ALMOST COQUATERNION METRIC STRUCTURES ON 3-DIMENSIONAL MANIFOLDS

By Constantin Udriste

We give explicitly almost coquaternion metric structures on 3-dimensional parallelizable manifolds and some conditions under which a 3-dimensional manifold admits a Sasakian 3-structure.

1. We suppose that all the used differentiable manifolds and maps are of class C^{∞} and we denote by $\mathfrak{X}(M)$ the Lie algebra of all vector fields on the manifold M.

Let M be a (4n+3)-dimensional manifold. An almost coquaternion metric structure* on M is an aggregate consisting of three almost cocomplex metric structures** $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g), a=1, 2, 3$, which satisfy

$$\phi_a \circ \phi_b - \xi_a \otimes \eta_b = -\phi_a \circ \phi_a + \xi_b \otimes \eta_a = \phi_c$$
, $\phi_a \xi_b = -\phi_b \xi_a = \xi_c$, $\eta_a \circ \phi_b = -\eta_b \circ \phi_a = \eta_c$, $\eta_a (\xi_b) = \eta_b (\xi_a) = 0$,

for any cyclic permutation $\{a, b, c\}$ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$. M is said to be an almost co-quaternion Riemannian manifold.

An almost coquaternion metric structure can be described by means of 1-forms η_a and 2-forms $\Theta_a(X,Y)=g(\phi_aX,Y)$, $a=1,2,3, \forall X,Y\in \mathfrak{X}(M)$.

THEOREM 1.1. If $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is an almost coquaternion metric structure, then, $\forall \alpha : M \rightarrow (0, \infty), \forall (A_a^a) \in SO(3)$,

$$\left(A_d^a\,\phi_a,rac{1}{lpha}A_d^a\,\xi_a,\;lpha A_d^a\,\eta_a,\;lpha g+(lpha^2-lpha)\sum_a\eta_a\otimes\eta_a
ight), \qquad d{=}1,\,2,\,3\,,$$

is again an almost coquaternion metric structure on M [10].

An almost coquaternion metric structure on M whose tensor

Received Nov. 13, 1973.

^{*)} Or almost contact metric 3-structure [3].

^{**)} Or almost contact metric structures [5].

$$\begin{split} T^{1}(X,Y) &= \frac{2}{3} \sum_{a} ([\phi_{a}X, \phi_{a}Y] - \phi_{a} [\phi_{a}X, Y] \\ &- \phi_{a} [X, \phi_{a}Y] + \phi_{a}^{2} [X, Y] + 2d\eta_{a}(X, Y) \xi_{a}) \end{split}$$

vanishes is called a *pseudo-coquaternion metric structure* and the manifold with such a structure a *pseudo-coquaternion Riemannian manifold*. A pseudo-coquaternion metric structure consists of three normal almost cocomplex metric structures and corresponds to the pseudo-quaternion metric structure on $M \times R$, where R is the real line $\lceil 10 \rceil$, $\lceil 11 \rceil$.

If

(1)
$$\Theta_a = d\eta_a, \quad a=1, 2, 3,$$

then $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is a pseudo-coquaternion metric structure iff

(2)
$$\nabla_X(\nabla \xi_a)Y = \eta_a(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi_a \text{ or } -R(X, \xi_a)Y = \eta_a(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi_a,$$

where ∇ is the Riemannian connection and R is the Riemannian curvature tensor $R(X, Y) = \nabla_{[X,Y]} - [\nabla_X, \nabla_Y]$.

An almost coquaternion metric structure whith satisfies the conditions (1) and (2) is said to be a Sasakian 3-structure. For a Sasakian 3-structure, ξ_a , a=1,2,3, are unit Killing vector fields (determine a Lie group of translations [1]) with respect to g and we have $\phi_a = \nabla \xi_a$ [7].

THEOREM 1.2. If $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is a Sasakian 3-structure and (A_a^a) is an orthogonal matrix whose entries are constants, then

$$(A_d^a \phi_a, A_d^a \xi_a, A_d^a \eta_a, g), d=1, 2, 3,$$

is again a Sasakian 3-structure on M.

2. Let M be a 3-dimensional manifold. We have

Theorem 2.1. A 3-dimensional manifold M has an almost coquaternion metric structure iff it is parallelizable $\lceil 9 \rceil$.

Proof. Obviously, every almost coquaternion Riemannian 3-dimensional manifold is parallelizable.

Conversely, the hypothesis that M is parallelizable is equivalent to the fact that it possesses three vector fields ξ_a , a=1, 2, 3, which are linearly independent at every point of M. Let η_a be the dual 1-forms, that is,

$$\eta_a(\xi_a) = \delta_{ab}$$
 , $\sum_a \eta_a \otimes \xi_a = id$.

We define

$$\phi_a = \xi_c \otimes \eta_b - \xi_b \otimes \eta_c$$

where $\{a, b, c\}$ is an even permutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, and $g = \sum_{a} \eta_a \otimes \eta_a$. We can

verify without difficulty that $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is an almost coquaternion metric structure on M. Evidently, $\Theta_a=2\eta_b\wedge\eta_c$.

As any orientable 3-dimensional manifold is parallelizable, we have

THEOREM 2.2. Every 3-dimensional orientable manifold can be endowed with an almost coquaternion metric structure [9].

Remark. Suppose ξ_a , a=1, 2, 3, generate a simply transitive Lie group of transformations G on M and ζ_a , a=1, 2, 3, generate the reciprocal group \overline{G} of G [1]. As each transformation of G commutes with each transformation of \overline{G} , the almost coquaternion metric structure determined by $\xi_a(\zeta_a)$ is invariant by $\overline{G}(G)$.

3. Let M be a 3-dimensional manifold and $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, an almost coquaternion metric structure on M.

THEOREM 3.1. Suppose ξ_a , a=1, 2, 3, determine a Lie group of motions G with respect to g whose structure constants are C_{bc}^a .

- (i) If $C_{23}^1=0$, then G is isomorphic to an Abelian group, $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1,2,3, is an integrable almost coquaternion metric structure and M is locally Euclidean.
- (ii) If $C_{23}^1 \neq 0$, then G is isomorphic to a unitary, semi-simple group, $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is a Sasakian 3-structure and M is a space of constant positive curvature.

Proof. As ξ_a generate a group of motions with respect to g, we have

(3)
$$L_{\xi_a}\xi_b = C_{ab}^c\xi_c$$
, $a, b, c=1, 2, 3$,

(4)
$$L_{\xi_a}g=0 \quad \text{or} \quad (\nabla_Y \eta_a)(X) + (\nabla_X \eta_a)(Y) = 0, \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M),$$

where ∇ is the Riemannian connection. On the other hand, from $g(\xi_b, \xi_c) = \delta_{bc}$, it follows

$$g(L_{\xi_a}\xi_b, \xi_c)+g(\xi_a, L_{\xi_a}\xi_c)=0$$
,

that is,

$$C_{ab}^{c} + C_{ac}^{b} = 0$$
.

From these relations and from the fact that the structure constants C^c_{ab} of the group G are skew-symmetric in the indices a and b it results that all the structure constants are zero besides C^1_{23} (and those which proceed from C^1_{23}) which can be zero or not.

(i) If $C_{23}^1=0$, then G is isomorphic to an Abelian group. In this case we can choose the local coordinates so that $\xi_a=\partial/\partial x^a$ and hence

$$\eta_a = dx^a$$
, $\phi_a = \frac{\partial}{\partial x^c} \otimes dx^b - \frac{\partial}{\partial x^b} \otimes dx^c$, $g = \sum_a dx^a \otimes dx^a$,

So our first statement is true.

(ii) If $C_{23}^1 \neq 0$, then the comitant $C_{ab} = C_{ac}^d C_{bd}^c$ has the components $C_{11} = C_{22} = C_{33} = -2(C_{23}^1)^2$, $C_{ab} = 0$, $a \neq b$. Consequently G is isomorphic to a unitary, semisimple group.

Without loss of generality, we may assume that $C_{23}^1 = -2$. Really, if not so we may work out the change

$$\bar{\xi}_a = -\frac{2}{C_{28}^1} \xi_a$$

and putting

$$[\bar{\xi}_2, \bar{\xi}_3] = \bar{C}_{23}^1 \bar{\xi}_1$$

we get $\bar{C}_{23}^1 = -2$.

From (4) and

$$d\eta_a(X,Y) = \frac{1}{2}((\nabla_X \eta_a)(Y) - (\nabla_Y \eta_a)(X)), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M),$$

we obtain

(5)
$$d\eta_a(X, Y) = (\nabla_X \eta_a)(Y).$$

Since $g(\xi_a, \xi_a)=1$, we have $g(\nabla_X \xi_a, \xi_a)=0$, that is,

(6)
$$(\nabla_X \eta_a)(\xi_a) = 0.$$

From (6) and (4) we get

$$(\nabla \xi_a \eta_a)(Y) = 0$$

and hence

$$d\eta_a(\xi_a, Y) = 0$$
, $\forall Y \in \mathcal{X}(M)$.

From $[\xi_a, \xi_b] = -2\xi_c = \nabla_{\xi_a}\xi_b - \nabla_{\xi_b}\xi_c$, where $\{a, b, c\}$ is a cyclic permutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, it results

(7)
$$(\nabla_{\xi_a} \eta_b)(X) - (\nabla_{\xi_b} \eta_a)(X) = -2\eta_c(X).$$

On the other hand, from (4) we obtain

$$(\nabla_{\xi_a}\eta_b)(X) = -(\nabla_X\eta_a)(\xi_b)$$

and $g(\xi_a, \xi_b) = 0$ give

$$g(\nabla_X \xi_a, \xi_b) + g(\xi_a, \nabla_X \xi_b) = 0$$
 or $(\nabla_X \eta_a)(\xi_b) + (\nabla_X \eta_b)(\xi_a) = 0$.

Thus

(8)
$$(\nabla_{\xi_a} \eta_b)(X) + (\nabla_{\xi_b} \eta_a)(X) = 0,$$

which together with (7) give

$$\nabla_{\xi_b} \eta_a = -\nabla_{\xi_a} \eta_b = \eta_c.$$

By virtue of (9) and (5) we have

(10)
$$d\eta_a(\xi_b, Y) = -d\eta_b(\xi_a, Y) = \eta_c(Y) \text{ or } d\eta_a = \Theta_a = 2\eta_b \wedge \eta_c.$$

From (5) and (10) we get

(11)
$$(\nabla_X \eta_a)(Y) = \eta_b(X)\eta_c(Y) - \eta_c(X)\eta_b(Y) \text{ or }$$

$$\nabla_X \xi_a = \eta_b(X)\xi_c - \eta_c(X)\xi_b , \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M) ,$$

where $\{a, b, c\}$ is a cyclic permutation of $\{1, 2, 3\}$.

From (11) we obtain

(12)
$$\nabla_{X}(\nabla \xi_{a})(Y) = \eta_{a}(Y)X - g(X, Y)\xi_{a},$$

which shows that $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is a Sasakian 3-structure. As (12) is equivalent to

$$R(X, \xi_a)Y = g(X, Y)\xi_a - g(\xi_a, Y)X$$

multiplying by $\eta_a(Z)$ and summing for a, we obtain

$$R(X, Y)Z=g(X, Y)Z-g(Y, Z)X$$
.

So M has constant curvature 1.

THEOREM 3.2. A 3-dimensional manifold M admits a Sasakian 3-structure iff it possesses three independent vector fields which determine a unitary semisimple Lie group of transformations.

Proof. We first assume that M possesses a Sasakian 3-structure $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3. From

$$\Theta_{\alpha}(X, Y) = d\eta_{\alpha}(X, Y) = (\nabla_{X}\eta_{\alpha})(Y), \quad \forall X, Y \in \mathcal{X}(M).$$

it follows that ξ_a are Killing vector fields of the Riemannian metric g for which

$$\begin{bmatrix} \xi_a, \xi_b \end{bmatrix} = \nabla_{\xi_a} \xi_b - \nabla_{\xi_b} \xi_a = -2\xi_c$$
.

So ξ_a generate a unitary semi-simple Lie group of transformations.

Conversely, let ξ_a , a=1, 2, 3, be three independent vector fields on M which determine a unitary semi-simple Lie group of transformations. Without loss of generality, we can suppose

$$[\xi_a, \xi_b] = -2\xi_c$$
 or $L_{\xi_a}\xi_b = -2\xi_c$.

From $\eta_a(\xi_b) = \delta_{ab}$ we find

$$(L_{\xi_a}\eta_a)(\xi_b) + \eta_a(L_{\xi_a}\xi_b) = 0$$

and hence

$$(L_{\xi_a}\eta_a)(\xi_b)=0$$
, that is, $L_{\xi_a}\eta_a=0$.

Analogously, we have

$$(L_{\xi_c}\eta_a)(\xi_b) + \eta_a(L_{\xi_c}\xi_a) = 0$$

and hence

$$L_{\xi_a}\eta_b = -L_{\xi_b}\eta_a = -2\eta_c$$
.

From these relations we obtain

$$L_{\xi_a}g = L_{\xi_a}(\sum_b \eta_b \otimes \eta_b) = 0$$

and so ξ_a are Killing vector fields. By virtue of Theorem 3.1, $(\phi_a = \eta_b \otimes \xi_c - \eta_c \otimes \xi_b, \xi_a, \eta_a, g = \sum_a \eta_a \otimes \eta_a)$ is a Sasakian 3-structure on M.

