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#### Abstract

We consider whether, given a locally compact abelian group $G$ and two Banach $G$-modules $X$ and $Y$, every $G$-module homomorphism from $X$ into $Y$ is continuous. Discontinuous homomorphisms can exist only when $Y$ has submodules on which $G$ acts by scalar multiplication. They are also associated with discontinuous convariant forms on $X$ so if either of these are absent them all $G$-module homomorphisms are continuous.


1. Introduction. Throughout this paper $G$ is a locally compact abelian group.

Definition 1.1. A Banach $G$-module is a Banach space $X$ with a map $(g, x) \mapsto g x$ of $G \times X$ into $X$ such that
(i) $x \mapsto g x$ is linear on $X(g \in G)$.
(ii) $g(h x)=(g h) x(g, h \in G, x \in X)$.
(iii) $e x=x \quad(x \in X, e$ is the identity element of $G)$.
(iv) There is a $K \in \mathbf{R}$ with

$$
\|g x\| \leq K\|x\| \quad(x \in X, g \in G)
$$

Note that we do not require any continuity of the map $(g, x) \mapsto g x$ in $g$-in fact in most of the paper we will be treating $G$ as a discrete group.

A $G$-submodule of $X$ is a closed linear subspace $X_{0}$ of $X$ with $g x \in X_{0}$ $\left(g \in G, x \in X_{0}\right)$. The $G$-module $X$ is scalar if for each $g \in G$ there is $\lambda(g) \in \mathbf{C}$ with $g x=\lambda(g) x(g \in G, x \in X)$. If $X \neq\{0\}$ then $\lambda(e)=1$, $\lambda(g h)=\lambda(g) \lambda(h)$ and $|\lambda(g)| \leq K$. Applying this last inequality to $g^{n}$ $(n \in \mathbf{Z})$ we see $|\lambda(g)|=1$ so $\lambda$ is a character and mild continuity hypotheses on $g \mapsto g x$ would imply that $\lambda$ is continuous.

Definition 1.2. Let $X, Y$ be Banach $G$-modules. Then $S: X \rightarrow Y$ is a $G$-module homomorphism if it is linear and $S(g x)=g S(x)(g \in G, x \in X)$.

If $Y$ is a scalar module then $S(g x)=\lambda(g) S(x)$ and we say that $S$ is $\lambda$-covariant. In the special case when $\lambda \equiv 1$ is the trivial character we say $S$ is invariant. When $Y=\mathbf{C}$ we call $S$ a form.

Invariant and covariant forms are related in many cases because if $S$ is a $\lambda$ covariant form on $X$ and $T: X \rightarrow X$ is a linear map with
$T(g x)=\lambda(g)^{-1} g T(x)$ then $S T$ is an invariant form because $S T(g x)=$ $S\left(\lambda(g)^{-1} g T(x)\right)=g S T(x)$. When $X$ is a $G$-module of functions on which $G$ acts by translation such a $T$ is given by $(T \alpha)(h)=\lambda(h)^{-1} \alpha(h)$.

The main result of this paper [Theorem 4.1] is that if $S$ is a $G$-module homomorphism of $X$ into $Y$ then the separating set of $S$ is the direct sum of finite number of scalar $G$-submodules of $Y$. This is proved by methods similar to [1] involving identifying certain intersections of ranges $\left(\sum a_{i} g_{i}\right) Y$ where the $a_{i} \in \mathbf{C}$. Our method for doing this depends on doing it first of all for $Y=l^{\infty}(G)$ and to achieve it there we need some results on difference operators which are given in §2.
2. Two Lemmas. Throughout this section $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ is partially ordered by the product order (except that $x<y$ means $x_{i}<y_{i}$ for all $i$ ) and $a, b \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ with $a<b$. For $x \in \mathbf{R}^{n}$ we put $|x|=\max \left|x_{i}\right|$. The standard basis vectors of $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ are denoted by $e_{1}, \ldots, e_{n}$. If $x, h \in \mathbf{R}^{n}, a<x-h<x<$ $x+h<b$ and $g$ is a complex valued function on $(a, b)$ we define

$$
\Delta_{i} g=\Delta_{i}(x, h) g=\left[g\left(x+h_{i} e_{i}\right)-2 g(x) \cosh h_{i}+g\left(x-h_{i} e_{i}\right)\right] h_{i}^{-2}
$$

Abusing notation $\Delta_{i} g$ is a function of $x$ and we have $\Delta_{i} \Delta_{j} g=\Delta_{j} \Delta_{i} g$.
Let $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2} \cdots \Delta_{n}$. Lemma 2.2 is an extension of Schwarz' Theorem to functions of several variables with the operator $D^{2}$ replaced by $D^{2}-I$; Lemma 2.1 is a preparatory result.

