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Let $P(\alpha, \beta)$ denote the class of functions $p(z)=1+b_{1} z+\cdots$ which are analytic and satisfy the inequality $|(p(z)-1)|$ $\{2 \beta(p(z)-\alpha)-(p(z)-1)\} \mid<1$ for some $\alpha, \beta(0 \leqq \alpha<1,0<\beta \leqq 1)$ and all $z \in E=\{z:|z|<1\}$. Also, let $P_{b}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{p \in P(\alpha, \beta)\right.$ : $p^{\prime}(0)=$ $2 b \beta(1-\alpha), 0 \leqq b \leqq 1\}$. In the present paper, we determine sharp estimates for the radii of convexity for functions in the classes $R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $S_{a}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ where $R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)=\{f(z)=z+$ $\left.a \beta(1-\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots: f^{\prime} \in P_{a}(\alpha, \beta), \quad 0 \leqq a \leqq 1\right\}, \quad S_{a}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)=\{g(z)=z+$ $\left.2 a \beta(1-\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots: z g^{\prime} / g \in P_{a}(\alpha, \beta), \quad 0 \leqq a \leqq 1\right\}$. The results thus obtained not only sharpen and generalize the various known results but also give rise to several new results.

1. Introduction. Let $P$ denote the class of functions

$$
\begin{equation*}
p(z)=1+b_{1} z+b_{2} z^{2}+\cdots \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

which are analytic and satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(p(z))>0$ for $z \in E \equiv\{z:|z|<1\}$. Considerable work has been done to study the various aspects of the above mentioned class (see e.g., [11], [12] and others). Some of these results have also been extended to the class $P(\alpha)$ of functions $p(z)$ which are analytic and satisfy $\operatorname{Re}(p(z))>\alpha, 0 \leqq \alpha<1$ for $z \in E$. If $p \in P(\alpha)$, it is easily seen that $\left|b_{1}\right| \leqq 2(1-\alpha)$. Further, we note that if $\tau=\exp \left\{-i \arg b_{1}\right\}$ then $p(\tau z)=1+\left|b_{1}\right| z+\cdots$ and so while studying $P(\alpha)$, there is no loss of generality if one takes the first coefficient $b_{1}$ in (1.1) to be nonnegative.

McCarty in [8] determined a lower bound on $\operatorname{Re} z p^{\prime}(z) / p(z)$ for functions $p(z)$ in the class $P_{b}(\alpha) \equiv\left\{p \in P(\alpha): p^{\prime}(0)=2 b(1-\alpha), 0 \leqq\right.$ $b \leqq 1\}$. He also applied the results obtained to determine the sharp estimates for the radii of convexity of the two classes $R_{a}(\alpha)$ and $S_{a}^{*}(\alpha)$ for each $\alpha \in[0,1]$ and $\alpha \in[0,1)$ where

$$
R_{a}(\alpha)=\left\{f(z)=z+a(1-\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots: f^{\prime} \in P_{a}(\alpha)\right\}
$$

and

$$
S_{a}^{*}(\alpha)=\left\{g(z)=z+2 a(1-\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots: z g^{\prime} / g \in P_{a}(\alpha)\right\} .
$$

For still another class $R_{a}^{\prime}(\alpha)$ defined by $R_{a}^{\prime}(\alpha)=\{f(z)=z+\alpha(1-$ $\left.\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots:\left|f^{\prime}(z)-1\right|<\alpha, 1 / 2<\alpha \leqq 1, z \in E\right\}$ Goel [4] determined the radius of convexity.

