A CHARACTERIZATION OF A COMPLEX PROJECTIVE SPACE BY THE SPECTRUM

By Shukichi Tanno

0. Introduction

Let (M, g) be a compact m-dimensional Riemannian manifold and (S^m, g_0) be an m-sphere of constant curvature 1. We denote the spectrum of the Laplacian acting on functions on (M, g) by $\operatorname{Spec}(M, g)$. If $m \leq 6$, $\operatorname{Spec}(M, g) = \operatorname{Spec}(S^m, g_0)$ implies that (M, g) is isometric to (S^m, g_0) (Berger [1], Tanno [8]). For $m \geq 7$ it is an open question if (S^m, g_0) is characterized by the spectrum. In [9] we proved the following.

THEOREM A (Tanno [9]). Assume that Spec (M, g)=Spec (S^m, g_0) . If g is sufficiently close to constant curvature metric, then (M, g) is isometric to (S^m, g_0) .

In this paper we give the Kähler version of Theorem A. Let (M, J, g) be a compact Kählerian manifold of dimension m=2n, and (CP^n, J_0, g_0) be a complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. Then, we get the following. (Cf. Proposition 2.1.)

THEOREM B. Assume that Spec (M, J, g)=Spec (CP^n, J_0, g_0) . If g is sufficiently close to constant holomorphic sectional curvature metric, then (M, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to (CP^n, J_0, g_0) .

As for isospectral deformations of flat metrics, see [4], [5], and for inverse spectral results for negatively curved manifolds, see [2], [3].

1. Preliminaries

Let (M, J, g) be a Kählerian manifold, where $J=(J_j^i)$ denotes the complex structure tensor and $g=(g_{ij})$ a Kähler metric. By $R=(R_{jkl}^i)$, $\rho=(R_{jl})$ and S we denote the Riemannian curvature tensor, the Ricci curvature tensor and the scalar curvature of (M, J, g), respectively. We put

$$R_{ij}^*=R_{ir}J_j^r$$
.

Then we have the following classical relations:

$$\begin{split} 2R_{ij}^* &= R_{ijrs} J^{rs} \!=\! 2R_{irjs} J^{rs} \,, \\ R_{ijkl} &= J_i^r J_j^s R_{rskl} \,, \quad J_r^i R_{jkl}^r \!=\! J_j^r R_{rkl}^i \,, \\ R_{rs} J_i^r J_j^s \!=\! R_{ij} \,, \qquad R_{rs}^* J_i^r J_j^s \!=\! R_{ij}^s \,, \\ R_{ij}^* J_i^{ij} \!=\! S \,, \qquad R_{ij}^* R^{*ij} \!=\! R_{ij}^{ij} \,. \end{split}$$

The Bochner curvature tensor $B = (B_{jkl}^i)$ is by definition

$$\begin{split} B_{ijkl} &= R_{ijkl} - (g_{ik}R_{jl} - g_{il}R_{jk} + R_{ik}g_{jl} - R_{il}g_{jk} + J_{ik}R_{jl}^* - J_{il}R_{jk}^* \\ &+ R_{ik}^*J_{jl} - R_{il}^*J_{jk} + 2R_{ij}^*J_{kl} + 2J_{ij}R_{kl}^*)/(m+4) \\ &+ S(g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk} + J_{ik}J_{jl} - J_{il}J_{jk} + 2J_{ij}J_{kl})/(m+2)(m+4) \,, \end{split}$$

where $m=2n=\dim M$. Easily we get

$$g^{ik}B_{ijkl}=0$$
, $J^{kl}B_{ijkl}=0$, $J^{ik}B_{ijkl}=0$.

