states that in the case $g_2 > 0$ and $m_1 = 0$ the formula $V = 2(\mu(f)(1 - g_2) + g_1 - 1)$ gives the best possible information on the existence and the nature of branched immersions satisfying our hypotheses.

COROLLARY. For $g_2 > 0$ and $m_1 = 0$, each choice of total branching order V and covering multiplicity $\mu(f)$ which satisfies the formula $V = 2(\mu(f)(1 - g_2) + g_1 - 1)$ can be realized by a branched immersion $M \rightarrow N$.

Proof. We have two cases which both use Construction 3. For $V = 0$, choose $n = \mu(f)$, $p = 0$, $\epsilon = 0$ and let the genus of \bar{M} be $g_2 - 1$. For $V \neq 0$, choose $n = \mu(f) - 1$, $p = V/2$, $\epsilon = 1$ and again let the genus of \bar{M} be $g_2 - 1$. Construction 3 then gives the desired mapping.

REFERENCES

1. L. Ahlfors, *Zur theorie der Überlagerungsflächen*, Acta Math. (Uppsala), **65** (1935).
2. R. Courant, *Dirichlet's Principle*, Interscience Publishers, Inc., London (1950).
3. R. Gulliver, R. Osserman, and H. Royden, *A theory of branched immersions of surfaces*, Amer. J. Math., **45** (1973).
4. J. Elwin and D. Short, *Branched immersions onto compact orientable surfaces*, Pacific J. Math., **49** (1974), (to appear).
5. ———, *A generalized Riemann-Hurwitz formula* (to appear).

Received June 12, 1974 and in revised form August 27, 1974.