In the present paper, we propose an approach by which it is not only possible to have a unified study of the above mentioned
classes but of various other classes as well. For this purpose we introduce the following classes:

$$
\begin{gathered}
P(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{p(z)=1+b_{1} z+\cdots: \mid(p(z)-1) /\{2 \beta(p(z)-\alpha)\right. \\
\quad-(p(z)-1)\} \mid<1, \quad \text { for } \alpha \in[0,1), \beta \in(0,1] \text { and } z \in E\} \\
P_{b}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{p \in P(\alpha, \beta): p^{\prime}(0)=2 b \beta(1-\alpha), 0 \leqq b \leqq 1\right\} \\
R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{f(z)=z+a \beta(1-\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots: f^{\prime} \in P_{a}(\alpha, \beta), 0 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1\right\} \\
S_{a}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{g(z)=z+2 a \beta(1-\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots: z g^{\prime} / g \in P_{a}(\alpha, \beta), 0 \leqq a \leqq 1\right\}
\end{gathered}
$$

and determine sharp estimates for the radii of convexity for functions in $R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)$ and $S_{a}{ }^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$.
2. Preliminary lemmas. Let $B$ denote the class of analytic functions $w(z)$ in $E$ which satisfy the conditions $w(0)=0$ and $|w(z)|<$ 1 for $z \in E$. We require the following lemmas:

Lemma 1 [15]. If $w \in B$, then for $z \in E$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|z w^{\prime}(z)-w(z)\right| \leqq \frac{|z|^{2}-|w(z)|^{2}}{1-|z|^{2}} \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Lemma 2. Let $w \in B$. Then we have
(2.2) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+s w(z))(1+t w(z))}\right\} \leqq-\frac{1}{(s-t)^{2}} \operatorname{Re}\left\{s p(z)+\frac{t}{p(z)}-s-t\right\}$

$$
+\frac{1}{(s-t)^{2}} \frac{r^{2}|s p(z)-t|^{2}-|1-p(z)|^{2}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)|p(z)|}
$$

where $p(z)=(1+t w(z)) /(1+s w(z)),|z|=r$ and $-1 \leqq t<s \leqq 1$.
Using the estimate (2.1), the lemma follows easily. Hence we omit the proof.

Lemma 3. If $p(z)=(1+t w(z)) /(1+s w(z)), \quad w \in B$, then for each $b \in[0,1]$ and $s, t$ satisfying $-1 \leqq t<s \leqq 1, p(z)$ lies in the disc

$$
\Delta(z) \equiv\left\{\zeta:\left|\zeta-A_{b}\right| \leqq D_{b}\right\},
$$

where

$$
A_{b}=\frac{(1+b r)^{2}-s t r^{2}(b+r)^{2}}{(1+b r)^{2}-s^{2} r^{2}(b+r)^{2}} ; D_{b}=\frac{(s-t) r(b+r)(1+b r)}{(1+b r)^{2}-s^{2} r^{2}(b+r)^{2}}
$$

and $r=|z|<1$.

Proof. Since $p(z)=(1+t w(z)) /(1+s w(z))$, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
w(z)=\frac{1-p(z)}{s p(z)-t}=-[b z+\cdots]=-z \phi(z) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\phi$ is analytic and $|\phi(z)| \leqq 1$ for $z \in E$ with $\phi^{\prime}(0)=b$. Now, since $(\phi(z)-b) /(1-b \phi(z))$ is subordinate to $z$, it follows that $\phi(z)$ is subordinate to $(z+b) /(1+b z)$ and so

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|\frac{1-p(z)}{s p(z)-t}\right| \leqq|z| \frac{(|z|+b)}{(1+b|z|)} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Putting $p(z)=\xi+i \eta$, (2.4) gives

$$
\left|\xi+i \eta-\frac{(1+b r)^{2}-s t r^{2}(b+r)^{2}}{(1+b r)^{2}-s^{2} r^{2}(b+r)^{2}}\right| \leqq \frac{(s-t) r(b+r)(1+b r)}{(1+b r)^{2}-s^{2} r^{2}(b+r)^{2}}
$$

Hence the lemma.
Lemma 4. If $p(z)=(1+t w(z)) /(1+s w(z)), w \in B$, then for $|z|=r, 0 \leqq r<1$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Re}\left\{k p(z)+\frac{t}{p(z)}\right\}-\frac{r^{2}|s p(z)-t|^{2}-|1-p(z)|^{2}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)|p(z)|}
$$

