## GENERALIZED ORDERED SPACES WITH CAPACITIES

H. R. BENNETT AND D. J. LUTZER

We show that any GO-space having a capacity in the sense of Ščepin has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal and is perfect. In addition, such a space has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset and a dense metrizable subspace, and any GOspace having a capacity and a point-countable base (or having a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset and a point-countable base) is metrizable.

**1.** Introduction. In [14] Sčepin defined a *capacity* for a space X to be a family of functions  $\{\epsilon_x | x \in X\}$  such that, for each closed  $F \subset X$ ,

(C<sub>1</sub>)  $\varepsilon_x(F)$  is a non-negative real number with  $\varepsilon_x(F) > 0$  iff  $x \in Int(F)$ ,

(C<sub>2</sub>) if  $F_1 \subset F_2$  are closed then  $\varepsilon_x(F_1) \le \varepsilon_x(F_2)$ ,

(C<sub>3</sub>) for a fixed closed F, the function  $x \to \varepsilon_x(F)$  is continuous,

(C<sub>4</sub>) for a fixed x, if  $\{F_{\alpha} | \alpha < \kappa\}$  is a family of closed sets satisfying  $F_{\alpha} \supset F_{\beta}$  whenever  $\alpha < \beta < \kappa$ , then  $\varepsilon_{x}(\bigcap_{\alpha} F_{\alpha}) = \inf_{\alpha} \varepsilon_{x}(F_{\alpha})$ .

In that same paper Ščepin announced without proof that a linearly ordered topological space (LOTS) having a capacity is metrizable. The purpose of this note is to prove a more general result from which Ščepin's result follows immediately, namely, that any GO-space (= suborderable space) with a capacity has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal. (Recall that the class of GO-spaces is precisely the class of subspaces of LOTS.) Along the way to that result, we show that any GO-space with a capacity is *perfect* (i.e., closed sets are  $G_{\delta}$ ). In §4 we will discuss two old questions about perfect GO-spaces in the context of GO-spaces having a capacity, proving that a GO-space with a capacity has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset and a GO-space with a capacity and a point-countable base must be metrizable. Finally, examples in §5 show that our results are sharp.

Terminology and notation not defined in this paper will follow [8, 11, 12].

2. Preliminary results and perfect normality. We proceed via a sequence of lemmas.

2.1. LEMMA. Any GO-space having a capacity is a first-countable space.

*Proof.* Fix a non-isolated point p of X. If  $[p, \rightarrow)$  is not open then  $\varepsilon_p[p, \rightarrow) = 0$  and there is a well-ordered, strictly increasing net  $\{x_{\alpha} \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$  whose supremum is p. Let  $F_{\alpha} = [x_{\alpha}, \rightarrow)$ . According to  $(C_4)$ ,  $0 = \varepsilon_p([p, \rightarrow)) = \inf\{\varepsilon_p(F_{\alpha}) \mid \alpha < \kappa\}$ . For each n, choose  $\alpha_n < \kappa$  such that  $\alpha_{n-1} < \alpha_n$  and  $\varepsilon_p(F_{\alpha_n}) < 1/n$ . If some point y of X has  $x_{\alpha_n} \le y < p$  for each n, then for each n we have  $0 < \varepsilon_p([y, \rightarrow)) < \varepsilon_p([x_{\alpha_n}, \rightarrow)) < 1/n$ , which is impossible. Hence p is the limit of a sequence  $z_n = x_{\alpha_n}$  from  $(\leftarrow, p)$ . If  $(\leftarrow, p]$  is open, then  $\{(z_n, p) \mid n \ge 1\}$  is a neighborhood base at p. If  $(\leftarrow, p]$  is not open, we can obtain a sequence  $w_1 > w_2 > \cdots$  having p as its limit, and then  $\{(z_n, w_n) \mid n \ge 1\}$  is a local base at p. Other cases are handled analogously.