Theorem 3.3. A 3-dimensional manifold M admits a Sasakian 3-structure iff it possesses three independent 1-forms η_a which satisfy

$$\eta_a \wedge d\eta_b = 2(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3)\delta_{ab}$$
, $a, b=1, 2, 3$.

Proof. Let us suppose that $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is a Sasakian 3-structure on M. Then we have

$$d\eta_a = \eta_b \otimes \eta_c - \eta_c \otimes \eta_b = 2\eta_b \wedge \eta_c$$

for any cyclic permutation $\{a, b, c\}$ of $\{1, 2, 3\}$, and hence

$$\eta_a \wedge d\eta_b = 2(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3)\delta_{ab}$$
.

Conversely, from $\eta_a \wedge d\eta_b = 0$, $a \neq b$, it follows $d\eta_a = f\eta_b \wedge \eta_c$ and from $\eta_a \wedge d\eta_a = 2(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3)$ we get f=2. Let ξ_a be the dual vector fields of the 1-forms η_a . We have

$$d\eta_a(\xi_a,\,X)\!=\!0,\quad d\eta_a(\xi_b,\,X)\!=\!-d\eta_b(\xi_a,\,X)\!=\!\eta_c(X),\quad \forall X\!\in\!\mathcal{X}(M)\;.$$

We define on M the metric

$$g = \sum_{a} \eta_a \otimes \eta_a$$
, $g^{-1} = \sum_{a} \xi_a \otimes \xi_a$

and

$$\phi_a = g^{-1}(d\eta_a) = \xi_c \otimes \eta_b - \xi_b \otimes \eta_c$$
.

Evidently, $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is an amost coquaternion metric structure on M.

From

$$d\eta_a(X, Y) = \frac{1}{2} \left\{ X(\eta_a(Y)) - Y(\eta_a(X)) - \eta_a([X, Y]) \right\}$$

$$=\eta_b(X)\eta_c(Y)-\eta_c(X)\eta_b(Y)$$

we obtain

$$\eta_c([\xi_a, \xi_b]) = -2$$
 or $[\xi_a, \xi_b] = -2\xi_c$.

Hence ξ_a , a=1, 2, 3, generate a unitary semi-simple Lie group of transformations, that is, $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is a Sasakian 3-structure.

4. Examples.

(a) Let

$$S^3 = \{x \mid x \in \mathbb{R}^4, \|x\| = 1\}$$

be the unit sphere in the Euclidean space R^4 and (J_a, h) , a=1, 2, 3, be the canonical quaternion Hermitian structure on R^4 . If we denote the induced metric on S^3 from the Euclidean metric h on R^4 by g and if we define

$$\xi_a = J_a x$$
, $x \in S^3$, $\eta_a(X) = g(\xi_a, X)$, $\phi_a X = J_a X + \eta_a(X) x$,

then $(\phi_a, \xi_a, \eta_a, g)$, a=1, 2, 3, is a Sasakian 3-structure on S^3 . In other words, the independent 1-forms η_a satisfy

$$\eta_a \wedge d\eta_b = 2(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3)\delta_{ab}$$
, $a, b=1, 2, 3$.

- (b) A 3-dimensional manifold M which admits a Sasakian 3-structure has positive constant curvature. Therefore, if we suppose that M is a complete manifold, then $M \equiv S^3/\Gamma$ (spherical space form), where Γ is a finite subgroup of O(4) which acts freely on S^3 . More precisely [6], Γ is any one of subgroups of Clifford translations given by:
 - (i) $\Gamma = \{id\},$
 - (ii) $\Gamma = \{\pm id\}$,
 - (iii) Γ is the cyclic group of order q>2 generated by

$$\begin{pmatrix} A & 0 \\ 0 & A \end{pmatrix}$$
, where $A = \begin{pmatrix} \cos \frac{2\pi}{2} & -\sin \frac{2\pi}{2} \\ \sin \frac{2\pi}{2} & \cos \frac{2\pi}{2} \end{pmatrix}$,

- (iv) Γ is the group of Clifford translations which corresponds to a binary dihedral group, a binary tetrahedral group, a binary octahedral group or a binary icosahedral group.
- (c) Theorem 4.1. If M is an orientable hypersurface in the Euclidean space R^4 such that its spherical map is regular, then M admits a Sasakian 3-structure.

