

RAMIFICATION AND UNINTEGRATED VALUE DISTRIBUTION

J. R. QUINE

For a holomorphic map f from the complex plane into the Riemann sphere, the ramification term $n_1(f, r)$ is studied. A geometric version of ramification is defined in terms of the intersection points of $f(z) \times f(z + h)$ with the diagonal Δ for a suitable vector field h . Estimates of a counting function for this intersection number are given in terms of the mean covering number.

1. Introduction. Let S be the Riemann sphere normalized with radius $1/2\sqrt{\pi}$ and area 1. Suppose that $f: \mathbb{C} \rightarrow S$ is a non-constant holomorphic mapping (meromorphic function). Let $B(r)$ denote the ball $|z| \leq r$ in the complex plane. Let $n_1(r)$ and $N_1(r)$ be the unintegrated and integrated counting functions for ramification as in the value distribution theories of Ahlfors and Nevanlinna ([1], [6]). Let $L(r) = L(f, r)$ denote the length of $f(\partial B(r))$ and $A(r) = A(f, r)$ the area of $f(B(r))$ counting multiplicity (also called the mean covering number).

If f is rational, the total ramification is $2A - 2$ where A is the area or degree. In general, as a consequence of Nevanlinna's second main theorem, we know that there is a set E of finite logarithmic measure such that

$$(1) \quad N_1(r) \leq 2T(r) + o(T(r))$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in \tilde{E} , where T is the Nevanlinna characteristic. (A derivation of this estimate directly from the Gauss-Bonnet theorem is given in Griffiths [3].) In the unintegrated theory of Ahlfors [1], the term $n_1(r)$ disappears from the second main theorem (Nevanlinna [6], p. 350). Although the ramification at the points a_1, \dots, a_q is still counted, an inequality analogous to (1) cannot be proven. Terms of the form $o(A(r))$ in Ahlfors theory are given in the form $cL(r)$ where c is a constant. In the class of functions dealt with in this theory, ramification can be added topologically to any given $f|_{B(r)}$ while $L(r)$ changes very little. One can imagine adding "loops" of arbitrarily small length to $f(\partial B(r))$. This does suggest, however, that ramification "near" $\partial B(r)$ should not be counted. In the theory of Rickman and the treatment of the Ahlfors theory by Pesonen [7], none of the ramification term is included. (This seems to be an advantage in dealing with higher dimensions.)

The purpose of this paper is to investigate a modified ramification term in the unintegrated theory and obtain some estimates of the type (1). To do so we abandon the classical notion of ramification and go to a more geometric version. Consider a map of the form $(f(z), f(z+h))$ from \mathbf{C} into $S \times S$ where $h = h(z)$ is some suitably chosen vector (difference) field on \mathbf{C} . We will consider h only of the form c or cz , where c is a complex constant. Let $f_h(z) = f(z+h)$. A point z_0 where $(f \times f_h)(z_0)$ is in the diagonal Δ of $S \times S$ is a geometric version of a ramification point. As $h \rightarrow 0$, in fact, these points approach the ramification points of f . The advantage of the geometric version is that we can think of the chordal distance from f to f_h , $[f, f_h]$, as being a measure of "proximity" to Δ . To use the chordal distance, choose h as above and choose $\alpha > 0$. Consider the subset of \mathbf{C} determined by the inequality $[f(z), f(z+h)] < \alpha$. This will be a region of \mathbf{C} bounded by the piecewise smooth curve $[f(z), f(z+h)] = \alpha$. Let $P(r, h, \alpha)$ be the union of the components of this set which intersect $\partial B(r)$. These are analogous to the peninsulas in the Ahlfors theory of the counting function for regions in the plane. Let $n_1(r, h, \alpha)$ count the number of intersections of $f \times f_h$ with Δ for z in $B(r) \cap \tilde{P}(r, h, \alpha)$. This counts the intersection "far" from $\partial B(r)$. Our main estimate is:

THEOREM 1. *Suppose $f \times f_h$ does not intersect Δ on $\partial B(r)$, then*

$$n_1(r, h, \alpha) \leq A(f_h, r) + A(f, r) + \frac{1}{\pi\alpha} (L(f_h, r) + L(f, r)).$$

As an easy corollary, we get

COROLLARY 1. *Let $h(z) = (e^{i\beta} - 1)z$ for β real such that the hypothesis of Theorem 1 is satisfied, then for fixed $\alpha > 0$ there is a set E of finite logarithmic measure such that*

$$n_1(r, h, \alpha) \leq 2A(r) + o(A(r))$$

as $r \rightarrow \infty$ in \tilde{E} .

The proof is based on an estimate of the form $|\sigma| \leq 2 ds'$ where σ is a 1-form on $S \times S - \Delta$ such that $d\sigma$ represents the Poincaré dual of Δ , and ds' is the naturally defined metric on $S \times S$ (Lemma 1).

2. Definitions. Let w be the usual coordinate system for the finite part of S , with $1/w$ used as a local coordinate near ∞ . The metric on S is given by

$$(2) \quad ds = \frac{|dw|}{\sqrt{\pi}(1 + |w|^2)}$$

and the associated area form is

$$\omega = \frac{i}{2\omega} \frac{dw \wedge d\bar{w}}{(1 + |w|^2)^2}.$$

We consider $S \times S$ as a complex 2 manifold with the product, (w_1, w_2) , of the usual coordinate system on S , plus $(1/w_1, w_2)$, $(w_1, 1/w_2)$, $(1/w_1, 1/w_2)$ as coordinate patches covering $S \times S$. All computations will be done in the first one. Let $d = \bar{\partial} + \partial$ and $d^\perp = i(\bar{\partial} - \partial)$ be the usual differential operators. Recall that d^\perp commutes with a holomorphic map. The main rule for computing with these is that $d^\perp \operatorname{re} \phi = d \operatorname{im} \phi$ for ϕ analytic. The chordal distance is defined on S by

$$(4) \quad [w_1, w_2] = \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|w_1 - w_2|}{\sqrt{1 + |w_1|^2} \sqrt{1 + |w_2|^2}},$$

which can be thought of as a function from $S \times S$ into the reals.

We consider the pullback (pseudo) metric $f^*(ds)$ on \mathbb{C} , which we will call ds for simplicity. This metric gives a coordinate-free way of expressing the ramification. If f has ramification number k at z_0 , then $ds/|dz| = |z - z_0|^k \phi(z)$ where $\phi(z_0) \neq 0$, and ϕ is smooth at z_0 . Hence

$$(5) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z-z_0|=\epsilon} d^\perp \log \frac{ds}{|dz|} = k.$$

(See Cowen and Griffiths [2].) If $f \times f_h(z_0) \in \Delta$ is an isolated intersection point, define

$$(6) \quad \lim_{\epsilon \rightarrow 0} \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{|z-z_0|=\epsilon} d^\perp \log [f, f_h] = \text{intersection number of } f \times f_h \text{ with } \Delta \text{ at } z_0.$$

This is in accord with the usual definition from intersection theory (Guillamin and Pollak [5]). If $f(z_0)$ is finite, then this is also the order of the zero of $w_1(z) - w_2(z)$ at z_0 .

Let $n_1(r, h)$ denote the total number of isolated intersection points, counting multiplicity, of $f \times f_h$ with Δ in $B(r)$. Clearly $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} [f, f_h]/|h| = ds/|dz|$, hence by (5) and (6) $\lim_{h \rightarrow 0} n_1(r, h) = n_1(r)$ - number of zeros of h in $B(r)$. This last quantity is 0 or 1 by the way h was chosen. In this sense, the ramification points of f are limit points of the intersection points of $f \times f_h$ with Δ .

Since $f \times f_h$ is holomorphic, the integrand in (6) is

$$(f \times f_h)^* d^\perp \log [w_1, w_2],$$

or the pullback of the 1-form $d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2]$ defined on $S \times S - \Delta$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
 (7) \quad d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2] &= d^\perp \log|w_1 - w_2| \\
 &\quad - \frac{1}{2} d^\perp (\log(1 + |w_1|^2) + \log(1 + |w_2|^2)) \\
 &= d^\perp \log|w_1 - w_2| - \frac{|w_1|^2}{1 + |w_1|^2} d^\perp \log|w_1| \\
 &\quad - \frac{|w_2|^2}{1 + |w_2|^2} d^\perp \log|w_2|.
 \end{aligned}$$

Now taking the differential of (7) and using the fact that

$$dd^\perp \log|w_1 - w_2| = 0,$$

get

$$\begin{aligned}
 (8) \quad dd^\perp \log[w_1, w_2] &= -\frac{d|w_1|^2 \wedge d \arg w_1}{(1 + |w_1|^2)^2} - \frac{d|w_2|^2 \wedge d \arg w_2}{(1 + |w_2|^2)^2} \\
 &= -i \frac{dw_1 \wedge \overline{d\bar{w}_1}}{(1 + |w_1|^2)^2} - i \frac{dw_2 \wedge \overline{d\bar{w}_2}}{(1 + |w_2|^2)^2} \\
 &= -2\pi(\omega_1 + \omega_2)
 \end{aligned}$$

on $S \times S - \Delta$, where ω_1 is the pullback of ω by projection on the first coordinate and similarly for ω_2 .