## 2.2. PROPOSITION. Any GO-space with a capacity is perfect.

*Proof.* Let U be any open set and let  $\forall = \{V_{\alpha} | \alpha \in A\}$  be the family of all convex components of U. For each  $\alpha \in A$  choose  $p_{\alpha} \in V_{\alpha}$ . Then  $\varepsilon_{p_{\alpha}}(\overline{V_{\alpha}}) > 0$ . Let  $P_n = \{p_{\alpha} | \varepsilon_{p_{\alpha}}(\overline{V_{\alpha}}) \ge 1/n\}$ . We claim that  $P_n$  is a closed, discrete subspace of X. Obviously  $P_n$  is discrete-in-itself. We show  $P_n$  is closed. Let q be a limit point of  $P_n$ . Since X is first-countable, there is a strictly monotonic sequence  $\langle q_k \rangle$  from  $P_n$  whose limit is q, say  $q_k = p_{\alpha_k}$ . Let  $F = \{q\} \cup (\bigcup \{\overline{V_{\alpha_{2k}}} | k \ge 1\})$ . Then F is a closed set and, by (C\_3),  $\varepsilon_q(F) = \lim_{k \to \infty} \varepsilon_{q_{2k}}(F) \ge 1/n$  because  $\varepsilon_{q_{2k}}(F) \ge \varepsilon_{q_{2k}}(\overline{V_{\alpha_{2k}}}) \ge 1/n$ . Hence  $q \in \operatorname{Int}(F)$ . But the sequence  $\{q_{2k+1} | k \ge 1\}$  also converges to q and no term of that sequence lies in F, contradicting  $q \in \operatorname{Int}(F)$ . Hence  $P_n$  is closed and discrete.

Since X is first countable, each set  $V_{\alpha} \in \mathbb{V}$  is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set so we may find closed convex sets  $D(\alpha, k)$  having  $p_{\alpha} \in D(\alpha, 1) \subset D(\alpha, 2) \subset \cdots$  and  $\bigcup \{D(\alpha, k) | k \ge 1\} = V_{\alpha}$ . Let  $E(n, k) = \bigcup \{D(\alpha, k) | p_{\alpha} \in P_n\}$ . Since  $P_n$ is closed and discrete, each E(n, k) is closed, and  $U = \bigcup \mathbb{V} = \bigcup \{E(n, k) | n \ge 1, k \ge 1\}$ .

**REMARK.** Corollary 4.3 below provides an even stronger conclusion than does Proposition 2.2.

2.3. LEMMA. Suppose  $(\leftarrow, p]$  is not open. Let  $\delta > 0$ . Then there is a point q > p such that for each  $t \in [p, q], \varepsilon_t([p, q]) < \delta$ .

*Proof.* Since p is a limit point of  $(p, \rightarrow)$  there is a sequence  $b_1 > b_2 > \cdots$  whose limit is p. Then  $0 = \varepsilon_p((\leftarrow, p]) = \inf\{\varepsilon_p((\leftarrow, b_n]) | n \ge 1\}$  so that for some  $n_0, \varepsilon_p((\leftarrow, b_{n_0}]) < \delta$ . Then  $\varepsilon_p([p, b_{n_0}]) < \delta$ . Now assume no point q, as described in the Lemma, exists. Let  $c_0 = b_{n_0}$ . Then there is a

point  $t_0 \in [p, c_0]$  with  $\varepsilon_{t_0}([p, c_0]) \ge \delta$ . Necessarily,  $p < t_0$ . Let  $c_1 = \min\{b_{n_0+1}, t_0\}$  and find  $t_1 \in [p, c_1]$  with  $\varepsilon_{t_1}([p, c_1]) \ge \delta$ . In general, find a point  $t_{k+1} \in [p, c_{k+1}]$  with  $\varepsilon_{t_{k+1}}([p, c_{k+1}]) \ge \delta$ , where  $c_{k+1} = \min\{b_{n_0+k+1}, t_k\}$ . If *m* is fixed and  $k > m, p < c_k < c_m$  and so  $\varepsilon_{t_k}([p, c_m]) \ge \delta$ . Letting  $k \to \infty$ , we obtain  $\varepsilon_p([p, c_m]) = \lim_k \varepsilon_{t_k}([p, c_m]) \le \delta$ . But  $c_m \le b_{n_0+m} < b_{n_0}$  so we obtain  $\delta \le \varepsilon_p([p, c_m]) \le \varepsilon_p([p, b_{n_0}]) < \delta$ , a contradiction.