Proof. We choose the unit normal vector ζ to M in R^4 such that the positive orientation of M is coherent with the positive orientation of R^4 . Then ζ is a differentiable vector field over M and by means of ζ we construct the spherical map of Gauss $s: M \rightarrow S^3$.

If M is covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{U; (u^1, u^2, u^3)\}$ and S^3 is covered by a system of coordinate neighborhoods $\{V; (v^1, v^2, v^3)\}$, then s can be represented locally by

$$v^a = v^a(u^1, u^2, u^3)$$
, $\alpha, \beta = 1, 2, 3$,

and by hypothesis

$$\left|\frac{\partial v^{\alpha}}{\partial u^{\beta}}\right| \neq 0$$
.

On the other hand S^3 possesses a Sasakian 3-structure, that is three independent 1-forms η_a , a=1, 2, 3, which satisfy

$$\eta_a \wedge d\eta_b = 2(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3)\delta_{ab}$$
, $a, b=1, 2, 3$,

or locally

$$\eta_a \wedge d\eta_b = 2\lambda dv^1 \wedge dv^2 \wedge dv^3 \delta_{ab}$$
.

We denote by s^* the dual map of forms on S^3 into forms on M induced by the map s. Then $s^*\eta_a$ are three 1-forms on M and

$$s^*(\eta_a \wedge d\eta_a) = s^*\eta_a \wedge d(s^*\eta_a), \quad s^*(\eta_1 \wedge \eta_2 \wedge \eta_3) = s^*\eta_1 \wedge s^*\eta_2 \wedge s^*\eta_3$$

As locally we have

$$s^*\eta_1 \wedge s^*\eta_2 \wedge s^*\eta_3 = \lambda(v(u)) \left| \frac{\partial v^{\alpha}}{\partial u^{\beta}} \right| du^1 \wedge du^2 \wedge du^3$$
,

the three 1-forms $s^*\eta_a$ are independent.

We deduce

$$s*\eta_a \wedge d(s*\eta_b) = 2\lambda(v(u)) \left| \frac{\partial v^{\alpha}}{\partial u^{\beta}} \right| du^1 \wedge du^2 \wedge du^3$$

or

$$s^*\eta_a \wedge d(s^*\eta_b) = 2(s^*\eta_1 \wedge s^*\eta_2 \wedge s^*\eta_3)\delta_{ab}$$

Therefore the 1-forms $s^*\eta_a$, a=1, 2, 3, give rise to a Sasakian 3-structure on M (Theorem 3.3.).

BIBLIOGRAPHY

- [1] EISENHART, L.P., Continuous groups of transformations, Dover Publications, Inc. New York, 1961.
- [2] Kobayashi, S. and Nomizu, K., Foundations of differential geometry, vol. I-II, Interscience publishers, 1963-1969.
- [3] Kuo, Y.Y., On almost contact 3-structure, Tôhoku Math. J., 22 (1970), 325-
- [4] OGIUE, K. AND OKUMURA, M., On cocomplex structures, Kōdai Math. Sem. Rep., 19 (1967), 507-512.
- [5] SASAKI, S., Almost contact manifolds, Math. Inst. Tôhoku Univ. Part I, 1965.
- [6] SASAKI, S., On spherical space forms with normal contact metric 3-structure, J. Diff. Geom., 6 (1972), 307-315.
- [7] TACHIBANA, S. AND YU, W.N., On a Riemannian space admitting more than one Sasakian structures, Tôhoku Math. J., 22 (1970), 535-540.
- [8] TANNO, S., Killing vectors on contact Riemannian manifolds and fiberings related to the Hopf fibrations, Tôhoku Math. J., 23 (1971), 313-333.
- [9] UDRISTE, C., Structures presque coquaternioniennes, Bull. Math. Soc. Sci. Math. R. S. R., 13(61), 4 (1969), 487-507.
- [10] Udriste, C., Almost coquaternion structures, Doctoral thesis of Mathematical Sciences, Cluj University, Romania (1971).
- [11] UDRISTE, C., On fiberings of almost coquaternion manifolds, An. St. Univ. Iasi, Matematica, XVIII (1972), 407-415.
- [12] YANO, K., The Theory of Lie Derivatives and Its Applications, North-Holland

Publ. Co., Amsterdam, 1957.

- [13] YANO, K., Integral formulas in Riemannian geometry, Marcel Dekker, Ink., New York, 1970.
- [14] YANO, K. AND AKO, M., Integrability conditions for almost quaternion structures, Hokkaido Math. J., 1 (1972), 63-86.

Department of Mathematics I Polytechnic Institute, Bucharest, Romania.