$(2.5) \geqq\left[\begin{array}{l}\frac{2}{1-r^{2}}\left[\sqrt{(1+t)\left(1-t r^{2}\right)\left(k\left(1-r^{2}\right)+1-s^{2} r^{2}\right)}-\left(1-s t r^{2}\right)\right] \\ W / W^{*} \\ \text { if } R_{b} \leqq R^{*} \\ \text { if } R_{b} \geqq R^{*}\end{array}\right.$
where

$$
\begin{align*}
W= & t\left(k t+s^{2}\right) r^{4}+2 b t\left\{(k+s)+\left(k t+s^{2}\right)\right\} r^{3} \\
& +\left[b^{2}(1+t)\left\{(k+t)+\left(k t+s^{2}\right)\right\}+2 t(k+s)-(s-t)^{2} \mid r\right.  \tag{2.5;a}\\
& +2 b\{(k+t)+t(k+s)\} r+(k+t),
\end{align*}
$$

$(2.5 ; \mathrm{b}) \quad W^{*}=\left\{1+r b(1+t)+t r^{2}\right\}\left\{1+r b(1+s)+s r^{2}\right\}$
and $R^{* 2}=(1+t)\left(1-t r^{2}\right) /\left(k\left(1-r^{2}\right)+1-s^{2} r^{2}\right), R_{b}=A_{b}-D_{b}$ where $A_{b}, D_{b}$ are defined as in Lemma 3 and $k \geqq s,-1 \leqq t<s \leqq 1$.

Proof. Let $|z|=r$, and $p(z)=A_{b}+\xi+i \eta \equiv \operatorname{Re}^{i \psi}$, then $-\pi / 2<$ $\psi<\pi / 2$. Denoting the left hand side of (2.5) by $U_{b}(\xi, \eta)$, we get

$$
\begin{align*}
U_{b}(\xi, \eta)=k\left(A_{b}\right. & +\xi)+t\left(A_{b}+\xi\right) R^{-2}+\frac{1-s^{2} r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\left[\left(\left(A_{b}+\xi\right)-A_{1}\right)^{2}\right.  \tag{2.6}\\
& \left.+\eta^{2}-D_{1}^{2}\right] R^{-1}
\end{align*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{\partial U_{b}}{\partial \eta}=\eta R^{-4} V_{b}(\xi, \eta) \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{align*}
V_{b}(\xi, \eta)=-2 t\left(A_{b}+\xi\right) & +\left(D_{1}^{2}+2 A_{1}\left(A_{b}+\xi\right)-A_{1}^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-s^{2} r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right) R \\
& +\left(\frac{1-s^{2} r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right) R^{3} \\
=-2 t R \cos \psi & +\left(D_{1}^{2}-A_{1}^{2}+2 A_{1} R \cos \psi\right)\left(\frac{1-s^{2} r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right) R \\
& +\left(\frac{1-s^{2} r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right) R^{3} \equiv M_{b}(R, \psi)(\text { say }) . \tag{2.8}
\end{align*}
$$

Since for fixed $r, 0 \leqq r<1, A_{b}-D_{b}$ decreases as $b$ increases over the interval $[0,1]$, it follows that $R \geqq R \cos \psi \geqq A_{b}-D_{b} \geqq A_{1}-D_{1}$. Thus, for all $b, 0 \leqq b \leqq 1$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{b}(R, \psi) & \geqq R \cos \psi\left[-2 t+\left(D_{1}^{2}-A_{1}^{2}+2 A_{1} R \cos \psi+R^{2}\right)\left(\frac{1-s^{2} r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)\right] \\
& \geqq 2 R \cos \psi\left[\left(\frac{1-s^{2} r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)\left(A_{1}-D_{1}\right)^{2}-t\right]>0
\end{aligned}
$$

