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#### Abstract

Let $T$ and $T^{\prime}$ be sets of types. This paper describes necessary and sufficient conditions on ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) for the existence of a rank 2 torsion-free abelian group $A$ such that $T$ is the set of types of elements of $A$, and $T^{\prime}$ is the set of types of rank 1 factor groups of $A$. Moreover, it classifies all such $A$ and gives necessary and sufficient conditions for $A$ to be completely anisotropic.


1. Introduction. This paper describes those pairs ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) of sets of types such that for some reduced rank 2 torsion-free abelian group $A, T$, the typeset, is the set of types of elements of $A$, and $T^{\prime}$, the cotypeset, is the set of types of rank 1 torsion-free factor groups of $A$.

This completes a line of research initiated by Beaumont and Pierce [1], to which notable contributions were made by Koehler [6], Dubois [2] and [3], and Ito [5]. However, the methods of this paper, unlike those cited above, can be extended to groups of arbitrary finite rank, using the inductive technique of [7].

Apart from its use in the construction of the group $A$, the advantages of introducing the pair ( $T, T^{\prime \prime}$ ) are twofold: firstly it provides a finer classification of rank 2 groups than the typeset alone; and secondly in the case of groups of arbitrary finite rank it provides a useful classification scheme for the torsion theory generated by the group $A$. The details will appear elsewhere, but roughly the idea is the following: for any abelian group $A$, the torsion-free class of the torsion theory generated by $A$ is

$$
A^{\perp}=\{X: X \text { is torsion-free and } \operatorname{Hom}[A, X]=0\}
$$

Let ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) be the typeset and cotypeset of a torsion-free group $A$, let $C$ be any completely decomposable group with typeset $T$, and $D$ any completely decomposable group with typeset $T^{\prime \prime}$. Then

$$
C^{\perp} \cong A^{\perp} \cong D^{\perp}
$$

This yields a classification of torsion theories in terms of completely decomposable groups.

Section 2 of this paper compares and shows the essential equivalence of the structure theories of [1] and [7], and §3 establishes several invariants of rank 2 groups. These invariants are used in $\S 4$ to develop necessary conditions on ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ). The computations which prove that these conditions are also sufficient comprise §5,
while $\S 6$ is a proof that the group constructed does in fact realize ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ). The construction of $\S 5$ is analyzed in $\S 7$ and the number of groups realizing ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) is counted. Finally in $\S 8$ the completely anisotropic groups realizing ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) are constructed.

Throughout we employ the standard notation of [4] except where otherwise noted. One major exception is the following:

A height is a function $h$ from the set $\boldsymbol{P}$ of primes into $N \cup\{\infty\}$. A type is an equivalence class of heights with respect to the usual equivalence relation $k \sim h$ if $\sum_{p \in P}|h(p)-k(p)|<\infty$. The height of an element $x$ of a group $A$ is denoted $h_{A}(x)$ or $h(x)$ if no ambiguity results. A generalized height is a function from $\boldsymbol{P}$ into $\boldsymbol{Z} \cup\{\infty\}$; for example, if $r=\alpha / b$ is rational, then $h(r)$ is the generalized height defined by $h(r)(p)=h_{z}(a)(p)-h_{z}(b)(p)$.

We frequently use the ring $\hat{Z}$, the closure in the $n$-adic topology of the ring $\boldsymbol{Z}$ of integers. However we are interested only in its algebraic structure: $\hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}=\prod_{p \in P} \boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$, where $\boldsymbol{Z}_{p}$ is the ring of $p$-adic integers.

An arrow $\rightarrow$ represents a monomorphism, $\rightarrow$ an epimorphism.
2. The structure theories of [1] and [7]. Proofs of the following assertions are in $\S 3$ of [7].

Let $A$ be a reduced rank 2 torsion-free group, and let a $\mapsto 1 \otimes a$ be the canonical embedding of $A$ into its divisible hull $V=Q \otimes A$. For any $x \in A$, let $W(x)$ be the pure subgroup generated by $x$. Suppose $\{x, y\}$ is a basis of $A$; then $A /(W(x) \oplus W(y))$ is isomorphic to $S=$ $\bigoplus_{p \in P} \boldsymbol{Z}\left(p^{k(p)}\right), 0 \leqq k(p) \leqq \infty$.
$V$ contains independent subgroups $\bar{W}(x), \bar{W}(y)$ containing $y, x$ respectively, such that $\bar{W}(x) \cong A / W(x), \bar{W}(y) \cong A / W(y)$ and $k(p)=$ $h_{\bar{W}(x)}(y)(p)-h_{A}(y)(p)=h_{\bar{W}(y)}(x)(p)-h_{A}(x)(p)$. There exists a cartesian square:

in which $A=\{r x+s y: r, s \in \boldsymbol{Q}, \beta(s y)=\gamma(r x)\}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \pi(r x+s y)=s y ; \sigma(r x+s y)=r x \\
& \operatorname{ker} \beta=\operatorname{ker} \sigma=W(y) ; \operatorname{ker} \gamma=\operatorname{ker} \pi=W(x) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The pair ( $\beta, \gamma$ ) of epimorphisms may be replaced by a pair $\left(\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ provided $(\beta, \gamma)$ induces the same automorphism of $S$ as does $\left(\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$. In this case, we write $(\beta, \gamma) \sim\left(\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$ and denote the equivalence class by $[\beta, \gamma]$.

Conversely, let $\{x, y\}$ be a basis of a rational vector space $V$; let $S$ be any subgroup of $\boldsymbol{Q} / \boldsymbol{Z}$; let $\bar{W}(x), \bar{W}(y)$ be independent subgroups of $V$ containing $y, x$ respectively, and let $\beta: \bar{W}(x) \rightarrow S$ and $\gamma: \bar{W}(y) \rightarrow S$ be epimorphisms. Then the pullback $A$ of $(\beta, \gamma)$ is a rank 2 torsionfree group containing independent pure subgroups $W(x)=\operatorname{ker} \gamma, W(y)=$ ker $\beta$ such that $x \in W(x), y \in W(y)$, and the diagram above is commutative. If ( $\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}$ ) is another pair of such epimorphisms, with pullback $A^{\prime}$, then $A^{\prime}=A$ iff $(\beta, \gamma) \sim\left(\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}\right)$. Furthermore, $A$ is quasi-isomorphic to $A^{\prime}$, denoted $A \doteq A^{\prime}$, iff the automorphism of $S$ induced by $(\beta, \gamma)$ differs from the automorphism induced by ( $\beta^{\prime}, \gamma^{\prime}$ ) by a rational multiple. We denote this construction by $\langle A, W(x), W(y), \bar{W}(x), \bar{W}(y)$, $S,[\beta, \gamma]\rangle$.