Lemma 2.1. Let $u, v \in(a, b)$ with $u<v$. Suppose $g$ is continuous $(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and $g(x)=0$ whenever $x_{i}=u_{i}$ or $v_{i}$ for some $i$. Suppose also that $\Delta g=0$ whenever $a<x-h<x<x+h<b$. Then $g$ is zero throughout ( $a, b$ ).

Proof. We prove the results by induction on $n$. When $n=1$ we see that if we have any three points in $(a, b)$ in arithmetic progression and $g$ is zero at two of them it is zero at the third. Hence $g$ is zero at all points in ( $a, b$ ) of the form $(1-\lambda) u+\lambda v$ where $\lambda=2^{-s} t(s, t \in \mathbf{Z})$. By continuity $g$ is zero throughout $(a, b)$.

Suppose the result holds whenever $n=k$ and $g$ satisfies the hypotheses for $n=k+1$. Let $c \in\left(a_{k+1}, b_{k+1}\right)$ and let $y, h \in \mathbf{R}^{k}$. For all $y_{k+1}$, $h_{k+1}$ with $a_{k+1}<y_{k+1}-h_{k+1}<y_{k+1}<y_{k+1}+h_{k+1}<b_{k+-1}$ we have

$$
\Delta\left(y_{k+1}, h_{k+1}\right) G=0
$$

where

$$
G\left(y_{k+1}\right)=\Delta_{1}(y, h) \Delta_{2}(y, h) \cdots \Delta_{k}(y, h) g\left(y_{1}, \ldots, y_{k}, y_{k+1}\right) .
$$

Also $G\left(u_{k+1}\right)=0=G\left(v_{k+1}\right)$. Thus by the result for $n=1, G(c)=0$. We now apply the inductive hypothesis to the function $g\left(x_{1}, x_{2}, \ldots, x_{n}, c\right)$ and the result follows.

Lemma 2.2. Let $f$ be continuous $(a, b) \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ and suppose that for each $x \in(a, b)$ there are complex valued functions $\alpha_{i}, \beta_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ defined in a neighbourhood of 0 in $\mathbf{R}^{n}$ where $\alpha_{i}$ and $\beta_{i}$ are constant with respect to the ith variable, such that

$$
f(x+h)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} \alpha_{i}(x+h) \cosh h_{i}+\beta_{i}(x+h) \sinh h_{i}+o\left(|h|^{2 n}\right)
$$

as $h \rightarrow 0$. Then there are complex valued functions $A_{i}, B_{i}(i=1, \ldots, n)$ on $(a, b)$ where $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ are constant with respect to the ith variable such that for all $x \in(a, b)$

$$
f(x)=\sum_{i=1}^{n} A_{i}(x) \cosh x_{i}+B_{i}(x) \sinh x_{i}
$$

Proof. First of all we show that if $a<x-h<x<x+h<b$ then $\Delta f=0$. We have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Delta_{i}(x, h) f=4^{-1} & \left(\Delta_{i}\left(x+\frac{1}{2} h_{i} e_{i}, \frac{1}{2} h\right) f\right. \\
& \left.+2 \cosh \frac{1}{2} h_{i} \Delta_{i}(x, h) f+\Delta_{i}\left(x-\frac{1}{2} h_{i} e_{i}, \frac{1}{2} h\right) f\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Applying this to each of the factors in $\Delta=\Delta_{1} \Delta_{2} \cdots \Delta_{n}$ we express $\Delta(x, h)$ as the mean of $4^{n}$ terms of the form $C \Delta\left(y, \frac{1}{2} h\right)$ where $C$ is the product of some of the terms $\cosh \frac{1}{2} h_{i}$. If we denote $|\Delta(x, h) f|$ by $K$ then for one of these $y$ 's, $y^{(1)}$ say

$$
\left|\Delta\left(y^{(1)}, \frac{1}{2} h\right)\right| \geq K C\left(\frac{1}{2} h\right)^{-1}
$$

where $x-h \leq y^{(1)}-\frac{1}{2} h \leq y^{(1)}+\frac{1}{2} h \leq x+h$ and $\cosh \frac{1}{2} h_{1} \cdots \cosh \frac{1}{2} h_{n}$ $=C\left(\frac{1}{2} h\right)$. Repeating the process we obtain a sequence $y^{(m)}$ with

$$
\left|\Delta\left(y^{(m)}, 2^{-m} h\right) f\right| \geq K C_{m}^{-1}
$$

where

$$
1 \leq C_{m}=C\left(\frac{1}{2} h\right) C\left(\frac{1}{4} h\right) \cdots C\left(2^{-m} h\right)<C_{\infty}<\infty
$$

where $C_{\infty}$ is the infinite product $\Pi C\left(2^{-j} h\right)$. Moreover $y^{(m)}-2^{-m} h \leq$ $y^{(m+1)} \leq y^{(m)}+2^{-m} h$ so the sequence $\left\{y^{(m)}\right\}$ converges to $z \in(a, b)$.