for all $s, t$ satisfying $-1 \leqq t<s \leqq 1$. Thus $V_{b}(\xi, \eta) \equiv M_{b}(R, \psi)$ is positive for all points in the disc $\Delta(z)$. Now, (2.7) gives that, for every fixed $\xi, U_{b}(\xi, \eta)$ is increasing function of $\eta$ for positive $\eta$ and is a decreasing function of $\eta$ for negative $\eta$. Thus, the minimum of $U_{b}(\xi, \eta)$ inside the dise $\Delta$ is attained on the diameter forming part of the real axis. Setting $\eta=0$ in (2.6), we obtain
(2.9) $\min _{-1 \leq \eta \leq 1} U_{b}(\xi, \eta) \equiv N_{b}(R)=\left(k+\frac{1-s^{2} r^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right) R+\frac{(1+t)\left(1-t r^{2}\right)}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)} R^{-1}$

$$
-2 A_{1}\left(\frac{1-r^{2} s^{2}}{1-r^{2}}\right)
$$

where $R=A_{b}+\xi \in\left[A_{b}-D_{b}, A_{b}+D_{b}\right]$. Thus the absolute minimum of $N_{b}(R)$ in $(0, \infty)$ is attained at

$$
\begin{equation*}
R^{*}=\left(\frac{(1+t)\left(1-t r^{2}\right)}{k\left(1-r^{2}\right)+1-s^{2} r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2} \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the value of this minimum is equal to

$$
\begin{align*}
N_{b}\left(R^{*}\right)=\frac{1}{1-r^{2}}[ & \sqrt{\left(k\left(1-r^{2}\right)+1-s^{2} r^{2}\right)(1+t)\left(1-t r^{2}\right)}  \tag{2.11}\\
& \left.-\left(1-s t r^{2}\right)\right]
\end{align*}
$$

Since it is easily seen that $R^{*}<A_{1}+D_{1}$ and that $A_{b}+D_{b}$ is a decreasing function of $b$ for $0 \leqq b \leqq 1$, it follows that $R^{*}<A_{b}+D_{b}$ for $b \in[0,1]$; but $R^{*}$ is not always greater than $A_{b}-D_{b}$. In case $R^{*} \notin\left[A_{b}-D_{b}, A_{b}+D_{b}\right]$, it can be easily verified that $N_{b}(R)$ increases with $R$ in $\left[A_{b}-D_{b}, A_{b}+D_{b}\right]$. Thus the minimum of $N_{b}(R)$ on the segment $\left[A_{b}-D_{b}, A_{b}+D_{b}\right.$ ] is attained at $R_{b}=A_{b}-D_{b}$. The value of this minimum equals

$$
N_{b}\left(R_{b}\right) \equiv N_{b}\left(A_{b}-D_{b}\right)=W / W^{*}
$$

where $W$ and $W^{*}$ are given by (2.5; a) and (2.5; b). Moreover $N_{b}\left(R^{*}\right)=N_{b}\left(R_{b}\right)$ for those values of $k, s$, and $t$ for which $R_{b}=R^{*}$. Hence the lemma.
3. The class $R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)$. Let $R(\alpha, \beta)$ be the class of functions $f(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ which are analytic and satisfy the inequality $\left|\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right) /\left\{2 \beta\left(f^{\prime}(z)-\alpha\right)-\left(f^{\prime}(z)-1\right)\right\}\right|<1$ for some $\alpha, \beta(0 \leqq \alpha<1$, $0<\beta \leqq 1$ ) and $z \in E$. One of the authors [9] has shown that for $f \in R(\alpha, \beta),\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq \beta(1-\alpha)$. Define

$$
R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{f(z)=z+a \beta(1-\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots: f^{\prime} \in P_{a}(\alpha, \beta), 0 \leqq a \leqq 1\right\}
$$

Now, we determine a sharp estimate for the radii of convexity for functions in $R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 1. Let $f \in R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)$, then $f$ is convex in $|z|<r_{0}$ where $r_{0}$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+4 \alpha \beta a r & +\left(4 \alpha \beta^{2} a^{2}-2(1+\beta-3 \alpha \beta)\right) r^{2}+4 \beta(2 \alpha \beta-1) a r^{3} \\
& +(2 \beta-1)(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $R_{a} \geqq R^{*}$ and

$$
r_{0}=\left[\left\{-\alpha \beta+\sqrt{\left.\alpha\left(1-2 \alpha \beta+\alpha \beta^{2}\right)\right\}} /(1-2 \alpha \beta)\right]^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

if $R_{a} \leqq R^{*}$ where

$$
R_{a}=\frac{1+2 \alpha \beta a r+(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{2}}{1+2 \beta a r+(2 \beta-1) r^{2}}, R^{*}=\left(\frac{\alpha\left(1-(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{2}\right)}{1-(2 \beta-1) r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and $r=|z|<1$. The result is sharp for each $\alpha, \beta(0 \leqq \alpha<1,0<$ $\beta \leqq 1)$ and $0 \leqq a \leqq 1$.

Proof. Since $f \in R_{a}(\alpha, \beta)$, an application of Schwarz's lemma gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1+(2 \alpha \beta-1) w(z)}{1+(2 \beta-1) w(z)} \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w \in B$. Logarithmic differentiation of (3.1) gives
(3.2) $1+z \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}=1-2 \beta(1-\alpha)\left\{\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+(2 \beta-1) w(z))(1+(2 \alpha \beta-1) w(z))}\right\}$.

Applying (2.2) with $s=2 \beta-1, t=2 \alpha \beta-1$ to (3.2), we get
(3.3) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+z \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqq \frac{1}{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}\left[\operatorname{Re}\left\{(2 \beta-1) p(z)+\frac{2 \alpha \beta-1}{p(z)}\right\}\right.$

$$
\left.-\frac{r^{2}|(2 \beta-1) p(z)+1-2 \alpha \beta|^{2}-|1-p(z)|^{2}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)|p(z)|}\right]+\frac{1-2 \alpha \beta}{\beta(1-\alpha)}
$$

where $p(z)=(1+(2 \alpha \beta-1) w(z)) /(1+(2 \beta-1) w(z))$. An application of Lemma 4 with $k=s=2 \beta-1, t=2 \alpha \beta-1$ to (3.3) gives
(3.4) $\operatorname{Re}\left\{1+z \frac{f^{\prime \prime}(z)}{f^{\prime}(z)}\right\}$

$$
\geqq\left[\begin{array}{l}
\frac{1}{\beta(1-\alpha)\left(1-r^{2}\right)}\left[\sqrt{4 \alpha \beta^{2}\left(1-(2 \beta-1) r^{2}\right)\left(1+(1-2 \alpha \beta) r^{2}\right)}\right. \\
\left.-\left(1+(1-2 \alpha \beta)(2 \beta-1) r^{2}\right)+(1-2 \alpha \beta)\left(1-r^{2}\right)\right] \\
\text { if } R_{a} \leqq R^{*}, \\
1+4 \alpha \beta a r+\left(4 \alpha \beta^{2} a^{2}-2(1+\beta-3 \alpha \beta)\right) r^{2} \\
+4 \beta \times(2 \alpha \beta-1) a r^{3}+(2 \beta-1)(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{4} \\
\frac{\left(1+2 \beta a r+(2 \beta-1) r^{2}\right)\left(1+2 \alpha \beta a r+(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{2}\right)}{\text { if } R_{a} \geqq R^{*}}
\end{array}\right.
$$

where

$$
\begin{gathered}
R_{a}=\frac{1+2 \alpha \beta a r+(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{2}}{1+2 \beta a r+(2 \beta-1) r^{2}}, R^{*}=\left(\frac{\alpha\left(1-(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{2}\right)}{1-(2 \beta-1) r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}, \\
0 \leqq a \leqq 1 .
\end{gathered}
$$