**REMARK.** There is an obvious analogue of (2.3) in case [ $p, \rightarrow$ ) is not open.

2.4. LEMMA. Suppose neither  $(\leftarrow, p]$  nor  $[p, \rightarrow)$  is open (i.e., p is a two-sided limit point of X). Let  $\delta > 0$ . Then there are points q and r with  $q having the property that for every <math>t \in [q, r]$ ,  $\varepsilon_t([q, r]) < \delta$ .

*Proof.* The proof is analogous to the proof of (2.3).

2.5. NOTATION. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{T}, <)$  be a GO-space. Let  $R = \{x \in X | [x, \rightarrow) \text{ is open}\},$   $L = \{x \in X | (\leftarrow, x] \text{ is open}\},$   $I = \{x \in X | \{x\} \text{ is open}\},$   $R^* = R - I,$  and  $L^* = L - I.$ 

2.6. LEMMA. Assume X is a GO-space having a capacity. Each of the sets defined in (2.5) is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set.

*Proof.* In the light of (2.2), I is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set since I is open. If we can show that R is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set, then so is  $R^*$  because  $R^* = R - I$ .

To show that R is an  $F_{\sigma}$ -set, observe that for each  $x \in R$ ,  $\varepsilon_x([x, \rightarrow)) > 0$ . Let  $R_n = \{x \in R | \varepsilon_x([x, \rightarrow)) \ge 1/n\}$ . Suppose p is a limit point of  $R_n$ . Choose a strictly monotonic sequence  $\langle x_k \rangle$  from  $R_n$  whose limit is p. There are two cases.

Case 1. Suppose  $x_1 < x_2 < \cdots$ . Then  $[p, \rightarrow) = \bigcap \{ [x_k, \rightarrow) | k \ge 1 \}$ so that  $\varepsilon_p([p, \rightarrow)) = \inf \{ \varepsilon_p([x_k, \rightarrow)) | k \ge 1 \}$ . If k is fixed and m > k then  $x_k < x_m$  so that  $\varepsilon_{x_m}([x_k, \rightarrow)) \ge \varepsilon_{x_m}([x_m, \rightarrow)) \ge 1/n$ . Letting  $m \rightarrow \infty$ , we obtain  $\varepsilon_p([x_k, \rightarrow)) = \lim \varepsilon_{x_m}([x_k, \rightarrow)) \ge 1/n$ . Hence  $\varepsilon_p([p, \rightarrow)) \ge 1/n$ . But then p must be an interior point of  $[p, \rightarrow)$  so that the increasing sequence  $\langle x_k \rangle$  could not have converged to p. Case 2. Suppose  $x_1 > x_2 > \cdots$ . According to  $(C_3)$ ,  $\varepsilon_p([p, \rightarrow)) = \lim_k \varepsilon_{x_k}([p, \rightarrow))$ . Since  $p < x_k$ ,  $\varepsilon_{x_k}([p, \rightarrow)) \ge \varepsilon_{x_k}([x_k, \rightarrow)) \ge 1/n$ . Hence  $\varepsilon_p([p, \rightarrow)) \ge 1/n$ . But then p must be an interior point of  $[p, \rightarrow)$  so that  $p \in R$ . Hence  $p \in R_n$  as required.

Analogously, L and L\* are  $F_{\sigma}$  sets.

3.  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonals. Ceder [6] observed that the diagonal of space X is a  $G_{\delta}$ -subset of  $X \times X$  if there are open coverings  $\mathcal{G}(n)$  of X (for  $n \ge 1$ ) such that given  $x \ne y$  in X,  $St(x, \mathcal{G}(n)) \subset X - \{y\}$  for some n. In perfect spaces, a weaker condition suffices. The proof of the next lemma is easy.

3.1. LEMMA. Suppose X is perfect. Then X has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal if there is a countable family  $\Psi$  such that

(a) each  $\mathcal{G} \in \Psi$  is a collection of open subsets of X, and,

(b) given  $x \neq y$  in X, some  $\mathcal{G} \in \Psi$  has  $x \in St(x, \mathcal{G}) \subset X - \{y\}$ .

3.2. LEMMA. Suppose X is a GO-space with a capacity. Then there is a countable family  $\Psi_R$  such that

(a) each  $\mathfrak{G} \in \Psi_R$  is a collection of open subsets of X, and,

(b) given  $x \in R$  and  $y \neq x$ , some  $\mathcal{G} \in \Psi_R$  has  $x \in St(x, \mathcal{G}) \subset X - \{y\}$ .

*Proof.* Let  $\mathcal{G}_0 = \{\{x\} | x \in I\}$ . For  $n \ge 1$  and for  $p \in R^*$ , use Lemma (2.3) to find a point q(p, n) > p such that for every  $t \in [p, q(p, n)]$ ,  $\varepsilon_t([p, q(p, n)]) < 1/n$ . Let  $\mathcal{G}(n) = \{[p, q(p, n)) | p \in R^*\}$ . Next, use Lemma (2.6) to write  $L = \bigcup \{L_k | k \ge 1\}$  where each  $L_k$  is closed in X, and notice that  $R^* \cap L = \emptyset$ . Now define, for  $n \ge 1$ ,  $\mathcal{G}(-n) = \{X - L_n\}$ . We let  $\Psi_R = \{\mathcal{G}(n) | n \text{ is any integer}\}$ .

Fix  $x \in R$  and  $y \neq x$ . If  $x \in I$ , then  $St(x, \mathcal{G}(0)) = \{x\} \subset X - \{y\}$  as required, so assume  $x \in R - I = R^*$ . Let J be the convex hull of the two-point set  $\{x, y\}$ . There are two cases.

Case 1. If there is some point t having  $\varepsilon_t(J) > 0$ , find a positive integer n having  $\varepsilon_t(J) > 1/n$ . Since  $x \in \mathbb{R}^*$ ,  $[x, q(x, n)) \in \mathcal{G}(n)$  so that  $x \in \operatorname{St}(x, \mathcal{G}(n))$ . Suppose some member [p, q(p, n)) of  $\mathcal{G}(n)$  contains both x and y. By convexity,  $J \subset [p, q(p, n)]$  so we have  $\varepsilon_t(J) \le \varepsilon_t([p, q(p, n)])$ . But  $t \in [p, q(p, n)]$  so that  $1/n > \varepsilon_t([p, q(p, n)]) \ge \varepsilon_t(J) > 1/n$ , which is impossible. Hence  $y \notin \operatorname{St}(x, \mathcal{G}(n))$ .

Case 2. If there is no point t in X such that  $\varepsilon_t(J) > 0$ , then y < x, because if x < y we would have  $[x, y) = [x, \rightarrow) \cap (\leftarrow, y)$ , so x would be an interior point of J, whence  $\varepsilon_x(J) > 0$ . Since y < x and since no point t

of X lies strictly between x and y, we conclude that  $(\leftarrow, y] = (\leftarrow, x)$  is open. Thus  $y \in L$ . Choose n so that  $y \in L_n$ . Because  $R^* \cap L_n = \emptyset$ ,  $x \in St(x, \mathcal{G}(-n)) = X - L_n \subset X - \{y\}$ , as required.

3.3. REMARK. Suppose X is a GO-space with a capacity. There is an analogue of (3.2) which constructs a countable family  $\Psi_L$  of open collections such that if  $x \in L$  and  $y \in X - \{x\}$ , then some  $\mathcal{G} \in \Psi_L$  has  $x \in St(x, \mathcal{G}) \subset X - \{y\}$ .

3.4. LEMMA. Suppose X is a GO-space with a capacity. Let  $E = X - (R \cup L \cup I)$ . Then there is a countable family  $\Psi_E$  such that

(a) each  $\mathcal{G} \in \Psi_E$  is a collection of open subsets of X, and,

(b) if  $x \in E$  and if  $y \in X - \{x\}$ , then for some  $\mathcal{G} \in \Psi_E$ ,  $x \in St(x, \mathcal{G}) \subset X - \{y\}$ .

*Proof.* For each  $p \in E$ , use Lemma (2.4) to select points  $a(p, n) such that for each <math>t \in [a(p, n), b(p, n)]$ ,  $\varepsilon_t([a(p, n), b(p, n)]) < 1/n$ . For  $n \ge 1$ , let  $\mathcal{G}(n) = \{(a(p, n), b(p, n)) | p \in E\}$ , and let  $\Psi_E = \{\mathcal{G}(n) | n \ge 1\}$ . The proof that  $\Psi_E$  satisfies (b) above is similar to, but even easier than, the proof that  $\Psi_R$  satisfies (b) of (3.2).

3.5. THEOREM. Any GO-space with a capacity has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal.

*Proof.* Using the collections found in (3.2)–(3.4) let  $\Psi = \Psi_R \cup \Psi_L \cup \Psi_E$ . Then  $\Psi$  satisfies the hypotheses of (3.1) so that, since X is perfect in the light of (2.2), X has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal.

3.6. COROLLARY (Scepin). Any LOTS with a capacity is metrizable.

*Proof.* Any LOTS with a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal is metrizable [10].

4. Some results on perfect spaces. There are two old questions which concern perfect GO-spaces. The first is due to R. W. Heath, and the second was posed by M. Maurice and J. van Wouwe.

(H) Find a real example of a perfect GO-space which has a pointcountable base and yet is not metrizable.

(MvW) Find a real example of a perfect GO-space which does not have a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset.

(These questions ask for "real examples", i.e., examples in ZFC, since if there is a Souslin line, then there is a counterexample to each [2], [13], [15].)

In this section we show that no counterexample to (H) or to (MvW) can have a capacity.

It is known that any GO-space having a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset is perfect [15]. We begin this section by proving the converse for GO-spaces having a capacity, thereby strengthening (2.2). We need the following result, due to Przymusiński [1].

4.1. PROPOSITION. Let  $(X, \mathfrak{T}, <)$  be a GO-space having a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal. Then there is a topology  $\mathfrak{M}$  on X such that:

(c)  $(X, \mathfrak{M}, \leq)$  is a GO-space.

4.2. THEOREM. Suppose X is a perfect GO-space having a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal. Then X has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset.

*Proof.* Let  $\mathfrak{T}$  and < be, respectively, the topology and ordering of X. Use (4.1) to find a metrizable GO-topology  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{T}$ . Let D be a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset of the metric space  $(X, \mathfrak{M})$  and let  $I = \{x | \{x\} \in \mathfrak{T} - \mathfrak{M}\}$ . Then D is also  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$  and I is an  $F_{\sigma}$  in  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ , whence I is also  $\sigma$ -discrete in  $(X, \mathfrak{T})$ . Let  $E = D \cup I$ .

Now let W be any nonvoid open set. If  $W \cap I \neq \emptyset$  then  $W \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , so assume W contains no isolated points. Then there are points a < b in W such that  $\emptyset \neq (a, b) \subset W$ . But then  $(a, b) \in \mathfrak{M}$  so  $(a, b) \cap D \neq \emptyset$ . Hence  $W \cap E \neq \emptyset$ , as required.

4.3. COROLLARY. Any GO-space with a capacity has a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense set.

*Proof.* Combine (2.2), (3.5) and (4.2). 
$$\Box$$

4.4. COROLLARY. Any GO-space with a capacity has a dense metrizable subspace.

**Proof.** The  $\sigma$ -discrete dense set D found in (4.3) is, in its relative topology, semistratifiable in the sense of Creede [7] and any semistratifiable GO-space is metrizable [11].

<sup>(</sup>a)  $(X, \mathfrak{M})$  is metrizable;

<sup>(</sup>b)  $\mathfrak{M} \subset \mathfrak{T}$ ;

To show that no counterexample to (MvW) can have a capacity we prove a bit more, namely:

4.5. THEOREM. Let X be a GO-space having a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense set and a point-countable base. Then X is metrizable.

**Proof.** Since any GO-space having a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense set is perfect and paracompact [15], it will be enough to show that a space X which satisfies the hypotheses of (4.5) has a  $\sigma$ -disjoint base. Then X is quasi-developable [3] and perfect, so X is developable [3]. But a developable paracompact space is metrizable.

Let  $D = \bigcup \{D(n) | n \ge 1\}$  be a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense subset of X. A standard argument [Prop. 3.4, 5] provides a  $\sigma$ -disjoint base for points of D. Let I be the set of isolated points of X (so  $I \subset D$ ). Let  $R^*$  and  $L^*$  be as in (2.5) and let  $E = X - (R^* \cup L^* \cup I)$ . A standard argument shows that the collection  $\Im = \bigcup \{\Im_n | n \ge 1\}$ , where  $\Im_n$  is the collection of convex components of X - D(n), contains a  $\sigma$ -disjoint base for all points of E. Therefore it suffices to find  $\sigma$ -disjoint collections  $\mathcal{C}$  and  $\mathcal{C}'$  which contain neighborhood bases for all points of  $R^* - D$  and  $L^* - D$ , respectively. We show how to find  $\mathcal{C}$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{B}$  be a point-countable base for X, and let  $\mathfrak{V} = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{V}_n | n \ge 1\}$  be as above. For  $n \ge 1$  and  $V \in \mathfrak{V}_n$ , let  $\mathfrak{P}_n(V) = \{B \cap V | B \in \mathfrak{B} \text{ and for}$ some  $p \in R^* \cap V$ ,  $([p, \to) \cap V) \subset B \subset [p, \to)\}$ . Let  $\mathfrak{P}_n = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{P}_n(V) | V \in \mathfrak{V}_n\}$  and  $\mathfrak{P} = \bigcup \{\mathfrak{P}_n | n \ge 1\}$ . Then we have

1.  $\mathcal{P}$  is point-countable, and

2.  $\mathcal{P}$  contains a neighborhood base at each point of  $R^* - D$ . Fix *n* and  $V \in \mathcal{N}_n$ . For each  $P \in \mathcal{P}_n(V)$  there is a unique  $y_P \in P \cap V$  having  $P = [y_P, \to) \cap V$ . Let  $C(n, V) = \{y_P | P \in \mathcal{P}_n(V)\}$  and choose  $S(n, V) = \{x(V, \alpha) | \alpha < \kappa(V)\}$ , a cofinal strictly increasing subset of C(n, V). Because  $\mathcal{P}_n(V)$  is point-countable, we have

3. If  $\alpha < \kappa(V)$  then  $|C(n, V) \cap (\leftarrow, x(V, \alpha))| \le \omega_0$ . For each  $y \in C(n, V)$ , let  $\alpha(n, V, y)$  be the first index  $\beta < \kappa(V)$  such that  $y < x(V, \beta)$  and define

$$\mathcal{C}(n, V, \alpha) = \{ [y, x(V, \alpha)) | y \in C(n, V) \text{ and } \alpha(n, V, y) = \alpha \}.$$

If  $V \neq W$  belong to  $\mathcal{N}(n)$  or if V = W and  $\alpha \neq \beta$ , then  $\mathcal{C}(n, V, \alpha) \cap \mathcal{C}(n, W, \beta) = \emptyset$ . Furthermore,

4. each  $\mathcal{C}(n, V, \alpha)$  is countable.

Index  $\mathcal{C}(n, V, \alpha)$  as  $\{C(n, V, \alpha, k) | k \ge 1\}$  and let  $\mathcal{C}'(n, k) = \{C(n, V, \alpha, k) | V \in \mathcal{V}_n, \alpha < \kappa(V)\}$ . Then we have

5. the family  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{C}(n, V, \alpha) | n \ge 1, V \in \mathcal{V}_n, \text{ and } \alpha < \kappa(V) \}$  has  $\mathcal{C} = \bigcup \{ \mathcal{C}'(n, k) | n \ge 1, k \ge 1 \}$ , so that  $\mathcal{C}$  is  $\sigma$ -disjoint.

It remains only to show that  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a neighborhood base at each point of  $R^* - D$ . Fix  $p \in R^* - D$  and r > p. Find  $B \in \mathfrak{B}$  with  $p \in B \subset$ [p, r[. Because  $p \notin I$  we may find q > p with  $[p, q) \subset B \subset [p, r)$  and  $(p, q) \neq \emptyset$ . Choose *n* so that  $(p, q) \cap D(n) \neq \emptyset$  and choose  $d \in (p, q)$  $\cap D(n)$ . Because  $p \in R - D$ , some convex component  $V \in \mathcal{V}_n$  contains *p*. Then  $V \subset (\leftarrow, d)$  and so

$$p \in [p, \rightarrow) \cap V \subset [p, \rightarrow) \cap (\leftarrow, d) \subset [p, d) \subset [p, q) \subset B \subset [p, \rightarrow),$$

i.e., the set  $Q = B \cap V$  belongs to  $\mathcal{P}_n(V)$ . The unique point  $y_Q$  with  $Q = [y_Q, \rightarrow) \cap V$  is  $y_Q = p$ , so  $p \in C(n, V)$ . Compute  $\alpha = \alpha(n, V, p)$ . Then  $[p, x(V, \alpha)) \in \mathcal{C}(n, V, \alpha) \in \mathcal{C}$  and  $[p, x(V, \alpha)) \subset Q \subset B \subset [p, r)$ . Hence  $\mathcal{C}$  contains a neighborhood base at each point of  $R^* - D$ , as required.