Writing

$$
f(z+k)=\sum \alpha_{i}(z+k) \cosh k_{i}+\beta_{i}(z+k) \sinh k_{i}+\tilde{f}(z+k)
$$

as in the hypotheses of the theorem where $\tilde{f}(z)=0$ and $\tilde{f}(z+k)|k|^{-2 n} \rightarrow$ 0 as $|k| \rightarrow 0$ and using

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{i}\left(y^{(m)}, 2^{-m} h\right)\left[\alpha_{i}\left(y^{(m)}\right) \cosh \left(y_{i}^{(m)}-z_{i}\right)\right. \\
& \left.\quad+\beta_{i}\left(y^{(m)}\right) \sinh \left(y_{i}^{(m)}-z_{i}\right)\right]=0
\end{aligned}
$$

we see

$$
K C_{\infty}^{-1} \leq\left|\Delta\left(y^{(m)}, 2^{-m} h\right) f\right|=\left|\Delta\left(y^{(m)}, 2^{-m} h\right) \tilde{f}\right| .
$$

The points at which $\tilde{f}$ is evaluated in calculating the right hand side of this lie in $\left[z-2 \cdot 2^{-m} h, z+2 \cdot 2^{-m} h\right]$ so that

$$
K C_{\infty}^{-1} \leq 4^{n} C\left(2^{-m} h\right)\left|\tilde{f}\left(z^{(m)}\right)\right|\left[\left(h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{n}\right)^{2} 4^{-m n}\right]^{-1}
$$

where $z^{(m)}$ is the evaluation point at which $\tilde{f}$ takes its greatest modulus (so we have $\left.z^{(m)} \neq z\right)$. As $\left|z^{(m)}-z\right| \leq 4 \cdot 2^{-m}|h|$ this gives

$$
K C_{\infty}^{-1} \leq 4^{n} C\left(2^{-m} h\right)\left|\tilde{f}\left(z^{(m)}\right)\right|\left|z^{(m)}-z\right|^{-2 n}(4|h|)^{2 n}\left(h_{1} h_{2} \cdots h_{n}\right)^{-2} .
$$

Letting $m \rightarrow \infty, C\left(2^{-m} h\right) \rightarrow 1$ and we see from the hypotheses on $\tilde{f}$ that $K=0$.

Let $a<u<v<b$. There are complex valued functions $A_{i}, B_{i}$ $(i=1, \ldots, n)$ on $(a, b)$ where $A_{i}$ and $B_{i}$ are constant with respect to the $i$ th variable such that

$$
g(x)=f(x)-\sum A_{i}(x) \cosh x_{i}+B_{i}(x) \sinh x_{i}
$$

takes the value 0 whenever $x_{i}=u_{i}$ or $v_{i}$ for some $i$-more precisely put

$$
g(x)=\sum f(w) \prod \frac{\sinh \left(x_{i}-w_{i}^{\prime}\right)}{\sinh \left(w_{i}^{\prime}-w_{i}\right)}
$$

where the sum is over all $n$ tuples $\left(w_{1}, \ldots, w_{n}\right)$ of symbols with $w_{i}=u_{i}, x_{i}$ or $v_{i}$ for all $i$, the product is over all $i$ for which $w_{i} \neq x_{i}$ and $w_{i}^{\prime}=u_{i}$ if $w_{i}=v_{i}$ and $w_{i}^{\prime}=v_{i}$ if $w_{i}=u_{i}$. Using the addition formula for the sinh function shows that $g$ is of the form required. We see $\Delta g=\Delta f$ because $\Delta_{i} A_{i}(x) \cosh x_{i}+B_{i}(x) \sinh x_{i}=0$. An application of Lemma 2.1 completes the proof.
3. Spectral subspaces as intersections of ranges. Throughout this section $G$ is a discrete abelian group.

Definition 3.1. For an open set $E$ in $\hat{G}$ we define

$$
I_{0}(E)=\left\{a ; a \in l^{1}(G), \operatorname{supp} \hat{a} \subseteq E\right\}
$$

where supp $\hat{a}$ is the closed support of $\hat{a}$.