We use the following notations:

$$(P, Q) = P_{ijkl}Q^{ijkl}, \qquad |P|^2 = (P, P),$$
 $(P, Q, T) = P^{ij}{}_{kl}Q^{kl}{}_{rs}T^{rs}{}_{ij},$
 $(U; Q, T) = U^{rs}Q_{rjkl}T_{s}{}^{jkl},$
 $(U; V; T) = U^{ik}V^{jl}T_{ijkl},$
 $(UVW) = U^{i}{}_{i}V^{j}{}_{k}W^{k}{}_{i},$

where P, Q, and T are tensor fields of type (1, 3); and U, V, and W are tensor fields of type (1, 1).

In the following calculations the methods are similar to ones in [9]. We obtain

(1.1)
$$(R, R, R) = (R, B, B) + 8(\rho; R, B)/(n+2) + 8(\rho; \rho; B)/(n+2)$$

$$-2S(R, B)/(n+1)(n+2) + 24(\rho\rho\rho)/(n+2)^{2}$$

$$+4(n+6)(\rho; \rho; R)/(n+2)^{2} + 2(n-9)S(\rho, \rho)/(n+1)(n+2)^{2}$$

$$-(n-1)S^{3}/(n+1)^{2}(n+2)^{2},$$
(1.2)
$$(\rho; R, B) = (\rho; B, B) + 4(\rho; \rho; B)/(n+2),$$

(1.3)
$$(\rho; R, R) = (\rho; R, B) + 4[(\rho \rho \rho) + (\rho; \rho; R)]/(n+2)$$

$$-2S(\rho, \rho)/(n+1)(n+2),$$

$$(1.4) (R, B) = (B, B),$$

(1.5)
$$(\rho; \rho; R) = (\rho; \rho; B) + (2n+1)S(\rho, \rho)/2(n+1)(n+2)$$

$$+ 2(\rho\rho\rho)/(n+2) - S^{3}/4(n+1)(n+2) ,$$

where we have used the following

$$B_{iikl}R^{*ij}R^{*kl}=2(\rho;\rho;B)$$

etc.

Next we assume that M is compact. Let λ_i be the i-th eigenvalue of the Laplacian acting on functions on (M, g) and let Spec (M, g) denote the spectrum of (M, g). Then the asymptotic expansion by Minakshisundaram-Pleijel is

$$\sum e^{-\lambda_i t} {\sim} (4\pi t)^{-n} \sum_{\beta=0} a_\beta t^\beta \qquad (t\downarrow 0) \; ,$$

where $a_{\beta} = a_{\beta}(M, g)$ and

$$a_0 = \operatorname{Vol}(M, g),$$

$$a_1 = (1/6) \int_{\mathbf{M}} S,$$

(1.8)
$$a_2 = (1/360) \int_{M} [2|R|^2 - 2|\rho|^2 + 5S^2],$$

(1.9)
$$a_3 = (1/6!) \int_M \left[-Z + 2S |R|^2 / 3 - 2S |\rho|^2 / 3 + 5S^3 / 9 + A \right],$$

where we have put

$$Z = |\nabla R|^2/9 + 26|\nabla \rho|^2/63 + 142|\nabla S|^2/63$$
,

$$A=8(R, R, R)/21-8(\rho; R, R)/63+20(\rho; \rho; R)/63-4(\rho\rho\rho)/7$$
.

We put

$$G_{il} = R_{il} - Sg_{il}/2n$$
.

Then, (g, G)=0 and

$$|G|^2 = |\rho|^2 - S^2/2n,$$

(1.11)
$$(\rho; \rho; B) = (G; G; B),$$

$$(1.12) \qquad (\rho \rho \rho) = (\rho GG) + S|G|^2/n + S^3/4n^2.$$

Since

$$|B|^2 = |R|^2 - 8|\rho|^2/(n+2) + 2S^2/(n+1)(n+2)$$

we obtain (cf. [8])

(1.13)
$$2|R|^{2}-2|\rho|^{2}+5S^{2}=2|B|^{2}+2(6-n)|G|^{2}/(n+2) +(5n^{2}+4n+3)S^{2}/n(n+1).$$

