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#### Abstract

The Weierstrass equation $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$, where $a$ and $b$ are rational functions of one variable, defines a fibration over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, which we call a Weierstrass fibration. We consider the moduli space $W$ of rational Weierstrass fibrations over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. In this paper we determine the singular locus of $W$ and we compute the general singularities. We work over C, but it seems possible to generalize our methods to characteristic $p \neq 2,3$.


Introduction. In [Mi] Miranda has constructed moduli spaces $W_{N}$, $N \geq 0$, for Weierstrass fibrations over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ whose zero section has self intersection number $-N$ in the associated elliptic surface. Seiler has generalized and extended this work in [Sei2] and [Sei3]. For $N=1$, we have the moduli space of rational fibrations $W=W_{1}$. The points of $W$ parametrize isomorphism classes of rational Weierstrass fibrations over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ with at most rational double point singularities whose associated elliptic surface ( $=$ minimal resolution of singularities) has only reduced fibers. By passing to the associated elliptic surface, $W$ can be viewed as parametrizing isomorphism classes of relatively minimal elliptic surfaces over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ admitting a section which have only reduced fibers. The basic definitions and constructions are reviewed in $\S 1$.

To determine the singular locus of $W$, we first find the locus $S$ of Weierstrass fibrations that have non-negligible (= nontrivial) automorphisms. By means of the Weierstrass equation, this boils down to finding stable pairs of Weierstrass coefficients whose isotropy group with respect to the action of $G=\mathbf{G L}_{2} / \pm I$ is nontrivial. This work is the content of $\S 2$ and culminates in Theorem 1 where the 7 irreducible components of $S$ are listed.

The general singularities turn out to be cyclic quotient singularities. We compute and classify them with the help of the slice theorem and work of Prill $[\mathbf{P r}]$ in Theorem 2, $\S 3$.

This work is part of my Ph.D. thesis. I want to thank my advisor M. Artin and Rick Miranda for their help.

1. Generalities. All varieties we consider are defined over the field of complex numbers $\mathbf{C}$. Unless otherwise stated all topological notions refer to the Zariski topology. We refer the reader to [Mi], [Ka] and $[\mathbf{M}-\mathrm{S}]$ for proofs of the following facts in this section.

Let $S$ be a variety. Let $p: Y \rightarrow S$ be a flat proper morphism of irreducible varieties whose fibers are of one of the following types:
(a) an elliptic curve,
(b) a rational curve with a node,
(c) a rational curve with a cusp.

Let $\sigma$ be a section of $p$ not touching the nodes and cusps of the fibers. The quadruple ( $Y, S, p, \sigma$ ) is called a Weierstrass fibration over $S$. We usually denote Weierstrass fibrations by $Y / S$ when there is no risk of confusion.

A morphism of a Weierstrass fibration ( $Y, S, p, \sigma$ ) into a Weierstrass fibration $\left(Y^{\prime}, S^{\prime}, p^{\prime}, \sigma^{\prime}\right)$ is given by a pair of morphisms $f$ : $Y \rightarrow Y^{\prime}$ and $\varphi: S \rightarrow S^{\prime}$ such that $p^{\prime} \circ f=\varphi \circ p$ and $f \circ \sigma=\sigma^{\prime} \circ \varphi$.

When $S=C$ is a complete nonsingular connected curve, a Weierstrass fibration with nonsingular general fiber and only rational double point singularities is called a Weierstrass model. As is well known, a Weierstrass model $Y / C$ can be described by a Weierstrass equation over $C$, i.e. there exists an invertible sheaf $\mathscr{L}$ over $C$ and sections $a$ of $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 4}$ and $b$ of $\mathscr{L}^{\otimes 6}$ such that $Y$ is isomorphic to the hypersurface in $\mathbf{P}\left(O_{C} \otimes \mathscr{L}^{\otimes(-2)} \oplus \mathscr{L}^{\otimes(-3)}\right)$ given by $y^{2}=x^{3}+a x+b$. The morphism $J=J(a, b)=4 a^{3} /\left(4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}\right)$ of $C$ into $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ is called the $J$-invariant.

Let $S=\mathbf{P}^{1}$. Choose coordinates $t, s$ such that $t=1, s=0$ is the point at infinity. Call $V_{n}$ the set of homogeneous functions of degree $n$ on $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ viewed as homogeneous forms of degree $n$ in $t, s$. Call $G$ the quotient group $\mathbf{G L}_{2} /( \pm I)$. We use the same notation for a matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{array}\right)$ in $\mathbf{G L}_{2}$ and for its image in $G$. We also use the notation $\binom{\alpha}{\delta}$ for diagonal matrices, $\alpha=\left({ }_{\alpha}^{\alpha}\right)$ for scalar matrices and $\left({ }_{\gamma}{ }^{\beta}\right)$ for matrices with zeros in the main diagonal. Let $f(t, s) \in V_{n}$ and $g$ be an element of $G$ with matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{array}\right)$. We define

$$
(f \cdot g)(t, s)=f(\alpha t+\beta s, \gamma t+\delta s) .
$$

This defines a right action of $G$ on $V_{n}$. The pair of coefficients ( $\left.a, b\right)$ of a rational Weierstrass model over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ can be interpreted as an element of $V_{4} \times V_{6}$.