We remark that as $h \rightarrow 0$, (8) becomes $dd^\perp \log ds = -2\omega$ on S . This expresses the fact that the Gaussian curvature of S is 2. Equations (7) and (8) together show $\omega_1 + \omega_2$ is Poincaré dual to Δ in $S \times S$, or that $dd^\perp \log[w_1, w_2]$ as a distribution equal to $\Delta - \omega_1 - \omega_2$ (see Griffiths and Harris [4] for the relevant cohomology theory).

3. A preliminary estimate. The key to the proof is an estimate of $|d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2]|$ on $S \times S$ in terms of the metric

$$(9) \quad (ds')^2 = \frac{|dw_1|^2}{\pi(1 + |w_1|^2)^2} + \frac{|dw_2|^2}{\pi(1 + |w_2|^2)^2}.$$

The basic idea is exemplified by the differential $d^\perp \log|z| = \text{im}(dz/z)$ in the plane minus the origin. Clearly no global estimate of the form $|d^\perp \log|z|| \leq C|dz|$ is possible, but since $|d^\perp \log|z|| \leq |dz|/|z|$ we have

$|d^\perp \log|z|| \leq |dz|/r_0$ in $|z| \geq r_0$. The following lemma enables us to estimate $d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2]$ away from Δ :

LEMMA 1. On $S \times S - \Delta$,

$$(10) \quad [w_1, w_2] |d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2]| \leq 2 ds'.$$

Proof. By (7),

$$(11) \quad \begin{aligned} d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2] &= \text{im} \left(\frac{dw_2 - dw_1}{w_2 - w_1} - \frac{\bar{w}_1 dw_1}{1 + |w_1|^2} - \frac{\bar{w}_2 dw_2}{1 + |w_2|^2} \right) \\ &= \text{im} \left(\frac{(1 + |w_2|^2)(1 + \bar{w}_1 w_2)(-dw_1) + (1 + |w_1|^2)(1 + \bar{w}_2 w_1) dw_2}{(w_2 - w_1)(1 + |w_1|^2)(1 + |w_2|^2)} \right) \\ &= \text{im}(\sigma_1 - \sigma_2) \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\sigma_1 = \frac{(1 + \bar{w}_1 w_2)}{w_1 - w_2} \frac{dw_1}{(1 + |w_1|^2)}$$

and σ_2 is defined similarly with w_1 and w_2 switched.

Now we have

$$(12) \quad \begin{aligned} \frac{[w_1, w_2] |\sigma_1|}{ds'} &\leq \frac{|w_1 - w_2|}{\sqrt{1 + |w_1|^2} \sqrt{1 + |w_2|^2}} |\sigma_1| \frac{1 + |w_1|^2}{|dw_1|} \\ &= \frac{|1 + \bar{w}_1 w_2|}{\sqrt{1 + |w_1|^2} \sqrt{1 + |w_2|^2}} \leq 1 \end{aligned}$$

where the last inequality follows from the Cauchy-Schwarz inequality. Similarly, we have

$$(13) \quad \frac{[w_1, w_2] |\sigma_2|}{ds'} \leq 1.$$

Now by (11), (12), and (13) we get

$$[w_1, w_2] |d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2]| \leq [w_1, w_2] (|\sigma_1| + |\sigma_2|) \leq 2 ds'.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.

4. Proof of Theorem 1. We now proceed with the proof of Theorem 1. Let $D(r, h, \alpha) = B(r) \cap \tilde{P}(r, h, \alpha)$. We have $\partial D = \partial' D + \partial'' D$ where $\partial' D = \partial B \cap \tilde{P}$ and $\partial'' D = B \cap \partial \tilde{P}$. On $\partial'' D$, $[f, f_h] = \alpha$, and the region $[f, f_h] < \alpha$ lies to the right. Thus the directional derivative

in the direction of vectors pointing to the right is non-positive. Hence $d^\perp \log[f, f_h] \leq 0$ along $\partial D''$ and

$$(14) \quad \int_{\partial'' D} d^\perp \log[f, f_h] \leq 0.$$

By (6), (7), (8) and Stokes' theorem,

$$\begin{aligned} (15) \quad n_1(r, h, \alpha) &= \int_D (f \times f_h)^* \omega_1 + \int_D (f \times f_h)^* \omega_2 \\ &\quad + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} (f \times f_h)^* (d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2]) \\ &= \int_D f^* \omega + \int_D f_h^* \omega + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} d^\perp \log[f, f_h] \\ &\leq A(f, r) + A(f_h, r) + \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\partial D} d^\perp \log[f, f_h]. \end{aligned}$$