By $(1.1)\sim(1.5)$, we obtain

(1.14)
$$A=8(R, B, B)/21+8(22-n)(\rho; B, B)/63(n+2)$$
$$-16S|B|^{2}/21(n+1)(n+2)+p\cdot(\rho; \rho; B)$$
$$+q\cdot(\rho\rho\rho)+uS|\rho|^{2}+vS^{3},$$

where

$$(1.15) p = 4(5n^2 + 76n + 420)/63(n+2)^2,$$

(1.16)
$$q = 8(n^2 + 92n + 276)/63(n+2)^3 - 4/7$$
$$= -4(9n^3 + 52n^2 - 76n - 480)/63(n+2)^3,$$

$$(1.17) u = 2(10n^3 + 109n^2 + 196n - 252)/63(n+1)(n+2)^3,$$

$$(1.18) v = -(5n^3 + 65n^2 + 208n + 100)/63(n+1)^2(n+2)^3.$$

LEMMA 1.1. a_3 and A are expressed as follows:

(1.19)
$$a_{3} = (1/6!) \int_{\mathbf{M}} [-Z + 2S |B|^{2}/3 + 2(6-n)S |G|^{2}/3(n+2) + (5/9 - (n-3)/3n(n+1))S^{3} + A],$$
(1.20)
$$A = 8(R, B, B)/21 + 8(22-n)(\rho; B, B)/63(n+2) - 16S |B|^{2}/21(n+1)(n+2) + p \cdot (G; G; B) + q \cdot (\rho GG) + (q/n+u)S |G|^{2} + (q/4n^{2} + u/2n + v)S^{3}.$$

Proof. Eliminating $S(|R|^2 - |\rho|^2)$ from (1.9) by (1.13) we get (1.19). By (1.10) \sim (1.12) and (1.14) we obtain (1.20). Q. E. D.

A Kählerian manifold (M, J, g) is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, if and only if

$$(1.21) R_{ijkl} = g_{ik}g_{jl} - g_{il}g_{jk} + J_{ik}J_{jl} - J_{il}J_{jk} + 2J_{ij}J_{kl}.$$

We define E as the space of all (0, 4)-tensor fields T satisfying the following conditions;

$$T_{ijkl} = T_{klij},$$
 $T_{ijkl} = -T_{jikl},$ $T_{ijkl} J_r^i J_s^j = T_{rskl},$ $J_r^{ij} T_{ijkl} = 0.$

The Bochner curvature tensor B belongs to E.

If (M, J, g) is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4, then we obtain

$$(R, T, T) = 4(T, T)$$

for any T in E. This follows from (1.21) and the definition of E.

A Kählerian manifold (M, J, g) is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature, if and only if B=G=0.

2. Kähler metrics close to constant holomorphic sectional curvature metric

Let (CP^n, J_0, g_0) be a complex projective space with the Fubini-Study metric of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. Then the scalar curvature S_0 is equal to 4n(n+1). We put $a_{\beta}^0 = a_{\beta}(CP^n, J_0, g_0)$

PROPOSITION 2.1. There exists a positive number $\delta = \delta(n) < 1$ with the following property: Assume that a compact Kählerian manifold (M, J, g), dim M=2n, satisfies the following conditions;

- (i) $(R, T, T) \leq 4(1+\delta)(T, T)$ for $T \in E$,
- (ii) $2(n+1)(1-\delta) < Ricci\ curvature < 2(n+1)(1+\delta)$,
- (iii) $|B| < \delta$,
- (iv) $a_{\beta} = a_{\beta}^{0}$, $\beta = 0, 1, 2, 3$.

Then (M, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to (CP^n, J_0, g_0) .

If $n \le 6$, Spec $(M, J, g) = \operatorname{Spec}(CP^n, J_0, g_0)$ implies that (M, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to (CP^n, J_0, g_0) (cf. [8]). Therefore in the proof of the Proposition 2.1 we can assume that $n \ge 7$. We assume that (M, J, g) satisfies (i) \sim (iv) and show that δ can be determined so that (M, J, g) is holomorphically isometric to (CP^n, J_0, g_0) . $a_0 = a_0^n$ means that $\operatorname{Vol}(M, J, g) = \operatorname{Vol}(CP^n, J_0, g_0)$. $a_1 = a_1^n$ implies that $\int S = 4n(n+1) \operatorname{Vol}(CP^n, J_0, g_0)$.