In this way we get an injection of the set of isomorphism classes of rational Weierstrass models over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ into $\left(V_{4} \times V_{6}\right) / G$, where $G$ acts
by means of its actions on $V_{4}$ and $V_{6}$. Denote by $X$ the open set of $\mathbf{S L}_{2}$-stable (= finite stabilizer and closed orbit) elements of $V_{4} \times V_{6}$ (to be called just stable from now on). The quotient algebraic variety $W=X / G$ is called the moduli of rational Weierstrass fibrations over $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. We denote by $\pi: X \rightarrow W$ the canonical map. Under the above injection points of $W$ correspond to classes of Weierstrass models whose associated elliptic surface has reduced fibers. For $f \in V_{n}$ and $\tau \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$ denote by $v_{\tau}(f)$ the order of vanishing of $f$ at $\tau$. An element $(a, b) \in V_{4} \times V_{6}$ is stable if and only if the following numerical criterion holds:

$$
\min \left(3 v_{\tau}(a), 2 v_{\tau}(b)\right)<6
$$

for all $\tau \in \mathbf{P}^{1}$.
Let $x=(a, b) \in X$. Denote by $Y_{x}$ the Weierstrass fibration with equation $\eta^{2}=\xi^{3}+a \xi+b$. Denote by $\operatorname{Stab} x$ the isotropy group ( $=$ stabilizer) of $x$ with respect to the action of $G$. Denote by Aut $_{W F}\left(Y_{x} / \mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ the automorphism group of the Weierstrass fibration $Y_{x} / \mathbf{P}^{1}$ and by $N$ the normal subgroup of negligible automorphisms, i.e., those of the form

$$
\eta= \pm \eta^{\prime}, \quad \xi=\xi^{\prime}, \quad t=t^{\prime}, \quad s=s^{\prime}
$$

Define $\operatorname{Aut}_{R W F}\left(Y_{x} / \mathbf{P}^{1}\right)=\left(\operatorname{Aut}_{W F}\left(Y_{x} / \mathbf{P}^{1}\right)\right) / N$, the reduced automorphism group of $Y_{x} / \mathbf{P}^{1}$. Given $g \in \operatorname{Stab} x$ with matrix $\lambda\left(\begin{array}{c}\alpha \\ \gamma \\ \gamma\end{array}\right), \lambda \neq 0$, $\alpha \delta-\beta \gamma=1$, the formulas

$$
\eta=\lambda^{-3} \eta^{\prime}, \quad \xi=\lambda^{-2} \xi^{\prime}, \quad t=\alpha t^{\prime}+\beta s^{\prime}, \quad s=\gamma t^{\prime}+\delta s^{\prime}
$$

define an element of $\operatorname{Aut}_{R W F}\left(Y_{x} / \mathbf{P}^{1}\right)$ denoted by Aut $g$. The following proposition follows from well known facts.

Proposition 1. The canonical group homomorphism $\operatorname{Stab} x \rightarrow$ $\operatorname{Aut}_{R W F}\left(Y_{x} / \mathbf{P}^{1}\right), g \mapsto$ Aut $g$ is bijective.

We view the $J$-invariant $J(x)=J(a, b)=4 a^{3} /\left(4 a^{3}+27 b^{2}\right)$ as a morphism of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ into $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. We denote by Aut $J(x)$ the group of deck transformations of $J(x): \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. For $g$ an element of $G$ with matrix $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{array}\right)$ we denote by $P g$ the linear fractional transformation $z \mapsto(\alpha z+\beta) /(\gamma z+\delta)$, viewed as an element of $\mathbf{P G L} \mathbf{L}_{2}=$ Aut $\mathbf{P}^{1}$. The proof of the following easy corollary is left to the reader.

Corollary. Suppose that $x \in X$ has nonconstant $J$-invariant. The canonical group homomorphism $\operatorname{Stab} x \rightarrow$ Aut $J(x), g \mapsto P g$ is injective.

Remark. In fact the homomorphism of the above corollary is bijective, but the proof is more involved.
2. Components of $S$. Recall that $\pi: X \rightarrow W$ is the canonical morphism. Define

$$
S=\pi\{x \in X \mid \operatorname{Stab} x \neq 1\} .
$$

By the corollary to Proposition 1, this set is the locus in moduli of Weierstrass fibrations with nontrivial automorphisms. In this section we determine the irreducible components of the closed set $S$.

Let the group $\Gamma$ operate on the set $E$. Let $H$ be a subgroup of $\Gamma$. We denote

$$
E^{H}=\{x \in E \mid x g=x \text { for all } g \in H\}
$$

For $g \in \Gamma$, define $E^{g}=E^{(g)}$, where $(g)$ is the group generated by $g$; we remark that $E^{g}$ is the set of $x$ in $E$ such that $x g=x$. When $E=X, \Gamma=G, H$ subgroup of $G, g \in G$, we use the notations

$$
\operatorname{Inv} H=X^{H}, \quad \operatorname{Inv} g=X^{g}
$$

It is clear that

$$
S=\bigcup\{\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g) \mid g \in G, \quad g \neq 1, \quad g \text { of finite order }\}
$$

Lemma 1. Let $g \in G$ be of finite order. The sets $\operatorname{Inv} g$ and $\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)$ are irreducible closed in $X$ and $W$ respectively.

Remark. It follows from Lemma 1 that the maximal elements among the $\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)$ are the irreducible components of $S$. Since a Noetherian topological space has a finite number of irreducible components, the set $S$ is closed.
Proof of Lemma 1. We have

$$
\operatorname{Inv} g=\left(V_{4} \times V_{6}\right)^{g} \cap X
$$

where $\left(V_{4} \times V_{6}\right)^{g}$ is a sub-vector space of $V_{4} \times V_{6}$ and $X$ is open in $V_{4} \times V_{6}$. It follows that Inv $g$ is irreducible and closed. Consequently $\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)$ is irreducible. We have not used the fact that $g$ is of finite order up to here.

Now let $C$ be the conjugacy class of $g$. Since $g$ is of finite order it follows from [Bo, pp. 227-228] that $C$ is closed. Moreover $G$ acts properly on $X$ by [GIT, p. 41, Converse 1.13] and the fact that $\pi: X \rightarrow W=X / G$ is affine. Hence the morphism

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X \times G \xrightarrow{\psi} X \times X, \\
& (x, h) \mapsto(x h, x)
\end{aligned}
$$

is proper.

Denote by $\Delta_{X}$ the diagonal morphism of $X$ into $X \times X$. It follows that the set $\Delta_{X}^{-1}(\psi(X \times C))$ is closed. Since

$$
\Delta_{X}^{-1}(\psi(X \times C))=\{x \in X \mid x g=x \text { for some } g \in C\}
$$

is $G$-saturated, it is clear that

$$
\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)=\pi\left(\Delta_{X}^{-1}(\psi(X \times C))\right)
$$

is closed.
For any prime number $p$, let $R_{p}$ be a system of representatives of the equivalence classes of elements of $\mathbf{F}_{p}^{*}-\{1\}=(\mathbf{Z} / p \mathbf{Z})-\{0,1\}$ with respect to the equivalence relation between elements $u, v$ of $\mathbf{F}_{p}^{*}-\{1\}$ defined by the condition " $u=v$ or $u=v^{-1}$ ". Moreover we define $\zeta_{n}=e^{2 \pi i / n}$.

Lemma 2. We have

$$
S=\bigcup \pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)
$$

where $g$ runs over the following list:

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
i & \\
& i
\end{array}\right), & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{3} & \\
& \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right), \\
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{p} & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right), & \left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{p}^{l} & \\
& \zeta_{p}
\end{array}\right), \quad l \in R_{p}, p=3,5,7,11 \\
\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right), & \left(\begin{array}{cc}
-i & \\
& i
\end{array}\right),
\end{array}
$$

The inclusion

$$
\bigcup \pi(\operatorname{Inv} g) \subset S
$$

is obvious. Now let $u \in S$. There are two cases:
(i) $J(x)=0(\operatorname{resp} . J(x)=1)$ for all $x \in \pi^{-1}(u)$.
(ii) $J(x)$ is nonconstant for all $x \in \pi^{-1}(u)$.

Case (i). The conditions $J(x)=0$ and $J(x)=1$ are equivalent to $x \in \operatorname{Inv} \zeta_{3}$ and $x \in \operatorname{Inv} i$ respectively. We conclude in this case that

$$
u \in \bigcup \pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)
$$

where $g=i, \zeta_{3}$.
Case (ii). Since $J(x)$ is nonconstant, it follows from the rationality of the Weierstrass model determined by $x$, that $\operatorname{deg} J(x) \leq 12$,
where $\operatorname{deg} J(x)$ denotes the degree of the cover $J(x): \mathbf{P}^{1} \rightarrow \mathbf{P}^{1}$. The following argument shows that every element $\varphi$ of Aut $J(x)$ has order $\leq d=\operatorname{deg} J(x) \leq 12$. Take a classical nonempty open set $U$ in $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ such that $(J(x))^{-1}(U)$ is a disjoint union of $d$ copies of $U$. Suppose that $V$ is one of such copies. Then the sequence $V=\varphi^{0}(V), \varphi^{1}(V), \ldots, \varphi^{d}(V)$ has a repetition, say

$$
\varphi^{i}(V)=\varphi^{j}(V) \text { for } 0 \leq i<j \leq d .
$$

Thus

$$
V=\varphi^{j-i}(V)
$$

which implies, since $\varphi$ is an analytic function, that $\varphi$ has order $\leq$ $j-i \leq d$. We conclude by the corollary to Proposition 1 that every element of $\operatorname{Stab} x$ has order $\leq 12$. Now we notice the following facts.
( $\alpha$ ) If $u \in \pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)$, there exists $x \in \pi^{-1}(u)$ such that $x \in \operatorname{Inv} g$. Thus $\operatorname{Stab} x \supset(g)$. It follows that $g$ has order $\leq 12$ by the above considerations.
( $\beta$ ) If $u \in \pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)$, there exists $x \in \pi^{-1}(u)$ such that $x \in \operatorname{Inv} g$. Since $J(x)$ is nonconstant, $x=(a, b)$ with $a \neq 0, b \neq 0$. Suppose $g$ were scalar with matrix $\left({ }_{\lambda}{ }_{\lambda}\right)$. It follows that

$$
a g=\lambda^{4} a=a, \quad b g=\lambda^{6} b=b
$$

which implies $\lambda^{4}=\lambda^{6}=1$. Thus $\lambda^{2}=1$, which contradicts the fact that $g \neq 1$ in $G$. Consequently $g$ is nonscalar.
$(\gamma)$ Given $g$ of finite order there exists $g^{\prime} \in(g)$ of prime order such that

$$
\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g) \subset \pi\left(\operatorname{Inv} g^{\prime}\right)
$$

( $\delta$ ) Given $g$ of finite order there exists a diagonal element $g^{\prime}$ conjugate to $g$ such that

$$
\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)=\pi\left(\operatorname{Inv} g^{\prime}\right)
$$

$(\varepsilon)$ If $(g)$ is conjugate to $\left(g^{\prime}\right)$, then

$$
\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)=\pi\left(\operatorname{Inv} g^{\prime}\right)
$$

We conclude from $(\alpha)$ to ( $\varepsilon$ ) that

$$
u \in \bigcup \pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)
$$

where $g$ runs through a system of representatives of the equivalence classes of nonscalar diagonal elements of $G$ of prime order $\leq 12$ with respect to the equivalence relation between elements $g, g^{\prime}$ of
$G$ defined as follows. We say that $g$ is equivalent to $g^{\prime}$ if $(g)$ is conjugate to $\left(g^{\prime}\right)$.

Let $g$ be of prime order $p \leq 12$ with matrix $\binom{\lambda_{1}}{\lambda_{2}}, \lambda_{1} \neq \lambda_{2}$. In case $p=2$, we have either $\lambda_{1}^{2}=\lambda_{2}^{2}=1$ or $\lambda_{1}^{2}=\lambda_{2}^{2}=-1$. Thus $g$ is equivalent to one of $\left({ }^{-1}{ }_{1}\right),\binom{-i}{i}$. In case $p$ is odd, suppose first that $\lambda_{1}^{p}=\lambda_{2}^{p}=1$. If $\lambda_{2}=1$, then $\lambda_{1} \neq 1$. There exists an integer $\mu$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1} & \\
& \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{p} & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus $g$ is equivalent to $\left(\zeta_{p}\right)$. The case $\lambda_{1}=1$ reduces to the previous one by conjugation with the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll} 
& 1 \\
1 &
\end{array}\right)
$$

If $\lambda_{1} \neq 1, \lambda_{2} \neq 1$, there exists an integer $\mu$ such that

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1} & \\
& \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1}^{\mu} & \\
& \zeta_{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For some integer $l \neq 0,1$

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\lambda_{1} & \\
& \lambda_{2}
\end{array}\right)^{\mu}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{p}^{l} & \\
& \zeta_{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

Thus $g$ is equivalent to

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{p}^{l} & \\
& \zeta_{p}
\end{array}\right), \quad l \neq 0,1
$$

When $\lambda_{1}^{p}=\lambda_{2}^{p}=-1$, set $\lambda_{i}^{\prime}=-\lambda_{i}, i=1,2$ and reduce to the previous case.

The proof of Lemma 2 is finished by the observation that whenever $m \cdot l=1(\bmod p)$,

$$
\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{p}^{m} & \\
& \zeta_{p}
\end{array}\right) \text { is equivalent to }\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{p}^{l} & \\
& \zeta_{p}
\end{array}\right)
$$

For $g \in G$, we have

$$
\operatorname{Inv} g=\left(V_{4} \times V_{6}\right)^{g} \cap X=\left(V_{4}^{g} \times V_{6}^{g}\right) \cap X
$$

Let $g$ be diagonal. The $g$-invariant monomials of $V_{n}$ form a vector basis of $V_{n}^{g}$. Thus a general element of $V_{n}^{g}$ is given by a linear
combination with general coefficients of elements from such a basis. A general element of $V_{4}^{g} \times V_{6}^{g}$ is just a pair of general elements of $V_{4}^{g}$ and $V_{6}^{g}$. Such a general element is also a general element of $\operatorname{Inv} g$ since $X$ is open. It is stable if some specialization is stable.

Now we choose the following $R_{p}$ for $p=3,5,7,11$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
R_{3} & =\{2\}, \\
R_{5} & =\{2,4\} \\
R_{7} & =\{2,3,6\}, \\
R_{11} & =\{2,3,5,7,10\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In Table 1 we give bases of $g$-invariant monomials of $V_{4}^{g}$ and $V_{6}^{g}$ for the different values of $g$ that appear in Lemma 2 subject to the above choice of $R_{p}$ 's, except for the cases $g=i, \zeta_{3}$ which are trivial. We also indicate for which values of $g$ the set $\operatorname{Inv} g$ is nonempty.

Table 1. Invariant Monomials. We list all $g$-invariant monomials of degrees 4 and 6

| $p$ | $g$ | degree 4 | degree 6 | $g$-invariant pairs |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}-1 & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$ | $t^{4}, t^{2} s^{2}, s^{4}$ | $t^{6}, t^{4} s^{2}, t^{2} s^{4}, s^{6}$ | some stable |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}-i & \\ & i\end{array}\right)$ | $t^{4}, t^{2} s^{2}, s^{4}$ | $t^{5} s, t^{3} s^{3}, t s^{5}$ | some stable |
| 3 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{3} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$ | $t^{3} s, s^{4}$ | $t^{6}, t^{3} s^{3}, s^{6}$ | some stable |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{3}^{2} & \\ & \zeta_{3}\end{array}\right)$ | $t^{2} s^{2}$ | $t^{6}, t^{3} s^{3}, s^{6}$ | some stable |
| 5 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{5} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$ | $s^{4}$ | $t^{5} s, s^{6}$ | some stable |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{5}^{2} & \\ & \zeta_{5}\end{array}\right)$ | $t s^{3}$ | $t^{4} s^{2}$ | some stable |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{5}^{4} & \\ & \zeta_{5}\end{array}\right)$ | $s^{4}$ | $s^{6}$ | all unstable |
| 7 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{7} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$ | $s^{4}$ | $s^{6}$ | all unstable |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{7}^{2} & \\ & \zeta_{7}\end{array}\right)$ | $t^{3} s$ | $t s^{5}$ | some stable |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{7}^{3} & \\ & \zeta_{7}\end{array}\right)$ | No solutions | No solutions |  |
|  | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{7}^{6} & \\ & \zeta_{7}\end{array}\right)$ | $t^{2} s^{2}$ | $t^{3} s^{3}$ | all semistable |
| 11 | $\left(\begin{array}{ll} \zeta_{11}^{10} & \\ & \zeta_{11} \end{array}\right)$ <br> No other solutio | $t^{2} s^{2}$ <br> for $p=11$ | $t^{3} s^{3}$ | all semistable |

Table 2. Components of $S$. Here $\Gamma$ is the component $\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g), x=(a, b)$ is an element of the general orbit over $\Gamma$

| $\Gamma$ | $g$ | $x=(a, b)$ | Stab $x$ | $\operatorname{deg} J(x)$ | $\operatorname{dim} \Gamma$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}-1 & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l} a=\left(t^{2}-s^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}-k^{2} s^{2}\right) \\ b=c\left(t^{2}-m^{2} s^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}-n^{2} s^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}-p^{2} s^{2}\right) \end{array}\right.$ | $C_{2}$ | 12 | 5 |
| B | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}-i & \\ & i\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a=\left(t^{2}-s^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}-k^{2} s^{2}\right) \\ b=c t s\left(t^{2}-m^{2} s^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}-n^{2} s^{2}\right)\end{array}\right.$ | $C_{2}$ | 12 | 4 |
| C | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{3} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a=\left(t^{3}-s^{3}\right) s \\ b=c\left(t^{3}-m^{3} s^{3}\right)\left(t^{3}-n^{3} s^{3}\right)\end{array}\right.$ | $C_{3}$ | 12 | 3 |
| D | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{5} & \\ & 1\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a=s^{4} \\ b=c\left(t^{5}-s^{5}\right) s\end{array}\right.$ | $C_{5}$ | 10 | 1 |
| E | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{5}^{2} & \\ & \zeta_{5}\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a=t s^{3} \\ b=t^{4} s^{2}\end{array}\right.$ | $C_{5}$ | 5 | 0 |
| $F$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{7}^{2} & \\ & \zeta_{7}\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a=t^{3} s \\ b=t s^{5}\end{array}\right.$ | $C_{7}$ | 7 | 0 |
| $G$ | $\left(\begin{array}{ll}\zeta_{3} & \\ & \zeta_{3}\end{array}\right)$ | $\left\{\begin{array}{l}a=0 \\ b=t(t-s) s(t-m s)(t-n s)(t-p s)\end{array}\right.$ | $C_{3}$ | $J \equiv 0$ | 3 |

Theorem 1. The irreducible components of $S$ are listed in Table 2. Suppose $g$ and $x$ are entries in a row of Table 2 with $x$ an element of the general orbit over $\Gamma=\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)$. Then $\operatorname{Stab} x=(g)$.

In Table 2 the element $x=(a, b)$ is obtained by taking a general element of Inv $g$ constructed from Table 1 and eliminating parameters redundant with respect to the action of $G$. The resulting parameters are chosen in such a way as to make explicit the zeros of $a$ and $b$.

Keeping in mind the remark after Lemma 1, we first prove that the sets $\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)$ for $g$ in Table 2 are an irredundant decomposition of $S$. Among the $\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g)$ in Lemma 2 the following inclusions hold:

$$
\pi \operatorname{Inv}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
i & \\
& i
\end{array}\right) \subset \pi \operatorname{Inv}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right),
$$

( $\beta$ )

$$
\pi \operatorname{Inv}\left(\begin{array}{ll}
\zeta_{3}^{2} & \\
& \zeta_{3}
\end{array}\right) \subset \pi \operatorname{Inv}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

( $\alpha$ ) By putting $c=0$ in the element of the general orbit over $\pi \operatorname{Inv}\left({ }^{-1}{ }_{1}\right)$ we get

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a=\left(t^{2}-s^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}-k^{2} s^{2}\right) \\
b=0
\end{array}\right.
$$

By dimension considerations we get that the locus $J \equiv 1$ which equals $\pi \operatorname{Inv}\left({ }_{i}^{i}\right)$ is contained in $\pi \operatorname{Inv}\left({ }^{-1}{ }_{1}\right)$.
( $\beta$ ) Since $\left(1_{1}^{1}\right)$ is conjugate to $\left(\begin{array}{ll}-1 & \\ & ) \text { we have }\end{array}\right.$

$$
\pi \operatorname{Inv}\left(\begin{array}{cc} 
& 1 \\
1 &
\end{array}\right)=\pi \operatorname{Inv}\left(\begin{array}{cc}
-1 & \\
& 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

But the general element of $\operatorname{Inv}\left(\zeta_{3}^{2} \zeta_{3}\right)$ is invariant under $\left(1_{1}^{1}\right)$.
To check that there are no other inclusions we use systems of eigenvalues. More precisely, let $R$ be the equivalence relation on $\mathbf{C}^{2}$ generated by the relations " $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=(y, x)$ " and " $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)=(-x,-y)$ " both between the elements $(x, y)$ and $\left(x^{\prime}, y^{\prime}\right)$ of $\mathbf{C}^{2}$. Thus the class of $(x, y)$ consists of the elements $(x, y),(y, x),(-x,-y)$ and $(-y,-x)$. The system of eigenvalues of an element of finite order $g \in G$ will be considered as an element of $\mathbf{C}^{2} / R$.

For a subgroup of finite order $H$ of $G$ we denote by $\operatorname{Eigenval}(H)$ the set of systems of eigenvalues of elements of $H$. Clearly Eigenval $(H)$ depends only on the conjugacy class of $H$.

Let $g_{1}, g_{2}$ appear in Table 2 and suppose that $\pi\left(\operatorname{Inv} g_{1}\right) \subset \pi\left(\operatorname{Inv} g_{2}\right)$. Suppose $x_{1}$ is the element of the general orbit over $\pi\left(\operatorname{Inv} g_{1}\right)$, given in Table 2. Then $\pi\left(x_{1}\right) \in \pi\left(\operatorname{Inv} g_{2}\right)$, which implies that $x_{1} \in \operatorname{Inv} h^{-1} g_{2} h$ for some $h$. By (ii) it follows that

$$
\left(g_{1}\right)=\operatorname{Stab} x_{1} \supset\left(h^{-1} g_{2} h\right)
$$

Thus Eigenval $\left(g_{1}\right) \supset \operatorname{Eigenval}\left(g_{2}\right)$. The reader can easily check case by case that this can only happen when $g_{1}=g_{2}$.

Now it remains to prove that $\operatorname{Stab} x \subset(g)$, for $g, x$ satisfying the conditions of the theorem. The inclusion $(g) \subset \operatorname{Stab} x$ is obvious. In cases D, E, F, suppose $h=\left(\begin{array}{cc}\alpha & \beta \\ \gamma & \delta\end{array}\right) \in \operatorname{Stab} x, x=(a, b)$. By comparing coefficients in the equations $a h=a$ and $b h=b$, one concludes that $h \in(g)$. The remaining cases depend on a series of lemmas.

As usual, we identify $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ with $\mathbf{C} \cup\{\infty\}$ and automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ with linear fractional transformations. Moreover, given a set $E$ of $n \geq 3$ distinct points of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$, every automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ stabilizing the set $E$ is determined by the induced permutation of $E$. We indicate such automorphisms by giving only the induced permutation. We omit the proof of the following well-known lemmas.

Lemma 3. Every automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ that permutes the points 0 , $1, \infty, m, n, p$, where $m, n, p$ are in general position, is the identity.

Lemma 4. The group of automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ that permute the points $0,1, \infty, k$, for general $k$ is Klein's four-group consisting of $(01)(\infty k),(0 \infty)(1 k),(1 \infty)(0 k)$ and the identity $e$.

Lemma 5. The group of automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ that permute the points $1, \zeta_{3}, \zeta_{3}^{2}, \infty$ is the tetrahedral group.

Lemma 6. The group of automorphisms of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ that permute the points $1,-1, k,-k$, for general $k$ is Klein's four-group consisting of $(1-1)(k-k),(1 k)(-1-k),(1-k)(-1 k)$ and the identity $e$.

Now we return to the proof of Theorem 1. We omit Case B because it is similar to case A. We treat case G first.

Case G. Suppose $b h=b$ for $b=t(t-s) s(t-m s)(t-n s)(t-p s)$, $m, n, p$ in general position and $h \in G$. By Lemma 3, we infer that $h$ has the matrix $\binom{\lambda}{\lambda}$ since the automorphism of $\mathbf{P}^{1}$ induced by $h$ permutes the zeros of $b$. Thus $\lambda^{6}=1$.

Case A. Suppose $a h=a$ for $a=\left(t^{2}-s^{2}\right)\left(t^{2}-k^{2} s^{2}\right), k$ general, $h \in G$. By Lemma 5 the linear fractional transformation $P h$ is one of $(1-1)(k-k),(1 k)(-1-k),(1-k)(-1 k)$ or the identity. But $(1 k)(-1-k)$ and $(1-k)(-1 k)$ cannot stabilize the set $\{m,-m, n,-n, p,-p\}$ for $m, n, p$ in general position.

Case C. Suppose $a h=a$ for $h \in G$. By Lemma 6, Ph belongs to the tetrahedral group permuting the points $1, \zeta_{3}, \zeta_{3}^{2}, \infty$, which is isomorphic to the alternating group of the set $\left\{1, \zeta_{3}, \zeta_{3}^{2}, \infty\right\}$. Taking into account the form of the elements of this group ([Se], p. 41), for $m, n$ in general position the subgroup stabilizing the set of zeros of $b$ is $\left(\left(1 \zeta_{3} \zeta_{3}^{2}\right)\right)$.
3. Singularities. In this section we prove that $S$ is the singular locus of $W$ and we determine the general singularities.

All the representations we consider in the following are finite dimensional linear representations over $\mathbf{C}$ of finite groups.

We need the notion of isomorphism of two representations $\rho: H \rightarrow$ $\mathbf{G L}(V)$ and $\rho^{\prime}: H^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{G L}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ of not necessarily identical groups $H, H^{\prime}$. The definition is obvious. The representation $\rho: H \rightarrow \mathbf{G L}(V)$ is called small if no element in the image of $\rho$ has 1 as eigenvalue of multiplicity $\operatorname{dim} V-1$. We gather in the following proposition the results we need from [Pr].

Proposition 2. Two small faithful representations $\rho: H \rightarrow \mathbf{G L}(V)$ and $\rho^{\prime}: H^{\prime} \rightarrow \mathbf{G L}\left(V^{\prime}\right)$ are isomorphic if and only if the germs of analytic space $(V / H, 0)$ and $\left(V^{\prime} / H^{\prime}, 0\right)$ are isomorphic.
A small faithful representation $\rho: H \rightarrow \mathbf{G L}(V)$ is identically equal to the identity if and only if $(V / H, 0)$ is nonsingular.

Now let $u$ be a point of $W$ and $x$ an element of $X$ such that $u=\pi(x)$. Put $H=\operatorname{Stab} x$ and let $N$ be an $H$-invariant complement to $T_{x}(x G)$ in $T_{x}(X)$. By the slice theorem ([Sch], p. 56) and the fact that $\pi$ is affine, there exists an isomorphism of germs of analytic space $(W, u) \xrightarrow{\sim}(N / H, 0)$. Call $\rho=\rho_{x, N}$ the representation of $H$ defined by its action on $N$ and $\rho^{f}=\rho_{x, N}^{f}$ the faithful representation of $H / \operatorname{Ker} \rho$ induced by $\rho$. The isomorphism class of the germ ( $W, u$ ) depends only on the isomorphism class of the representation $\rho$. We say that the representation $\rho=\rho_{x, N}$ is associated to the point $u=$ $\pi(x)$.

Theorem 2. The set $S$ is the singular locus of $W$. Representations associated to the general singularities, which are given in Table 3, are faithful and small.

The following corollary is immediate by Proposition 2.
Corollary. The isomorphism classes of the associated representations classify the general singularities up to isomorphism.

Table 3. Associated Representations. Here $\Gamma$ is the component of $\pi(\operatorname{Inv} g), x$ is the element of the general orbit over $\Gamma$ such that $\operatorname{Stab} x=(g), \rho=\rho_{x, N}$ is a representation of $\operatorname{Stab} x$ associated to $u=\pi(x)$

| $\Gamma$ | $\operatorname{Stab} x$ | Eigenvalues of $\rho(g)$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $A$ | $C_{2}$ | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | -1, | -1, | -1 |
| $B$ | $C_{2}$ | 1, | 1, | 1, | 1, | -1, | -1, | -1, | -1 |
| $C$ | $C_{3}$ | 1, | 1, | 1, | $\zeta_{3}$, | $\zeta_{3}$, | $\zeta_{3}$, | $\zeta_{3}^{2}$, | $\zeta_{3}^{2}$ |
| $D$ | $C_{5}$ | $\zeta_{5}$, | $\zeta_{5}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{2}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{2}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{2}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{3}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{3}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{4}$ |
| $E$ | $C_{5}$ | 1, | $\zeta_{5}$, | $\zeta_{5}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{2}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{2}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{3}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{3}$, | $\zeta_{5}^{4}$ |
| $F$ | $C_{7}$ | $\zeta_{7}$, | $\zeta_{7}^{2}$, | $\zeta_{7}^{2}$, | $\zeta_{7}^{3}$, | $\zeta_{7}^{4}$, | $\zeta_{7}^{5}$, | $\zeta_{7}^{6}$, | $\zeta_{7}^{6}$ |
| $G$ | $C_{3}$ | 1, | 1, | 1, | $\zeta_{3}$, | $\zeta_{3}$, | $\zeta_{3}$, | $\zeta_{3}$, | $\zeta_{3}$ |

Proof of Theorem 2. It is clear that the representations in Table 3 are faithful and small. We have to prove that they are associated to the general points of the components of $S$.

First of all we recall some generalities on infinitesimals of first order. Let $X$ be an analytic space, $x \in X$. Let $\mathrm{C}[\varepsilon]$ be the algebra of dual numbers. Let Specan $\mathrm{C}[\varepsilon]$ be the analytic space with only one point $o$ and with local ring $\mathrm{C}[\varepsilon]$ at that point. We use the notation

$$
\begin{aligned}
X(\mathbf{C}[\varepsilon])_{x} & =\operatorname{Hom}((\operatorname{Specan} \mathbf{C}[\varepsilon], o),(X, x)) \\
& =\operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}-\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{loc}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X, x}, \mathbf{C}[\varepsilon]\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

for the set of $\mathrm{C}[\varepsilon]$-valued points of $X$ at $x$.
The map

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{Der}_{\mathbf{C}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X, x}, \mathbf{C}\right) & \rightarrow \operatorname{Hom}_{\mathbf{C}-\operatorname{alg} \operatorname{loc}}\left(\mathscr{O}_{X, x}, \mathbf{C}[\varepsilon]\right), \\
t & \rightarrow u=x+\varepsilon t
\end{aligned}
$$

establishes a bijection of $T_{x}(X)$ onto $X(\mathbf{C}[\varepsilon])_{x}$.
Now denote by $X$ the set of stable elements of $V_{4} \times V_{6}$ and by $G$ the group $\mathbf{G L}_{2} /( \pm I)$ as before. Let $x \in X$. The orbital map $\rho: G \rightarrow X, g \mapsto x g$ is étale because $\operatorname{Stab} x$ is a finite set. We get the following commutative diagram:

where we identify $T_{1}(G)=T_{I}\left(\mathbf{G L}_{2}\right)=\mathbf{M}_{2}(\mathbf{C})=2 \times 2$ matrices, $T_{x}(X)=T_{x}\left(V_{4} \times V_{6}\right)=V_{4} \times V_{6}, T_{x}(G)=\operatorname{Im} d \rho_{1}$ and $\varphi$ and $\psi$ are the bijections described above. Note that $\rho$ and $d \rho_{1}$ are injective. We have a canonical basis $t_{1}, \ldots, t_{4}$ of $\operatorname{Im} d \rho_{1}$ namely the image of the canonical basis

$$
E_{1}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
1 & 0 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad E_{2}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 1 \\
0 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad E_{3}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
1 & 0
\end{array}\right), \quad E_{4}=\left(\begin{array}{ll}
0 & 0 \\
0 & 1
\end{array}\right)
$$

of $\mathbf{M}_{2}(\mathbf{C})$. It can be computed explicitly from the equation

$$
x \cdot\left(I+\varepsilon E_{i}\right)=x+\varepsilon t_{i}
$$

which follows from

$$
(\rho \circ \varphi)\left(e_{i}\right)=x \cdot\left(I+\varepsilon E_{i}\right)
$$

and

$$
\left(\psi \circ d \rho_{1}\right)\left(e_{i}\right)=x+\varepsilon t_{i}
$$

Now let us explain how to choose $N$. Let $\theta: V_{4} \times V_{6} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbf{C}^{12}$ be the isomorphism defined by the canonical basis, $\left(t^{4}, 0\right),\left(t^{3} s, 0\right), \ldots$, $\left(s^{4}, 0\right),\left(0, t^{6}\right), \ldots$, of $V_{4} \times V_{6}$. Let $t_{i}=\left(\sum \alpha_{k l}^{i} t^{k} s^{l}\right),\left(\sum \beta_{m n}^{i} t^{m} s^{n}\right)$. Let $A$ be the matrix

$$
\left(\begin{array}{c|c}
\alpha_{4,0}^{1} \cdots \alpha_{0,4}^{1} & \beta_{6,0}^{1} \cdots \beta_{0,6}^{1} \\
\alpha_{0,4}^{4} \cdots \alpha_{0,4}^{4} & \beta_{6,0}^{4} \cdots \beta_{0,6}^{4}
\end{array}\right) .
$$

The row space of $A$ is $\operatorname{Im} d \rho_{1}$. We choose a square submatrix $B$ of $A$ such that $\operatorname{det} B \neq 0$. The submatrix $B$ is gotten from $A$ by deleting a row $\left(\alpha_{k, l}^{i}\right)$ (resp. a row $\left(\beta_{m, n}^{i}\right)$ ) if and only if $(k, l) \in D$ (resp. $(m, n) \in E)$ for well determined sets $D, E$. The subspace $N=N_{B}$ generated by $\left(t^{k} s^{l}, 0\right),(k, l) \in D$ and $\left(0, t^{m} s^{n}\right),(m, n) \in E$ is a complement of $\operatorname{Im} d \rho_{1}$. This is obvious by considering their images under $\theta$.

To calculate the matrix $A$ we use the following formulas, where $f=\sum a f_{i j} t^{i} s^{j}$ is an element of $V_{n}$.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& f \cdot\left(I+\varepsilon E_{1}\right)=f+\varepsilon \sum f_{i j} i t^{i} s^{j}, \\
& f \cdot\left(I+\varepsilon E_{2}\right)=f+\varepsilon \sum f_{i j} i t^{i-1} s^{j+1} \\
& f \cdot\left(I+\varepsilon E_{3}\right)=f+\varepsilon \sum f_{i j} j t^{i+1} s^{j-1}, \\
& f \cdot\left(I+\varepsilon E_{4}\right)=f+\varepsilon \sum f_{i j} j t^{i} s^{j} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We indicate the explicit choice of the square submatrix $B$ in each case by underlining the corresponding columns of $A$. The reader should keep in mind Table 2.

Case A. By setting

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa=-\left(1+k^{2}\right) \\
& \lambda=k^{2} \\
& \mu=-\left(m^{2}+n^{2}+p^{2}\right) \\
& \nu=m^{2} n^{2}+m^{2} p^{2}+n^{2} p^{2} \\
& \pi=-m^{2} n^{2} p^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

the general element $x$ can be written

$$
\left\{\begin{array}{l}
a=t^{4}+\kappa t^{2} s^{2}+\lambda s^{4} \\
b=c\left(t^{6}+\mu t^{4} s^{2}+\nu t^{2} s^{4}+\pi s^{6}\right)
\end{array}\right.
$$

The matrix $A$ has the form

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll|ccccccc}
4 & 0 & 2 \kappa & 0 & 0 & 6 c & 0 & 4 \mu c & 0 & 2 \nu c & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & 2 \kappa & 0 & 0 & 6 c & 0 & 4 \mu c & 0 & 4 \nu c & 0 \\
0 & 2 \kappa & 0 & 4 \lambda & 0 & 0 & 2 \mu c & 0 & 4 \nu c & 0 & 6 \pi c & 0 \\
\underline{0} & \underline{0} & \underline{2 \kappa} & \underline{0} & 4 \lambda & 0 & 0 & 2 \mu c & 0 & 4 \nu c & 0 & 6 \pi c
\end{array}\right]
$$

and the submatrix $B$ consists of the underlined columns. It is clear that $\operatorname{det} B \neq 0$ for $k, m, n, p$ general enough.

The action of $g=\binom{-1}{{ }^{-1}}$ on $N_{B}$ is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s^{4} ; \quad t^{6}, \quad t^{5} s, t^{4} s^{2}, t^{3} s^{3}, t^{2} s^{4}, t s^{5}, s^{6} \\
& +1,+1,-1,+1,-1, \quad+1, \quad-1,+1
\end{aligned}
$$

where the first line is the canonical basis of $N_{B}$ which consists of eigenvectors of $g$ and the second line are the corresponding eigenvalues.

In the following cases we just indicate the matrices $A, B$.
Case B. Here

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \kappa=-\left(1+k^{2}\right), \quad \lambda=k^{2}, \quad \mu=-\left(m^{2}+n^{2}\right), \quad \nu=m^{2} n^{2} . \\
& {\left[\begin{array}{lllll|lllllll}
4 & 0 & 2 \kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 c & 0 & 3 \mu c & 0 & \nu c & 0 \\
0 & 4 & 0 & 2 \kappa & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 c & 0 & 3 \mu c & 0 & \nu c \\
0 & 2 \kappa & 0 & 4 & 0 & c & 0 & 3 \mu c & 0 & 5 \nu c & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 2 \kappa & 0 & \underline{4} & \underline{0} & c & 0 & 3 \mu c & 0 & 5 \nu c & \underline{0}
\end{array}\right] .}
\end{aligned}
$$

Case C. Here

$$
\mu=\left(m^{3}+n^{3}\right), \quad \nu=m^{3} n^{3}
$$

$$
\left[\begin{array}{rrrrr|ccccccc}
0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3 \mu c & 0 & 0 & 6 \nu c \\
0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 c & 0 & 0 & 3 \mu c & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 c & 0 & 0 & 3 \mu c & 0 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & -4 & 0 & \underline{0} & \underline{0} & \underline{3 \mu c} & 0 & 0 & 6 \nu c & \underline{0}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Case D.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{ccccc|ccccccc}
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & c & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -6 c & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & \underline{c} & \underline{0} & 0 & 0 & \underline{0} & -\underline{6 c}
\end{array}\right]
$$

Case E.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll|lllllll}
0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & \underline{0} & \underline{3} & \underline{0} & 0 & 0 & \underline{2} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0
\end{array}\right]
$$

Case F.

$$
\left[\begin{array}{lllll|lllllll}
0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
0 & 0 & 3 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 0 \\
0 & 1 & \underline{0} & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & \underline{5} & 0
\end{array}\right] .
$$

Case G. Here

$$
\left.\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\mu & =-1-m-n-p \\
\nu & =m+n+p+m n+m p+n p \\
\pi & =p m n-m p-n p-m n p \\
\rho & =m n p
\end{array}\right] \begin{array}{l|ccccccc}
0 & 5 & 4 \mu & 3 \nu & 2 \pi & \rho & 0 \\
0 & \mid c c c c c c c \\
0 & 0 & 5 & 4 \mu & 3 \nu & 2 \pi & \rho \\
0 & \mu & 2 \nu & 3 \pi & 4 \rho & 0 & 0 \\
0 & \underline{0} & \mu & 2 \nu & \underline{3 \mu} & \underline{4 \rho} & \underline{0}
\end{array}\right] .
$$

The reader can check by specialization that the underlined matrix $B$ has $\operatorname{det} B \neq 0$ for $m, n, p$ general enough.
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