

## A UNIQUE CONTINUATION THEOREM FOR AN ELLIPTIC OPERATOR OF TWO INDEPENDENT VARIABLES WITH NON-SMOOTH DOUBLE CHARACTERISTICS

KINJI WATANABE\*

(Received October 3, 1972)

**1. Introduction.** Let  $P = P(x; \partial/\partial x)$  be an elliptic homogeneous differential operator of order  $m (\geq 2)$  with complex valued  $C^\infty$  coefficients defined near the origin in the 2-dimensional real Euclidean space  $R^2$ . We say that  $P$  is A-elliptic at  $x_0$  if  $x_0$  has a neighbourhood  $U$  such that for any open and connected neighbourhood  $V (\subset U)$  of  $x_0$ , there is no non-trivial solution  $u \in C^m(V)$  of the differential inequality in  $V$

$$(1) \quad |P(x; \partial/\partial x)u| \leq C \sum_{|\alpha| < m} |(\partial/\partial x)^\alpha u|$$

such that  $u=0$  in some open subset (of  $V$ ) whose closure contains the point  $x_0$ . It is well known that  $P$  is A-elliptic at each point where  $P$  has simple characteristics, or  $P$  has double characteristics and has Lipschitz continuous characteristic roots (see Hörmander [1], Pederson [2]).

In the present paper we shall give a sufficient condition for the operator  $P$  to be A-elliptic when  $P$  has double characteristics and its symbol  $P(x; \xi)$  has a factorization of the form in a neighbourhood of the origin

$$(2) \quad p(x; \xi) = a(x) \prod_{j=1}^N (\xi_1^2 + 2a_j(x)\xi_1\xi_2 + b_j(x)\xi_2^2)$$

or

$$(3) \quad p(x; \xi) = a(x) \prod_{j=1}^N (\xi_1^2 + 2a_j(x)\xi_1\xi_2 + b_j(x)\xi_2^2) \prod_{j=N+1}^{N+s} (\xi_1 + a_j(x)\xi_2).$$

Here  $a$ ,  $a_j$  and  $b_k$  are  $C^\infty(\omega)$  functions such that  $a(0) \neq 0$ ,  $a_j(0)^2 = b_j(0)$  ( $j=1, \dots, N$ ) and  $a_j(0) \neq a_k(0)$  ( $1 \leq j \neq k \leq N+s$ ).

Set  $c_j(x) = b_j(x) - a_j(x)^2$  and let  $R_j$  be the set of points  $y \in \omega$  which has a neighbourhood where  $c_j(x) = k(x)^2$  for some  $C^{1+1/2}$  function  $k(x)$ . Then we have our main result as follows.

---

\* This work was carried out in 1972 at Osaka University, where the author was supported by the Yukawa Foundation.

**Theorem.** *If there is an open neighbourhood  $\omega_1 (\subset \omega)$  of the origin such that the following conditions (4) and (5) hold for each  $j=1, \dots, N$ , then  $P(x; \partial/\partial x)$  is A-elliptic at the origin.*

(4)  $\text{grad}_x c_j(x)=0$  when  $c_j(x) = 0, x \in \omega_1$ .

(5) *The order of zero for  $c_j$  is finite at each point of  $\omega_1 \setminus R_j$ .*

In the next section we shall give a proposition on differentiable square roots in order to prove Theorem.

**2. Differentiable square roots and the proof of Theorem.** Let  $\omega_2 (\subset \omega_1)$  be an open and connected neighbourhood of the origin such that  $\min \{ |a_j(x) - a_k(x)|; 1 \leq j \neq k \leq N + s \} > \max \{ 2|b_j(x) - a_j(x)^2|^{1/2}; 1 \leq j \leq N \}$ . This means that  $P$  has at most double characteristics at each point of  $\omega_1$ . Then, applying the results by Hörmander [1] or Pederson [2], we have  $P$  is A-elliptic at each point of  $\omega_2 \cap R$  where  $R = \bigcap_{j=1}^N R_j$ . So it is sufficient to prove that  $\omega_2 \cap R$  is a dense subdomain of  $\omega_2$ . From now on, we shall use new notation. Let  $\Omega$  be domain in  $R^n (n \geq 2)$ , and let  $f_j$  and  $g_j (1 \leq j < \infty)$  real valued  $C^\infty$  functions defined in  $\Omega$ . We also denote by  $R_j$  the set defined §1 for  $f_j + \sqrt{-1}g_j$  and  $\Omega$  instead of  $c_j$  and  $\omega$ . Then we have

**Proposition.**  $R = \bigcap_{j=1}^N R_j$  is a dense subdomain of  $\Omega$  if the following conditions

(6) and (7) are satisfied for each  $j=1, \dots, N$ .