4.6. COROLLARY. Any GO-space having a capacity and a point-countable base is metrizable.  $\Box$ 

Theorem 2.1 of [4] shows that a perfect GO-space with a  $\delta\theta$ -base has a point-countable base. Hence we have:

4.7. COROLLARY. Any GO-space having a capacity and a  $\delta\theta$ -base is metrizable.

We conclude this section by pointing out that, in the light of (4.5), any counterexample for (H) is also a counterexample of the type required in (MvW).

## 5. Examples.

5.1 It is easy to see that the Sorgenfrey line [3] has a capacity. Thus, Theorem (3.5) cannot be strengthened to assert that a GO-space with a capacity is metrizable.

5.2 No uncountable subspace of the Michael line [3, 11] can have a capacity unless it is metrizable. For if X is an uncountable subspace of the Michael line, then X is quasi-developable since it has a  $\sigma$ -disjoint base [11]. If X had a capacity then X would be perfect (2.2) and perfect quasi-developable space is developable [3]. But a developable GO-space is metrizable. (We remark that, under (MA +  $\neg$ CH), there are uncountable

subsets of the Michael line M which are metrizable; indeed Theorem (4.1) of [9] shows that any subspace X of M with |X| < c is metrizable.)

5.3 It is not true that a perfect GO-space with a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal and a  $\sigma$ -discrete dense set must have a capacity. Let X be the GO-space obtained from the usual real line **R** by making the half-line  $[x, \rightarrow)$  open whenever x is irrational and using the usual open interval neighborhoods for rational numbers. Then X is separable and has a  $G_{\delta}$ -diagonal. However the set  $R = \{x \in X | [x, \rightarrow) \text{ is open}\}$  is not an  $F_{\sigma}$ -subset of X, so X does not have a capacity.

## References

- [1] K. Alster, Subparacompactness in Cartesian products of generalized ordered topological spaces, Fund. Math., 87 (1975), 7–28.
- [2] H. Bennett, On quasi-developable spaces, Ph.D. Thesis Arizona State University, 1968.
- [3] \_\_\_\_\_, On quasi-developable spaces, Gen. Top. Appl., 1 (1971), 253–262.
- [4] \_\_\_\_\_, GO-spaces with  $\delta\theta$ -bases, Topology and Order Structures, I, M.C. Tract 142, Mathematical Center, Amsterdam, 1981.
- [5] H. Bennett and D. Lutzer, Ordered spaces with  $\sigma$ -minimal bases, Topology Proc., 2 (1977), 371–382.
- [6] J. Ceder, Some generalizations of metric spaces, Pacific J. Math., 11 (1961), 105–125.
- [7] G. Creede, Concerning semistratifiable spaces, Pacific J. Math., 32 (1970), 47-54.
- [8] R. Engelking, General Topology, Polish Scientific Publishers, 1977.
- [9] W. Fleissner and G. M. Reed, Paralindelöf spaces and spaces with a σ-locally countable base, Topology Proc., 2 (1977), 89-110.
- [10] D. Lutzer, A metrization theorem for linearly orderable spaces, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., 22 (1969), 557–558.
- [11] \_\_\_\_\_, On generalized ordered spaces, Dissertations Math., 89, 1971.
- [12] \_\_\_\_\_, Ordered Topological Spaces, Surveys in General Topology, ed. by G. M. Reed, Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- [13] V. Ponomarev, Metrizability of a finally compact p-space with a point-countable base, Sov. Math. Dokl., 8 (1967), 765-768.
- [14] E. Ščepin, On topological products, groups, and a new class of spaces more general than metric spaces, Soviet Math. Dokl., 17 (1976), 152–155.
- [15] J. van Wouwe, GO-spaces and generalizations of metrizability, M.C. Tract 104, Mathematical Center, Amsterdam, 1979.

Received May 14, 1982. The second author was partially supported by NSF Grant MCS 80-01617.

TEXAS TECH UNIVERSITY LUBBOCK, TX 79409

AND

MIAMI UNIVERSITY OXFORD, OH 45056