AN INEQUALITY FOR THE RATIO OF TWO QUADRATIC FORMS IN NORMAL VARIATES

By Koteswara Rao Kadiyala

The University of Western Ontario

The distribution of ratios of quadratic forms has been investigated by many authors. Two simple inequalities for the ratio of quadratic forms in independent normal variates are presented.

THEOREM. If $\lambda_1 \geq \lambda_2 \geq \cdots \geq \lambda_n > 0$, $d_1 \geq d_2 \geq \cdots \geq d_n > 0$, and Z_1 , \cdots , Z_n are positive random variables, then

(i)
$$P\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} d_{i}Z_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}Z_{i} \leq \nu\} \leq P\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}Z_{i} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} Z_{i} \leq \nu\}.$$

If X_1, \dots, X_n are independent N(0, 1) random variates, and (d_1^*, \dots, d_n^*) is any rearrangement of d_1, \dots, d_n , then

(ii)
$$P\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} d_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{2} \leq \nu\} \leq P\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} d_{n-i+1} X_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{n-i+1} X_{i}^{2} \leq \nu\}.$$

PROOF. (i) The proof follows from the fact that

$$\sum_{1}^{n} \lambda_{i} d_{i} Z_{i} / \sum_{1}^{n} d_{i} Z_{i} \geq \sum_{1}^{n} \lambda_{i} Z_{i} / \sum_{1}^{n} Z_{i},$$

which follows as a special case of an inequality due to Tchebychef [1], p. 168. It is clear, of course, that, if the d's are increasingly ordered, the inequality in (i) would go the opposite way.

(ii)
$$P\{\sum_{1}^{n} \lambda_{i} d_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{2} / \sum_{1}^{n} d_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{2} \leq \nu\}$$

$$= P\{\sum_{1}^{n} (\lambda_{i} - \nu) d_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{2} \leq 0\} = (2\pi)^{-n/2} \int_{E} e^{-1/2x'x} dx$$

where E is the set appearing on the left hand side of (ii). Now if $d_1^* \neq d_n$ there exists a k such that $d_k^* < d_1^*$. And,

$$P\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} (\lambda_{i} - \nu) d_{i}^{*}X_{i}^{2} \leq 0\}$$

$$= P\{(\lambda_{1} - \nu_{1}) d_{1}^{*}X_{1}^{2} + (\lambda_{k} - \nu) d_{k}^{*}X_{k}^{2} \leq \chi_{0}\}/P(\chi \leq \chi_{0})$$

where $\chi = -\sum_{i\neq 1,k} (\lambda_i - \nu) d_i^* X_i^2$. But,²

(1)
$$P\{(\lambda_1 - \nu) d_1^* X_1^2 + (\lambda_k - \nu) d_k^* X_k^2 \leq \chi_0\}$$

= $(2\pi)^{-1} \int \int_{E_0} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} dx_1 dx_2 \leq (2\pi)^{-1} \int \int_{E_1} e^{-\frac{1}{2}(x_1^2 + x_2^2)} dx_1 dx_2$

where
$$E_0 = \{x_1, x_2 : (\lambda_1 - \nu) d_1^* x_1^2 + (\lambda_k - \nu) d_k^* x_2^2 \le \chi_0 \}$$

and
$$E_1 = \{x_1, x_2 : (\lambda_1 - \nu) d_k^* x_1^2 + (\lambda_k - \nu) d_1^* x_2^2 \leq \chi_0 \}.$$

Received 15 August 1967.

¹ The author is indebted to the referee who suggested this proof which is more direct and simpler than the original proof.

² If $(\lambda_1 - \nu) \ge 0$ and $(\lambda_k - \nu) \le 0$ the fact that the inequality in (1) follows is trivial. If both $(\lambda_1 - \nu)$ and $(\lambda_k - \nu)$ are strictly positive (1) follows from the fact that the areas of the two sets E_0 and E_1 are equal.

This procedure can be repeated until the coefficient of $(\lambda_1 - \nu)X_1^2$ is smallest, the coefficient of $(\lambda_2 - \nu)X_2^2$ is second smallest and so on until the coefficient of $(\lambda_n - \nu)X_n^2$ is d_1 . Therefore, we have

$$P\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} d_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{i}^{*} X_{i}^{2} \leq \nu\} \leq P\{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} d_{n-i+1} X_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} d_{n-i+1} X_{i}^{2} \leq \nu\}.$$

An Application. Let it be required to test the null hypothesis, H_0 that $\operatorname{Var}(X_i) = d$ and $EX_i = 0$ against the alternative hypothesis, H_1 that $\operatorname{Var}(X_i) = d_i^*$ and $EX_i = 0$ based on a sample of size one. Then if one proposes a ratio of the type

(2)
$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_{i} X_{i}^{2} / \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_{i}^{2}$$

as a test statistic for H_0 against H_1 , with the critical region, ω , defined by

(3)
$$\omega = \{X : \sum_{i=1}^{n} \lambda_i X_i^2 / \sum_{i=1}^{n} X_i^2 \leq \nu \}$$

then, a best test within the class (3) is obtained by matching λ_i with X_i whose variance is d_{n-i+1} . One advantage of a statistic of the type (2) is that its distribution is tabulated for selected values of n [3], [4] when $\lambda_i = 2 -2 \cos(n+1-i)\pi/n + 1$. The most powerful test, of course, is obtained by choosing $\lambda_i = 1/\text{Var}(X_i)$ [2], [5]. Inequality (i) says that any test of the form (3) is unbiased if the λ 's are properly matched.

REFERENCES

- HARDY, G. H., LITTLEWOOD, J. E. and PÓLYA, G. (1934). Inequalities. Cambridge University Press, London.
- [2] LEHMANN, E. L. and Stein, C. (1948). Most powerful tests of composite hypotheses, I. Normal distributions. Ann. Math. Statist. 19 495-516.
- [3] NEUMANN, J. von, Kent, R. H., Bellinson, H. R. and Hart, B. I. (1941). The mean square successive difference. Ann. Math. Statist. 12 153-162.
- [4] NEUMANN, JOHN VON (1941). Distribution of the ratio of the mean square successive difference to the variance. Ann. Math. Statist. 12 367-395. A further remark concerning the distribution of the ratio of the mean square successive difference to the variance. Ibid. 13 (1942) 86-88.
- [5] WHITTLE, P. (1951). Hypothesis Testing in Time Series Analysis. Almquist, Uppsala.