Now the theorem follows easily from (3.4).
The function given by

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1-2 \alpha \beta a z+(2 \alpha \beta-1) z^{2}}{1-2 \beta a z+(2 \beta-1) z^{2}} \text { if } R_{a} \geqq R^{*},
$$

and

$$
f^{\prime}(z)=\frac{1-2 \alpha \beta c z+(2 \alpha \beta-1) z^{2}}{1-2 \beta c z+(2 \beta-1) z^{2}} \text { if } R_{a} \leqq R^{*}
$$

where $c$ is determined by the relation

$$
\frac{1-2 \alpha \beta c r+(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{2}}{1-2 \beta c r+(2 \beta-1) r^{2}}=R^{*}=\sqrt{\frac{\alpha\left(1+(1-2 \alpha \beta) r^{2}\right)}{\left(1-(2 \beta-1) r^{2}\right)}}
$$

show that the results obtained in the theorem are sharp.
Putting $\beta=1$, in Theorem 1, we get the following result due to McCarty [8].

Corollary 1(a). Each $f \in R_{a}(\alpha)$ maps $|z|<r_{0}$ onto a convex region where $r_{0}$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
1+4 \alpha a r+\left(6 \alpha-4+4 \alpha a^{2}\right) r^{2}+4(2 \alpha-1) a r^{3}+(2 \alpha-1) r^{4}=0
$$

if $R_{a} \geqq R^{*}$ and

$$
r_{0}=\left[\{-\alpha+\sqrt{\alpha(1-\alpha)\}} /(1-2 \alpha)]^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

if $R_{a} \leqq R^{*}$, where

$$
R_{a}=\frac{1+2 \alpha a r+(2 \alpha-1) r^{2}}{1+2 a r+r^{2}}, \quad R^{*}=\left(\frac{\alpha\left(1-(2 \alpha-1) r^{2}\right)}{1-r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and $r=|z|<1$. The result is sharp for each $\alpha(0 \leqq \alpha<1)$ and $0 \leqq a \leqq 1$.

Corollary 1(b). Let $f \in R_{a}^{\prime}(\alpha)$, then $f$ is convex in $|z|<r_{0}$ where $r_{0}$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
1+2(1-\alpha) a r+\left((1-\alpha) a^{2}-3 \alpha\right) r^{?}-2 \alpha a r^{3}=0
$$

if $R_{a} \geqq R^{*}$ and

$$
r_{0}=[\{-(1-\alpha)+\sqrt{(1-\alpha)(1+3 \alpha)}\} / 2 \alpha]^{1 / 2}
$$

if $R_{a} \leqq R^{*}$, where

$$
R_{a}=\frac{1+(1-\alpha) a r-\alpha r^{2}}{1+a r}, \quad R^{*}=\left[(1-\alpha)\left(1+\alpha r^{2}\right)\right]^{1 / 2}
$$

and $r=|z|<1$. The result is sharp for each $\alpha(0 \leqq \alpha<1)$ and $0 \leqq a \leqq 1$.

The result is obtained by replacing $\alpha$ by $1-\alpha$ and $\beta$ by $1 / 2$ in Theorem 1. It may be noted that this result was obtained by Goel [4] under the additional restriction $1 / 2 \leqq \alpha \leqq 1$.