LEMMA 2.2. We have S>0 and

$$|S-4n(n+1)| < 4n(n+1)\delta$$

$$(2.2) \qquad \qquad \left(S^2 - S_0^2 \right) \ge 0,$$

$$(2.3) 0 \leq \int_{\mathcal{M}} [S^3 - S_0^3] \leq (3 + \delta) 4n(n+1) \int_{\mathcal{M}} [S^2 - S_0^2].$$

Proof. S>0 and (2.1) follow from (ii). As for (2.2) and (2.3) see Lemma 3 and Lemma 6 in [9]. Q. E. D.

By (1.13) and $a_2 = a_2^0$ we get

$$(2.4) \qquad \qquad 2(n-6) \int_{\mathbf{M}} |G|^2 = 2(n+2) \int_{\mathbf{M}} |B|^2 \\ + \left[(n+2)(5n^2 + 4n + 3)/n(n+1) \right] \int_{\mathbf{M}} \left[S^2 - S_0^2 \right].$$

By Lemma 1.1 and $a_3 = a_3^0$ we obtain

$$\begin{split} D := & \int_{\mathbf{M}} [-Z + 8(R, B, B)/21 + 8(22 - n)(\rho; B, B)/63(n + 2) \\ & + [2/3 - 16/21(n + 1)(n + 2)]S|B|^2 + p \cdot (G; G; B) \\ & + q \cdot (\rho GG) + [q/n + u - 2(n - 6)/3(n + 2)]S|G|^2 \\ & + [q/4n^2 + u/2n + v + 5/9 - (n - 3)/3n(n + 1)](S^3 - S_0^3) \\ = & 0 \,. \end{split}$$

LEMMA 2.3. We put $\mu=1$ if 22>n and $\mu=-1$ if 22<n. Then

(2.5)
$$(22-n)(\rho; B, B) \leq (22-n)2(n+1)(1+\mu\delta)|B|^2,$$

$$(2.6) 2/3 - 16/21(n+1)(n+2) > 0, \quad p > 0, \quad v < 0,$$

$$(2.7) q/n+u-2(n-6)/3(n+2)<0,$$

$$(2.8) q/4n^2 + u/2n + v + 5/9 - (n-3)/3n(n+1) > 0,$$

(2.9)
$$q \cdot (\rho GG) \leq 2q(n+1)(1-\delta)|G|^2$$
,

$$(2.10) (G; G; B) \leq \delta |G|^2.$$

Proof. (2.5) follows from (ii). (2.6) is trivial. To prove (2.8) first we get $(2.11) \qquad \qquad q/4n^2 + u/2n + v = -4(n^2 - 2n - 15)/63n^2(n+1)^2 \, .$

Then (2.8) is clear. (2.9) follows from (ii). (2.10) follows from (iii) (cf. Lemma 7 in [9]). Q. E. D.

Applying (i), (ii), Lemma 2.2 and Lemma 2.3 to D we get

$$(2.12) \quad D \leq \int_{M} \left[-Z + 32(1+\delta) |B|^{2} / 21 \right. \\ \left. + 16(22-n)(n+1)(1+\mu\delta) |B|^{2} / 63(n+2) \right. \\ \left. + \left[2/3 - 16/21(n+1)(n+2) \right] 4n(n+1)(1+\delta) |B|^{2} \right. \\ \left. + p \delta |G|^{2} + 2(n+1)(1-\delta) q |G|^{2} \right. \\ \left. + \left[q/n + u - 2(n-6)/3(n+2) \right] 4n(n+1)(1-\delta) |G|^{2} \right. \\ \left. + \left[q/4n^{2} + u/2n + v + 5/9 - (n-3)/3n(n+1) \right] (3+\delta) 4n(n+1)(S^{2} - S_{0}^{2}) \right].$$