If $Y$ is a Banach $G$-module, $a \in l^{1}(G)$ then we define

$$
a y=\sum_{g \in G} a(g) g y
$$

and $Y$ is a left module over the Banach algebra $l^{1}(G)$.
Definition 3.2. For an open set $E \subseteq \hat{G}$ put

$$
Y(E)=\left\{y ; y \in Y, a y=0 \text { for all } a \in I_{0}(E)\right\}
$$

and put

$$
Y^{\perp}=\left\{a ; a \in l^{1}(G), a y=0 \text { for all } y \in Y\right\}
$$

$Y^{\perp}$ is a closed ideal in $l^{1}(G)$. Its hull is the Arveson spectrum of $Y$

$$
\operatorname{spec} Y=\left\{\chi ; \chi \in \hat{G}, \hat{a}(\chi)=0 \text { for all } a \in Y^{\perp}\right\}
$$

Let $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G, \varepsilon>0$ and $\psi \in \hat{G}$. Put

$$
E\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}, \psi, \varepsilon\right)=E=\left\{\chi ; \chi \in \hat{G},\left|\chi\left(g_{j}\right)-\psi\left(g_{j}\right)\right|<\varepsilon, i=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

and

$$
\cap(E)=\bigcap_{Y}(E)=\bigcap_{\chi \in E}\left[\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(g_{j}^{-1}-\chi\left(g_{j}\right)^{-1} e\right)^{n+1}\left(g_{j}-\chi\left(g_{j}\right) e\right)^{n+1}\right] Y
$$

Theorem 3.3. $Y(E)=\bigcap(E)$.
Proof. (i) Let $y \in Y(E)$ and $\chi \in E$. As $A(\hat{G})$ is a regular algebra and

$$
Z=\left\{\chi^{\prime} ; \chi^{\prime} \in \hat{G}, \chi^{\prime}\left(g_{j}\right)=\chi\left(g_{j}\right), j=1, \ldots, n\right\}
$$

is a compact subset of $E$, there is $a \in l^{1}(G)$ with $\hat{a}\left(\chi^{\prime}\right) \neq 0$ for all $\chi^{\prime} \in Z$ and $\hat{a}(\gamma)=0$ for all $\gamma$ in a neighborhood of $\hat{G} \backslash E$, that is $a \in I_{0}(E)$. Put

$$
\Sigma=\Sigma_{\chi}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(g_{j}^{-1}-\chi\left(g_{j}\right)^{-1} e\right)^{n+1}\left(g_{j}-\chi\left(g_{j}\right) e\right)^{n+1} \in l^{1}(G)
$$

Then $\hat{\Sigma}(\gamma) \geq 0$ with equality only for $\gamma \in Z$ so $\left(\Sigma+a^{*} a\right)^{\wedge}$ is nowhere zero on $\hat{G}$ which implies $b=\Sigma+a^{*} a$ is invertible in $l^{1}(G)$. We have $y=b^{-1} b y=b^{-1} \Sigma y=\Sigma b^{-1} y \in \Sigma Y$. Thus $y \in \cap(E)$.
(ii) To prove the opposite inclusion, first consider the case $G=\mathbf{Z}^{n}$, $Y=l^{\infty}(G)$ where $G$ acts on $l^{\infty}(G)$ by translation, that is

$$
(g f)(h)=f\left(g^{-1} h\right) \quad\left(g, h \in G, f \in l^{\infty}(G)\right)
$$

and $g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ are the usual generators of $\mathbf{Z}^{n}$. We consider $\mathbf{T}=\mathbf{Z}^{\wedge}$ as $\mathbf{R} \bmod 2 \pi \mathbf{Z}$ and functions on $\mathbf{T}$ as $2 \pi$ periodic functions on $\mathbf{R}$. Let
$y \in \cap(E), \xi \in E$ so that

$$
\sum_{\xi}=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[g_{j}^{-1}-\left(\exp -i \xi_{j}\right) e\right]^{n+1}\left[g_{j}-\left(\exp i \xi_{j}\right) e\right]^{n+1}
$$

There is $z \in Y$ with $y=\sum z$. The Fourier transforms $\hat{y}, \hat{z}$ of $y, z$ are Schwarz distributions on $\mathbf{T}^{n}$. For $m=\left(m_{1}, m_{2}, \ldots, m_{n}\right) \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}$ put

$$
\Delta(m)=\left[\left(1+m_{1}^{2}\right)\left(1+m_{2}^{2}\right) \cdots\left(1+m_{n}^{2}\right)\right]^{-1}
$$

Then $\Delta, \Delta \cdot y$ and $\Delta \cdot z$ (the pointwise product) are in $l^{1}(G)$ so their Fourier transforms are in $C\left(\mathbf{T}^{n}\right)$. Put $f=(\Delta \cdot y)^{\wedge}=\Delta^{\wedge} * \hat{y}, g=(\Delta \cdot z)^{\wedge}=$ $\Delta^{\wedge} * \hat{z}$. As $\Delta^{-1}$ is the inverse Fourier transform of the distribution

$$
\gamma=\left(I-\tilde{D}_{1}^{2}\right)\left(I-\tilde{D}_{2}^{2}\right) \cdots\left(I-\tilde{D}_{n}^{2}\right)
$$

on $\mathbf{T}^{n}$ where $D_{j}=\partial / \partial \eta_{j}$ and $\tilde{D}_{j} \phi=\left(D_{j} \phi\right)(0)$ for $\phi \in \mathscr{D}$ so that $D_{j} \phi=$ $\tilde{D}_{j} * \phi . \tilde{D}_{j}^{2}$ is $\tilde{D}_{j} * \tilde{D}_{j}$. Thus $\hat{y}=\Gamma * f, \hat{z}=\Gamma * g$, and

$$
f=\Delta^{\wedge} *\left(\sum^{\wedge} \cdot \hat{z}\right)=\Delta^{\wedge} *\left[\Sigma^{\wedge} \cdot(\Gamma * g)\right]
$$

where $\cdot$ denotes the pointwise product of a function and a distribution [4; p. 117] and

$$
\sum^{\wedge}(\eta)=\sum_{j=1}^{n}\left[2 \sin \frac{1}{2}\left(\eta_{j}-\xi_{j}\right)\right]^{2 n+2}
$$

For each $j$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum^{\wedge}\left[\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right) * g\right]= & \left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right) *\left(\sum^{\wedge} \cdot g\right) \\
& +2 \tilde{D}_{j} *\left[\left(D_{j} \Sigma^{\wedge}\right) \cdot g\right]-\left(D_{j}^{2} \sum^{\wedge}\right) \cdot g
\end{aligned}
$$

so that, because $D_{j} D_{k} \Sigma^{\wedge}=0$ for $j \neq k$ we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\sum^{\wedge} \cdot(\Gamma * g)= & \Gamma *\left(\sum^{\wedge} \cdot g\right)-\sum_{j} 2 \Gamma *\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1} * \tilde{D}_{j} *\left(D_{j} \sum^{\wedge} \cdot g\right) \\
& +\sum_{j} \Gamma *\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1}\left(D_{j}^{2} \sum^{\wedge} \cdot g\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

so that
(†) $f=\Delta^{\wedge} *\left[\sum^{\wedge} \cdot(\Gamma * g)\right]=\sum^{\wedge} \cdot g-\sum_{j} 2\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1} * \tilde{D}_{j} *\left(\tilde{D}_{j} \sum^{\wedge} \cdot g\right)$

$$
+\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1} *\left(\tilde{D}_{j}^{2} \sum^{\wedge} \cdot g\right)
$$

However $\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1}$ is the functional

$$
\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1}(\phi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} c\left(\eta_{j}\right) \phi\left(0,0, \ldots, \eta_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) d \eta_{j}
$$

where

$$
c\left(\eta_{j}\right)=\pi(\sinh \pi)^{-1} \cosh \left(\eta_{j}-\pi\right) \quad \text { for } 0 \leq \eta_{j}<2 \pi
$$

and $\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1} * \tilde{D}_{j}$ the functional

$$
\left[\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right) * D_{j}\right](\phi)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} s\left(\eta_{j}\right) \phi\left(0, \ldots, 0, \eta_{j}, 0, \ldots, 0\right) d \eta_{j}
$$

where $s\left(\eta_{j}\right)=\pi(\sinh \pi)^{-1} \sinh \left(\eta_{j}-\pi\right)$ for $0 \leq \eta_{j}<2 \pi$ and so these distributions are measures. Thus all the terms in ( $\dagger$ ) are continuous functions and, considered as an equation between functions it holds almost everywhere and hence everywhere. We consider $c$ and $s$ as extended to $2 \pi$ periodic functions on $\mathbf{R}$.

As $\eta \rightarrow \xi$ we have $\Sigma^{\wedge}=O\left(|\eta-\xi|^{2 n+2}\right), D_{j} \Sigma^{\wedge}=O\left(|\eta-\xi|^{2 n+1}\right)$ and $D_{j}^{2} \Sigma^{n}=O\left(|\eta-\xi|^{2 n}\right)$. However, if $\tilde{g} \in C\left(\mathbf{T}^{n}\right)$ with $\tilde{g}(\eta)=O\left(|\eta-\xi|^{2 n}\right)$ as $\eta \rightarrow \xi$ then

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1} * \tilde{g}(\eta)=\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} c(t) \tilde{g}\left(\eta-t e_{j}\right) d t \\
& =\frac{1}{2 \pi} \int_{0}^{2 \pi} c\left(\eta_{j}-t\right) \tilde{g}\left(\eta-\left(\eta_{j}-t\right) e_{j}\right) d t \\
& =(2 \sinh \pi)^{-1}\left[\cosh \eta_{j} \int_{0}^{\eta_{j}} \cosh (t+\pi) \tilde{g}\left(\eta-\left(\eta_{j}-t\right) e_{j}\right) d t\right. \\
& \\
& \quad+\sinh \eta_{j} \int_{0}^{\eta_{j}} \sinh (t+\pi) \tilde{g}\left(\eta-\left(\eta_{j}-t\right) e_{j}\right) d t \\
& \\
& \quad+\cosh \eta_{j} \int_{\eta_{j}}^{2 \pi} \cosh (\pi-t) \tilde{g}\left(\eta-\left(\eta_{j}-t\right) e_{j}\right) d t \\
& \\
& \left.\quad+\sinh \eta_{j} \int_{\eta_{j}}^{2 \pi} \sinh (\pi-t) \tilde{g}\left(\eta-\left(\eta_{j}-t\right) e_{j}\right) d t\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

Since $\int_{0}^{\xi_{j}} \cosh (t+\pi) \tilde{g}\left(\eta-\eta_{j} e_{j}+t e_{j}\right) d t$ is independent of $\eta_{j}$ and

$$
\int_{\xi_{j}}^{\eta_{j}} \cosh (t+\pi) \tilde{g}\left(\eta-\eta_{j} e_{j}+t e_{j}\right) d t=o\left(|\eta-\xi|^{2 n}\right) \quad \text { as } \eta \rightarrow \xi
$$

we see that the first integral in this expression is of the form $\tilde{A}(\eta) \cosh \eta_{j}$ $+o\left(|\eta-\xi|^{2 n}\right)$ and hence of the form

$$
A(\eta) \cosh \left(\eta_{j}-\xi_{j}\right)+B(\eta) \sinh \left(\eta_{j}-\xi_{j}\right)+o\left(|\eta-\xi|^{2 n}\right)
$$

where $A$ and $B$ are independent of the $j$ th variable. The other three are similar and so $\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1} * \tilde{g}$ is of this form. By a similar argument
$\left(I-\tilde{D}_{j}^{2}\right)^{-1} * \tilde{D}_{j} * \tilde{g}$ is of this form so that the decomposition $(\dagger)$ shows that $f$ satisfies the hypotheses of Lemma 2.2 and so $f$ is of the form $\sum A_{i}(x) \cosh x_{i}+B_{i}(x) \sinh x_{i}$ on $E$ so that $\hat{y}=\Gamma * f=0$ on $E$ because the support of $\Gamma$ is $\{0\}$ and $\left(I-D_{j}^{2}\right)\left(A_{j}(x) \cosh x_{j}+B_{j}(x) \sinh x_{j}\right)=0$. Thus, if $a \in I_{0}(E) \cap \hat{D}$ we have $(a y)^{\lambda}=\hat{a} \cdot \hat{y}=0$ because $a$ is a function in $\mathscr{D}$ with support in $E$ and so $a y=0$.

Taking an infinitely differentiable approximate identity in $L^{1}(\hat{G})$ with support $\rightarrow E$ we see that $I_{0}(E) \cap \hat{D}$ is $l^{1}$ dense in $I_{0}(E)$ and so, since the product $a y$ is continuous in $a$ we see $a y=0$ for all $a \in I_{0}(E)$ and hence $y \in Y(E)$.
(iii) Consider now the case in which $G=\mathbf{Z}^{n}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n}$ are its generators and $Y$ is an arbitrary Banach $G$-module. Let $y_{0} \in \cap(E), a \in I_{0}(E)$, $f \in Y^{*}$ and consider the map $Y \rightarrow l^{\infty}(G)$ given by

$$
\Phi(y)(g)=f\left(g^{-1} y\right) .
$$

We have

$$
[h(\Phi(y))](g)=[\Phi(y)]\left(h^{-1} g\right)=f\left(g^{-1} h y\right)=\Phi(h y)(g)
$$

so $\Phi$ is a $G$-module and hence an $l^{1}(G)$ module map. Thus,

$$
\Phi\left(y_{0}\right) \in \bigcap_{l^{\infty}(G)}(E)=\left[l^{\infty}(G)\right](E)
$$

so $\Phi\left(a y_{0}\right)=a \Phi\left(y_{0}\right)=0$. However,

$$
\Phi\left(a y_{0}\right)(e)=\sum_{g \in G} a(g) \Phi\left(g y_{0}\right)(e)=\sum a(g) f\left(g y_{0}\right)=f\left(a y_{0}\right)
$$

so $f\left(a y_{0}\right)=0$ for all $f \in Y^{*}$ showing that $a y_{0}=0$ and hence $y_{0} \in Y(E)$.
(iv) Finally, consider the general case. Denote the injection map $\mathbf{Z}^{n} \rightarrow G$ given by $g_{i}^{\prime} \rightarrow g_{i}$ by $\iota$ where the $g_{i}^{\prime}$ are the generators of $\mathbf{Z}^{n} . \iota^{*}$ is a map $\hat{G} \rightarrow \mathbf{T}^{n}$ and putting $k y=\iota(k) y\left(k \in \mathbf{Z}^{n}, y \in Y\right), Y$ becomes a $\mathbf{Z}^{n}$-module. Let $E^{\prime}=\iota^{*} E, \psi^{\prime}=\iota^{*} \psi$. Let $\iota^{*-1} E^{\prime}=E$ and if $\varepsilon_{1}<1$ then $I_{0}(E)$ is the ideal in $l^{1}(G)$ generated by $\iota I_{0}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ and $\cap\left(E^{\prime}\right)=\cap(E)$. Hence if $y \in \cap(E)$ then $0=a y=\iota(a) y$ for all $a \in I_{0}\left(E^{\prime}\right)$ and, because $\left\{b ; b \in l^{1}(G), b y=0\right\}$ is an ideal in $l^{1}(G)$ containing $\iota\left(I_{0}\left(E^{\prime}\right)\right)$ it contains $I_{0}(E)$ which implies $y \in Y(E)$.

## 4. Automatic continuity results.

Theorem 4.1. Let $G$ be an abelian group and let $X, Y$ be Banach $G$-modules. Let $S: X \rightarrow Y$ be a $G$-module homomorphism and let $\mathbb{S}$ be the separating space of $S$. Then $\mathfrak{\subseteq}$ is the direct sum of a finite number of scalar submodules of $Y$. The separating space is defined in $[6 ; p .7]$.

Proof. We apply [6, Theorem 2.3] with $\Omega=\hat{G}, \Gamma$ as the set of all $E\left(g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} ; \psi, \varepsilon\right)\left(n \in \mathbf{Z}^{+}, g_{1}, \ldots, g_{n} \in G, \psi \in \hat{G}, 0<\varepsilon<1\right)$ and $X(E)$ and $Y(E)$ as in Definition 3.2. By the regularity of $l^{1}(G)$, if $F_{1}, \ldots, F_{n} \in \Gamma$ with $\bar{F}_{i} \cap \bar{F}_{j}=\varnothing$ for $i \neq j$ there is $b \in l^{1}(G)$ with $\hat{b}=0$ on $F_{1} \cup F_{2} \cup$ $\cdots \cup F_{n-1}$ and $\hat{b}=1$ on $F_{n}$. Let $x \in X$. Then $x=b x+(e-b) x$. If for some $j$ with $1 \leq j \leq n-1$ we have $a \in I_{0}\left(F_{j}\right)$ then $a b=0$ so $b x \in X\left(F_{j}\right)$ ( $j=1, \ldots, n-1$ ). Similarly $(e-b) x \in X\left(F_{n}\right)$ so that [6: Conditions 2.2] apply.

For any $a \in l^{1}(G)$ with finite support we have $S(a x)=a S(x)$ and so $S(a X)=a S(X) \subseteq a Y$. Hence for each $E \in \Gamma, S\left(\bigcap_{X}(E)\right) \subseteq \bigcap_{Y}(E)$. By Theorem 3.3, this implies $S(X(E)) \subseteq Y(E)$ so that the hypotheses of [6: Theorem 2.3] are satisfied. Hence the set $\Lambda$ of discontinuity points of $S$ is finite. Thus for each $\lambda \in \hat{G} \backslash \Lambda$ there is $E \in \Gamma$ with $\subseteq \subseteq Y(E)$. Let $a \in I_{0}(\hat{G} \backslash \Lambda)$. By the regularity of $l^{1}(G)$ we have $a=\sum_{i=1}^{n} a \cdot \rho_{i}$ where $\rho_{i} \in I_{0}\left(E_{i}\right)$ and $\subseteq \subseteq Y\left(E_{i}\right)$. Thus, if $s \in \subseteq$ then as $=\sum a \rho_{i} s=0$. Hence $\mathbb{S}^{\perp} \supseteq I_{0}(\hat{G} \backslash \Lambda)^{-}$which, by [3; p. 170], implies $\mathbb{S}^{\perp} \supseteq\left\{a ; a \in l^{1}(G)\right.$, $\hat{a}(\lambda)=0, \lambda \in \Lambda\}=Z(\Lambda)$ and so $\operatorname{spec} \subseteq \subseteq \Lambda$. As $l^{1}(G) / Z(\Lambda)=\mathbf{C}^{n}$ and $\subseteq$ is an $l^{1}(G) / Z(\Lambda)$ module it is a $\mathbf{C}^{n}$ module and hence a direct sum of $n \mathbf{C}$ modules. These summands are scalar $G$-modules.

Corollary 4.2. If in $4.1, S$ is discontinuous then there is an element $\chi$ of $\hat{G}$ for which
(i) $X$ has a discontinuous $\chi$-covariant linear form.
(ii) $Y$ has a non-trivial scalar submodule corresponding to the character $\chi$.

Conversely if such a $\chi$ exists then there are discontinuous $G$ module homomorphisms $X \rightarrow Y$.

Proof. As $S$ is not continuous, $\mathfrak{S}$ is not $\{0\}$ so there is $s \in \mathbb{S}$ with $s \neq 0$ and $\chi \in \hat{G}$ with $g s=\chi(s) g(g \in G)$. Let $f \in Y^{*}$ with $f(s) \neq 0$. For $y \in Y$ let $\alpha_{y}: G \rightarrow \mathbf{C}$ be the function $g \mapsto \chi(g) f\left(g^{-1} y\right)$. Then $y \mapsto \alpha_{y}$ is a bounded linear map $Y \rightarrow l^{\infty}(G)$. Let $M$ be a translation invariant mean on $l^{\infty}(G)$ and put $F(y)=M\left(\alpha_{y}\right)$. Then $F \in Y^{*}, \alpha_{s}$ is the constant element $g \mapsto f(s)$ so $F(s)=f(s) \neq 0$ and $F S(g x)=F g S(x)=$ $\chi(g) F S(x)$ because $\alpha_{g y}=\chi(g) \tau_{t} \alpha_{y}$ where $\tau_{g}$ is translation by $g$. Thus FS is a $\chi$-covariant linear form.

For the converse if $\Phi$ is a discontinuous $\chi$-covariant form on $X$ and $y \neq 0$ lies in a scalar submodule then $S(x)=\phi(x) y$ is a discontinuous $G$-module homomorphism.

Remark 4.3. If $G$ is a locally compact abelian group, $X, Y$ are Banach $G$-modules, $S$ is a $G$-module homomorphism $X \rightarrow Y$ and the product $g y$ is continuous in $g$ in some way which ensures that scalar submodules of $Y$ correspond to continuous characters then we see that 4.2 applies with $\hat{G}$ as the topological dual of $G$.

Examples 4.4. If $Y$ is a Banach $G$-module containing no scalar submodule then every $G$-module homomorphism into $Y$ is continuous. If $X=L^{p}(G)(1<p<\infty)$ where $G$ is an extension of a locally compact abelian group by a discrete group with uncountably infinite torsion free rank or $p=2$ and $G$ is compact and weakly polythetic, there are no discontinuous translation invariant forms on $X$ [2 and 7] and hence, by the remarks after Definition 1.2, no discontinouus $\chi$-covariant forms for any $\chi \in \hat{G}$. Thus, if $Y$ is a continuous Banach $G$-module then every $G$-module homomorphism $X \rightarrow Y$ is continuous.

The results in this paper can be extended to the case of $G$-modules which satisfy Definition 1.1 with (iv) replaced by
(iv)' For each $g \in G$ there is $K \in \mathbf{R}$ and an integer $k$ with

$$
\left\|g^{n} x\right\| \leq K n^{k}\|x\| \quad(x \in X, n \in \mathbf{Z})
$$

The main changes needed are to replace $l^{1}\left(\mathbf{Z}^{n}\right)$ by the space of functions of rapid decrease [5; p. 83] and $l^{\infty}(\mathbf{Z})$ by the space of functions of slow increase. We now define $\cap(E)$ by

$$
\bigcap_{k=1}^{\infty} \bigcap_{\chi \in E} \sum_{j=1}^{n}\left(g_{j}^{-1}-\chi\left(g_{j}\right)^{-1} e\right)^{k}\left(g_{j}-\chi\left(g_{j}\right) e\right)^{k} Y
$$

and need higher order versions of 2.1 and 2.2.
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