The details and proofs of the previous two paragraphs in [7] deal with the more general case in which $V$ has arbitrary dimension. It is stated in [7] that the rank 2 case is essentially the same as the construction in [1], and since we shall make heavy use of Beaumont and Pierce's results, the connection must now be made clear.

By [1, Theorem 2.10], a reduced rank 2 group $A$ with distinguished basis $\{x, y\}$ determines a unique pair $(\Sigma, X)$, where $\Sigma$ is a height and $X$ an equivalence class of pairs $(\xi, \eta)$ from $\hat{Z} \times \hat{Z}$. A pair $(\xi, \eta)$ is equivalent to a pair ( $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ ) provided that

$$
\text { (i) } h(\xi)=h\left(\xi^{\prime}\right), h(\eta)=h\left(\eta^{\prime}\right) \text {, }
$$

and
(ii) $h\left(\xi \eta^{\prime}-\xi^{\prime} \eta\right) \geqq \Sigma+h(\xi)+h(\eta)$.
(Fuchs prefers multiplicative notation for "addition" of heights and types [4, II, p. 110], but throughout, I shall conform to the additive notation of [1].)

For given $\langle A, x, y\rangle$, the height $\Sigma$ is defined by

$$
A /(W(x) \oplus W(y)) \cong \bigoplus_{p \in P} \boldsymbol{Z}\left(p^{\Sigma(p)}\right)
$$

and a pair $(\xi, \eta)$ is specified by
(i) $h(\xi)=h(y), h(\eta)=h(x)$
(ii) $\forall p \in \boldsymbol{P}$, let $a, b$ be integers with $h(a)(p)=h(y)(p)=u, h(b)(p)=$ $h(x)(p)=v$, and $k$ an integer such that $0 \leqq k \leqq \Sigma(p)$. Then $p^{-(k+u+v)}(a x+b y) \in A$ iff $h(a \eta(p)-b \xi(p))(p) \geqq k+u+v$. (This inequality of course is suitably interpreted in case $u$ or $v$ is infinite.) Beaumont and Pierce show that (i) and (ii) define ( $\xi, \eta$ ) up to equivalence, and conversely, a pair $(\Sigma, X)$ determines $\langle A, x, y\rangle$ up to isomorphism; the structure is denoted $\langle A, x, y\rangle \rightarrow(\Sigma, X)$.

Metatheorem. The structure theories of [7] (rank 2 case) and [1] are essentially the same.

Proof. The height $\Sigma$ is defined like the height $k$, and up to isomorphism, $\langle W(x), W(y), \bar{W}(x), \bar{W}(y), S\rangle$ can be recovered from $x, y$, and $\Sigma$, and vice versa. Hence in order to establish the correspondence we must show how $X$ determines $[\beta, \gamma]$ and vice versa.

Suppose $\langle A, x, y\rangle \rightarrow(\Sigma, X)$, and let $(\xi, \eta) \in X$. Let $h(x)(p)=v$, $h(y)(p)=u$. If $v$ or $u$ is infinite, then $\Sigma(p)=\xi(p)=\eta(p)=0$, and any automorphism of $S$ has zero $p$-component. Furthermore, any ( $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ ) from $X$ also has zero $p$-component, so in this case, the $p$-component of the automorphism determined by $[\beta, \gamma]$ is completely determined.

Assume then that $u$ and $v$ are both finite. For any $p$-adic integer $e$ represented in the form $\sum_{i=0}^{\infty} s_{i} p^{i}$, let $e_{j}$ be the $j$ th segment $\sum_{i=0}^{j-1} s_{i} p^{i}$. Define $p$-adic units $c(p), d(p)$ by $c(p)=p^{-u} \xi(p), d(p)=p^{-v} \eta(p)$, and denote their $j$ th segments by $c_{j}(p), d_{j}(p)$. For any integer $j$, $0 \leqq j \leqq \Sigma(p)$, define

$$
\begin{equation*}
\gamma\left(p^{-(j+v)} c_{j}(p) x\right)=\beta\left(p^{-(j+u)} d_{j}(p) y\right) . \tag{*}
\end{equation*}
$$

This makes sense, since $h\left(p^{u} c_{j}(p) \eta(p)-p^{v} d_{j}(p) \xi(p)\right)(p) \geqq j+u+v$ implies $p^{-(j+v)} c_{j}(p) x+p^{-(j+u)} d_{j}(p) y \in A$.

Now if $j=\Sigma(p)<\infty$, then $\gamma\left(p^{-(j+v)} c_{j}(p) x\right)$ is an element of maximal order $p^{j}$ in the cyclic group $S_{p} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}\left(p^{j}\right)$, and similarly $\beta\left(p^{-(j+u)} d_{j}(p) y\right)$ is a generator of $S_{p}$, so the equation (*) determines the $p$-component of the automorphism of $S$ induced by $(\beta, \gamma)$.

If $\sum(p)=\infty,\left\{\gamma\left(p^{-(j+v)} c_{j}(p) x\right): j=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ is a set of generators for $S_{p} \cong \boldsymbol{Z}\left(p^{\infty}\right)$, as is $\left\{\beta\left(p^{-(j+u)} d_{j}(p) y\right): j=1,2, \cdots\right\}$, so the equations (*) completely determine the $p$-component of the automorphism of $S$ induced by ( $\beta, \gamma$ ).

We have shown that $(\xi, \eta)$ determines a unique class $[\beta, \gamma]$. Suppose that also $\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in X$, and let $c^{\prime}(p), d^{\prime}(p)$ be the corresponding $p$-adic units. Since $h\left(\xi(p) \eta^{\prime}(p)-\xi^{\prime}(p) \eta(p)\right)(p) \geqq \sum(p)+u+v$, $p^{-(j+v)} c_{j}^{\prime}(p) x+p^{-(j+u)} d_{j}^{\prime}(p) y \in A$, so $\beta\left(p^{-(j+v)} c_{j}^{\prime}(p) x\right)=\gamma\left(p^{-(j+u)} d_{j}^{\prime}(p) y\right)$, i.e., ( $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ ) determines the same class $[\beta, \gamma]$ as does $(\xi, \eta)$.

Conversely, suppose given $\langle A, W(x), W(y), \bar{W}(x), \bar{W}(y), S,[\beta, \gamma]\rangle$. Let $\bar{\beta}: \bar{W}(x) / W(y) \rightarrow S, \bar{\gamma}: \bar{W}(y) / W(x) \rightarrow S$ be the isomorphisms induced by $(\beta, \gamma) \in[\beta, \gamma]$. Let $p \in \boldsymbol{P}$ and let $u=h(y)(p), v=h(x)(p)$. If $u$ or $v$ is infinite, $S_{p}=0$, so for any choice of $(\xi, \eta)$ we must have $\xi(p)=0=\eta(p)$. Thus we may assume $u$ and $v$ are finite.