Next applying (2.4) to $|G|^2$ in (2.12) we obtain

(2.13)
$$D \leq \int_{\mathcal{U}} \left[-Z - (U - P\delta) |B|^2 - (V - Q\delta)(S^2 - S_0^2) \right],$$

where we have put

$$\begin{split} U = &-32/21 - 16(22 - n)(n+1)/63(n+2) + 64n/21(n+2) \\ &-2(n+1)(n+2)(3q+2nu)/(n-6) \;, \\ P = &32/21 + 16(22 - n)(n+1)\mu/63(n+2) \\ &+ \lfloor 4/3 - 16/21(n+1)(n+2) \rfloor 4n(n+1) \\ &+ (n+1)(n+2)(p/(n+1) - 6q - 4nu)/(n-6) \;, \\ V = &-12n(n+1) \lfloor q/4n^2 + u/2n + v + 5/9 - (n-3)/3n(n+1) \rfloor \\ &- (n+2)(5n^2 + 4n + 3) \lfloor 3q + 2nu - 4n(n-6)/3(n+2) \rfloor/n(n-6) \;, \\ Q = &4n(n+1) \lfloor q/4n^2 + u/2n + v + 5/9 - (n-3)/3n(n+1) \rfloor \\ &+ (n+2)(5n^2 + 4n + 3) \lceil p/(n+1) - 6q - 4nu + 8n(n-6)/3(n+2) \rceil/2n(n-6) \;. \end{split}$$

By calculations we get

$$(2.14) 3q+2nu=-(68n^2+24n-1440)/63(n+1)(n+2),$$

$$(2.15) U = 2(76n^4 + 144n^3 - 960n^2 - 3136n - 2496)/63(n+2)^2(n-6).$$

Therefore we see that U is positive. Since $q/4n^2+u/2n+v$ is negative by (2.11) and 3q+2nu is negative by (2.14), we get

$$V > -12n(n+1)[5/9 - (n-3)/3n(n+1)] + 4(5n^2 + 4n + 3)/3$$

=8(n-3)/3.

Therefore V is also positive. Since P and Q are positive, we can define $\pmb{\delta}$ by

(2.16)
$$\delta = \min(U/P, V/Q, 99/100)$$
.

Proof of Proposition 2.1. By the definition of δ , (2.13) shows that Z=B=0 and $S=S_0$. Furthermore (2.4) shows that G=0, and hence (M,J,g) is of constant holomorphic sectional curvature 4. So (M,J,g) is holomorphically isometric to (CP^n,J_0,g_0) . Q. E. D.

REFERENCES

[1] M. Berger, Le spectre des variétés riemanniennes, Rev. Roum. Math. Pure Appl., 13 (1969), 915-931.

- [2] V. Guillemin and D. Kazhdan, Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved 2-manifolds, Topology, 19 (1980), 301-312.
- [3] V. Guillemin and D. Kazhdan, Some inverse spectral results for negatively curved *n*-manifolds, Proc. Symp. Pure Math. **36** (1980), 135-180.
- [4] R. Kuwabara, On isospectral deformations of Riemannian metrics, Compositio Math., 40 (1980), 319-324.
- [5] R. Kuwabara, On the characterization of flat metrics by the spectrum, to appear.
- [6] H.F. Mckean and I.M. Singer, Curvature and the eigenvalues of the Laplacian, Journ. Diff. Geom., 1 (1967), 43-69.
- [7] T. Sakai, On eigenvalues of Laplacian and curvature of Riemannian manifold, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 23 (1971), 589-603.
- [8] S. TANNO, Eigenvalues of the Laplacian of Riemannian manifolds, Tôhoku Math. Journ., 25 (1973), 391-403.
- [9] S. TANNO, A characterization of the canonical spheres by the spectrum, Math. Zeit., 175 (1980), 267-274.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS
TOKYO INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY