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#### Abstract

We study the isometry groups of Aleksandrov spaces with curvature bounded above. We prove that the metric of any finitely compact geodesically complete $\operatorname{CAT}(K)$-space $(K<0)$, all of whose spheres are arcwise connected, can be recovered from the family of all closed balls of a given positive radius. As a corollary, we obtain that every bijection of such a space onto itself which preserves this family is an isometry. In particular, these results hold for any simply connected Riemannian space with sectional curvature at most $K$, where $K<0$, and of dimension greater than 1.


## 1. Introduction and main results

In this paper we prove some of the results on Aleksandrov spaces of curvature at most $K$ (see [1], [2], [7], [9]) announced in our earlier paper [6].

We first introduce some necessary definitions and notation. The distance between two points $x, y$ of a metric space $M$ is denoted by $x y$. A (locally) inner (or (locally) interior or (locally) length) metric space is a metric space in which (locally) any two points $x, y$ can be joined by a path with length arbitrarily close to $x y$. A path joining the points $x, y$ in $M$ is called shortest arc or segment (with ends $x, y$ ) if its length is equal to $x y$. A (locally) geodesic space is a metric space in which (locally) any two points can be joined by shortest arc.

A point $y$ lies between two points $x$ and $z$ if $x z=x y+y z$ and $y \neq x, y \neq z$; we denote this relation by ( $x y z$ ). An open (respectively closed) ball in $M$ of radius $r$ with center $x$ is denoted by $U(x, r)$ (respectively $B(x, r)$ ). $S_{K}$ denotes the complete simply connected two-dimensional Riemannian manifold of constant sectional curvature $K$.

We study the properties of isometry groups of a geodesic space $M$ with inner metric of curvature at most $K$.

[^0]THEOREM 1.1. Let $M$ be a locally compact complete geodesically complete $\mathrm{CAT}(K)$-space with curvature at most $K$, where $K<0$, in which all spheres are arcwise connected. Then every bijection $f$ of the space $M$ onto itself such that $f$ and $f^{-1}$ map any closed ball of some fixed radius $r>0$ onto some closed ball of radius $r$, is an isometry.

This theorem generalizes the corresponding result of Guc [12] for Lobachevskii spaces and is also connected with the Beckman-Quarles characterization of isometries of finite-dimensional Euclidean spaces given in [4] (see also [8]). Kuzminykh later generalized both of these results in his paper [13].

We recall some natural concepts that arise in connection with Theorem 1.1 and its relatives.

Let $M$ be a metric space and $r>0$ a fixed real number. The set $V \subset M \times M$ of all ordered pairs $(x, y)$ of points in $M$ satisfying $x y \leq r$ forms a diagonal tube about the diagonal $\Delta \subset M \times M$ and defines a reflexive and symmetric binary relation on $M$. Given a natural number $n$, we denote by $n V$ the $n$th self-composition of the relation $V$, defined inductively by the condition that $(x, z) \in(n+1) V \subset M \times M$ if and only if there is a point $y \in M$ such that $(x, y) \in n V$ and $(y, z) \in V$. By the triangle inequality in $M$, we have $n V \subset W$, where $W$ is the diagonal tube corresponding to the number $n r$. The reverse inclusion is satisfied if $M$ is a geodesic space, but it does not hold in general. We set $0 V=\Delta$. The union of the sets $n V$ over all natural numbers $n$ provides a transitive closure of the relation $V$. This closure coincides with the set $M \times M$ if $M$ is connected.

We consider here only one diagonal tube $V$. An automorphism of a space $(M, V)$ is a bijection $f$ of a set $M$ onto itself such that $f$ and $f^{-1}$ preserve the given relation $V$, i.e., $(x, y) \in V$ implies that $(f(x), f(y)) \in V$ and $\left(f^{-1}(x), f^{-1}(y)\right) \in V$.

The following questions arise naturally:

- Can one recover the initial metric on $M$ from $V$ ?
- Is every automorphism of $(M, V)$ an isometry of $M$ ?

It is clear that a positive answer to the first question implies a positive answer to the second question.

The second question is closely connected with the following problem, suggested by A.D. Aleksandrov in 1960s:

- Under what conditions is a map of a metric space into itself preserving a fixed distance (for example, unit distance) an isometry of this metric space?

In 1953 Beckman and Quarles proved (see [4]) that any map $f$ of an Euclidean $n$-space ( $n \geq 2$ ) onto itself which preserves unit distance (i.e., satisfies $f(x) f(y)=1$ if $x y=1)$ is an isometry.

Closely connected with this problem are the investigations of a student of Aleksandrov, Kuzminykh, who in [13] proved the following remarkable result:

A map $f$ of an $n$-dimensional Lobachevskii space ( $n \geq 2$ ) into itself is an isometry if, for any fixed positive numbers $a, a^{\prime}$, the following condition holds: If $x y=a$, then $f(x) f(y)=a^{\prime}$. In particular, this implies that $a=a^{\prime}$. Kuzminykh also proved many generalizations of this result, which we will not discuss here. In the same paper [13] a weak characterization of isometries of Euclidean $n$-space $E^{n}(n \geq 2)$ is given that generalizes the result of Beckman and Quarles and uses only a subset of measure zero of an open ball in $E^{n}$. All previous generalizations involved weakening the requirements on the map $f$.

In this paper we obtain a different generalization by weakening the requirements of the space itself. As far as we know, this is the first time that such a generalization had been considered.

Note that a bijection $f$ of a metric space $M$ that preserves the relation $V$ above is in general not an isometry. An example of such a bijection for the Euclidean space $E^{n}$ is self-similarity with a coefficient $\lambda<1$. For the Lobachevski space with a labelled point $O$ and a number $\lambda<1$, we can define $f$ by the relation $f(x)=x^{\prime}$, where $\left(O x^{\prime} x\right)$ and $O x^{\prime}=\lambda O x$. Clearly, this bijection preserves the relation $V$, but it is not an isometry. There are many other maps of this type.

## 2. Isometries of Aleksandrov spaces with curvature at most $K$, when $K$ is nonnegative

In this section we study isometries in $\operatorname{CAT}(K)$-spaces for nonnegative $K$.
DEFINITION 2.1. The radius of uniqueness of shortest arcs at a point $x$ in a (locally geodesic) metric space $M$ is defined as the least upper bound of all numbers $r$ such that for any two points $y, z$ in the open ball $U(x, r)$ there is exactly one shortest arc $[y z]$ joining these points. We denote this radius by $u(x)$. The radius of uniqueness of shortest arcs of a space $M$ is defined as

$$
u(M):=\inf \{u(x), x \in M\}
$$

The following lemma easily follows from known properties of Aleksandrov spaces with curvature (locally) bounded above, the triangle inequality, and the above definition.

LEMMA 2.2. The radius of uniqueness of shortest arcs for a locally geodesic metric space $M$ is a positive Lipshitz function (with constant 1) $u(x)$ of a point $x \in M$. If, in addition, $M$ is compact, then the number $u(M)$ is positive. In particular, this holds for any Aleksandrov space $M$ with curvature bounded above.

DEfinition 2.3. The displacement function of an isometry $\phi$ of a metric space $M$ at a point $x$ is defined as $d(\phi, x):=x \phi(x)$.

One can also easily prove the following lemma.
LEMmA 2.4. The displacement function $d(\phi, x)$ of an isometry $\phi$ of a metric space $M$ is a Lipshitz function (with constant 2) of a point $x \in M$. If, in addition, $M$ is compact, then this function attains its minimum $d(\phi)$.

Proposition 2.5. Let $\phi$ be an isometry of a locally geodesic metric space $M$ whose displacement function $d(\phi, x)$ attains a positive minimum $d(\phi):=d_{0}$ at a point $x_{0}$ and such that $d_{0}<u\left(x_{0}\right)$ (see Definition 2.1). Then $x_{0}$ is contained in a unique nontrivial geodesic line $\gamma(t), t \in R$, which is invariant under the isometry group $\left\{\phi^{k}\right\}, k \in Z$. Moreover, $d(\phi, x)=d_{0}$ for every point on the geodesic $\gamma$.

Proof. By the hypotheses of the proposition, the points $x_{0}$ and $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)$ are joined by a unique shortest arc $L$. Then $\phi(L)$ is the unique shortest arc joining the points $\phi\left(x_{0}\right)$ and $\phi^{2}\left(x_{0}\right)$. If $\left(x_{0} x \phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right)$, then $x$ lies on $L$, and by the triangle inequality,

$$
x \phi(x) \leq x \phi\left(x_{0}\right)+\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \phi(x)=x_{0} \phi\left(x_{0}\right)
$$

Since $x_{0}$ is a point of minimal displacement for $\phi$, the inequality above must be an equality (and $\left.d(\phi, x)=d_{0}\right)$. Thus, $\left[x \phi\left(x_{0}\right)\right] \cup\left[\phi\left(x_{0}\right) \phi(x)\right]=[x \phi(x)]$ is the (unique) shortest arc. Hence the union of the shortest $\operatorname{arcs} \phi^{k}(L)$ over all integers $k$ forms a geodesic line $\gamma$ in $M$, which is evidently invariant under the isometry group $\left\{\phi^{k}\right\}, k \in Z$. We can parametrize $\gamma$ by an arclength parameter $t$. The last assertion of the proposition has been proved previously.

Definition 2.6. We say that a one-parameter group of isometries $\left\{\phi_{t}, t \in\right.$ $R\}$ of a metric space $M$ acts locally freely on $M$ if for every point $x_{0} \in M$ there exist a neighborhood $U\left(x_{0}\right)$ of the point $x_{0}$ and a number $\varepsilon>0$, such that for any number $t$ with $0<|t|<\varepsilon$ and any point $x \in U\left(x_{0}\right)$ we have $\phi_{t}(x) \neq x$.

Proposition 2.7. Let $\left\{\phi_{t}, t \in R\right\}$ be a one-parameter (continuous) group of isometries of a compact Aleksandrov space $M$ with curvature bounded above acting locally freely on $M$. Then some orbit of $\left\{\phi_{t}, t \in R\right\}$ in $M$ is a nontrivial geodesic $\gamma$. Moreover, the geodesic $\gamma$ is closed if and only if it is closed as a subset of $M$. More generally, the orbit of every point in the closure $\bar{\gamma}$ is geodesic, all these geodesic orbits are pairwise isometric, and $\bar{\gamma}$ is invariant under the group $\left\{\phi_{t}, t \in R\right\}$ which acts as a group of Clifford-Wolf translations on $\bar{\gamma}$. The set of all points whose orbit under $\left\{\phi_{t}, t \in R\right\}$ is geodesic is closed in $M$.

Proof. Since $M$ is compact, there exists a number $\varepsilon>0$ such that for any number $t$ with $0<|t|<\varepsilon$ and any point $x \in M$ we have $\phi_{t}(x) \neq x$. Furthermore, we can assume that for the same values of $\varepsilon$ and $t$ and all points
$x \in M$ the inequality $\phi_{t}(x) x<u(M)$ holds (see Lemma 2.2). By Lemma 2.4, for any $t_{0}$ with $0<\left|t_{0}\right|<\varepsilon$ there is a point $x_{0}$ of minimal positive displacement for the isometry $\phi_{t_{0}}$. By Proposition 2.5 it follows that the point $x_{0}$ lies on a unique geodesic $\gamma_{0}$ which is invariant under the isometry group $\phi_{t_{0}}^{k}, k \in Z$, and starts at the point $x_{0}$. In the same way, given any natural number $n$ and setting $t_{n}:=t_{0} / 2^{n}$, we can choose a geodesic $\gamma_{n}$ which starts at some point $x_{n}$ and is invariant under the isometry group $\phi_{t_{n}}^{k}, k \in Z$. Then $\gamma_{n}$ is also invariant under the groups $\phi_{t_{m}}^{k}, k \in Z$, for all natural numbers $m$ satisfying $1 \leq m \leq n$, since $\phi_{t_{m}}^{k}=\phi_{k t_{m}}=\phi_{2^{n-m} k t_{n}}=\phi_{t_{n}}^{2^{n-m} k}$.

Since $M$ is a compact Aleksandrov space with curvature bounded above, one can easily prove that any geodesic $\gamma(s), s \in R$, in $M$ that is parametrized by the arclength is a shortest arc on any $s$-segment of length less than some fixed positive number $r_{0}$ which depends only on $M$. Evidently, a pointwise limit of a sequence of shortest arcs in any metric space is again a shortest arc. It follows from these observations that a pointwise limit of a sequence of nontrivial (parametrized) geodesics $\gamma_{n}(s), s \in R$, in $M$ is again a nontrivial parametrized geodesic.

By the compactness of $M$, we can choose a subsequence of the sequence $x_{n}$ (which we will also denote by $x_{n}$ ) that converges to a point $x_{\infty}$. Since the $\operatorname{map} \phi: R \times M \rightarrow M, \phi(t, x)=\phi_{t}(x)$, is continuous, it follows from the above arguments that, for all fixed numbers of the form $t=k t_{m}=t_{0} / 2^{m}$, the points $\phi_{t}\left(x_{\infty}\right)=\lim \phi_{t}\left(x_{n}\right)$, lie on some nontrivial geodesic $\gamma$. Hence the orbit of the one-parameter isometry group $\phi_{t}\left(x_{\infty}\right), t \in R$, forms an invariant nontrivial geodesic $\gamma$, for which $t$ is a parameter proportional to arclength on the geodesic $\gamma$ starting at the point $x_{\infty}$. The remaining assertions of the proposition are evident.

REMARK 2.8. The example of an irrational winding of the torus shows that the geodesic orbit in the above proposition is not necessarily closed and may even be dense in $M$. The next theorem shows that the situation described in this proposition is cannot occur in the case of a compact geodesically complete Aleksandrov space with negative curvature.

Theorem 2.9. Let $M$ be a compact geodesically complete Aleksandrov space with curvature at most $K<0$ and of topological dimension at least two. Then the full isometry group $I(M)$ of $M$ is finite, $\Gamma=\pi_{1} M$ is infinite, and the centralizer $C(\Gamma)$ of subgroup $\Gamma$ in $I(\tilde{M})$ is trivial.

Remark 2.10. We announced this theorem in [6]. We omit the proof since it follows directly from Theorem II.6.17, part (4), in the book of Bridson and Haefliger [9]. André Haefliger informed the author about their then unpublished results at the ICM 1994. Unfortunately, it was difficult to change the text of announcement [6].

## 3. Recovering the metric for some $\operatorname{CAT}(K)$-spaces, when $K$ is negative, from closed balls of given positive radius

A metric space $M$ is called connected at infinity if for any closed ball $B(x, r), r \geq 0$, its complement with respect to $M$ is connected. We will prove the following theorem.

Theorem 3.1. Let $M$ be a locally compact complete geodesically complete $\mathrm{CAT}(K)$-space with curvature at most $K<0$ which is connected at infinity, and let $V \subset M \times M$ be the diagonal tube corresponding to a number $r>0$. Then the metric of the space $M$ is uniquely determined by $V$.

Remark 3.2. As a corollary of the so-called Cartan-Hadamard-Aleksandrov theorem, which was conjectured by M. Gromov in [11] and proved by Alexander and Bishop in [3] (see also Theorem II.4.1 in [9]), and Aleksandrov's patchwork (see [1], [2], or Proposition II.4.9 in [9]), any locally compact complete simply connected Aleksandrov space of curvature at most $K<0$ is a CAT $(K)$-space.

The shortest arcs in $M$ depend continuously on their ends, and the space $M$ is contractible. One can easily prove that any geodesic in $M$ is a shortest arc and can be extended (not necessarily uniquely) to a line in $M$, i.e., an isometric embedding of the whole line (with the usual metric) into $M$. In the sequel we will use these properties of the space $M$ as well as the assumptions of Theorem 3.1 without explicit reference to them.

We need the following proposition.
Proposition 3.3. Under the assumption of the other conditions in Theorem 3.1, the condition of connectedness at infinity is equivalent to the condition of arcwise connectedness of all spheres $S\left(x, r^{\prime}\right), r^{\prime}>0, x \in M$.

Proof. Note that $M$ is locally arcwise connected. Thus any open subset $U \subset M$ is connected if and only if it is arcwise connected.

Let $M$ be connected at infinity. Then the complement of any closed ball $B\left(x, r^{\prime}\right)$ is arcwise connected. Let $x_{1}$ and $x_{2}$ be arbitrary points in $S\left(x, r^{\prime}\right)$. Extend the shortest $\operatorname{arcs}\left[x x_{1}\right]$ and $\left[x x_{2}\right]$ to longer shortest $\operatorname{arcs}\left[x x_{1}^{\prime}\right]$ and $\left[x x_{2}^{\prime}\right]$ and join the points $x_{1}^{\prime}$ and $x_{2}^{\prime}$ with a path $x(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, in the complement of $B\left(x, r^{\prime}\right)$. The shortest arc $[x x(t)], 0 \leq t \leq 1$, intersects $S\left(x, r^{\prime}\right)$ at a unique point $y(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$. As a corollary of the global $K$-concavity condition (see [7]), $y(t), 0 \leq t \leq 1$, is a (continuous) path in $S\left(x, r^{\prime}\right)$ that joins the given points $x_{1}, x_{2} \in S\left(x, r^{\prime}\right)$. Thus $S\left(x, r^{\prime}\right)$ is (arcwise) connected, as required.

The proof of the converse statement is much simpler.
Lemma 3.4. Let $[x y]$ be a shortest arc in $M$ of length $r_{1}>0$, and let $r_{2}$ and $r_{3}$ be positive numbers such that the largest of the three numbers $r_{1}, r_{2}$,
and $r_{3}$ does not exceed the sum of the other two numbers. Then there exists a point $z \in M$ such that $x z=r_{2}$ and $y z=r_{3}$.

Proof. We can assume that the maximum of the numbers $r_{1}, r_{2}, r_{3}$ is strictly less than the sum of the other two. (Otherwise the assertion is obvious.) In this case some inner point $x_{0}$ of the shortest arc $[x y]$ lies inside the intersection

$$
C:=B\left(x, r_{2}\right) \cap B\left(y, r_{3}\right)
$$

and there exists an extension $\left[x^{\prime} y^{\prime}\right]$ of the shortest arc $[x y]$ (note that $x^{\prime}$ or $y^{\prime}$ may coincide with $x$ or $y$ ) such that

$$
y^{\prime} \in B\left(y, r_{3}\right)-B\left(x, r_{2}\right), \quad x^{\prime} \in B\left(x, r_{2}\right)-B\left(y, r_{3}\right)
$$

As a corollary of the global $K$-concavity condition, every closed ball $B$ in $M$ with a positive radius is strictly convex, i.e., for any two distinct points $a, b \in B$, the (relative) interior of the shortest arc $[a b]$ is contained in $B$. Hence the set $C$ above is also strictly convex.

Take any point $w$ outside of $B\left(x, r_{2}\right) \cup B\left(y, r_{3}\right)$. Since $M$ is connected at infinity, the points $x^{\prime}$ and $w$ can be joined by a path $p_{1}$ outside of $B\left(y, r_{3}\right)$, while the points $w$ and $y^{\prime}$ can be joined by a path $p_{2}$ outside of $B\left(x, r_{2}\right)$. The concatenation $p$ of paths $p_{1}, p_{2}$ (at the point $w$ ) goes outside of $C$.

It follows from the strict convexity of the set $C$ that for any point $a$ in the image of $p$ the shortest arc $\left[x_{0} a\right]$ intersects the boundary of the set $C$ at a unique point $a^{\prime}$. By the $K$-concavity, the point $a^{\prime}$ depends continuously on $a$. As a result, we get a (continuous) path $p^{\prime}$ in the boundary of the set $C$. It follows from the choice of $x^{\prime}$ and $y^{\prime}$ that the path $p^{\prime}$ starts at $S\left(y, r_{3}\right)$ and ends at $S\left(x, r_{2}\right)$. Hence some point $z$ in the image of $p^{\prime}$ is contained in the intersection $S\left(x, r_{2}\right) \cap S\left(y, r_{3}\right)$. This point $z$ has the desired properties.

We will later need a special description of the topological completion $\bar{M}=$ $M \cup h b(M)$ of a complete CAT(0)-space $M$ in terms of Busemann (or ray) functions which induces on $M$ the initial metric topology. We denote by $\mathcal{C}(M)$ the topological vector space of continuous real-valued functions on $M$ equipped with the topology of uniform convergence on bounded subsets. This topology can be defined by a sequence of sup-norms, whose $n$th member is the sup-norm on the closed ball of radius $n$ with a fixed center $p \in M$. In particular, if $M$ is finitely compact, then this is the more familiar topology of uniform convergence on compact subsets. Let $p$ be a given fixed point in $M$. There is a natural topological embedding $i_{p}: M \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(M)$ obtained by associating to each $x \in M$ the shifted distance function $d_{p, x}: y \rightarrow x y-x p$. Then $\bar{M}$ can be identified with the closure of $i_{p}(M)$ in $\mathcal{C}(M)$. This definition does not depend on the choice of the fixed point $p$. The complement to the set $i_{p}(M)$ in $\bar{M}$ is called the ideal or hyperbolic boundary, and we denote it by $h b(M)$. Any ideal element in $h b(M)$ is the Busemann or ray function, corresponding to exactly one ray $\gamma(t), t \in[0,+\infty)$, with origin $p$ (i.e., we
have $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right) \gamma\left(t_{2}\right)=\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|$ and $\left.\gamma(0)=p\right)$. The Busemann function of such a ray is defined on $M$ by the equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
f_{\gamma}(x):=\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty}(t-x \gamma(t)), \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the limit on the right side is uniform on every bounded subset in $M$ (see [11] or [9]). (We have changed the sign here, as this will be convenient later.)

A level set of a function $f_{\gamma}$ is called a horosphere. Any two geodesic rays in $M$ have the same limit (ideal) points $\lim _{t \rightarrow+\infty} \gamma(t)$ if and only if the corresponding Busemann functions differ by a constant (see [9]) and thus have the same family of horospheres if one ignores their levels. If we change the fixed point $p$, then the ray corresponding to a given ideal element in $h b(M)$ will change, but the corresponding Busemann functions will only change by a constant depending on this ideal element. If $M$ is finitely compact, then the space $\bar{M}$ is compact.

In [9] it was shown that this definition is equivalent to another definition which involves the cone topology. If $M$ is a $\operatorname{CAT}(K)$-space for some $K<0$, then this definition of $\bar{M}$, which is mainly due to M. Gromov, coincides up to a homeomorphism with Gromov's definition using a scalar product (see [11]).

Proposition 3.5. Let $M$ be a locally compact complete geodesically complete CAT(0)-space. Then the metric topology $\tau_{m}$ on $M$ is equal to the initial topology $\tau_{f}$ relative to the family of all ray functions on $M$.

Proof. Since any ray function is continuous on $M$, we have $\tau_{f} \subset \tau_{m}$. To prove the reverse inclusion, we need to show that every open ball $U(x, s)$, where $x \in M$ and $s>0$, contains a neighborhood of the point $x$ which is a finite intersection of preimages of intervals for ray functions.

Consider the family $\Gamma$ of all Busemann functions for rays with origin $x$. Let $u, 0<u<s$, be an arbitrary real number. Any shortest arc with origin $x$ can be extended to a ray (or even line) because $M$ is geodesically complete. Then it is not hard to prove that

$$
\begin{equation*}
B(x, u)=\bigcap_{\gamma \in \Gamma} F(\gamma, u) \subset U(x, s) \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $F(\gamma, u):=f_{\gamma}^{-1}([-u, u])$. The space $M$ is finitely compact by the CohnVossen Theorem. Thus $\bar{M}=h b(M) \cup M$ is compact. Define $G(\gamma, u)$ to be the closure of $F(\gamma, u)$ in $\bar{M}$. Then $G(\gamma, u)$ is compact, and $G(\gamma, u)-F(\gamma, u) \subset$ $h b(M)$. Also $a \notin G\left(\gamma_{-}, u\right)$ if a ray $\gamma$ ends at $a \in h b(M)$, where $\gamma_{-}$is a ray with origin $x$ opposite to $\gamma$, in the sense that $\gamma \cup \gamma_{-}$gives a line in $M$. Since any point $a \in h b(M)$ is an end point of a ray $\gamma \in \Gamma$, it follows from these observations that the same relation (3.2) holds with $G(\gamma, u)$ in place of $F(\gamma, u)$.

From the above argument it follows that there is a finite set $\left\{\gamma_{1}, \ldots, \gamma_{k}\right\} \subset \Gamma$ such that

$$
G\left(\gamma_{1}, u\right) \cap \ldots \cap G\left(\gamma_{k}, u\right) \subset U(x, s)
$$

because the sets $G(\gamma, u)$ are compact and $U(x, s)$ is open (see Corollary 3.15 in [10]). Thus

$$
x \in f_{\gamma_{1}}^{-1}(-u, u) \cap \ldots \cap f_{\gamma_{k}}^{-1}(-u, u) \subset U(x, s),
$$

which gives the desired neighborhood of $x$.
Proof of Theorem 3.1. Any ordered triple of points $(x, y, w)$ in $M$ satisfying

$$
\begin{equation*}
x y=y w=r, \quad x w=2 r \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

is characterized by the property that for each pair $x, w$ there exists a unique point $y$ such that $(x, y) \in V$ and $(y, w) \in V$. This follows from the fact that any two points in $M$ are joined by a unique shortest arc. This implies that the relation $V$ determines all spheres and open balls in $M$ of radius $r$.

Consider all bilaterally infinite sequences of points $\left\{x_{z}\right\}, z \in Z$, indexed by the integers, such that for every $z \in Z$ the triple $(x, y, w)=\left(x_{z-1}, x_{z}, x_{z+1}\right)$ satisfies condition (3.3). We will call such a sequence an $r$-sequence. Clearly, $r$-sequences can be defined by means of the relation $V$ alone.

Any $r$-sequence $\left\{x_{z}\right\}$ together with the shortest $\operatorname{arcs}\left[x_{z} x_{z+1}\right], z \in Z$, gives a unique oriented line $L$. We say an $r$-sequence $\left\{x_{z}\right\}$ is line-equivalent to another $r$-sequence $\left\{x_{z}^{\prime}\right\}$ if the oriented lines defined by these sequences coincide. This holds if and only if $\left\{x_{z}\right\}$ and $\left\{x_{z}^{\prime}\right\}$ have the same limit points $x_{+\infty}=x_{+\infty}^{\prime} \in$ $h b(M)$ and $x_{-\infty}=x_{-\infty}^{\prime} \in h b(M)$, both when $z \rightarrow+\infty$ and $z \rightarrow-\infty$. Note that all four of these limit points exist. The necessity is evident. The sufficiency follows from the Flat Strip Theorem (Theorem II.2.13 in [9]).

Also, any two $r$-sequences $x_{z}, x_{z}^{\prime}$ are line-equivalent if and only if there exists an integer $k$ such that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left(x_{z}, x_{z+k}^{\prime}\right) \in V \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

for all integers $z$. The necessity is again evident. The sufficiency follows from the above considerations because any two $r$-sequences with this condition have the same limit points in $h b(M)$, both at $+\infty$ and at $-\infty$.

Any oriented line $L \subset M$ is a union of all points in all $r$-sequences from some line-equivalence class. The orientation of $L$ is defined by the order of any $r$-sequence from this class. Thus we can define any oriented line $L$ using only the relation $V$. Note also that the (induced) topology on any oriented line $L$ can be defined by means of $V$. Indeed, suppose that the conditions $x<y<w$ and $x w<r$ are satisfied for an ordered triple of points on an oriented line $L$. These conditions can be expressed by means of $V$ in the following form: $(x, y),(y, w),(x, w) \in V$. There is no $r$-sequence which contains both $x$ and
$w$; for any $r$-sequences $x_{z}, y_{z}, w_{z}$ satisfying $x_{0}=x, y_{0}=y, w_{0}=w$ from the line-equivalence class defined by $L$ we must have

$$
\left(y_{-1}, x_{0}\right) \in V, \quad\left(y_{-1}, w_{0}\right) \notin V, \quad\left(y_{1}, x_{0}\right) \notin V, \quad\left(y_{1}, w_{0}\right) \in V
$$

It remains to prove that one can find the midpoint of the segment $\left[x_{z} x_{z+1}\right]$ for some $r$-sequence $\left\{x_{z}, z \in Z\right\}$ in a line-equivalence class defined by an oriented line in $M$.

Let $\gamma(t), t \in R$, be a parametrization of an oriented line $L$ by the arclength, i.e., $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right) \gamma\left(t_{2}\right)=\left|t_{1}-t_{2}\right|$ and $\gamma\left(t_{1}\right)<\gamma\left(t_{2}\right)$ if $t_{1}<t_{2}$. Then the formula (3.1) defines the corresponding Busemann function $f_{\gamma}$, which we will also denote by $f_{L}$, and the corresponding horospheres.

We can define the horosphere $h\left(L, x_{0}\right)$ defined by an oriented line $L$ and passing through a given point $x_{0} \in L$ using only the relation $V$. There exists a unique $r$-sequence $\left\{x_{z}, z \in Z\right\}$ in the line-equivalence class of $L$ with initial term $x_{0}$. Then, for any natural number $n$, the open ball $U\left(x_{n}, n r\right)$ is the set of all points $y$ in $M$ such that $\left(x_{n}, y\right) \in n U$, where $(x, y) \in U$ if and only if $x y<r$. If $\gamma(t), t \in R$, is the parametrization of $L$ by arclength such that $\gamma(0)=x_{0}$, then $f_{\gamma}^{-1}(0,+\infty)$ is an open set in $M$, which is equal to the union of all balls $U\left(x_{n}, n r\right)$. We will use the description of $h\left(L, x_{0}\right)$ as the boundary of this set to show that $V$ determines $h\left(L, x_{0}\right)$.

Consider the family of all oriented geodesics $L^{\prime}$ which have a common (right) end $a \in h b(M)$ with $L$. Any such geodesic $L^{\prime}$ is characterized by the property that for any $r$-sequence $\left\{x_{z}^{\prime}, z \in Z\right\}$ in the line-equivalence class of $L^{\prime}$ there exist integers $k, m$ such that relation (3.4) is satisfied for all $z \geq m$ (see [9]). Thus this family of oriented geodesics can be defined by $V$. Any point $x \in M$ lies on some line $L^{\prime}$ of this type. Then the ray in $L^{\prime}$ which starts at $x$ and ends at $a$ is the unique ray in $M$ with these ends (see [9]). We have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left|f_{\gamma}(x)-f_{\gamma}\left(x^{\prime}\right)\right|=x x^{\prime} ; \quad x, x^{\prime} \in L^{\prime} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $f_{\gamma}(x)<f_{\gamma}\left(x^{\prime}\right)$ if $x<x^{\prime}$. Thus $x \in L^{\prime}$ lies on $h\left(L, x_{0}\right)$ if and only if $x$ is the unique boundary point of the open infinite interval (ray) $f_{\gamma}^{-1}(0,+\infty) \cap L^{\prime}$. Since, as we showed above, the induced topology on $L^{\prime}$ is defined entirely by the relation $V$, any point $x \in h\left(L, x_{0}\right)$ can be defined by $V$. Thus the horosphere itself is defined by $V$. Therefore, by Proposition 3.5, the relation $V$ defines the metric topology on $M$.

By equation (3.5), if $\left\{x_{z}, z \in Z\right\}$ is an $r$-sequence in $L$ and $x_{z}^{\prime}$ is the unique point in $L^{\prime} \cap h\left(L, x_{z}\right)$, then $\left\{x_{z}^{\prime}, z \in Z\right\}$ is also an $r$-sequence (in $L^{\prime}$ ).

Let now $\left\{x_{z}, z \in Z\right\}$ be any $r$-sequence in $L$. By Lemma 3.4, corresponding to the points $x=x_{-n}$ and $y=x_{n}$ there exists a point $z=z_{n} \in M$ such that $z_{n} x_{-n}=z_{n} x_{n}=x_{-n} x_{n}$, i.e., there exists an equilateral triangle $\Delta=\Delta_{n}$ with sides $2 n r$. For some number $\delta>0$, the space $M$ is $\delta$-hyperbolic. Hence the so-called insize of $\Delta$ is at most $\delta$ (see [11]). Therefore we have the inequalities

$$
\begin{equation*}
w_{-n} x_{0} \leq \delta, \quad w_{n} x_{0} \leq \delta, \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $w_{-n}$ (respectively $w_{n}$ ) is the midpoint of the side $\left[z_{n} x_{-n}\right]$ (respectively $\left[z_{n} x_{n}\right]$ ). Since $M$ is finitely compact and the inequalities (3.6) are satisfied, we can suppose that a subsequence $w_{-n_{k}}$ (respectively $w_{n_{k}}$ ) converges to a point $x_{0}^{-} \in M$ (respectively $x_{0}^{+} \in M$ ) and the oriented geodesic segment $\left[z_{n} x_{-n}\right]$ (respectively $\left[z_{n} x_{n}\right]$ ) converges in the compact-open topology (relative to $R$ and $M$ ) to some oriented geodesic line $L_{-}$(respectively $L_{+}$). This convergence can be described via the metric topology on $M$, and hence via the relation $V$, as we have shown previously.

As a result, the oriented line $L_{+}$has a common end point $a \in h b(M)$ with $L$, and $L_{-}$has a common starting point $b \in h b(M)$ with $L_{+}$, while the end point $c \in h b(M)$ of the line $L_{-}$coincides with the origin of the line $L$. We can assume that the oriented geodesic $L_{-}$(respectively $L_{+}$) starts at the point $x_{0}^{-}$(respectively $x_{0}^{+}$).

Construct the horospheres $h\left(-L, x_{z}\right), z \in Z$, where $-L$ denotes the oriented line $L$ endowed with the opposite orientation. The intersections of these horospheres with the line $L_{-}$define a unique $r$-sequence $x_{-z}^{-}$of the oriented line $L_{-}$, as we have shown above. Similarly, the intersections of the horospheres $h\left(L, x_{z}\right)$ with the line $L_{+}$define a unique $r$-sequence $x_{z}^{+}$of the oriented line $L_{+}$. It follows from the construction of $L_{-}$and $L_{+}$and Proposition II.8.19 in [9] (or, rather, by the definition of $\bar{M}$ which we assume here) that the sequence of functions $-\left(d_{z_{n_{k}}}-n_{k} r\right)$ converges, uniformly on any compact subset in $M$, simultaneously to the function $f_{-L_{-}}$and to the function $f_{-L_{+}}$. Thus we have $x_{z}^{-} \in h\left(-L_{+}, x_{z}^{+}\right)$.

Take any point $x \in L$ such that $x_{0}<x<x_{1}$ and then define the points

$$
x^{+}:=h(L, x) \cap L_{+}, \quad x^{-}:=h\left(-L_{+}, x^{+}\right) \cap L_{-} .
$$

Evidently, we have $x_{0}^{+}<x^{+}<x_{1}^{+}$on the line $L_{+}$and $x_{0}^{-}<x^{-}<x_{1}^{-}$on the line $L_{-}$. Thus, $x^{-} \in h(-L, y)$ for some point $y, x_{-1}<y<x_{0}$ on $L$. Clearly,

$$
y x=y x_{0}+x_{0} x, \quad y x_{0}=x_{0} x
$$

It follows from this that a point $x$ is the midpoint of the segment $\left[x_{0} x_{1}\right]$ if and only if the corresponding point $y$, which is defined only by means of $V$ and $x$, lies in the $r$-sequence in $L$ with point $x$. The last condition can also be defined by $V$.

We define a new binary relation $W$ in terms of $V$ by setting $2 W=V$. Then $(x, y) \in W$ if and only if $x y \leq r / 2$. By induction, for any natural number $n$ we define a binary relation $U$ by setting $2^{n} U=V$, so that $(x, y) \in U$ if and only if $x y \leq r / 2^{n}$. Since we can define, by means of $V$, the induced topology on any (oriented) line in $M$, we can define, using $V$, the original distance on the metric space $M$. This completes the proof of the theorem.

Proof of Theorem 1.1. The theorem follows immediately from Theorem 3.1.

REmARK 3.6. We can replace the relation $V$ in Theorem 3.1 by the binary relation $S=\{(x, y) \in M \times M: x y=r\}$, since in the presence of the other conditions on $M$, the relation $V$ defines $S$, while $2 S=2 V=\{(x, y) \in M \times M$ : $x y=2 r\}$.

The following is a natural question:
Question. Are Theorems 3.1 and 1.1 (as well as the theorem of BeckmanQuarles [4]) true in the case when $K=0$ ?
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