If $k(p)<\infty$, there is a rational $p$-adic unit $c(p)$ such that

$$
\bar{\beta}\left(p^{-(k(p)+u)} c(p) y+W(y)\right)=\bar{\gamma}\left(p^{-(k(p)+v)} x+W(x)\right),
$$

since these elements generate $S_{p} ; c(p)$ is unique modulo $p^{k(p)}$.
If $k(p)=\infty$, there is a unique $p$-adic unit $c(p)$ such that, for all $j=1,2, \cdots$,

$$
\left.\bar{\beta}\left(p^{-(j+u}\right) c_{j}(p) y+W(y)\right)=\bar{\gamma}\left(p^{-(j+v)} x+W(x)\right),
$$

since those elements form a canonical set of generators for $S_{p}$.
Now let $\xi(p)=p^{v} c(p), \eta(p)=p^{v}$ for all $p$, and let $X$ be the equivalence class of ( $\xi, \eta$ ); from the construction we have $\langle A, x, y\rangle \rightarrow$ ( $\Sigma, X$ ).

Suppose now we start with $[\beta, \gamma]$ and construct $(\xi, \eta) \in X$ as above. An application of the method of the third paragraph of this section yields $c(p)=p^{-u} \xi(p), d(p)=1$ for all $p$, and hence the original $[\beta, \gamma]$ is recovered.

Conversely, starting with $(\xi, \eta) \in X$ yields a pair $(\beta, \gamma)$ by equations (*). Now for all primes $p$ and $j \leqq \Sigma(p), d_{j}(p)$ acts as an automorphism on $S_{p}$ such that

$$
\bar{\beta}\left(p^{-(j+u)} c_{j}(p) d_{j}(p)^{-1} y+W(y)\right)=\bar{\gamma}\left(p^{-(j+v)} x+W(x)\right) .
$$

The method above yields ( $\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}$ ), where $\xi^{\prime}(p)=p^{u} c(p) d(p)^{-1}, \eta^{\prime}(p)=p^{v}$, and a short computation shows $\left(\xi^{\prime}, \eta^{\prime}\right) \in X$. Thus applying the constructions consecutively in either order recovers the initial invariants, as was to be proved.

Corollary 1. Given $\langle A, x, y\rangle$, there is a unit $c$ of $\hat{Z}$ such that (a) if $k(p)<\infty, c(p)$ is uniquely determined modulo $p^{k(p)}$ and

$$
p^{-(k(p)+v)} x+p^{-(k(p)+u)} c(p) y \in A, \text { and }
$$

(b) if $k(p)=\infty, c(p)$ is unique and for all $j$,

$$
p^{-(j+v)} x+p^{-(j+u)} c_{j}(p) y \in A
$$

3. Invariants of rank 2 groups. Having established the correspondence between the two theories, we can use [1] to list some useful invariants of a rank 2 group $A$ in terms of the structure theorem of [7].

Proposition 1. [1, §4]. Let $\{x, y\}$ be a basis of $A$, with corresponding invariants $S=\bigoplus_{p \in P} \boldsymbol{Z}\left(p^{k(p)}\right), c \in \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ as in Corollary 1.
(a) $t(x) \wedge t(y)$ is a quasi-isomorphism invariant of $A$, henceforth denoted $t_{0}$.
(b) $t(x)+t(y)+t(k)$ is a quasi-isomorphism invariant of $A$, henceforth denoted $s_{0}$.
(c) For any $z \in A$, define $\chi(z) \in \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ by $\chi(z)(p)=p^{h(z)(p)}$, (interpreted as zero if $h(z)(p)=\infty)$. Let $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$ be a basis of $A$ with $x^{\prime}=r x+$ $s y, y^{\prime}=r^{\prime} x+s^{\prime} y$, where $r, s, r^{\prime}, s^{\prime} \in \boldsymbol{Q}$. Let $c^{\prime} \in \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ be the corresponding invariant as in Corollary 1. Then

$$
t\left(\chi\left(y^{\prime}\right) c^{\prime}(s \chi(y) c-r \chi(x))+\chi\left(x^{\prime}\right)\left(s^{\prime} \chi(y) c-r^{\prime} \chi(x)\right) \geqq s_{0}\right.
$$

Corollary 2. The irrational $c(p)$ 's defined in Corollary 1 are a quasi-isomorphism invariant of $A$; that is, if c corresponds to a basis $\{x, y\}$ and $c^{\prime}$ to $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$, then for any $p \in \boldsymbol{P}, c(p)$ is irrational iff $c^{\prime}(p)$ is irrational.

Proof. Let $k, k^{\prime}$ be the heights corresponding to $\{x, y\},\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$. (See Proposition 1 for notation.) If $s_{0}(p)$ is finite, then $k(p), k^{\prime}(p)$ are both finite so $c(p), c^{\prime}(p)$ are necessarily rational.

Assume then that $s_{0}(p)=\infty$, and $c^{\prime}(p)$ is irrational. This implies that $k^{\prime}(p)=\infty$, and that $u^{\prime}=h\left(y^{\prime}\right)(p)$ and $v^{\prime}=h\left(x^{\prime}\right)(p)$ are finite. Let $u=h(y)(p), v=h(x)(p)$; by Proposition 1(c),

$$
t\left(\left(p^{u^{\prime}} c^{\prime}(p)\left(s p^{u} c(p)-r p^{v}\right)+p^{v^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime} p^{u} c(p)-r^{\prime} p^{v}\right)\right)(p)=\infty\right.
$$

so $p^{u} c^{\prime}(p)\left(s p^{u} c(p)-r p^{v}\right)=-p^{v^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime} p^{u} c(p)-r^{\prime} p^{v}\right)$.
If $u=\infty$, then $p^{u^{\prime}+v} r c^{\prime}(p)=p^{v^{\prime+v}} r^{\prime}$, which is rational, so $v=\infty$. But then every element of $A$ has infinite $p$-height, contradicting the finiteness of $u^{\prime}$, so $u$ and similarly $v$ are finite. Hence $c(p) \neq 0$ and $k(p)=\infty$.

Now $s p^{u} c(p)-r p^{v}=0$ iff $s^{\prime} p^{u} c(p)-r^{\prime} p^{v}=0$, contradicting the linear independence of $\left\{x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right\}$, so neither are zero and

$$
c^{\prime}(p)=-p^{v^{\prime}-u^{\prime}}\left(s^{\prime} p^{u} c(p)-r^{\prime} p^{v}\right) /\left(s p^{u} c(p)-r p^{v}\right) .
$$

Since $c^{\prime}(p)$ is irrational, so is $c(p)$. Reversing the roles of $c(p)$ and $c^{\prime}(p)$ throughout yields a proof that if $c(p)$ is irrational, so is $c^{\prime}(p)$.

We now use Proposition 1 and Corollary 2 to identify certain sets of primes which are quasi-isomorphism invariants of $A$.

A prime $p$ is called accidental if $s_{0}(p)=\infty>t_{0}(p)$. An accidental prime $p$ is flat if for any choice of basis, $c(p)$ is always irrational; it is sharp otherwise. Note that Corollary 2 implies that the flat primes are a quasi-isomorphism invariant of $A$; the sharp primes are not: for example, if $p$ is sharp for some choice $\{x, y\}$ of basis, then there is an element $z$ with $h(z)(p)=\infty$, and $\{x, z\}$ is a basis with respect to which $p$ is not even accidental.

We shall also need Lemma 9.1 and Corollary 7.4 of [1], which translated into the notation of Proposition 1 become:

Proposition 2. Let $\{x, y\}$ be a basis of $A$, and let $z=r x+s y \in$ $A, r, s \in \boldsymbol{Q}$. Then $h(z)=\min \{h(s \chi(y) c-r \chi(x), k+h(y)+h(s), k+$ $h(x)+h(r)\}$.

Corollary 3. $h(z)(p)=\infty$ iff $k(p)=\infty$ and $r / s=p^{h(y)(p)-h(x)(p)} c(p)$; in particular, if $h(z)(p)=\infty$, then $h(r / s)(p)=h(y)(p)-h(x)(p)$.

Proposition 3. Let $\{x, y\}$ be a basis of $A$.
Define $\rho \in \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}}$ by

$$
\rho(p)=\left\{\begin{array}{l}
c(p) \text { if } h(x)(p)=h(y)(p), \\
0 \text { otherwise }
\end{array}\right.
$$

and

$$
\Delta: \hat{\boldsymbol{Z}} \longrightarrow\{0, \infty\} \text { by } \Delta(\chi)(p)=\left\{\begin{array}{lll}
0 & \text { if } & \chi(p) \neq 0 \\
\infty & \text { if } & \chi(p)=0 .
\end{array}\right.
$$

Let $z \in A \backslash\{W(x) \cup W(y)\}$; then $t(z)=t(a x+b y)$ for some nonzero coprime pair ( $a, b$ ) of integers. Let $r=a / b$, and let

$$
t_{r}=t(k \wedge(h(r-\rho)+\Delta(r \chi(x)-c \chi(y)))) .
$$

Then $t(z)=t_{0}+t_{r}$, and $T(A)=\left\{t(x), t(y), t_{0}+t_{r}: 0 \neq \boldsymbol{r} \in \boldsymbol{Q}\right\}$.
The following lemmas show how accidental primes affect the typeset of $A$.

Lemma 1. Let $p$ be a sharp prime. Then there exists $z \in A$ with $h(z)(p)=\infty$, but for all $w \notin W(z), h(w)(p)<\infty$.

Proof. For some choice $\{x, y\}$ of basis, $h(x)(p)$ and $h(y)(p)$ are finite, $k(p)=\infty$ and $c(p)=a / b$ for coprime nonzero integers $a, b$ each prime to $p$. Take $z=a \chi(y)(p) x+b \chi(x)(p) y \in A$. By Proposition 2, $h(z)(p)=\infty$.

If $w \notin W(z),\{w, z\}$ is basis and $t_{0}=t(w) \wedge t(z)$, so $h(w)(p)<\infty$.
Lemma 2. Let $p$ be flat prime. Then for all $0 \neq z \in A, h(z)(p)<$ $\infty$; for each basis $\{x, y\}$ of $A, k(p)=\infty$; there are infinitely many pairwise independent $z_{i}$ with $h\left(z_{i}\right)(p)<h\left(z_{j}\right)(p)$ whenever $i<j$.

Proof. Let $c$ be the invariant corresponding to any basis $\{x, y\}$. Since $c(p)$ is irrational, for all $z \neq 0, h(z)(p)<\infty$, by Proposition 2, and $k(p)=\infty$. For $j=1,2, \cdots$, define $z_{j}=c_{j}(p) \chi(y)(p) x+\chi(x)(p) y \in A$. Then

$$
\begin{aligned}
h\left(z_{j}\right)(p) & =h(x)(p)+h(y)(p)+h\left(c(p)-c_{j}(p)\right)(p) \\
& \geqq h(x)(p)+h(y)(p)+j .
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence there is a subsequence $\left(z_{i}\right)$ of the $\left(z_{j}\right)$ satisfying $h\left(z_{i}\right)(p)<$ $h\left(z_{j}\right)(p)$ if $i<j$. The $\left(z_{i}\right)$ are pairwise independent, for if $a z_{i}=b z_{j}$ for integers $a, b$ with $i<j$, then $\left(a c_{i}(p)-b c_{j}(p)\right) x=(b-a) y$, so $a=b$ and $z_{i}=z_{j}$, a contradiction.
4. Admissible typeset-cotypeset pairs. For any group $A$, the typeset of $A, T(A)$, is the set of types of rank 1 pure subgroups of $A$, and the cotypeset of $A, T^{\prime}(A)$, is the set of types of rank 1 torsionfree factor groups of $A$. In case rank $A=2$, $\left(T(A), T^{\prime}(A)\right)$ is the set of pairs of types of the form $\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)$, where for some $0 \neq x \in A, t$ is the type of $W(x)$, and $t^{\prime}$ is the type of $A / W(x)$. A set ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) of pairs of types is called admissible if for some rank 2 group $A,\left(T, T^{\prime \prime}\right)=$ ( $T(A), T^{\prime}(A)$ ). The following necessary conditions for admissibility follow immediately from $\S \S 2$ and 3.

Proposition 4. If $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ is admissible, then:
(1) $\left|\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)\right|$ is finite or countable.
(2) There is a type $t_{0}$ such that, for all $t_{1} \neq t_{2}$ in $T, t_{1} \wedge t_{2}=t_{0}$.
(3) There is a type $s_{0}$ such that, for all $\left(t, t^{\prime}\right) \in\left(T, T^{\prime}\right), t+t^{\prime}=s_{0}$.
(4) If $\left(t_{1}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right) \neq\left(t_{2}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right) \in\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$, then $t_{1} \leqq t_{2}^{\prime} . \quad I f\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right\}$, then $t \leqq t^{\prime}$.

We now wish to show that the conditions of Proposition 4 are also sufficient for admissibility; we can make the computations less onerous by means of the following lemma, which allows us to assume $t_{0}=(\boldsymbol{Z})$.

Lemma 3. Given $\langle A, W(x), W(y), \bar{W}(x), \bar{W}(y), S ;[\beta, \gamma]\rangle$, let $h_{0}=$ $h(x) \wedge h(y)$; let $G$ be that subgroup of $\boldsymbol{Q}$ containing 1 in which $h(1)=h_{0}$. Let $A^{\prime}$ be that subgroup of $V$ containing $\{x, y\}$ in which $h_{A^{\prime}}(x)=h_{A}(x)-h_{0}$ and $h_{A^{\prime}}(y)=h_{A}(y)-h_{0}$, but otherwise $A^{\prime}$ has the same invariants $S$ and $[\beta, \gamma]$ as $A$.

Then $A \cong G \otimes A^{\prime}$, the invariant $t_{0}^{\prime}$ of $A^{\prime}$ is $t(\boldsymbol{Z})$, and $T(A)=$ $\left\{t+t_{0}: t \in T\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right\}$.

Proof. There is a canonical injection $A^{\prime} \rightarrow G \otimes A^{\prime}$ such that $h_{G \otimes A^{\prime}}(\mathbb{1} \otimes x)=h_{G}(1)+h_{A^{\prime}}(x)=h_{A}(x)$, and $h_{G \otimes A^{\prime}}(1 \otimes y)=h_{A}(y)$.

Let $W^{\prime}(x), W^{\prime}(y)$ be the pure subgroups of $A^{\prime}$ generated by $x$ and $y$, and let $\bar{W}^{\prime}(x) \cong A^{\prime} / W^{\prime}(x), \bar{W}^{\prime}(y) \cong A^{\prime} / W^{\prime}(y)$ be the corresponding complementary subgroups of $V$, as in $\S 2$. Since $h_{\bar{W}(y)}(x)=h_{G}(1)+$ $h_{\bar{W}^{\prime}(y)}(x), h_{\bar{W}(x)}(y)=h_{G}(1)+h_{\bar{W}^{\prime}(x)}(y)$, and $G \otimes S \cong \bigoplus_{p \in P}\left(G \otimes \boldsymbol{Z}\left(p^{k(p)}\right)\right) \cong$ $S$, there is an exact commutative diagram derived from $\S 2$, in which the unlabelled oblique arrows represent isomorphisms:


Hence $\theta$ is also an isomorphism. Then the statements about $t_{0}^{\prime}$ and $T\left(A^{\prime}\right)$ follow from Proposition 2.
5. The construction. Let ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) be a set satisfying conditions (1)-(4) of Proposition 4. By Lemma 3, we shall assume that for all $t \neq t^{\prime} \in T, t \wedge t^{\prime}=t(\boldsymbol{Z})$; (or, if $T=\{t\}$, that $t=t(\boldsymbol{Z})$ ). Let $0=h_{0} \in$ $t_{0}=t(\boldsymbol{Z})$; choose any $\left(t_{1}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right) \in\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ and let $s_{0}=t_{1}+t_{1}^{\prime}$. Choose $h_{0}^{\prime} \in s_{0}$, and let $h_{1} \in t_{1}$ such that $h_{1} \leqq h_{0}^{\prime}$. Let $h_{1}^{\prime}=h_{0}^{\prime}-h_{1} \in t_{1}^{\prime}$ (where we take $\infty-\infty=0$ ).

If $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=\left\{\left(t_{1}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right)\right\}$, let $\left(h_{2}, h_{2}^{\prime}\right)=\left(h_{1}, h_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ and $k=h_{1}^{\prime}-h_{1}$. Otherwise, choose $\left(t_{2}, t_{2}^{\prime}\right) \neq\left(t_{1}, t_{1}^{\prime}\right)$ from ( $T, T^{\prime \prime}$ ). Since $t_{1} \wedge t_{2}=t_{0}$ and $t_{2} \leqq t_{1}^{\prime}$, for any $h \in t_{2},\left(\left\{p: 0<h_{1}(p)\right\} \cap\{p: 0<h(p)\}\right) \cup\left\{p: h_{1}^{\prime}(p)<h(p)\right\}$ is finite, so there exists $h_{2} \in t_{2}$ such that $h_{2} \leqq h_{1}^{\prime}$, and for all $p$ such that $0<h_{1}(p)$, $h_{2}(p)=0$ or $\infty$. Let $k=h_{1}^{\prime}-h_{2}$, and let $h_{2}^{\prime}=h_{1}+k \in t_{2}^{\prime}$. From $V$ take an independent pair $\{x, y\}$, and set $h(x)=h_{1}, h(y)=h_{2}$; we have now established our cadre $\left\langle W(x), W(y), \bar{W}(x), \bar{W}(y), S=\bigoplus_{p \in P} \boldsymbol{Z}\left(p^{k(p)}\right)\right\rangle$ as in $\S 2$. In order to construct $A$ realizing ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ), it remains to find a suitable $c(p)$ for each prime $p$. In the process, we shall also find for each pair ( $t, t^{\prime}$ ) a rational $r=a / b$ such that $z=a x+b y \in A$ and $t(z)=t, t(A / W(Z))=t^{\prime}$.

Let $\left(t_{i}\right)$ be any ordering of $T$; note that for all $i \neq 1,2, t_{i} \leqq t_{1}^{\prime}=$ $t\left(h_{2}+k\right)$ and $t_{i} \leqq t\left(h_{1}+k\right)$, so $t_{i} \leqq t_{0}+t(k)$. Assume that for all $j$, $3 \leqq j<i$, we have found $\left(h_{j}, h_{j}^{\prime}\right) \in\left(t_{j}, t_{j}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying $\forall p \in \bigcup_{l<j}\{p: 0<$ $\left.h_{l}(p)\right\}, h_{j}(p)=0$ or $\infty$, and for all $l<j, h_{j} \leqq h_{l}^{\prime}$ and $h_{j} \leqq k$. If ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) is exhausted, we are done; otherwise, for any $h \in t_{i},\{p: 0<h(p)\} \cap$ $\left(\bigcup_{j<i}\left\{p: 0<h_{j}^{\prime}(p)\right\}\right) \cup \bigcup_{j<i}\left\{p: h_{j}^{\prime}(p)_{i}^{\prime}<h(p)\right\} \cup\{p: h(p)>k(p)\}$ is finite, so there exists $h_{i} \in t_{i}$ such that, for all $j<i, h_{i} \leqq h_{j}^{\prime}, h_{i} \leqq k$, and for all $p \in \mathrm{U}_{j<i}\left\{p: 0<h_{i}(p)\right\}, h_{i}(p)=0$ or $\infty$. Let $h_{i}^{\prime}=h_{0}^{\prime}-h_{i} \in t_{i}^{\prime}$. By induction, we have found, for all $i,\left(h_{i}, h_{i}^{\prime}\right) \in\left(t_{i}, t_{i}^{\prime}\right)$ satisfying
(1) $\forall j<i, h_{i} \leqq h_{j}^{\prime}$
(2) $\forall p \in \bigcup_{j<i}\left\{p: 0<h_{j}(p)\right\}, h_{i}(p)=0$ or $\infty$
and
(3) $h_{i} \leqq k$.

Having found suitable ( $h_{i}, h_{i}^{\prime}$ ) for all $i$, we proceed to partition $P$ with respect to these heights.

Let $S(0)=\{p: k(p)=0\}$, and $S^{\prime}(0)=\left\{p: k(p) \neq 0\right.$ but $\left.\forall i, h_{i}(p)=0\right\}$. For $i \geqq 1$, let $S(i)=\left\{p: 0<h_{i}(p)<\infty\right\}$, and $R(i)=\left\{p: h_{i}(p)=\infty\right\}$. Note that the $S(i)$ and $S^{\prime}(0)$ are disjoint for all $i \geqq 0$; by Lemma 1 , $R=\bigcup_{i} R(i)$ is the set of sharp primes, and the set of flat primes is $\{p: k(p)=\infty$ but $p \notin R\}$. Furthermore, $\boldsymbol{P}=\mathbf{U}_{i \geqq 0}(S(i) \cup R(i)) \cup S^{\prime}(0)$, and $R(1) \cup R(2) \cong S(0)$.

For $i \geqq 1$, let $U(i)=\bigcup_{j<i}(S(j) \cap R(i))$, so the $U(i)$ are finite and disjoint, perhaps empty.

Next, we choose rationals $r$ such that $T=\left\{t_{1}, t_{2}, t_{r}: 0 \neq r \in \boldsymbol{Q}\right\}$ as in Proposition 3. Suppose that for all $j$ with $3 \leqq j<i$, distinct $r_{j}$ have been chosen satisfying:
$\left(j_{1}\right) \quad \forall p \in R(j), h\left(r_{j}\right)(p)=h(y)(p)-h(x)(p)$
$\left(j_{2}\right) \quad \forall q \in \bigcup_{k<j} U(k), h\left(r_{j}\right)(q) \neq h(y)(q)-h(x)(q)$.
Now choose $r_{i}$ different from all previously selected $r_{j}$ satisfying ( $i_{1}$ ) and $\left(i_{2}\right)$. Such a choice is always possible in $\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{0}$ different ways, since
(a) $\forall p \in R(i), h(y)(p)=0=h(x)(p)$ except for $p$ in the finite set $X=(S(1) \cup S(2)) \cap R(i)$,
(b) $\bigcup_{j<i} U(j)$ is finite and disjoint from $R(i)$, and
(c) if $R(i)=\boldsymbol{P}$, then $A$ is not reduced.

For example, let $m$ be an integer not in $R(i)$ such that

$$
\forall q \in \bigcup_{j<i} U(j), h(m)(q)>h(y)(q)-h(x)(q)
$$

and let $n=\prod_{p \in X} p^{h(y)(p)-h(x)(p)}$. For any positive integer $a$, let $s^{a}=$ $m^{a} n$; then $\left\{s^{a}: a=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ is an infinite set of integers satisfying $\left(i_{1}\right)$ and ( $i_{2}$ ) of which at most finitely many have previously been chosen as $r_{j}$ 's.

By induction, we have defined a 1-1 function $t_{i} \mapsto r_{i}$ for all $i \geqq 3$ such that for all $i, r_{i}$ satisfies $\left(i_{1}\right)$ and $\left(i_{2}\right)$. Furthermore, we have shown that if $T$ is finite, such a function can be chosen in $\boldsymbol{K}_{0}$ ways, while if $T$ is infinite, it can be chosen in $2^{N_{0}}$ ways.

We now assign values to the $c(p)$. For $p \in S(0)$, let $c(p)=0$.
For $p \in S^{\prime}(0)$, let $c^{\prime}(p)$ be an integer prime to $p$ such that $0<$ $c^{\prime}(p)<p^{k(p)}$, and let $c(p)=c^{\prime}(p)+p u$, where $u=0$ if $k(p)<\infty$, and $u$ is an arbitrary irrational $p$-adic unit if $k(p)=\infty$. Let these $c(p)$ be chosen to be distinct, which is possible in $2^{\aleph_{0}}$ ways if at least one $k(p)=\infty$, in infinitely many ways if $S^{\prime}(0)$ is infinite, and otherwise in finitely many ways.

For $p \in R(i)$, let $c(p)=p^{h(x)(p)-h(y)(p)} r_{i}=p^{-h\left(r_{i}\right)(p)} r_{i}$, a rational $p$-adic unit. Because of conditions $\left(i_{2}\right)$ and $\left(j_{2}\right)$, if $p \in R(i)$ and $q \in R(j)$ with $i \neq j$, then $c(p) \neq c(q)$.

For $p \in S(i) \backslash R$, let $c^{\prime}(p)$ be an integer prime to $p$ such that $0<$
$c^{\prime}(p)<p^{k(p)}$, and, in case $i \geqq 3, c^{\prime}(p) \equiv p^{-h\left(r_{i}\right)(p)} r_{i}\left(\bmod p^{h_{i}(p)}\right) ;$ since $h_{i}(p) \leqq k(p)$, these conditions can be fulfilled. Let $c(p)=c^{\prime}(p)$ if $k(p)<$ $\infty$, and $c(p)=c^{\prime}(p)+u p$, where $u$ is any irrational $p$-adic unit, if $k(p)=\infty$. Note that for $p \neq q \in \bigcup_{i} S(i) \backslash R$, it is not necessary to require $c(p) \neq c(q)$.

We have now assigned, for each prime $p$, a $p$-adic unit $c(p)$ such that
(1) $\forall i, \forall p \in R(i), c(p)=p^{-h\left(r_{i}\right)(p)} r_{i}$
(2) $\forall i, \forall p \in S(i), c(p) \equiv p^{-h\left(r_{i}\right)(p)} r_{i}\left(\bmod p^{h_{i}(p)}\right)$
(3) if $p$ is flat, $c(p)$ is irrational; otherwise $c(p)$ is rational. Thus we have all the data required to construct $A$.
6. Proof of admissibility of $\left(T, T^{\prime \prime}\right)$. To show that $A$ realizes ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ), we shall use Proposition 3 in the form $T(A)=\{t(x), t(y)$, $\left.t_{r}: 0 \neq r \in \boldsymbol{Q}\right\}$. For any $0 \neq r \in \boldsymbol{Q}$, let $h_{r}$ be the generalized height defined by:

$$
h_{r}(p)=\min \{k(p), h(r-\rho(p))+\Delta(r \chi(x)(p)-c(p) \chi(y)(p))\},
$$

so $t_{r}=t\left(h_{r}\right)$.
Firstly, suppose $r=r_{i}$ for some $i$. Then:
(1) For $p \in S(0), h_{r}(p)=h_{i}(p)=0$.
(2) For $p \in S^{\prime}(0)$, either $c(p)=r$ which can happen for at most one $p$, and $h_{r}(p)=k(p)$, or $c(p) \neq r$, in which case $h_{r}(p)=\min \{k(p)$, $h(r)(p)\}$. Now if $p \in S^{\prime}(0)$ and $k(p)=\infty$, then $p$ is flat, so $c(p) \neq r$; hence in either case, $h_{r}(p)$ is finite and zero except for finitely many primes.
(3) For $p \in U(i), k(p)=\infty$ and $r \chi(x)(p)=c(p) \chi(y)(p)$, so $h_{r}(p)=$ $\infty=h_{i}(p)$.
(4) For $p \in R(i) \backslash U(i), k(p)=\infty, \chi(x)(p)=\chi(y)(p)=1$ and $r=$ $c(p)$, so $h_{r}(p)=\infty=h_{i}(p)$.
(5) For $p \in R(j), i \neq j, k(p)=\infty$ and $c(p)=r_{j} \neq r_{i}$, so $r-\rho(p)$ is a nonzero $p$-adic integer while $\Delta(r \chi(x)(p)-c(p) \chi(y)(p))=0$. Hence $h_{r}(p)=h_{i}(p)=0$ except for finitely many primes where both are finite.
(6) For $p \in S(1) \cup S(2) \backslash R, 0<k(p)<\infty$ and either $c(p) \neq$ $r p^{h(x)(p)-h(y)(p)}$, in which case $h_{r}(p)=\min \{k(p), h(r)(p)\}$, which is zero except for finitely many primes, or $c(p)=r p^{h(x)(p)-h(y)(p)}$, in which case $h_{r}(p)=k(p)$. But since $h(x)(p)>0$, or $h(y)(p)>0$, this can only happen for finitely many primes, so for all but finitely many primes, $h_{r}(p)=0=h_{i}(p)$.
(7) For $p \in S(i) \backslash R, i \geqq 3,0<k(p)<\infty$ and

$$
c(p) \equiv p^{-h\left(r_{i}\right)(p)} r_{i}\left(\bmod p^{h_{i}(p)}\right)
$$

while $h(x)(p)=h(y)(p)=0$. Either $h(r)(p)=0$ and $h_{r}(p)=\min \{k(p)$, $\left.h_{i}(p)\right\}=h_{i}(p)$, or $h(r)(p) \neq 0$, and $h(r)(p)$ is finite. But the latter can
occur only for finitely many primes.
(8) For $p \in S(j) \backslash R, j \geqq 3, h(x)(p)=h(y)(p)=0$, so $h_{r}(p)=$ $\min \{k(p), h(r-c(p))(p)+\Delta(r-c(p))(p)\}$. Since $r \neq c(p)$, this is finite and zero except for the finitely many primes $p$ for which $h(r-c(p))(p)>0$. Since $h_{\imath}(p)=0, h_{r}(p)=h_{\imath}(p)$ for almost all $p$.

We have considered all primes, and can conclude that $t_{r}=t_{i}$. Next, suppose $r \neq r_{i}$.
(1) For $p \in S(0), h_{r}(p)=h_{i}(p)=0$.
(2) For $p \in S^{\prime}(0)$, just as in the case $r=r_{i}$, we have that $h_{r}(p)$ is finite and zero except for finitely many primes.
(3) For $p \in R(i), k(p)=\infty=h_{i}(p)$, but $r \chi(x)(p) \neq c(p) \chi(y)(p)$, so $h_{r}(p)=h(r)(p)$ or $h(r-c(p))(p)$, which is finite.
(4) For $p=S(i), h_{r}(p)=h_{i}(p)$ iff $p^{-h(r)(p)} r \equiv p^{-h\left(r_{i}\right)(p)} r_{i}\left(\bmod p^{h_{\imath}(p)}\right)$, but this can happen for only finitely many primes.

Hence $t_{r} \neq t_{i}$ unless both are $t_{0}$. We conclude that $t_{r}=t_{2}$ if and only if $r=r_{i}$ or $r \neq r_{j}$ for all $j$ and $t_{r}=t_{0}$.

Since the group $A$ so constructed has the height $k$ and the accidental primes completely determined by ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ), not only $T=T(A)$, but also $T^{\prime}(A)=T^{\prime}$. This answers Question 2(a) of [1].
7. Number of groups realizing an admissible ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ). We saw in $\S 3$ above that for fixed $W(x), W(y)$, and $k$, distinct classes $[\beta, \gamma]$ of epimorphisms produce nonisomorphic groups $A$. Each class $[\beta, \gamma]$ yields a distinct $c \in \hat{Z}$, where $c(p)$ is unique modulo $p^{k(p)}$ if $k(p)<\infty$, and conversely if $A$ corresponds to $c \in \hat{Z}$, and $A^{\prime}$ to $c^{\prime}$, then $A$ is quasi-isomorphic to $A^{\prime}$ iff $c$ differs from $c^{\prime}$ by a rational multiple (modulo $p^{k(p)}$ if $k(p)<\infty$ ).

Thus, the number of groups realizing ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) is equal to the number of possible choices of the $c(p)$, given a fixed ordering $\left(t_{i}\right)$ of $T$.

Theorem 1. Let $\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ be a pair of sets of types satisfying conditions (1)-(4) of Proposition 4, and let $c\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ denote the number of isomorphism classes of rank 2 groups realizing ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ), and $c^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ the number of quasi-isomorphism classes. Then, in the notation of §6:
(1) If $S=\bigoplus_{p \in P} \boldsymbol{Z}\left(p^{k(p)}\right)$ is finite, $c\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) \leqq$ number of units of $S$, considered as a unital cyclic ring and $c^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=1$.
(2) If $S$ is infinite, there are no flat primes and $\{t \in T: \exists p$ with $t(p)=\infty\}$ is finite, then $c^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) \leqq \boldsymbol{K}_{0}=c\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$.
(3) Otherwise, $c\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=2^{\aleph_{0}}=c^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. Since $A$ is a subset of a 2 dimensional rational vector space, we certainly have $c^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) \leqq c\left(T, T^{\prime \prime}\right) \leqq 2^{\aleph_{0}}$.
(1) In the construction of $\S 6$, we chose $c$ among the units of $S$. If $\alpha_{1}, \alpha_{2}$ are any automorphisms of $S, \alpha_{1}$ is a rational multiple of $\alpha_{2}$, so $c^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime \prime}\right)=1$. In fact, each $A$ realizing ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) is quasiisomorphic to $W(x) \oplus W(y)$.
(2) Let $H=\{i: R(i) \neq \varnothing\}$ be a finite set. For each $i \in H$, we chose $r_{i}$ from an infinite set of candidates, and each such choice determined a unique $c(p)$ for all $p \in R(i)$. The remaining $c(p)$ were each chosen from a finite set, so all in all, there were $\boldsymbol{K}_{0}$ possible choices for $c$, so $c\left(T, T^{\prime \prime}\right)=\mathbf{K N}_{0}$.
(3) If any $p$ is flat, an arbitrary choice of an irrational $p$-adic unit was made in the construction, so $c\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=2^{\aleph_{0}}$.

If $\{t: \exists p$ with $t(p)=\infty\}$ is infinite, an infinite number of choices of distinct $r_{i}$ were made, each from an infinite set, and each such choice defined a unique $c$, so $c\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=2^{\aleph_{0}}$.

Of these $2^{\boldsymbol{N}_{0}}$ possible $c$, at most $\boldsymbol{\aleph}_{0}$ can be related by a rational multiple, so $c^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=2^{\wedge_{0}}$.

Example. Classification of rank 2 homogeneous groups:
Let $\left(T(A), T^{\prime}(A)\right)=\left\{\left(t, t^{\prime}\right)\right\} ;$ by Lemma $3, A \cong G \otimes A^{\prime}$, where $G$ is a rank 1 group and $\left(T\left(A^{\prime}\right), T^{\prime}\left(A^{\prime}\right)\right)=\{(t(\boldsymbol{Z}), t(k))\}$. Let

$$
B=\{p: k(p)=\infty\} \subseteq S^{\prime}(0)
$$

If $B \neq \varnothing$, there are $2^{\aleph_{0}}$ possibilities for the quasi-isomorphism class of $A^{\prime}$; if $B=\varnothing$ but $S^{\prime}(0)$ is infinite, there are $\aleph_{0}$ possibilities for the quasi-isomorphism class of $A^{\prime}$; otherwise $A^{\prime} \doteq \mathscr{Z} \oplus \boldsymbol{Z}$.
8. Completely anisotropic groups. Beaumont and Pierce [1, Definition 7.8] define $A$ to be completely anisotropic (c.a.) if no two independent elements have the same type. They show that for any rank 2 group, the only type which can possibly be the type of two independent elements is $t_{0}$, and hence if $t_{0} \notin T(A)$, then $A$ is c.a.; furthermore, if $T(A)$ is finite, then $A$ cannot be c.a. They prove the existence of c.a. groups but do exhibit an example; indeed the first explicit example in the literature occurs in [5, Theorem 1], although Dubois [3, Theorem 1] gives a necessary condition for a typeset to be realized by a c.a. group, and both he and Koehler [6] exhibit an infinite admissible typeset which cannot be realized by a c.a. group. Ito [5] gives a sufficient, but not necessary condition for a typeset to be realized by a c.a. group.

The following proposition provides a necessary condition for a group to be c.a., and the next theorem proves that it is also sufficient.

Proposition 5. Let $A$ be a rank 2 group for which $t_{0}=t(\boldsymbol{Z})$. If $A$ is c.a., then for any basis $\{x, y\}$ with $h(x) \wedge h(y)=0$, there
are infinitely many types $t$ in $T(A)$ satisfying:

$$
\forall p, \text { if } t(p)=\infty, \text { then } h(y)(p)-h(x)(p)=0
$$

Proof. Since $A$ is c.a., there is a prime $p$ with $h(y)(p) \neq h(x)(p)$. Let $r_{k}=p^{h(y)(p)-h(x)(p)+k}$, so $\left\{r_{k}: k=1,2, \cdots\right\}$ is an infinite set of rationals such that $h\left(r_{k}\right)(q) \neq h(y)(q)-h(x)(q)$ for all $q$ for which $h(y)(q)-$ $h(x)(q) \neq 0$. Let $r_{k}=a_{k} / b_{k}$, where $a_{k}, b_{k} \in \boldsymbol{Z}$.

By Corollary 3, the elements $z_{k}=a_{k} x+b_{k} y$ satisfy: if $h(y)(p)-$ $h(x)(p) \neq h\left(r_{k}(p)\right.$, then $h\left(z_{k}\right)(p) \neq \infty$. But since $A$ is c.a., the $z_{k}$, being pairwise independent, all have different types, so there are infinitely many types $t$ in $T(A)$ such that if $t(p)=\infty$, then $h(y)(p)-h(x)(p)=0$.

The following theorem provides a solution to Question (2)(b) of [1]:

Theorem 2. Let ( $T, T^{\prime}$ ) be a pair of sets of types satisfying conditions (1)-(4) of Proposition 4 (with $t_{0}=t(\boldsymbol{Z})$ ), and
(5) For any $t_{1}, t_{2} \in T$, let $h_{1} \in t_{1}, h_{2} \in t_{2}$. Then there are infinitely many $t \in T$ satisfying:
(c.a.) $\forall p$, if $t(p)=\infty$, then $h_{1}(p)-h_{2}(p)$ is finite, and zero almost everywhere.

Let $d\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$ denote the number of isomorphism classes of c.a. rank 2 groups realizing ( $T, T^{\prime \prime}$, and $d^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime \prime}\right.$ the number of quasiisomorphism classes. Then:
(1) If there are no flat primes and $\{t \in T: \exists p$ with $t(p)=\infty\}$ is finite, then $d^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right) \leqq \mathbf{S}_{0}=d\left(T, T^{\prime \prime}\right)$.
(2) Otherwise $d^{\prime}\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)=2^{\aleph_{0}}=d\left(T, T^{\prime}\right)$.

Proof. In §6, it was shown that for every nonzero rational $r, t_{r} \in T$ without repetitions iff the function $t_{i} \mapsto r_{i}$ in $\S 5$ is surjective. Hence by Theorem 1 above, it suffices to show that if condition (5) holds, we have the right number of surjective functions.

Let $L=\{t \in T: t$ satisfies (c.a.) $\}$, so $L$ is infinite. Let $M$ be the complement of $L$ in $T$, and order $T$ so that $M$ occurs before $L$.

We have seen in $\S 5$ that $\left\{r_{i}: t_{i} \in M\right\}$ can be chosen to leave an infinite complement in $\boldsymbol{Q}$. But then we have $\boldsymbol{K}_{0}$ unused rationals and $\boldsymbol{K}_{0}\left\{r_{i}, t_{i} \in L\right\}$ slots to fill, each of which is constrained by only finitely many conditions. Thus in case (1), we can choose $\boldsymbol{K}_{0}$ functions to be surjective, and in case (2), we can choose $2^{\aleph_{0}}$ surjective.
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