(6)  $\text{grad}_x f_j(x) = \text{grad}_x g_j(x) = 0$  when  $f_j(x) = g_j(x) = 0, x \in \Omega$ .

(7) *At least one of orders of zeros for  $f_j$  and  $g_j$  is finite at each point of  $\Omega \setminus R_j$ .*

REMARKS OF THEOREM. 1) Since  $p(x; \xi)$  has factorization (2) or (3), we that the condition:

(4)'  $\text{grad}_x p(x; \xi) = 0$  when  $p(x; \xi) = \text{grad}_\xi p(x, \xi) = 0, (x, \xi) \in \omega_1 \times C^2 \setminus 0$

is equivalent to the condition (4).

2) We denote by  $D(x, \xi_2)$  the discriminant of polynomial  $p(x; \xi)$  in  $\xi_1$ . Since  $p(x; \xi)$  is homogeneous in  $\xi = (\xi_1, \xi_2)$  of order  $m$ , we have  $D(x, \xi_2) = \delta(x) \xi_2^{m(m-1)}$  and  $\delta(x) = \delta_0(x) \prod_{j=1}^N (b_j(x) - a_j(x)^2)$ . Here  $\delta_0 \in C^\infty$  and  $\delta_0(0) \neq 0$ . So that we have the condition:

(5)' the order of zero for  $\delta$  is finite at the origin

implies the condition (5) if we take sufficiently small  $\omega_1$ .

REMARKS OF PROPOSITION. 1) In order that  $x_0$  belongs to  $R_j$ , it is necessary that the following inequality holds in some neighbourhood of  $x_0$ ,

$$(8) \quad |\text{grad}_x f_j(x)|^2 + |\text{grad}_x g_j(x)|^2 \leq C \{|f_j(x)| + |g_j(x)|\}$$

for some constant  $C$ . Moreover the condition:

$$(9) \quad \text{for each } j=1, \dots, N, \text{ setting } \text{Re } c_j=f_j \text{ and } \text{Im } c_j=g_j, \text{ the inequality (8) holds in a neighbourhood of the origin}$$

is sufficient in order that  $P$  is  $A$ -elliptic at the origin.

2) There is a pair  $f$  and  $g$  of  $C^\infty$  functions satisfying the condition (6), but not satisfying both (7) and (8) such that  $R$  is not connected. For example, near  $t=0$ ,  $f(t, x_2)=\exp(-1/t) \sin(1/t)$ ,  $g(t, x_2)=(\log 1/t)^{-1/t}$  if  $t < 0$ ,  $f=g=0$  if  $t > 0$ .

Proof of Proposition. It is easy to see that  $R_j$  and  $R$  are open and dense in  $\Omega$ . So that we have only to show that  $R_j$  and  $R$  are connected. Now we first prove the following two Lemmas under the conditions (6) and (7)

**Lemma 1.** For any  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $C^\infty$  manifold  $\Gamma$  in  $\Omega$ ,  $\Gamma \cap R_j$  is dense in  $\Gamma$ .

**Lemma 2.** For each point  $x \in S_j = \Omega \setminus R_j$ , there is a fundamental system  $\{U(x)\}$  of open neighbourhoods of  $x$  such that  $U(x) \setminus S_j$  is connected.

Proof of Lemma 1. Without loss of generality, we may assume that  $\Gamma$  is defined by the equation  $x_1 = \phi(x')$  near  $x_0 \in S_j$  and that  $f_j$  and  $g_j$  vanish at any point  $x$  on  $\Gamma$  if  $x$  is near  $x_0$ . Here  $\phi \in C^\infty$  and we use notation  $x = (x_1, x')$ . In addition, we may assume that the order of zero for  $f_j$  is finite at  $x_0$  by the assumption. So, near  $x_0$ ,  $f_j$  and  $g_j$  have a factorization of the form

$$f_j(x) = f'_j(x)(x_1 - \phi(x'))^\lambda.$$

If, for some positive integer  $k$ ,  $\partial^k g_j / \partial x_1^k$  does not vanish identically in any neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $\Gamma$ , we have

$$g_j(x) = g'_j(x)(x_1 - \phi(x'))^\nu$$

and if other case occurs, for any positive integer  $i$  we have

$$g_j(x) = g_{j,i}(x)(x_1 - \phi(x'))^i.$$

Here  $\lambda$  and  $\nu$  are positive integers which are independent on  $x$  and  $f'_j, g'_j$  and  $g_{j,i}$  are  $C^\infty$  functions such that  $f'_j$  and  $g'_j$  do not vanish identically in any neighbourhood of  $x_0$  in  $\Gamma$ . By the assumption (6), we have  $\lambda, \nu \geq 2$ . The above factorizations imply that when for  $g_j$  the first case occurs and  $\lambda \leq \nu$ , or the second case occurs, any point such that  $f'_j$  does not vanish is in  $R_j$  and when other case occurs, any point such that  $g'_j$  does not vanish is in  $R_j$ . This means that  $\Gamma \cap R_j$  is dense in  $\Gamma$ .