Remark. Replacing $(\alpha, \beta)$ by ( 0,1 ), or by ( $0,1-\delta$ ), $0 \leqq \delta<1$ or by $(0,(2 \delta-1) / 2 \delta), 1 / 2<\delta \leqq 1$, or by $((1-\gamma) / 1+\gamma,(1+\gamma(/ 2), 0<$ $\gamma \leqq 1$, or by $((1-\delta+2 \gamma \delta) /(1+\delta),(1+\delta) / 2), 0 \leqq \gamma<1,0<\delta \leqq 1$, we get the estimates for the radii of convexity for functions with
fixed second coefficient of the classes introduced and studied by MacGregor [7], Shaffer [13], Goel [3], Caplinger and Causey [1] and the authors [6] respectively.
4. The class $S_{a}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$. Let $S^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ be the class of functions $g(z)=z+a_{2} z^{2}+\cdots$ which are analytic and satisfy the inequality $\left|\left(z g^{\prime}(z) / g(z)-1\right) /\left\{2 \beta\left(z g^{\prime}(z) / g(z)-\alpha\right)-\left(z g^{\prime}(z) / g(z)-1\right)\right\}\right|<1$, for some $\alpha, \beta(0 \leqq \alpha<1,0<\beta \leqq 1)$ and $z \in E$. The authors [5] have shown that for $g \in S^{*}(\alpha, \beta),\left|a_{2}\right| \leqq 2 \beta(1-\alpha)$. Define

$$
S_{a}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)=\left\{g(z)=z+2 a \beta(1-\alpha) z^{2}+\cdots: z g^{\prime} / g \in P_{a}(\alpha, \beta), 0 \leqq a \leqq 1\right\}
$$

Now, we determine a sharp estimate for the radii of convexity for functions in $S_{a}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$.

Theorem 2. Let $g \in S_{a}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$, then $g$ is convex in $|z|<r_{0}$ where $r_{0}$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+2 \beta(3 \alpha & -1) a r+\left(4 \alpha^{2} \beta^{2} a^{2}+8 \alpha \beta-2-4 \beta\right) r^{2} \\
& -2 \beta\left(1+\alpha-4 \beta \alpha^{2}\right) a r^{3}+(1-2 \alpha \beta)^{2} r^{4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $R_{a} \geqq R^{*}$ and

$$
r_{0}=\left[(5 \alpha-1) /\left\{\left(1-\alpha+4 \beta \alpha^{2}\right)+4 \alpha \sqrt{\left.\left(1+\beta-3 \alpha \beta+\alpha^{2} \beta^{2}\right)\right\}}\right]^{1 / 2}\right.
$$

if $R_{a} \leqq R^{*}$, where

$$
R_{a}=\frac{1+2 \alpha \beta a r+(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{2}}{1+2 \beta a r+(2 \beta-1) r^{2}}, \quad R^{*}=\left(\frac{\alpha\left(1+(1-2 \alpha \beta) r^{2}\right)}{(2-\alpha)-(2 \beta-\alpha) r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and $r=|z|<1$. The result is sharp for each $\alpha, \beta(0 \leqq \alpha<1,0<$ $\beta \leqq 1$ ) and $0 \leqq a \leqq 1$.

Proof. Since $g \in S_{a}^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$, an application of Schwarz's lemma gives

$$
\begin{equation*}
z \frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{1+(2 \alpha \beta-1) w(z)}{1+(2 \beta-1) w(z)} \tag{4.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w \in B$. Logarithmic differentiation of (4.1) gives

$$
\begin{align*}
1 & +z \frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}=\frac{1+(2 \alpha \beta-1) w(z)}{1+(2 \beta-1) w(z)}  \tag{4.2}\\
& -2 \beta(1-\alpha)\left\{\frac{z w^{\prime}(z)}{(1+(2 \beta-1) w(z))(1+(2 \alpha \beta-1) w(z))}\right\}
\end{align*}
$$

Applying (2.2) with $s=2 \beta-1, t=2 \alpha \beta-1$ to (4.2), we get

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Re}\{1 & \left.+z \frac{g^{\prime \prime}(z)}{g^{\prime}(z)}\right\} \geqq \frac{1}{2 \beta(1-\alpha)}[\operatorname{Re}\{(4 \beta-1-2 \alpha \beta) p(z)  \tag{4.3}\\
& \left.\left.+\frac{2 \alpha \beta-1}{p(z)}\right\}-\frac{r^{2}|(2 \beta-1) p(z)+1-2 \alpha \beta|^{2}-|1-p(z)|^{2}}{\left(1-r^{2}\right)|p(z)|}\right] \\
& +\frac{\alpha+\alpha \beta-1}{\beta(1-\alpha)}
\end{align*}
$$

where $p(z)=(1+(2 \alpha \beta-1) w(z)) /(1+(2 \beta-1) w(z))$. Now, an application of Lemma 4 with $k=4 \beta-1-2 \alpha \beta, s=2 \beta-1$ and $t=2 \alpha \beta-1$ to (4.3) gives the required results easily.

The functions given by

$$
z \frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{1-2 \alpha \beta a z+(2 \alpha \beta-1) z^{2}}{1-2 \beta a z+(2 \beta-1) z^{2}} \text { if } R_{a} \geqq R^{*}
$$

and

$$
z \frac{g^{\prime}(z)}{g(z)}=\frac{1-2 \alpha \beta c z+(2 \alpha \beta-1) z^{2}}{1-2 \beta c z+(2 \beta-1) z^{2}} \text { if } R_{a} \leqq R^{*}
$$

where $c$ is determined by the relation

$$
\frac{1-2 \alpha \beta c r+(2 \alpha \beta-1) r^{2}}{1-2 \beta c r+(2 \beta-1) r^{2}}=R^{*}=\left(\frac{\alpha(1-(2 \alpha \beta-1)) r^{2}}{(2-\alpha)-(2 \beta-\alpha) r^{2}}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

show that the results obtained in the theorem are sharp.
Taking $\beta=1$, in Theorem 2, we get the following result due to McCarty [8] which also includes the result obtained by Tepper [16].

Corollary 2(a). Each $g \in S_{a}^{*}(\alpha)$ maps $|z|<r_{0}$ onto a convex region where $r_{0}$ is the smallest positive root of the equation

$$
\begin{aligned}
1+(6 \alpha-2) a r & +\left(4 \alpha^{2} a^{2}+8 \alpha-6\right) r^{2}+\left(8 \alpha^{2}-2 \alpha-2\right) a r^{3} \\
& +(2 \alpha-1)^{2} r^{4}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

if $R_{a} \geqq R^{*}$ and

$$
r_{0}=\left[(5 \alpha-1) /\left\{\left(4 \alpha^{2}-\alpha+1\right)+4 \alpha \sqrt{\left.\left(\alpha^{2}-3 \alpha+2\right)\right\}}{ }^{1 / 2}\right.\right.
$$

if $R_{a} \leqq R^{*}$ where

$$
R_{a}=\frac{1+2 \alpha a r+(2 \alpha-1) r^{2}}{1+2 a r+r^{2}}, R^{*}=\left(\frac{\alpha(1-(2 \alpha-1)) r^{2}}{(2-\alpha)\left(1-r^{2}\right)}\right)^{1 / 2}
$$

and $r=|z|<1$. The result is sharp for each $\alpha(0 \leqq \alpha<1)$ and $0 \leqq a \leqq 1$.

Remarks. (i) Replacing ( $\alpha, \beta$ ) by ( $0,1 / 2$ ), or by ( $0,(2 \delta-1$ )/ $2 \delta), 1 / 2<\delta \leqq 1$, or by $((1-\gamma) / 1+\gamma,(1+\gamma) / 2), 0<\gamma \leqq 1$, we may obtain the estimates for the radii of convexity for functions with flxed second coefficient of the classes introduced and studied by Eenigenburg [2], Ram Singh [14] and Padmanabhan [10] respectively.
(ii) Setting $a=1$ in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2 we get the sharp estimates for the radii of convexity for functions in $R(\alpha, \beta)$ and $S^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$. These were obtained by the authors in [9] and [5] and thus also include the results obtained in [1], [2], [13] etc.
( iii) By setting $a=0$ in Theorem 1 and Theorem 2, we may get the results for functions in $R(\alpha, \beta)$ and $S^{*}(\alpha, \beta)$ with missing second coefficient and in particular for odd functions in these classes.

The authors wish to thank the referee for his helpful suggestions.
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