Using Lemma (1), (14) and $[f, f_h] \geq \alpha$ on $\partial' D$, get

$$\begin{aligned} (16) \quad \int_{\partial D} d^\perp \log[f, f_h] &= \int_{\partial' D} d^\perp \log[f, f_h] + \int_{\partial'' D} d^\perp \log[f, f_h] \\ &\leq \int_{\partial' D} d^\perp \log[f, f_h] \leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial' D} (f \times f_h)^* ds' \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial' D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \left(\frac{|df|^2}{(1 + |f|^2)^2} + \frac{|df_h|^2}{(1 + |f_h|^2)^2} \right)^{1/2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial' D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|df|}{1 + |f|^2} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial' D} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|df_h|}{1 + |f_h|^2} \\ &\leq \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial B} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|df|}{1 + |f|^2} + \frac{2}{\alpha} \int_{\partial B} \frac{1}{\sqrt{\pi}} \frac{|df_h|}{1 + |f_h|^2} \\ &= \frac{2}{\alpha} (L(f, r) + L(f_h, r)). \end{aligned}$$

Now (15) and (16) combined give Theorem 1.

To prove the Corollary, note that $f_h(z) = f(ze^{i\beta})$ so that in this case $A(f_h, r) = A(f, r)$ and $L(f_h, r) = L(f, r)$. The estimate on $L(r)$ is obtained in the usual manner (Nevanlinna [6], p. 350).

5. Conclusion. The above gives, at least in principle, a way to derive bounds on a term $n_1(r, h, \alpha)$ related to ramification and dependent on two parameters h and α . In Corollary 1 since the right-hand-side of the

inequality is independent of h , we can choose $h = h_r$ such that $[f, f_h]/|h| \rightarrow ds/|dz|$ in $B(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$. If $\alpha = \alpha_r$ and $\alpha_r/|h_r| \rightarrow 0$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$ then $n_1(r, h_r, \alpha_r) \rightarrow n_1(r)$ as $r \rightarrow \infty$, however α_r must remain bounded below to get the uniform estimate on the remainder term.

The purpose of the paper was to establish two facts: first that by looking at maps from $\mathbf{C} \times \mathbf{C}$ to $S \times S$, the corresponding counting function n_1 can be treated in a way analogous to the counting function for domains in the Ahlfors theory; secondly, that it is possible to obtain bounds of the form cL on the remainder term in the unintegrated theory by proving an inequality of the form $|d^\perp \log[w_1, w_2]| \leq ds'$ on $S \times S$. The hope is that such an approach will establish a basis for proving the Ahlfors defect relation in a way that can be extended to higher dimensions and for which a treatment of the n_1 term is possible.

REFERENCES

- [1] L. V. Ahlfors, *Zur Theorie der Überlagerungsflächen*, Acta. Math., **65** (1935).
- [2] M. Cowen and P. Griffiths, *Holomorphic curves and metrics of negative curvature*, J. D'Anal. Math., **29** (1976), 93–153.
- [3] P. Griffiths, *Entire Holomorphic Mappings in One and Several Complex Variables*, Princeton, 1976.
- [4] P. Griffiths and J. Harris, *Principles of Algebraic Geometry*, Wiley, 1978.
- [5] V. Guillemin and A. Pollack, *Differential Topology*, Prentice-Hall, 1974.
- [6] R. Nevanlinna, *Analytic Functions*, Springer-Verlag, 1970.
- [7] M. Pesonen, *A path family approach to Ahlfors's value distribution theory*, Ann. Acad. Sci. Fenn. Ser. AI Math. Dissertationes 39, 1982.

Received June 28, 1984.

THE FLORIDA STATE UNIVERSITY
TALLAHASSEE, FL 32306

AND

THE INSTITUTE FOR ADVANCED STUDY
PRINCETON, NJ 08540