Proof of Lemma 2. Take any point  $x_0$  in  $S_j$ . Without loss of generality,

we may assume that  $\alpha(x)$ , the order of zero for  $f_j$  at  $x$ , is finite at  $x_0$ . Since  $\alpha(x)$  is upper semi-continuous, we can choose an open neighbourhood  $W$  of  $x_0$  such that  $W \cap S_j = \{x \in W \cap S_j; \alpha(x) \leq \alpha(x_0)\}$ . Setting  $T^{(k)} = \{x \in W \cap S_j; \alpha(x) = k\}$ , we have  $W \cap S_j = \bigcup_{k=2}^{\alpha(x_0)} T^{(k)}$ , so that, using the induction on  $k$  such that  $x \in T^{(k)}$ , we prove this Lemma for any  $x \in W \cap S_j$ . When  $x \in T^{(2)}$ ,  $T^{(2)} = W \cap S_j$  and  $T^{(2)}$  is contained in a  $(n-1)$ -dimensional  $C^\infty$  manifold near  $x$ . Hence, using Lemma 1, the result is clear. When  $x \in T^{(k+1)}$ , by similar reason, we can take a fundamental system  $\{U(x)\}$  of open neighbourhoods of  $x$  such that  $U(x) \cap S_j = U(x) \cap (\bigcup_{i=2}^{k+1} T^{(i)})$  and that  $U(x) \setminus T^{(k+1)}$  is connected. We show that this system  $\{U(x)\}$  has that required property. If  $U(x) \setminus S_j$  is not connected, we can take two disjoint components  $C_0$  and  $C_1$  and a continuous curve  $x(t)$  ( $0 \leq t \leq 1$ ) in  $U(x) \setminus T^{(k+1)}$  such that  $x(0) \in C_0$  and  $x(1) \in C_1$ . Take a small positive number  $\varepsilon$  such that  $B(x(t), \varepsilon) \subset U(x) \setminus T^{(k+1)}$  for any  $t$ ,  $B(x(0), \varepsilon) \subset C_0$  and  $B(x(1), \varepsilon) \subset C_1$  where  $B(y, \varepsilon)$  is the closed ball with the center  $y$  and radius  $\varepsilon$ . Set  $H = \{x = (x_1, x_2, \dots, x_n) \in \mathbb{R}^n; x_1 = 0\}$ ,  $H(t) = B(x(t), \varepsilon) \cap [x(t) + H]$  and  $t_{\max} = \sup \{t \in [0, 1]; H(t) \cap C_0 \neq \phi\}$ . Since the set  $\{t; H(t) \cap C_0 \neq \phi\}$  is open in  $[0, 1]$  we have  $0 < t_{\max} < 1$  and  $H(t_{\max}) \cap C_0 = \phi$ . On the other hand, by the definition of  $t_{\max}$ , there are two convergent sequences  $\{t_v\}$  and  $\{x_v\}$  such that  $x_v \in H(t_v) \cap C_0$  with the limit point  $t_{\max}$  and  $y$ , respectively. This limit point  $y$  is in  $H(t_{\max}) \cap S_j$  since  $H(t_{\max}) \cap C_0 = \phi$ . So that  $y \in \bigcup_{i=2}^k T^{(i)}$ . By the induction hypothesis, there is a neighbourhood  $U(y)$  of  $y$  such that  $U(y) \subset U(x)$  and that  $U(y) \setminus S_j$  is connected. Hence, using Lemma 1, we have  $U(y) \setminus S_j \subset C_0$  and  $H(t_{\max}) \cap C_0 \neq \phi$ . This gives a contradiction and then completes the proof of Lemma 2.

Then we can prove easily that  $R_j$  and  $R$  are connected if we shall use the similar method by the reduction to absurdity as that in the proof of Lemma 2.

TÔHOKU UNIVERSITY

---

### Bibliography

- [1] L. Hörmander: *On the uniqueness of the Cauchy problem 2*, Math. Scand. **7** (1959), 177-190.
- [2] P.N. Pederson: *Uniqueness in Cauchy's problem for elliptic equations with double characteristics*, Ark. Mat. **6** (1967), 535-549.